



DISPUTE PACK

Compliance - AI Boundary Crossing

World: Compliance Assurance Governance **Outcome:** SAFE DEGRADE **Evidence Pack ID:** JSP-PUBLIC-20260302-COMPLIANCE_A-0001

1. What was claimed / requested

Workflow proposes exporting 1.2M EU customer records to an external vendor.

2. What the system allowed vs blocked

Allowed

- A safer substitute path proceeds (bounded).
- Work continues without violating constraints.

Blocked

- The high-risk / sensitive action is held until approval.

3. Why (governance rationale)

Judgement Spine turns compliance into runtime gates. If purpose limitation, vendor approval, or region constraints fail, it returns SAFE DEGRADE: allow redacted export; hold full export for approval with a bounded request.

This pack is designed to survive dispute: it links decision, authority, bounds, and integrity in one exportable object.

Evidence references

4. Evidence signals

- dataset_id
- record_count
- region_constraints
- vendor_approval_state
- purpose_code
- field_sensitivity_map

5. Evidence artefacts in this bundle

policy_trace.ndjson	Which doctrine checks fired and why.
audit_export.ndjson	Execution-time event record.
provenance_chain.json	Illustrative provenance and chain integrity.
authority_contract.json	Named authority + bounds contract.
manifest.json + signature	Integrity references (tamper-evident).

6. Integrity verification

Run `verify_manifest.py` from the root folder and retain the output as part of the dispute file.

If the hashes and signature verify, the pack is unmodified since it was sealed.

Contact: founder@judgementspine.com