IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

)
)
)
)
)
) Cause No. 4:17-CV-02486
)
)
)
)
)
·)

SUPPLEMENT TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE

TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES NOW Plaintiff Jeanne Rhoades, and supplements her Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, as follows:

Defendants submitted another portion (Section 2.01) of their personnel policies after Plaintiff filed her Response to their Motion to Dismiss. Consistent with Plaintiff's claims regarding Defendants' specific policies for "On-Call" pay, "Call-Back" pay, "Voucher" pay, and "Paid Time Off", Section 2.01 confirms that Defendants' policies:

- set forth promised "compensation" and "benefits",
- -are meant to "ensure the fair and equitable treatment of our employees"
- must be abided by management and supervisors.

Section 2.01 also reiterates that Defendants may change their policies prospectively in their discretion, which is not disputed.

For the reasons stated in Plaintiff's Response, the Court should deny Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

CURTIS, HEINZ, GARRETT & O'KEEFE, P.C

By:

Carl J. Lamley, #32869

130 S. Bemiston Avenue, Suite 200

St. Louis, MO 63105

(314) 725-8788

(314) 725-8789 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served via the Court's electronic filing system this 11th day of October, 2017 to all/aftorneys of record.