

To all our brothers, sisters, mothers, fathers and every citizen of our great nation,

In defense of our freedom and bodily autonomy, and more importantly that of our children and all future generations, we call upon every citizen of the United States of America to stand together in asserting and reclaiming our most basic fundamental human right of Informed Consent, which encompasses the right to refuse any medical treatment including vaccination.

Like many of our rights as American citizens, the right of Informed Consent must be asserted or it is presumed to have been waived.

Building upon the work of Ralph Fucetola, JD, Dr. Rima Laibow and the late Major General Albert N. Stubblebine III with the Natural Solutions Foundation, we created a document to assert our fundamental right to Informed Consent in defense of our own family's rights to health and freedom, which are currently under attack in our country. Learn more about their work at (<https://tinyurl.com/Oath4informedConsent>)

We are sharing with you freely this "Informed Consent Declaration and Oath" document that we believe properly asserts our fundamental right of Informed Consent. You may present this document to governors, legislators, public health officials, hospitals, physicians, school boards or wherever you need to assert your right of Informed Consent.

Each individual must sign and assert his or her own right. Parents or legal guardians must sign a separate document for each child with the child's name listed along with adult signature "as guardian". The language related to signing "as if made under oath" should be sufficient however, if you can access a notary to witness your signature you may wish to do so.

It is imperative that you deliver the document to your intended recipient by certified mail, FedEx, UPS or any method that provides a return receipt as evidence of delivery.

We are under no delusion this document is a magic bullet. However, it is a vital legal step to both exercise and reclaim our fundamental legal rights which have been seriously overlooked and disregarded. It is extremely important that we rally together large numbers of people across the country asserting our rights in unison. The more people doing so—the more powerful the assertion. We need to flood the offices of legislators and executive branches of government.

Feel free to use this Informed Consent Declaration and Oath, and share it widely, with the full and complete understanding that we are not attorneys and therefore nothing in the document or message can be constituted as legal advice. Attorneys were consulted in the creation of the document for our own peace of mind however, any decision to use the document as a whole or in part must solely be your own.

In defense of Truth, Freedom and Health
Michael Bean & Samantha Turgeon

Informed Consent Declaration and Oath

Whereas the Doctrine of Informed Consent, bound by Common Law, is to be respected and protected. [1]

Whereas the Common Law recognizes the right of individual autonomy over decisions relating to one's health and welfare and the courts have declared "individuals have a common law right to determine for themselves whether to allow a physical invasion of their bodies." and that "Every competent adult has a right to forego treatment, or even cure, if it entails what for him are intolerable consequences or risks however unwise his sense of values may be in the eyes of the medical profession." [2]

Whereas the New York Supreme Court declared "every human being of adult years and sound mind has a right to determine what shall be done with his own body..." [3]

Whereas under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, no state may "deprive a person of life, liberty or property without due process of law." Courts have decided that the Fourteenth Amendment protection encompasses a constitutionally protected right to refuse unwanted medical interventions, including the "principle that a competent person has a constitutionally protected liberty interest in refusing unwanted medical treatment." [4]

Whereas recently the United States Supreme Court has clearly declared, even a "... diminished expectation of privacy does not diminish the... privacy interest in preventing a government agent from piercing the... skin. And though a blood test conducted in a medical setting by trained personnel is less intrusive than other bodily invasions, this Court has never retreated from its recognition that any compelled intrusion into the human body implicates significant, constitutionally protected privacy interests..."[5]

Whereas various United States, have unlawfully attempted to abolish long-standing religious conscientious objections to vaccination and have unduly restricted licensed physician's role as the learned intermediary in issuing medical excuses from vaccination,

Whereas the same States have imposed Unconstitutional Conditions on the exercise of the universal right of Informed Consent by conditioning the receipt of public benefits, such as a free public education, on the surrender of the right, and

Whereas our courts have held that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe" [6] and are an uninsurable risk, therefore,

I THE UNDERSIGNED do hereby declare my refusal to submit to forced, coerced or mandated vaccination, supporting and asserting my fundamental right of Informed Consent and conscientious objection, stating:

1. I hereby assert and do not waive my right of Informed Consent to reject any medical intervention including vaccination.
2. I hereby petition our governments for redress of grievances, demanding an end to forced, coerced or mandated vaccination, and
3. I hereby demand the reinstatement of our traditional religious conscientious objections to vaccination and respect for physicians, as learned intermediaries, to issue medical exemptions based on clinical evaluation, not limited by bureaucratic rules that restrict the learned intermediary's expression of professional opinion.

Finally, I demand that all government and public health authorities respect the right of Informed Consent, declaring that violations of the right of Informed Consent are crimes against humanity and never to be tolerated. Legislators, whether state or federal, have no authority to disregard the right of Informed Consent.

I swear to this and all future generations that I will respect and defend the right of Informed Consent and will seek full redress of grievances against any legislator, government or public health official who fails to respect the right of Informed Consent as I take this Oath and declare that I will not obey tyrannical law.

I make the above Oath and Declaration conscientiously believing it to be true to the best of my knowledge and belief and knowing it is the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Date _____ Signature _____

Printed Name and Address

[1] The doctrine of informed consent developed out of strong judicial deference to individual autonomy, reflecting a prevalent belief in American jurisprudence that an individual has a right to be free from nonconsensual interference with his or her person, and a basic moral principle that it is wrong to force another to act against his or her will. Furrow, Barry. Health Law (Hornbook) (p. 121). West Academic.

[2] Brophy v New England Sinai Hospital, Inc., 398 Mass. 417, N.E. 2d 626 (1986); Harnish v. Children's Hosp. Medical Center, 387 Mass. 152, 154 (1982); Saikewicz, supra at 738-739; Harnish v. Children's Hosp. Medical Center, 387 Mass. 152, 154, 439 N.E.2d 240 (1982), quoting Wilkinson v. Vesey, 110 R.I. 606, 624 (1972).

[3] Cruzan v. Dir., Mo. Dep't of Health, 497 U.S. 261, 278 (1990).

[4] Schloendorff v the Society of the New York Hospital, 211 NY 125 105 NE 92 (1914).

[5] Missouri vs McNeely, 569 US 141 (2013)

[6] <https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/10pdf/09-152.pdf>