FBT-CR20-0336785-T : SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF BRIDGEPORT

v. : AT BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

NICHOLAS ROBERT HALL : FEBRUARY 19, 2025

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXCERPT 10:27 TO 12:23

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PETER A. MCSHANE, JUDGE AND JURY

## APPEARANCES:

Representing the State of Connecticut:

ATTORNEY KELLY E. DAVIS
ATTORNEY ELENA M. PALERMO
Office of the Chief State's Attorney
300 Corporate Place
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067

Representing the Defendant:

ATTORNEY ROBERT M. BERKE Law Office of Robert Berke, LLC 640 Clinton Avenue Bridgeport, Connecticut 06605

Recorded By: Susan Morse

Transcribed By:
Ashlee S. Lewis
Court Recording Monitor Trainee
90 Washington Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

1 THE COURT: Good morning everyone. Please be 2 seated. On the record, State of Connecticut versus 3 Nicholas Hall. The parties are present. Mr. Hall is 4 present. Are the parties ready to proceed with 5 closing argument? ATTY. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 ATTY. BERKE: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I'll just tell the ladies and 9 gentlemen of the audience that, you know, I know this 10 is a courtroom that's open to the public, but it's a -- it's actually a privilege to be in here. Don't 11 12 give up that privilege by misbehaving. That's all 13 I'm going to say. Everybody's been well behaved 14 during the trial; I expect you to continue to be so. 15 It's an emotional trial, I get it. But please check 16 your emotions when you come in here. If we could 17 bring in the jury, please. 18 (Jury Panel enters the Courtroom.) 19 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel stipulates the 20 presence of a jury and alternates? 2.1 ATTY. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor. 2.2 ATTY. BERKE: Defense stipulates, sir. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, as I've 2.4 said yesterday, we're at the time called a closing 25 argument. Again, it's -- this is not evidence, but 26 it's a lawyer's way of telling you their -- his or

her assessment of the -- of the case. It's certainly

27

helpful. There are some judges that say, you know, the -- the jurors shouldn't have their notepads in here during closing argument because it's not evidence. I disagree. I -- I think, if -- if their arguments help you or if you want to take notes, you're more than welcome to.

So, that being -- they -- I -- I said they get an hour. I actually time them. So if you see me playing with my phone -- but I don't -- I don't think we have to worry about it, and even if they go over, I don't yell anyway. So go right ahead, please.

ATTY. DAVIS: Thank you. I'm going to time myself too, if I can get it to work.

In December of 2018, LT gained a stepfather, but she also gained an abuser. You heard testimony that that's when the abuse started. I think it's -- the State believes it's important, at this point, to walk through a timeline of -- from when the defendant came into LT's life, to when she disclosed the abuse.

So you've heard evidence that in (Indiscernible) 2018, the defendant messaged GT on Facebook, and that's how their whirlwind romance started. In December 2018, they got married. So after two months of dating, they got married, and that's when LT discloses that the abuse starts.

In January of 2019, GT gets pregnant, and this is when GT testified that things start to kind of

2.4

unravel in the relationship. But she told you she wanted to stay in the relationship because she wanted to make it right. She wanted to give her children a family. She also finds out, in January 2019, that the defendant had impregnated someone else, his exgirlfriend. But she testified, although she was mad, she decided to stay with him.

2.2

2.4

In May 2019, the defendant's daughter with GT was born -- or no. I'm sorry. The defendant's daughter with his ex was born. And GT obviously wasn't happy about this, but she still sent diapers and formula, and she encouraged the defendant to have a relationship with that child. In September 2019, WTH was born, which is GT's daughter with the defendant. In February 2020, GT testified to -- to you that that's when enough was enough. The defendant was on the couch holding their newborn baby, their infant, and she notices that he's messaging other woman -- a woman messaged GT and said, hey, he's talking to me. So that's when she said, you know, enough's enough. He's got to move out.

So he moved out. And GT testified that things were contentious at that time. Right? She wanted him to move out. He was cheating on her or at least messaging other women. Right? But you heard testimony, and this is important, that by the

February 2020, they were cool. They were friends. He was watching the kids. They were hanging out together. They were fine. She testified they were better as friends than they ever were in a relationship.

2.4

In March 2020, that's when COVID starts. GT meets her current girlfriend, Brooklyn, who she's still with, and the defendant comes to Connecticut to watch the kids. She hangs out with her -- with her girlfriend -- GT hangs out with her girlfriend, and the friend together. They're friends. And during COVID, there was testimony from GT that she took all three of the girls to New York where the defendant was living to see -- so he could see the kids.

And in March 2020 to May 2020, this calm relationship continued. Right? He was babysitting the kids. He was watching the kids when she would go out with her -- her girlfriend -- when GT would go out with her girlfriend, and they had a really amicable relationship. They were better friends than they were as coparents -- than in a relationship. And then finally in May 2020, LT discloses.

So I want you to keep -- the State intends -you can consider whatever you want, but it's
important to keep this timeline of events in mind
when you're thinking about this case. It's important
to note that during this timeline, during these

allegations of abuse, LT is eight and nine years old. She's not that 13 year old or 14 year old you saw -- she's not that teenager you saw on the stand. That's the girl that was abused. That's the girl that this happened to.

2.2

2.4

The State contends you need to use your common sense here. When we picked you for this jury, you all told us that you had common sense. So you need to ask yourselves, why would she make this up? What is she getting out of this? And how could a teenager who this abuse started to seven years ago, almost in December 2018, keep this charade up five years after the disclosure, and testify consistently. It doesn't make sense. What does she have to gain from this?

She told me that when she met the defendant, he treated her mom well. He was like a father figure, and this is direct testimony from you -- from her.

And the Judge is going to instruct you that you're going to be allowed to relisten to her testimony if you want to, but these are things she said. She liked him at first, he was like a father to her. And she said he treated her mom well. She liked him because he treated her mom well. But then she started talking about the abuse.

She testified that something happened in the laundry room. She testified that he would lay her

down on the pile of clothes in the laundry room, you saw that pile of clothes in evidence, there's pictures of it. He would lay her down, pull her pants down, she showed you, and he would anally penetrate her. And she said it happened in the laundry room more than once. She (Indiscernible) happened more than one time.

2.1

2.2

2.4

She described to you how it hurt, and she talked to you about how it felt. She also talked about how after she went to the bathroom -- after she went to the laundry with the defendant and he sexually assaulted her, she would go to the bathroom, and she would go to the bathroom, and she would go to the bathroom, and she would pee white stuff. Now use your common sense as adults sitting here. How would a nine year old at the time know that white stuff could come out after someone anally penetrates you? No kid would know that.

Think about Monica Madigan's testimony about how they asked kids sensory details to see -- to be able to -- because she testified that kids would know something that happened to them -- based on the sensory details. They look for that kind of information. So think about when you're looking at the testimony, all of the sensory details -- LT gave.

She also talked about an incident in her mother's room. They were watching Mamma Mia!, she remembers this that much later. They were watching

Mamma Mia! -- she even told you exactly where she was sitting on that bed. She knew, when she was looking at that room, that the defendant was in the middle, her little sister was one -- on one side and she was on the other. She remembers the movie they were watching, and he made her put her hand under the blanket. He made her touch his penis -- she described the penis to you. She said it was hairy, and it was soft and then it got hard. Again, how would a kid know that? And then she says he pulled down her pants and put his penis in her butt.

2.2

2.4

She also talked to you about an incident that happened in her room. She said that he -- she woke up, he was sitting on that stool next to her bed, and she woke up and his penis was in her mouth. She talked about how she had to, more than once, give the defendant oral sex. And she described to you that it was clear pee came out, and it tasted salty. The kid that was sitting there and testifying is a teenager, she knows what that is now. But at the time, she didn't know what that was. She called it clear pee.

And then she also talked about a time in the living room where he made her perform oral sex on her. And this part, you might be asking yourselves, because she describes, we were in the laundry room and then we went out and I was in the living room, and I asked him to stay with me. And he said he

would stay if I did stuff with him that I didn't want to do. And you might be asking yourselves, why would she want him to stay with her after that? I want you to think back to Monica Madigan's testimony, and -- and sometimes in these cases and sometimes with abuse, these kids, they still love the perpetrator, or they still could seek protection from them. She's an eight year old girl or a nine year old girl when this is happening. Right? She might be more scared of the dark than of the defendant.

2.2

2.4

I also, now, want you think about her testimony about how her -- her butt hurt. And she told her mom, but she didn't tell her mom what was happening to her because she was scared. She told -- the defendant had told her not to tell anyone, and she -- she believed that. She didn't tell anyone for a while. So she told her mom her butt hurt, and her mom thought she might have hemorrhoids. So she treated her with Epsom salt. She took bath, but she didn't tell her mom why. You also heard testimony from her mom that corroborated this, and during that time period, her butt was bleeding. Right? Then you also heard from Janet Murphy that anal trauma and anal bleeding can be a sign of anal penetration.

It was hard for LT to tell you about every single time that happened to her because she can't remember them all. They all blend together because

to her, it felt like it happened every day. She said it happened more than five times, more than 10 times, more than 20 times. It spanned from a period of December 2018 to the spring of 2020. So during that time period, all those events happened. And you heard from Monica Madigan that when kids are abused over a longer period of time, sometimes the incidents run together.

2.4

When we picked you for this jury, we asked you if you could believe what one witness's testimony was. And if you believe that one witness's testimony and you believe them beyond a reasonable doubt, you could find the defendant guilty. So the State submits we -- all the elements of the crime do come in through LT. LT testified to everything that happened to her. But you also can rely on some of the other witnesses. Right?

You have Jayda Garrell. LT told her because she trusted her. LT thought of Jayda as an older sister. She trusted her, she took -- (Indiscernible) advice from her. Jayda testified that she was on the phone with her boyfriend and a bunch of people, and LT said, hey, do you do this -- do you kiss your boyfriend? Do you put your -- does your boyfriend put his peepee in your mouth? And Jayda's older. She's 14 at this time, and that set off red flags to her. And she spoke to you about LT's demeanor. How

at first, she kind of didn't know what she was talking about. She didn't know how bad it was. She was just kind of laughing. And then when Jayda was like, this is serious, we have to tell your mom.

2.4

Jayda told you how LT's affect changed. She got really fidgety and nervous, and she started crying.

And you need to remember that. You need to use your common sense and think in the context of a kid responding to this and kind of learning what's happening to them. Jayda at 14 knew it was wrong, and Jayda is the one that told her mom, who told GT.

This isn't a story of LT going to GT and say, hey, mom, this happened to me. No. The defendant told LT not to tell -- not to tell her mother. And so she didn't tell her mother, she told Jayda. And Jayda is the one that actually saved LT from getting this -- this abuse continuing.

Think back to the testimony of Janet Murphy.

She testified that a normal exam is normal. And with these kind of cases, with anal penetration in a child, they don't expect to find findings. They don't expect for trauma to stay, especially with delayed disclosures. And Janet also spoke about the anatomy of the female — anatomy of the body, right?

Not just the female body, anyone. The anus is stretchy, right? You have to excrete stuff out of your anus, so it stretches. And it heals, and it's

vascular. Blood goes to that area, so things heal quickly. So it's normal that there was no trauma found. She did testify, though, that bleeding can be indicative of anal trauma, and you heard from GT that LT was bleeding.

2.4

The defendant also spoke in this case about what happened. And I think, although the audio is horrible, I'm not going to subject you to hearing it again, if you listen to it on the laptop and the judge is going to provide you a transcript, obviously, the way you perceive and hear it is what controls. But I submit if you listen to it, you might -- you -- you can hear it. And I think there are some valuable things to evaluating the case in this interview.

So first, it's important to note the defendant is just talking to the -- police officer.

(Indiscernible) doesn't really know why he's there, he's just talking to them. And then (Indiscernible) of the officers goes, hey I want to talk to you about LT. If you watch that video, watch how the defendant's demeanor changes during this part. He gets stiff. He goes, really? What? What happened to her? And even the officer's like, you're getting kind of defensive. Like, what's going on here? And then he goes, I never expected me to even be questioned about it.

At this point, all that the police have said is, hey, we want to talk to you about what happened to LT. How does he even know what it is? What is he talking about? State submits to you that he knows what it is because, he's been abusing LT for so long. You think about how a reasonable person would react in that situation. A reasonable person might say, oh, what happened to LT? Is she okay? What's going on? But he says, oh, I never expected to be questioned about it. Think about this interview.

2.2

2.4

So the police kind of start to tell him what's -- what he's there for. They kind of ease into it, and the defendant says, they -- the police indicate to him that he's there because they complained that he did something to them. Right? So he goes -- the first thing the defendant says, and if you notice, I'm going to go through a bunch of them, he just kind of keeps ratcheting up the ways that they would know about it.

So he first starts with -- that LT would know about it. So he first starts about -- talking about how we talk to the girls about guys. Right? He goes, I (Indiscernible) talk to them about guys, you know, like boyfriends. I'm like, bro. You have to be careful because, like, you know this is big. They start talking about boys and shit. And the police go, well, what were they saying? He goes, well,

(Indiscernible) boys. Boys are cute, and they want to kiss them and all that stuff. And I'm like, listen. That's not happening in this motherfucking house. I told them about people who could just come and try to touch their butt or something. Or, like, you know, older men -- people in there generally and -- or people generally in their age group. Right?

So he's telling the cops like, oh, LT might know about this kind of stuff -- sexual stuff, because, you know, she's interested in boys, and boys want to kiss her, and I warned them about touching -- them touching her butt. Use your common sense there.

What father type figure is going to talk to a nine year old, about random men touching her butt? Like, where does that come from?

The police go, no. You know, she knows intimate sexual detail. She knows stuff. And he goes -- and the police go how would she have this kind of knowledge? You know, how does she know this stuff? And he goes -- like, the officer goes, I just -- I find it difficult to understand that a nine year old would know this kind of stuff. And he goes, how would they know that if they -- if -- how would she know that if she never experienced it? And he goes, actually, they have before, from GT's ex. Someone kissed her on the mouth, and he's like an older dude, Checco's friend, who you heard was ST's dad, was

getting close to her, just kissing and stuff, you know?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

So at that point, he says, oh. Well, they know about kissing. I mean, first, he says, oh. They like to -- they're thinking about kissing boys. They want to kiss boys. And then he goes to, well, wait. LT was kissed, Checco's friend kissed her. And you heard from LT and GT that that never happened. police keep talking to him, and they say, you know, they find it difficult to understand that the kid would know this kind of stuff. And the police go, you know, it's more than just kissing. They know more than just about kissing. They're saying something happened more than just an inverted touching or something. And that's when the defendant goes, when they first met me, they had a crush on me or something. Keep in mind when he meets these kids, ST is seven -- or six, and LT is eight. It -- it -use your common sense. The -- in what world would a kid have a crush on her mom's boyfriend? It's just weird.

The police are then questioning him further and saying, you know, it -- they know stuff. They're trying -- the police, during this interview, if you listen to it, are trying to get him -- and Detective Wheeler talked about this. They're trying to get him to say what happened, and they keep just giving him a

little bit more details, and he keeps kind of ratcheting up what he says they know, and how they know it. So then he says -- the police officer says, we're saying it's more than that. You know? It's more than just inadvertent touching. And he goes, oh. Well, she said a boy showed her his penis on the bus. And the police go, who's this? He goes LT. You know, a little kid showed them the dick, bro. He's like six years old, multiple times. She's had multiple incidents on the bus, and we had to contact the school and stuff. You heard from JT (sic) and LT that that never happened. So what -- why is he saying that?

2.4

The police then say no. She has specific sexual knowledge. This nine year old girl gave an interview, and she's talking about explicit sexual things. How does she know that? And then the defendant says, like, bro, I had LT literally in the closet peeking to look at me and GT fuck dude. Like, they've seen a lot. I didn't even know they were in that closet; you know what I mean? You heard from GT that her closet was filled with clothes a kid couldn't fit in there, and she testified that this never happened. So why is he telling the police this? What -- what's he trying to prove? And LT said she never hid in the closet either.

Then he says, oh, wait. They know all this

stuff -- LT knows all this stuff because we talk really sexually around her. He says, they overheard us talking about a lot. (Indiscernible) me and my wife, they've heard -- you could ask GT herself, bro, really. We've had many conversations around them where it's disgusting and they've heard it, like super sexual shit, like coming on my face, bro. Like, a lot of this shit they've heard. GT told you should never talk about this stuff around our kids. And these are common sense here. These -- this is a little kid. This is an eight year old. What reasonable person is talking about coming on the face in front of an eight year old -- or a nine year old? It doesn't make any sense.

2.4

You heard -- GT talk about her sex life and how she really had a hard time opening up sexually to the defendant once they started fighting, because of her past, she testified to that. And they didn't really have a sexual relationship. But that's not what the defendant says. The defendant says that they had a really active sex life. And he kind of says this when he's being confronted with the -- the police are saying, well, she disclosed -- LT disclosed specific spots where it happened. And he's saying, oh, my cum would be there because I've had sex with her all over. He -- she said -- he says -- or the detective said, you and GT have good sexual relations? He

says, fuck yeah. Like, she's my bitch and she loves me. We fucked a lot, dude. Do you see her? Id (Indiscernible) now, my guy -- every single day, and I still did recently, bro. So he's saying they have a really sex -- active sex life, and that's why his semen would be all over the house. But you heard from GT that's not true. And does that make any sense to you?

She then -- he then says that he fucked her in the laundry room, the kitchen, the living room, both bathrooms, and the baby's room. You heard from GT that that's not true. They didn't have sex in the baby's room. They didn't have sex in the bathroom, and they certainly didn't have sex in the laundry room. He's just saying that because he knows he had sex with LT in the laundry room, and some of -- and those rooms and in the living room. Then the police are like, well, how would we find semen in the girls' rooms? And you heard from Detective Wheeler that they didn't end the lab. They didn't find semen there. But at that point, they're just trying to get him to talk, which is -- police can do that. They're allowed to do that.

But I want you to think about the defendant's answer here. He says, I would bang -- GT -- Strike that.

I would bang GT and then go into the kids' rooms

2.4

with cum all over my hands, and I would wipe it on blankets. Use your common sense. Does that make any sense? In what world would someone have sex with their significant other and then go tuck their kids in with semen all over their hands? And just have enough semen that it would just be all over the room? It just doesn't make any sense. Nothing he says to the police makes sense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

He also says that he and GT, when he's talking about how they've had sex all over the house, that he had sex with her on an LT's bed. Think about a reasonable person in -- in this situation, and if they would have sex on their child's bed multiple times. And if you watch this interview, I'm not going to play the clip for you because the audio stinks unless you're listening to it up close, but if you watch this interview, he's like, being confronted about sexually assaulting someone. Right? And he's, like, yeah. We had sex. It was more than twice in their kid's bed. Like, are you kidding me? about this. Watch this. I think this is the kicker. I think this is the one time he's telling the truth. The police say, do you think LT would lie about this? And he goes, I don't think she would lie. Watch that interview again when you can actually hear it.

The Judge is going to go over the charges for you in his charging conferences. He's going to tell

you what the State has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt and what the elements are, and that's our burden. We have to prove this case. But at first, I'm going to talk to you about some facts that aren't in dispute, because the Judge is going to give you the elements that you need in order to find the defendant guilty beyond reasonable doubt. And some of the elements aren't in dispute.

2.2

2.4

The other thing that's not in dispute was that LT was born in March of 2011. So that makes her eight and nine years old when this abuse happened. It's not in dispute that the defendant was born in 1993. So he was 26, I believe, when he -- was interviewed by the police in 2020. It's not in dispute that the defendant lived with LT between 2018 and 2020, and it's not in dispute that the defendant had access to LT. He even says so in the video. He says, I would watch them a lot when GT would go out. And he talks about how, yeah, GT would go out with her new girlfriend, and I'll watch the kids.

And you heard from LT, I think -- I think it's going to -- GT, obviously, during COVID -- I think the defense talked about how she worked a little bit. Think about the evidence. LT says that the defendant would watch them when she would go out, when GT would go out. So if she goes out to work, if she goes out to meetings, if she goes out with her girlfriend --

the defendant talks about how she goes out with her girlfriend. So I don't think we need to -- it's just when she went out. When GT went out, the defendant would watch the kids. And GT even said, you know, honestly, it was nice having that relationship with him because I could finally get some freedom. So she liked having this relationship with him.

2.2

2.4

The judge is going to instruct you on something called unanimity. Okay? And he's going to give you an instruction on what he says is binding. But I just want to talk to you a little bit about it. It's a concept that's going to apply to the three counts of sexual assault that the State charged. So the State charged two counts of sexual assault in the first degree and one count of sexual assault in the fourth degree. Okay? And the judge will tell you about that. But let's just say for the first count sexual assault in the first degree, the State alleges that anal penile penetration happened. And what the judge is going to instruct you to do, is that you guys are going to have to agree on one time that it happened. Okay?

So LT talks about it happening in the laundry room, and she talks about it happening in the living room. And she actually testified that it happened so many times that she -- they're all blending together. And you heard from Monica that that's common with

kids that go over a long period of abuse. But you as a jury have to come together and say, okay. We all believe it happened in the laundry room, or we all believe it happened in the living room, or both. But you have to agree on that incident, and that's what unanimity is. And the judge is going to instruct you about that.

I want you to think about what makes sense. You heard multiple witnesses over many days, and LT testified and told you what happened to her. I want you to ask yourselves, is she sophisticated enough as a teenager to come up with this elaborate ruse and make this whole thing up? Does what she says make sense to you and what her mother says make sense to you? Or does what the defendant says make sense to you? And does the defendant's interview make sense to you? Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

2.4

ATTY. BERKE: Good morning. We have no burden to prove that Nick Hall is not guilty. That burden solely -- rests on the State of Connecticut. And as you remember from jury selection some time ago, we may not call any witnesses. We may not question witnesses, and I was just suggesting that to you as a possibility. And, obviously, during the course of this case, that didn't happen. I did question witnesses, and you promised me that you wouldn't hold

it against me or Nick Hall when reaching a conclusion regarding whether he's guilty or not guilty if I ask some really difficult questions of a very uncomfortable topic of the young person. I'm not going to go through, the law -- the judge's charge. There's a lot of time that was put into that. Judge McShane will provide that to you. I would -- ask you to consider credibility instruction of how you -- to consider witnesses in the context of -- of this case, the presumption of innocence, and the burden that the State has.

2.2

2.4

I'm going to run through, a number of facts.

What I say is not binding on your memory, your memory controls. And if there's a time where either the State or I say something that you say, you know, I don't remember it that way, you can ask for it to be played back, and I would encourage you to do that.

And even if it's not something that you disagree with, if it's some other point that we didn't bring up that you think that's important to listen to again, just put it in a note and tell the judge.

You heard Janet Murphy talk about examination of children. And I think a reasonable conclusion you can reach from all of that back and forth about the studies and the results is that the closer in time you are to examining a child from the abuse, the greatest likelihood you have of finding an abnormal

finding. The medical exam ultimately occurred on July 23<sup>rd</sup>, of 2020. You know that it was initially scheduled in June. Ms. Murphy testified that GT canceled the medical exam, GT doesn't recall rescheduling it.

2.1

2.4

If your child is sexually assaulted, wouldn't that be a priority to have them tested? Would it be a priority to have them tested for sexually transmitted diseases? GT testified that she didn't want her eight year old to be retraumatized. Her daughter was nine years old at the time of the exam. After the disclosure, she didn't want to take her daughter to the doctor and waited for the appointment. She didn't want to traumatize her. These are her words. She told Detective Wheeler that she checked LT physically and was not concerned for her safety. I was looking at my eight year old daughter once again, and I didn't want to put her through this more than once.

There was argument that bleeding is consistent with sexual assault. Bleeding is also consistent with having a hemorrhoid. And as you know, GT testified that when -- as a previous time, she had hemorrhoids, and that was because she was dehydrated and not drinking enough water. Janet Murphy did not testify that hemorrhoids are part of the abnormal findings. There was nothing in the exam that

revealed sexual abuse. There was no scarring from long term effects. Janet Murphy did not watch the forensic interview. She relied on summary of allegations, wasn't aware that it occurred more than 50 times. Is it likely that there's not going to be scarring after more than 50 times that you're abused? Ms. Murphy was concerned about the lack of a primary care physician that LT had.

2.1

2.2

2.4

GT stated that it may have been days between LT's disclosure of the abuse and her visit to a doctor. We know that's not accurate. Detective Wheeler advised GT to have LT see a physician or go to the hospital if she felt it was necessary. LT went to one therapy session but didn't know who the provider was. She didn't treat with a pediatric group in Connecticut, she was allegedly treating in New York. If GT took her children to a pediatric practice in 2018 and 2019 for a physical, why was Janet Murphy concerned during the examination that there was no primary care physician for LT?

Monica Vidro testified in regards to delayed disclosure. Timely disclosure, incremental disclosure, disclosure after a week, disclosure after a month, disclosure after a year are all consistent with abuse. You have a point where if at every possible scenario is an exception, how can you possibly have a rule that anything is consistent with

sexual abuse?

2.1

2.4

On May 22<sup>nd</sup>, GT spoke with Officer Fortunato.

It's the first officer she spoke with. She testified at one point, that she gave her the recording -- the audio recording that she made of her daughter after the disclosure. Detective Wheeler was unaware of why the audio wasn't sent before June 1<sup>st</sup>, and the department received the audio tape on June 1<sup>st</sup>.

Later, GT testified that she couldn't send the audio because there was some issue with the email, which was not testified by either Officer Fortunato or Detective Wheeler.

Detective Murray was not responsible for any part of this investigation. His job was solely to bring materials to the lab. And as part of that responsibility, he completed a form called the Request for Analysis, which is an exhibit, defendant's Exhibit C, Town of incident, Trumbull, type of offense, sexual assault, date of incident, May 8th, 2020. He was not part of this investigation. He testified that he received this information from others within his department. He testified that he didn't complete the Connecticut one hundred form that Detective Wheeler testified about.

The Connecticut one hundred form was completed on June  $8^{\rm th}$ , of 2020. Detective Wheeler fought me over the ownership of that form, although his name,

his phone number, his email address, and the fact that that form was sent from his email to the State lab. And additionally, the form was in the case folder at the Trumbull Police Department when he came back to testify. On that form, the day of the incident is May 8<sup>th</sup>, of 2020, and the date of the evidence collection, June 6<sup>th</sup>, of 2020. Detective Wheeler was the lead detective in this case. On that form, he checked off unsure of ejaculation in victim's body, unsure of ejaculation on victim's clothes. After the assault, the -- the items were not laundered.

2.4

You heard a couple of members from the DNA lab.

What can DNA tell you? What can DNA not tell you?

DNA can be used as a tool to identify bodily

materials and attempts to link it to an individual

person, and that link is done by percentages.

However, in this case, there was not sufficient

amount of DNA to link it in the normal path. And

they use something called Y-phylo, which is

specifically designed to identify male DNA. Male DNA

can -- the -- the male identifier -- Y-phylo cannot

differentiate among men.

So, what is the -- what is the limitations of DNA? You can't identify when something was deposited. You can't identify how it was deposited. Was it done directly from the person? Was it done by

a transfer from another object to the object that it's found on? Was it done at a double transfer, which -- expert said it can be accomplished. Now let's talk about the reliability of the results. The reliability included Nicholas Hall on the special Y-phylo DNA, and the results are 1 in 33 of the adult male population in North America. 1 In 8 of the adult male population in North America, and 1 in 4 of the entire male population. So what does that mean? One out of every four men in this room could be included within that sample.

2.1

2.2

2.4

You heard testimony that LT sleeps on top of her comforter every night. Why is her DNA not on her comforter? Why is her DNA not found in any of these samples? There was testimony that I think you would try to be led to believe that extraordinary heat, such as a dryer, would lead to the degradation of a DNA sample. These items were not outside. These items were inside the house. Now aside from something being in a dryer, a couch, I would submit, is not subject to those extreme examples that we're referring to.

You heard testimony that DNA can be retrieved days, weeks, months, decades, and in fact, centuries after. Why was LT's DNA not found inside Lake Avenue House in Trumbull? You heard testimony from forensic biology, and what's the conclusion? No seminal fluid

in any of the testing. No semen in any of the testing. No blood in any of the testing. No fecal matter. The department -- Detective Wheeler, decided that he wasn't going to test all areas of alleged abuse. And as you know, they didn't test the couch, they didn't test the laundry room, they didn't test the floor. There was no financial limitation or time limitation for them to do that.

2.4

Detective Wheeler suggested the reason why he didn't videotape GT is because they were only supposed to have people in the department that were absolutely necessary. Although he had Jayda and Jen Foster come to the department, provide a video statement after questions, and then sign a form saying it was true. He tried to distinguish it further by saying GT did not need to be on video because she was not an eyewitness and had limited information. Was Jen Foster an eyewitness? Was Jayda Garrell an eyewitness? Was the information that GT provided not significant in -- in his investigation of this case?

Despite receiving this case on May  $27^{\rm th}$ , Detective Wheeler didn't take photographs until June  $3^{\rm rd}$ . He did not direct detectives to obtain physical evidence until June  $4^{\rm th}$ . He was armed with the information at the time that police officers went on June  $3^{\rm rd}$  and June  $4^{\rm th}$ , after having heard by Zoom the

forensic interview that the allegations were alleged to have occurred in the living room, on the couch, in the laundry room, in GT's room, in WTH's room, and in ST and LT's room. He defended not searching those areas because he assumed that Nick Hall's DNA would be there. What about his semen? What about his seminal fluid? What about fecal matter? What about blood?

2.2

2.4

daughter about boys, about touching, about sex, despite the fact that she had a child at 16. As a parent, do you think that's reasonable? GT testified that she didn't like doing laundry, and instead she'd buy new bed sets for herself, for LT and ST. She didn't remember the frequency, but it was fairly often. You can only imagine the amount of -- of bed sets that would be. First, she said that the sheets on LT's bed were missing, and then had subsequently corrected that and said the sheets on ST's bed are missing. She told the detective that she hadn't changed the seats since before April 29th, of 2020.

During your testimony that she heard, she has pending felony charges after years of going to court with no expectation of what's going to happen with that case that still remains pending. She testified that she worked at Jo Davi Salon in 2020 for 15 hours the entire year. LT said her mom worked at a salon

in New York at the time of her disclosure. Jen

Foster testified to the contrary, that GT worked for
her from 2017 to 2018. GT stated that Nick watched
the children when she worked from December '18 to

December '19. That she worked about two hours a week
by appointment and only weekends, but not every week.

2.2

2.4

LT testified that for the first time, before she told anyone before coming to this courtroom, that Nick had a flashlight in the laundry room. And the only person that she told before she told you was her attorney, Kelly, the prosecutor.

She testified that Nick Hall asked her to keep this a secret, but Nick Hall never threatened her.

Theres's an allegation that he put his penis in her butt with her sister in the bed, and that she stroked the penis with her sister in the bed, watching that movie in her mother's bed. She testified that she was sleeping in bed, her head was hanging off the side of the bed. Showing you the State's Exhibit 7.

Bed is on the right side of the bed; his chair is in the corner. Do you think it's reasonable that Nick Hall is seated in the chair, and she wakes up with her head hanging off the bed with his penis in her mouth?

These allegations of abuse were alleged to have occurred in excess of 50 times and more than five times in her room. GT testified at one point that

she didn't know if the penis was in her mouth one time or more than one time. After the assault in the laundry room, it was dark. She wanted Nick to be with her and doesn't remember why she wanted to stay in the living room. And then testified that she was scared, but when she was asked why she didn't go to her room, she had no response.

2.2

2.4

According to Jen Foster, Jayda was a big sister to the girls, including LT. Jayda was 14 at the time of the disclosure, LT was nine, and Jen testified that in her opinion, LT looked up to her. You heard testimony that Jayda followed them on TikTok, and they followed her as well. Jen Foster testified during her interview with the police that Jayda knows more than she does because of the Internet and the phones — that kids know everything.

Jen was adamant that the sleepover occurred on a Saturday night because of her work schedule. LT testified in court that after she listened to Jayda talk to her boyfriends and other boys late at night on FaceTime, LT asked Joyda (sic) if her boyfriend makes her do things she doesn't like, like touch his penis. Why is that significant? Makes her do things she doesn't like? It's because that's not what she said. Jayda -- Jayda testified that after that FaceTime, she asked her if Jayda ever kissed her boyfriend on the lips, ever sucked his peepee, but

never asked her if her boyfriend forced her to do anything.

2.1

2.2

2.4

You heard Jayda testify that LT said that she previously told her friends at school, and her friends laughed at her, which LT denied. They both said that at one point LT said it was a joke and a prank and that they were laughing. Jayda said -- and LT denied this, that she tried to stop Nick from sexually assaulting her by scratching his face, and she tried to scratch his eyes. Did you hear any testimony about facial injuries to Nick Hall during the entire period that LT knew him?

After that discussion of the disclosure, they talked about GT's mother's boyfriends. At that point, GT said she was frustrated that she had no control, so she started dating a woman. LT testified that her mom came back inside after hearing from Jen Foster and recorded the conversation they had. And before anything was mentioned about sexual contact, she told her mom, they're all weird words. And then her mother said, use your own words. Why would the words be weird if there was no discussion before the audio recording?

She testified that she remembered telling her mother that she didn't massage Nick. She denied testifying that she asked Jayda to tell things that her boyfriend made Jayda do. She testified that the

only person she told was her mom and Jayda and everyone else found out. She testified that she didn't tell any doctors. And that she never had a conversation with anyone about good touch or bad touch, and that included a doctor, anyone at school, her mother, or Nick. Is that reasonable that a young child would not hear from any one of those people about whether they were touched inappropriately, including the physical examination each year. LT said that she never went to a doctor in Connecticut and that she never told the doctor in New York what happened.

2.1

2.2

2.4

GT and Nick Hall met online around September or October of 2018. He moved in a month later, they got married a month after that. Her family did not come to the wedding because they wouldn't approve of the fast moving relationship. GT became pregnant in January 2019 with WTH. GT found out that he got another woman pregnant, and she didn't want her family to think that she was making yet another mistake. WTH was born September 2019. Fast forward to February 20th, GT found out the defendant was messaging another woman and kicked him out of the house.

After Nick moved out, GT testified that Nick watched the girl five times in 2020, and that in February 2020, he didn't watch the children. GT had enough. She was tired of dating men, having no

control, and met Brooklyn in March of 2020. GT stated that she was 25 years old, she had already made so many mistakes in her life, and she had three little babies looking at her. LT testified during her disclosure that she lives with her mother and her mom's fiancé, Brooklyn, in May of 2020. GT told the officers that Nick had a new girlfriend with young children. She filed for divorce between the time Nick moved out in 2020 and the disclosure in 2020 -- of May.

2.2

2.4

LT testified that she was aware that Nick and GT were getting divorced, and that Nick doesn't live with them because he cheated on her mom. She testified that she found out that Nick was cheating on her mom after disclosure, but Nick had moved out, as you know, months before that. Nick Hall was brought into the police department, placed in a small room, and questioned by two detectives. And that video you will see when you get it in the jury room -- it's about two and a half hours long, and you were told that that interview contained a number of lies. And when asked about the lies that the detectives presented after he had another opportunity to listen to it with earmuffs or headphones, the detective really didn't remember what was a lie.

During that interview, you'll see Nick Hall did not hesitate to talk to them. He volunteered to take a lie detector test. And without hesitation, despite

the fact of lies that they presented to him about DNA that was found, encouraged them and said, I will take a DNA sample. I'd like to highlight certain things of that conversation that the -- he had with the detectives. I'm not intentionally excluding anything. You certainly -- I would encourage you to -- to the extent that you can hear it, but you'll certainly have a transcript of it. And if I can just run through a number of things that were said, both on one hand to build rapport, coupled in with lies of evidence that you know were not true. But ultimately, that's your decision to make.

Detective Wheeler, we're not trying to hide anything. Detective Wheeler, we have nothing to hide, Nicholas Hall, I met GT, I was with her, and I man. actually got a text with GT in the car saying she was pregnant. Detective Wheeler, who was pregnant? Hall, my ex. Detective Wheeler, Nicole? Yes, sir. That was at the time when I first met GT, I was hanging out with her. Nicholas Hall, I didn't know her for that long, we got married and, you know, we're the type of people, like, it didn't matter how long you know someone. Nicholas Hall, because she was basically just hiding a lot of stuff and blaming me for stupid, and I caught her a lot. So when you say hiding stuff, you're saying, like, she's hooking up with other dudes or something or what? Nicholas Hall, I know she did. So

that's why guys are not -- that's why you guys are not together? Nicholas Hall, yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Kyle Levin, it's okay. Nicholas Hall, no. I know. Detective Wheeler, we've all been there. Detective Lavin, yeah. Detective Wheeler, trust me. Nicholas Hall, I know. That's why I'm here. Detective Lavin, see how many guys -- how many exes we have here, man. Detective Wheeler, listen, in this job we gauge people. I think, usually, you know, in the first few minutes we meet them, I could kind of tell you was good news, you know? Detective Lavin, well, it sounds like you grew up on a good family. Detective Lavin, it sounds like you're trying to do the right thing and everything. Detective Lavin, this was a time I used to play like Halo, and I'd always -- Detective Wheeler, like I call -- I play Call of Duty, two o'clock in the Nicholas Hall, I started Halo, actually. morning. Detective Wheeler, and you couldn't play it on PlayStation? You played for money? Nicholas Hall, yeah. Detective Wheeler, I love Call of Duty, dude. I could play it right now.

Detective Lavin, well, you sound like, you know, a pretty normal guy, and you're just trying to -- it sounds like you're trying to get by. Detective Wheeler, do the right thing. Detective Lavin, do the right thing. Detective Wheeler, you are caring. Detective Lavin, you're a good man. Detective Lavin,

no, it's all good. I appreciate you talking with us, you know. It's not -- it's not the end of the world here. And, you know, I think, you know, we could tell you were good dude, you know. I could tell that. Detective Lavin, all right. Well, you know, I appreciate you telling us a lot about your life.

And, you know, you sound like a good dude. You're trying to do the right thing. Detective Lavin, a lot of people look up to you. It sounds like they do.

Detective Lavin, it's just a bump in the road, you know?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

Detective Lavin, yeah. We were hoping you could tell us what happened with LT. Nicholas Hall, I have no idea, to be honest. What happened? Detective Lavin, well, the reason here -- we're here today is we were told there was a little incident with LT. Nicholas Hall, really? Detective Lavin, what if I told you, LT told GT something happened between you guys? Nicholas Hall, to be honest, I'm -- I mean, I don't really know how they would even think, you know, because I lay in bed with them a lot with GT or like in the mornings or just, you know, I wrestle with them a lot. Detective Lavin, there were incidents between you and LT. Nicholas Hall, okay? Nicholas Hall, man, that's crazy. Detective Lavin, why is that crazy? Nicholas Hall, well, first off, they know that I would never do that. Like, GT knows that. Nicholas Hall, I don't really know why they would think that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

Detective Wheeler, we know you've been straightforward so far. Detective Lavin, you've been straightforward this whole time. And, you know, to be honest, I think what was just kind of a mistake. Detective Lavin, I don't know -- I don't know if you were just if -- you would know just showing affection or teach about, you know, sexual acts because you don't come off as a kind of per -- Nicholas Hall, yeah bro. Detective Lavin, I mean, either you are a pedophile, or this is like, no, you did something like -- Detective Lavin, almost like you were teaching. No, I wasn't like teaching part. I was just talking to them, you know. So you mean you're talking about games and touching them on the bed, I mean. Nicholas Hall, well, no. That's -- no. It has nothing to do with that. It basically that -we were doing, like, we would randomly spark up -like, we would be cooking, like, cooking food and shit, you know? Like, I would try and stay on top of just doing things to keep the knowledge of what regular daughters do.

Detective Lavin, I just find it difficult to understand that a nine year old. Nicholas Hall's response, well, me too, to be honest. Nicholas Hall, like someone kissed her on the mouth and he's like

older dude. Detective Lavin, LT told something to a friend who then told the friend, told the mother, then the mother told GT. So I don't know if that jogs your memory at all. Nicholas Hall, I mean, I'm really -- to be honest, I'm super -- super clueless about all of this. I mean, I was just being a dad, you know.

2.4

Detective Wheeler, more than inadvertently, maybe grabbing, touching their leg as you know?

Well, no. Not like that. They would joke with me, and when they first met me, they kind of had a crush on me. So they were like leading on maybe. Nicholas Hall, no. None of that leading on shit. That's -- no. None of that. I'm saying, like, I'm like a friend to them, you know? Detective Wheeler, maybe things just got out of hand a couple of times.

Nicholas Hall, it was not even a single time, you know, because I -- there's nothing that even got out of hand. Detective Wheeler, that was real giving us details about sex shit -- sexual shit. Nicholas Hall, this really blows my fucking mind.

Detective Wheeler, did you ever get sexual with them a little bit? Nicholas Hall, I would never get sexual with my daughters, bro. Detective Wheeler, not even on accident? Nicholas Hall, I would never get sexual with my daughters in my entire life. I'd be with GT, bro. Nicholas Hall, I wrestle with them

a lot. Like -- I didn't -- I didn't care, you know.

I was a rowdy dude with them, they love me.

Detective Lavin, yeah, and that's being called a good dad. Detective Wheeler, dude, I honestly think we all mess up from time to time. Nicholas Hall, truly bro. Detective Wheeler, I think you might have messed up. I would've -- I would -- Nicholas Hall, I would never mess up in something like this man.

Detective Lavin, dude, we do this every day. If you're -- if you're wrestling your kid and you pick them up and you actually touch her vagina -- Nicholas Hall, no -- no. Detective Lavin, you know, and I think maybe you just fucked up a little bit because I don't think you're a bad dude. Nicholas Hall, yeah,

no. Obviously, I'd never do anything.

2.4

Detective Wheeler, and dude, you've been honest so far. And honestly, dude, I think you just fucked up a couple of times. I don't think you're, like, a bad dude at all. Nicholas Hall, no. I hear you.

Detective Lavin, look, man. What if I told you sitting on the edge of the bed and doing -
masturbating, you know, a sexual act? Detective

Wheeler, with your penis out. Detective Lavin, yeah.

Detective Wheeler, ejaculation. Nicholas Hall, it says the name LT. So, you know, a little kid showed up, show them the dick, bro. He's like six years old -- multiple times. You know, she had multiple

incidents on the bus where it's like, you know, shit
like that -- dudes and stuff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Kyle Lavin, you know, I just -- I'm sitting here. I think maybe you just fucked up once or twice, and you're a good dude. Like, I don't -- you know, we all feel like this from time to time. Nicholas Hall, I mean -- like, I know a lot of children their age. I know a lot of knowledge about that, bro. Detective Wheeler, sometimes in life, we fuck up a little bit. Nicholas Hall, absolutely. Everywhere, really. Nicholas -- Detective Wheeler, you know, unless this is not the biggest deal. situation is -- Nicholas Hall, the situation is a very big deal to me. I take it very serious, man. Especially when someone says I try to touch someone, you know. And I'm a good person, and I don't do that. Detective Lavin, that's what I was saying before. You know what I mean? You're not a pedophile. Nicholas Hall, yeah. Detective Lavin, like, either you're a pedophile or you just fucked up and you're like -- you know, something happened.

Detective Wheeler, even though you might feel uncomfortable saying, I did it, or just saying, hey, I fucked up a little bit, I'm not like that kind of dude. Like, being honest helps you. Nicholas Hall, absolutely. Detective Wheeler, obviously, with our investigation, we have a lot -- a lot of stuff that

goes on behind us. Nicholas Hall, uh-huh, of course.

Detective Wheeler, and that's why we keep asking you the same thing, the same thing. Nicholas Hall, situation right now, man, like, I don't really know what else to say. I would never hurt my daughters, bro. I never have. I never would. Detective Wheeler, I think you might have fucked up once or twice. Detective Wheeler, did you ever ejaculate on -- Nicholas Hall interrupts him. I (Indiscernible) no. That's fucking unbelievable. Shocking.

Near them? Nicholas Hall, (Indiscernible)
anywhere around them, bro. You shouldn't even be
ejaculating around them man, but I ejaculated in my
wife. Detective Wheeler, how would they know about
anal? Nicholas Hall, anal? Uh-huh. I have no clue.
Detective Lavin, I just don't understand why LT would
say you had or was it LT? Detective Wheeler, LT.
Nicholas Hall, LT. Detective Lavin, you had sex?
Nicholas Hall, I've never had sex with a child or
anything in my life -- ever in my entire life. I
don't -- I don't -- I don't have an interest for
that. I don't. I like women, bro, not children.
Women.

Detective Lavin, considering we have a whole bunch of stuff, would you be willing to give your DNA? Nicholas Hall, I mean, yeah. Of course.

Detective Lavin, yeah. Nicholas Hall, I'll give you

2.4

my DNA, yeah. I don't know why. Yeah, of course. Ι mean, would you get my DNA from that stuff -- be found anyway. Detective Lavin, well, here's the thing. I mean, we looked at the rest of the house. Detective Wheeler, I mean, you got to understand we have tools for that. Nicholas Hall, I know. Detective Lavin, black light, like the stuff you see Nicholas Hall, yeah, I know. Detective on TV. Lavin, and the only place where it was, was where LT said the incident happened. Nicholas Hall, how is that possible? Detective Wheeler, we don't know. -- Nicholas Hall, so only cum showed up there? Detective Wheeler, yeah, saying where it happened. Nicholas Hall, that blows my mind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

Detective Lavin, I said it just doesn't limit it to sheets. Kids are wearing clothes, kids are wearing underwear, kids are wearing shirts. Right?

And not just limiting it to cum on the sheets.

Nicholas Hall, so I can't believe that. I never had -- I never even stuck my penis inside of them, bro.

I've never done that. I don't do that. I don't need to do that. Detective Wheeler, so you're taking your penis out in front of them? Nicholas Hall, I've never taken my penis out in front of them. Ever -- on purpose, ever. I know they've seen it though because of just stupid mistakes, bro.

Detective Wheeler, and were not just talking

about the sheets, but we have other stuff that is,
you know -- your cum where it shouldn't be, you know,
and other -- you know. Detective Lavin, doctor's
information. Nicholas Hall, where was my cum?

Detective Wheeler, any -- and other kind -- all kinds
of other stuff that shows, you know, what they're
saying is pretty realistic. Especially for a guy
that just didn't even know about that kind of stuff.

Nicholas Hall, I'm telling you, they're very smart.

I've been exposed to many different things.

2.2

2.4

Detective Wheeler, to be honest with you, I
think you're a really good dude, and I think you
probably fucked up a couple of times. It's not a big
deal. Detective Wheeler, I think fuck ups happen and
it's not the end of the world. Nicholas Hall, you
know, I have no sexual intention or drive for that or
what -- I have no reason. Detective Wheeler, I get
what you're saying. Nicholas Hall, I never stuck my
dick in them. I've never touched them. I've never
ejaculated. Detective Wheeler, so you're saying you
never touched their vaginas? I've never touched
their vaginas. You never touched their butthole?
Stupid shit like that. What you're saying -- what
I'm saying is stupid shit like that. We're doing
something in wrestling.

Detective Wheeler, you were just saying you never touched LT's vagina? Nicholas Hall, yeah.

never. Never stuck your dick in her vagina? I would never stick my dick in her vagina. Detective

Wheeler, you never stuck your dick in -- dick in her ass? Never in my life. Detective Wheeler, you've never ejaculated -- never ejaculated on her, inside of her? Detective Wheeler, inside of her, ever ? Or her ass? Detective Wheeler, you never ejaculated?

Nicholas Hall, ever on her ass, never ejaculated on her ass.

2.4

Detective Wheeler, you never ejaculated in her mouth? Never in her mouth, never her butt, never anything. Her pajamas? Nicholas Hall, her pajamas, nothing. Her underwear? I have no reason to cum on my daughter's underwear, ever. The only reason to cum would be on the -- on my daughter is if I bang my wife and I would come around and be on the sheet.

Detective Lavin, so then why would we find on the bed in the spot where we're saying the incident happened with the sheets, if the sheets have been washed since the last time you had sex in the room? Nicholas Hall, because I had sex with GT a lot outside of the room. Detective Lavin, okay. We're not talking about a small little coin sized spot. We're talking about a big spot, in multiple areas.

Detective Wheeler, all right. So, being said, you're cool giving us the DNA sample or something.

Right? Nicholas Hall, yeah. I don't care. Like I

said, I know my shit would be there. Detective

Wheeler, so the just -- so -- just so we could say,

okay. This is Nick, this LT's evidence, this is GT's

-- you know what I mean?

THE COURT: I -- I'm -- I'm just going to interrupt you a second. So each time the name should be stricken out --

ATTY. BERKE: I'm sorry.

2.4

THE COURT: -- along with, GT and LT. Thank you.

ATTY. BERKE: I apologize. Nicholas Hall, I'm being charged with sexual assault? Detective Lavin. Yeah. Detective Lavin, you're going to be charged with sexual assault to the -- the kid based upon what you told -- Detective Wheeler, you know, it's based upon everything. It's based upon what we have. It's based upon why we sat you down here. Nichols Hall, but to be real as fuck, it's a big mistake. I wouldn't -- like, I know I didn't do anything. Detective Wheeler, I'm talking about normal dad life, we're talking about your penis in LT's ass. Nicholas Hall, I've never. And her mouth? Like, I will take a polygraph test saying I've never had -- I never put my penis inside of her.

Detective Lavin, okay. Unfortunately, we don't do polygraphs. You know, I wish we did. Detective Wheeler, that's just in the movies. Detective Lavin,

you're not the typical person that we talk about this stuff. You know what I mean? I talk to you about, you know, your dreams, your ambitions, you know, you playing video games, all that stuff, you know. You just see some of the dirtbags that we bring in here. I'm not saying you are. I'm saying -- Nicholas Hall, no. I get it. Detective Lavin, you don't fit that. Detective Wheeler, you're not that person. Nicholas Hall, it's a mistake, bro.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Detective Wheeler, what about your cum? Just how did that end up inside of her? Nicholas Hall, I never come inside of my daughter. I would never come inside of her. I've never done that. This is not I -- when it comes to this type of stuff, dude, me. I know too many people as it is that have dealt with this shit, bro. Like, I hear too many stories. You know? I'm not trying to have sex with children. I don't -- I never put my -- I've never had any experience like that. Nicholas Hall, I'm saying, I don't purposely go out and try and fuck with kids. It's not me. I don't do that. I have never been inside my daughter once, bro. Never have, man, I don't need that. Never stuck my penis inside any underage holes, man. I don't do that. I don't need that, dude, That's why I'm shocked. Like, it sucks, dude, being in this position -- being put this way. Like, put me in jail for years for something I've

done, dude. This is -- I don't -- I don't know.

I don't have -- I've never had my dick inside OF LT, it blows my fucking mind.

THE COURT: Stricken again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

ATTY. BERKE: I'm sorry. Detective Wheeler is saying, it happened, we're saying, well, maybe you fucked up and you don't remember. Nicholas Hall, no. I've never had sex with my daughters in my life. Never have, never will. And I would intend to premeditate it. My dick has never been inside any of them -- in any other child in my life. I mean, you would completely drop if what you were thinking, as far as you know. You know what I mean? Like, everything will be so different if you were --Detective Wheeler, yeah. It's not me, bro. We're not here to say you -- we're not here to make you say something that didn't happen. I -- Nicholas Hall, I know that. Nicholas Hall, I would never have sex with LT, dude. I've never had sex with LT, I've never had sex with LT. I've never had my penis inside of them. I had no pleasure in that, man. I've never done that, never have, never will.

Detective Wheeler, well, thankfully, in America, you know, you're innocent until proven guilty.

Detective Wheeler, we live in America where you know you have -- you know, due process. Detective Lavin, you know, basically, you're saying nothing happened,

and then we're going to put that on paper.

2.1

2.4

Nicholas Hall faced two and a half hours of questioning by two detectives. He was lied to about the evidence, he was lied to during the rapport building, and what was his response? I never put my penis in her mouth. I never put my penis in her butt.

During jury selection, you were each asked a version of this question. Despite the charges that Nicholas Hall faces, despite the horrible information that you heard, if the State was unable to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, you would have no hesitation coming into court and rendering a verdict of not guilty. The State has not met its burden of proof. The forensic experts found nothing. The DNA had no link between Nicholas Hall and LT. The inconsistencies, the questions that are left — ultimately, that's for you to decide. If I made any statement that you think it differs from your memory, please check me, listen to the transcript. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. -- again, we're going to strike the names that -- that were provided. Ladies and gentlemen, I don't fault Counsel. We have numerous transcripts that we all look through to redact out the names. In fact, you're going to get one with redactions on it. It's just that, Counsel,

I'm sure, was not working from the last -- the -- the last redaction that had the names out, but that -- that's what happens.

2.4

I -- you can't see up here, it's an absolute mess of -- of all the transcripts I have, and all the different versions because just when you think you have it with all -- everything out that you want out, you -- you find something else. And I'm -- and I'm going to give you my charge soon, and I'm going to find typos in there that we don't have.

So, I -- I don't fault Counsel that happened,
but the -- but the names are stricken. I just want
to tell you why. Same reasons why in the very
beginning, we gave you the names on a piece of paper,
because we just don't -- it's a law. We just don't
use names in the Courtroom. Thank you. And -- and
I'm sorry, Counsel. You may proceed.

ATTY. PALERMO: Thank you, Your Honor. Good -- it's still morning.

THE COURT: Still morning.

ATTY. PALERMO: Why, why, why would LT ever make this up? Unless she experienced it, unless she went through it. She was eight and nine years old. How does an eight and nine year old know about anal sex? Are you going to -- is it the suggestion that maybe her mom told her about it? Maybe GT said, oh, make this all up, LT. Because -- why? Because -- why?

Why would even GT say make it up? Why would a mom tell her child, oh, tell your friend Jayda when we go there this weekend that, the defendant, Nick, did this to me. Yeah, and I want you to tell Jayda exactly this, that he put his peepee in my mouth, that he put his peepee inside me.

2.4

You think -- you saw -- you saw GT. Do you think she loves her daughter? Do you think she would put her daughter up to something and say, yeah, let's set this whole thing up. For what reason? They were getting along at this point. The defendant was out of the house. Their relationship, GT's and the defendant's, were better than when they were married. He was watching the girls while she went out, whether she was -- visiting Brooklyn, whether she was going on some appointments for work, they were getting along at this point. For what reason would she say, hey LT, I want you to say this, and let's get Nick in trouble. Does it make any sense?

How would LT know about all of this stuff? How would she know about anal sex? How would she know about putting peepees in -- in her mouth? Or touching a penis, that it felt hairy? That when he put his penis in her mouth, it was salty. You think her mother instructed her, well, now listen. You know, this is what it tastes like when someone, you know, ejaculates into my -- into a person's mouth.

It tastes salty and it's hairy.

2.4

Think about that. These are sensory perceptions. What LT experienced, explaining it the best she could. She's a little kid. She's a little kid. Are we telling our eight and nine year old souls all about sex? Do you think a mom -- as a mom of a -- of an eight and nine year old is going to say, okay. Now I would like to instruct you on what sex is, and I want to tell you all about the positions of sex. And I want you to -- I -- I want you to know about ejaculation, I want you to know about penis, I want you to know about all of this stuff, just in case something happens to you. Well, Counsel, (Indiscernible) -- you know.

GT had a child at 16. Well, wouldn't you think maybe GT would like to protect her daughter LT from this knowledge as much as possible? Keep her as innocent for as long as possible? Would that -- isn't that what a good mom would do? Or are we going to subject our child and tell her all about sex? Why? For what purpose would that -- what purpose would that serve? Why would you do that? Do -- do we get into great details with our young, young children?

Let's talk about the interview of the defendant.

Yes. Denied, denied, denied it for -
throughout the entire interview. But let's think

about what he did say in the interview. He never once -- once said that GT put LT up to this. He never once said anything about GT, that this could in any way be GT's fault that this thing happened. In fact, he said, GT is a great mom. He's happy he -- she's the mother of his daughter, WHT. He loves her to death. This is in his interview. He doesn't regret marrying her. He said, up until about a month before this interview, they were -- he and GT were -- were getting -- were really close. Well, let's -- and then something happened.

2.2

2.4

He said they were getting along better than when they were living in the house together. He said he was babysitting the girls during this time when GT went out. But one month prior to that interview, he said that GT -- GT started texting him and was kind of getting hostile. Well, what happened one month before this interview? GT found out from LT that he was sexually assaulting her daughter. So, yeah, maybe she might get a little hostile. But remember, the police are -- investigating during this one -- month -- one month.

So she's -- and he doesn't know this investigation is going on. But he says up -- in his interview, yeah, we're getting along. We're great. I help her out. We're close. Never once does he suggest that GT put LT up to this. And then when

he's asked about LT, he says LT is not a liar. LT is not a liar. I don't think LT would lie about what she said. Then he says, children act happy and shit, They don't say nothing because they don't want to, like, oh, upset you or, like, they almost avoid negativity. Yeah. LT acts happy, pretends everything's going well. Why? Because they're -she's trying to avoid negativity. When she gets the chance, she tells Jayda, someone she trusts. She doesn't tell her mom because he told her not to tell It's a secret. So, who does he tell someone mom. that she feels that she can open up to that will trust to keep the secret? Fortunately, Jayda did not keep the secret. Jayda basically told her mom, hey, This is going on. And what does Jen Foster listen. She calls up GT and tells her what's going on. And LT heard -- overheard that conversation where Jen called the mom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

You heard Jen Foster tell you when she testified, that GT was extremely upset when she told her what was going on; very upset, had to compose herself. GT told you she was extremely upset. This was a shock, a surprise. This wasn't something that she put her up to, this was like, holy cow. What is going on? What is going on? LT told you these incidents of sexual assault occurred in a couple of rooms: the laundry room.

The laundry room -- there's a diagram here. The laundry room is the furthest room away from GT's bedroom. There's a door on that to the laundry room. These incidents occurred at night in the laundry room. GT is sleeping. Her bedroom is as far away from that laundry room as possible. There's -- LT told you those incidents happened on multiple times where he put his penis in her anus multiple times at night while GT is sleeping. There's a door, the lights were off. She also told you that one time it happened when she was in her mother's room watching Mamma Mia! In his interview, the defendant tells you, yeah. We used to watch -- we used to watch movies together. Yep. We -- we did that.

She said a lot of these things start blending together because there were a lot of incidents, and it was over five years ago. But she was pretty clear to you, this is what he did to me. He put his penis in my butt, he put his penis in my mouth. He made me touch him; I know what his penis feels like.

There were plenty of opportunities when the defendant was alone with GT. When -- at night, when the -- when GT was -- LT, when GT was sleeping, when GT went out with her girlfriend -- when she did things, there were plenty of opportunities for this stuff to occur, and GT would not know about it.

Attorney Davis kind of -- suggested in the

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

beginning, and talked to you, what was -- what was the defendant's original reaction when he was confronted with this? I never expected to even be questioned about it. What is it? What is it? At that point, the police had not yet told him the reason why they wanted to talk to him. He knew what the reason was because he was involved in it. the police said to him -- asked him, what if LT told GT something happened between you guys? (Indiscernible) his -- response was, and you can read He did not even ask the police what did LT tell His response was, I lay in bed with them a lot, I wrestle with them a lot. They haven't even told him why he was there -- why they weren't questioning him. And his first response is, I lay in bed with them a lot. How did he know they were going to be talking about what he did in bed with LT?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

He indicated in his interview, he would -- he would let LT lay on top of him, he would let LT massage his arms and shit. What does that mean? What's the -- other stuff -- what's the shit? He slept in bed with LT. How many people do you know sleep in bed -- would fathers sleep in bed with their girls? How many -- how many people? This isn't even his daughter; this is his stepdaughter. How many fathers sleep in bed, a lot, with their girls? Then he says, oh, LT saw his penis because of stupid

mistakes he made. And you can go through this whole interview. Like, how -- how often did she see your penis? Oh, it was by mistake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

How would she know about this stuff? He has every excuse in the world as to how LT would know about anal sex and all of these things she said happened. He said, sometimes when I rest with her, sometimes his hand may -- would maybe touch her leg. Maybe he didn't know where his hands were all the time, he rubbed her the wrong way, but he doesn't know how he rubbed her the wrong way. But she's not a liar.

Then he goes on to say, well, you know, the detectives say, how could a girl this age, eight -nine years old, how would she ever know this information? Oh, she's not stupid -- that's what he said. She's not -- stupid. First, he goes on. had a crush on him, she learned it from her -- her friend -- her -- her eight and nine year old friend she learned this from. And then he said, oh, no, no, I taught her -- GT and I taught her. Her mom and I taught her about this. We taught her about sexual knowledge. Oh, you know, he has a -- he said, oh, I have a judge friend and random friends who talk to their kids about sex. It's normal. It's normal to talk to your nine year old girl daughter about anal sex, ejaculation, fellatio -- that's normal. Не said he tried to make sure she had right information about sexual knowledge, like a dad would do. Is that what a dad would do to their nine year old? He said -- he -- he said, I am an enthusiast to talking about children about sex. He used the words he is an enthusiast. Why is that? Really?

2.4

He said, he would tell her -- about people that would come and try to touch her butt -- from older men to people her age. Why would he single out telling her about people touching her butt? He said in his -- interview, he told her there are things that men have that they want to put inside of you. Is that what you tell your eight and nine year old? Really? So that's how she knew because he told her old men want to put their things inside of you.

And I told my -- you know, my eight and nine year old stepdaughter, yeah. I told her you have to avoid these situations, girl. You have to avoid it. How -- how is an eight and eight and nine year old supposed to avoid situations? What is he talking about? He was being a good dad he said, educating them about the facts of life. That was his way of showing love. So he made every excuse in the book as to why LT would have this knowledge, except the fact of what she said he -- she had this knowledge because he did these things to her. This was knowledge by

experience, not knowledge by the defendant telling her about it. Not knowledge about her mom telling her about it.

2.2

2.4

He said he would talk dirty to GT in front of them, (Indiscernible). Does that -- does that make sense? You think -- think GT talked dirty in front of the girls? Does that make sense to you? He saw them having sex, he said. Really? Did GT say that they saw -- the girls saw them having sex? No. Did LT say they -- that? No. None of this. GT denied ever having conversations with LT about sex.

So let's talk about the DNA. What did that show? It showed that on three stains that were collected from LT's bed, the comforter — one on the comforter and two on the sheet, that the DNA from those stains were consistent with Nicholas Hall or another member of the — of the same male lineage being the source of the Y-Phylo plus DNA profile. In other words, the stain matched his profile or someone in his male lineage. Well, there's evidence that no one from the Hall family was sleeping in LT's bed — except the defendant. There's no evidence that any male in the general — general population came into LT's bed except Nick Hall. So how did his DNA get on those sheets? What was he doing in there?

Well, he was doing what LT said he was doing. That's why his DNA profile is in there. No one

else's male DNA profile is in there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

Detective Wheeler, when he found out -- now there's some question, well -- well, he should have collected everything. The -- you know, this investigation wasn't thorough because he should have collected DNA from every single room in that house. Really? Nick Hall told him, you know, you're going to find my DNA everywhere because I basically, you know, had -- ejaculated all over in every room with my wife. So, go ahead, yeah. I'm willing to give up Why? Because you're going to find my DNA. my DNA. Of course, they're going to find his DNA. He lived in the house for over a year. He stayed overnight there. He visited -- of course, they're going to find his DNA in every room, and they're going to find that everyone that lived there's DNA in the room.

But why did they take the bedding that day on the fourth? Because GT had called and told the detective, listen. I have more information. I had another conversation with LT, I have a video of what she said. As soon as Wheeler heard that, he sent his detectives out there with a -- an alternate light source, they saw staining, he collected the bedding, and it was sent to the lab immediately. He was prompt. He didn't sit on that; he was on it. He didn't take DNA or any samples from any other room because, one, the defendant said, you're going to

1 find my DNA. you're going to find my sperm. Yeah. 2 Everywhere. Everywhere. So why would he do that? 3 He also didn't take it because GT told him any 4 clothing or bedding was either washed and -- or the 5 bedding was replaced. So there was no reason to take 6 anything earlier. He took it as soon as he found out 7 that there was new information that potentially could 8 be helpful. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm -- I'm just going to ask 10 you stop for just a minute. We're going to stop the time. One of the jurors asked for a break. That's 11 12 all. 13 ATTY. PALERMO: Oh. I'm sorry. 14 THE COURT: So, if -- if you could please just 15 -- just go in -- go in -- all -- all of you as a 16 group, and we'll come out. And it's -- I -- I 17 apologize, Counsel. 18 (Jury Panel exits the Courtroom.) 19 THE COURT: Now what are we going to do about 20 switching you out? Because I'm going to go over --2.1 I'm going to go over 1:15. 2.2 THE MONITOR: (Indiscernible) 23 THE COURT: Should I have someone else come in? 2.4 THE MONITOR: Yes. (Indiscernible) 25 THE COURT: Okay. 26 THE MONITOR: (Indiscernible) 27 THE COURT: Do you want to do it before I start?

1 If you're going to go straight through --2 THE COURT: I'm going to go straight through, 3 yeah. Yep. So right after this, we'll take a 4 fifteen minute break. Are we off the record right 5 now? 6 THE MONITOR: No. 7 THE COURT: Oh, okay. We could go off the 8 record. 9 (Court in Recess.) 10 THE COURT: And I'm going to tell them we're going to take a short break -- a ten minute break, 11 12 while we switch out Monitors. Bring them out, 13 Marshal. 14 (Jury Panel enters the Courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Marshall. Counsel 16 stipulate to the presence of all jurors and the 17 alternates? 18 ATTY. PALERMO: Yes, Your Honor. 19 ATTY. BERKE: Defense stipulates, sir. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed. 21 ATTY. PALERMO: Thank you, Your Honor. So I 22 think I was talking about Detective Wheeler. As soon 23 as he got a call from GT on June 4th, 2020 about some 2.4 new information, he immediately sent other detectives 25 out there, take photos, use a light source, gather 26 any -- gather any evidence you can, and they did. 27 They did their job. And that -- those beddings,

those three items, the comforter, the mattress cover, and the sheet went to the lab -- State lab the next day immediately. But Detective Wheeler wasn't the one that took them (Indiscernible). He wasn't the evidence officer. That was Detective Murray, who had absolutely no involvement in this case except taking this evidence up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

So when you look at some of these sheets that defense counsel put into evidence, they're going to have Murray's name on it. He doesn't know anything about the case. The dates are all messed up. doesn't know when these incidents occurred. doesn't know anything about the case. He doesn't know that it's delayed disclosure. He doesn't know anything about the -- this CT 100 kit, except he didn't do it -- I didn't fill this in with this information, but he doesn't know who did. He's only seen this one CT 100 kit form two times in his entire life. Detective Wheeler has never seen it. He -he's not the evidence officer, and Wheeler said, I don't remember ever filling this out. And you know what? Who cares who filled it out? That's kind of the bottom line. Who cares who filled it out and if the date is wrong? Because you heard testimony from Wheeler,

You heard testimony from Fortunato, you heard testimony from GT, LT, this was -- the complaint came

in on May 22nd, 2020. Fortunato took the complaint, it was a walk-in, GT. She talked to GT, she took a statement from GT, and that case was forwarded to the detective bureau who had experienced in sexual assault. Memorial Day weekend, Detective Wheeler gets it -- the case -- on the 26th. On the 27th, he -- starts his investigation, he calls GT, and he documents everything. He did six police reports out of 17 reports he testified, that were in this case. He documented every phone call. He documented looking at -- hearing the audio tape and what was in He documented watching the -- the video that GT said provided new information. He documented everything. He did -- documented the (Indiscernible), he documented looking at the forensic interview. He did a thorough investigation. When information was given that potentially there might be something on this -- in this room on the -on the bedding, he went, and he sent his people out there and he got it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

He didn't get DNA from every single room because it made no sense. And remember, he lived there, they all lived there. And remember what the experts testified to; semen, DNA on clothing can degrade under certain circumstances. What? Heat. Heat like what? How about, like, washing pajamas? Heat -- how about washing bedding? How about putting it in a

dryer? Water -- heat and water, heat in a dryer, the detergent -- so, no, there was no seminal fluid -- no semen found on those items. But remember, those items, according to GT, the bedding that was on those beds were washed numerous times, and she also changed out the bedding. So remember this disclosure, it --

2.2

2.4

LT talked about a series of events. This wasn't one night where something happened and -- and they could have grabbed the item. That's not what this was. This is a delayed disclosure case. This is a case where multiple incidents happened over a long period of time, and you heard Monica -- Monica Madigan, expert child sexual assault, does thousands of interviews of kids that -- where sexual assault is alleged, delayed disclosure -- not reporting immediately is very, very common. It's one of the common things in child sexual assault cases.

And the other common thing is usually the perpetrator is someone they know, a family member. Secrecy. Keep it a secret. Why? Because one, they could be afraid, they can be embarrassed, they be --could be told not to do it -- LT told you she didn't want to tell because she didn't want to -- she didn't want him doing it to her sister. All common characteristics, and there's no one way a child responds to this. Does a child have to be hysterical? Does a child have to be stoic? Each kid

is different.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

Janet Murphy told you she's done 5,000 medical exams regarding children where there's an issue of sexual abuse -- sexual abuse allegations. Most of those exams are normal. Normal findings, but normal findings doesn't mean it didn't happen, it just means there's no findings -- there's no injury. And that is normal in these cases because in a penile-anal -penile-anal penetration case, and an oral-penile penetration case, you know, you're not going to see injuries. And if you do, they're going to be immediate. If it happened yesterday, yes, you want to examine that child because you might see something. But the area of the anus is an area that basically is expected to expand/contract -- that's what it's there for, it's very vascular. You're not going to see these injuries. So no -- so a normal exam is normal in this -- in these kind of cases. You don't expect to see injury.

There's a few things -- few things counsel said that I would take issue with. I do not remember

Jennifer and -- Foster saying she was -- that she was adamant that this -- when -- when the kids came, they came on a Saturday because she worked. I don't recall her being adamant about any kind of dates at all. I think she said, and it's your recollection, she wasn't sure what date they came. Whether it was

a Saturday, a Friday, a Thursday, a Wednesday -- does it really matter what day they came? Think about that. Who cares? It was in the middle of COVID.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

What matters is, LT and her sisters were dropped off at their house in Poughkeepsie to be babysat. And while they were there, LT had a conversation with JT, and she finally disclosed to somebody this is what is happening. And as soon as those girls left, Jayda told her mom. And as soon as she heard that, she called GT. And, Jennifer Foster said, GT didn't -- she didn't answer me that day. She had to call her the next day because, you know, they don't always -- you know, they're not constantly on the phone with each other. And when she did tell GT what happened, GT was extremely upset. Extremely upset. These are -- this is a delayed disclosure case. Whether GT brought LT to get that medical exam the day she found out about it or a month later or two months later -you heard Janet Murphy. It will -- it doesn't matter. It -- it's a short window of time where you're going to see an injury. That wasn't this case. These events happened prior.

GT is a bad mom because she doesn't want to subject her daughter to a medical exam immediately after she finds out about this? She know what was coming. She knew that the -- the family -- for family justice -- the Center for Family Justice was

going to contact her, and she agreed to have her child examined. Examined where you're in a room with strangers, putting your legs up, having your anus examined -- who -- what mom really wants to put her kid through that? And she also told you, yeah. I took that teach to therapy one time, and -- and -- and it was bad. She didn't want to do it. I'm going to wait until she's older. Therapy isn't going to be about this case. Therapy is about when she's older and she can deal with this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

But GT is trying I -- I submit, trying to protect her daughter as much as she can. And whether she made great decisions about the man she let in her life? Well, that's a different story. But you heard She was happy there was a man in her life, a father to her children. The kids -- the kids liked him in the beginning, he was a father figure, he was good to our mom. Everyone was happy until they weren't. Until things started going really downhill, until the marriage started not working. But after he left, it got better, and they were friends. were friends. And that's why he was surprised when, about a month into -- right before the interview, she wasn't so friendly to him on the phone or in his text messages. Well, sure. Sure, she's not going to be too friendly when she finds out he's doing this stuff to her daughter. But up until that point, they were

friends. They had a good relationship. She was allowing him to watch the kids when she saw her girlfriend, and they were okay with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

Jennifer Foster testified, oh, yeah. You know, kids know everything. She didn't say nine year olds know everything. I specifically asked her, when you say kids know everything, you know, they have their phones, they know everything. Are you talking about nine year old LT? No. No, no, no. I'm talking about teenagers, like her daughter, know everything. There's no evidence that Jayda, at least from what I recall, Jayda wasn't laughing when she heard what LT had to tell her. Jayda took this very, very seriously. LT told you, yeah, she -- she kind of laughed and giggled. She -- she testified, LT, that that happens sometimes when she's nervous. She didn't want to tell her this, but she -- but she did want to tell her it. She didn't, but she did because then it stopped. It didn't happen after this. Once she told, it was over.

Weird words. LT used her own words when she told her mom what happened, not anybody else's words. Yeah, do you think it's weird words for a -- an eight year old to talk about a peepee in a butt? Those are weird words; do we talk about those? LT never told her doctors during her exams, that's -- I think, what Counsel was getting at. Yeah, LT didn't tell her

doctors during the -- medical exam because it was a secret. It was a secret. Don't tell anybody, and she did it. She didn't tell anyone. She didn't even tell her mom. There was no evidence of scratches on -- on the defendant, no. There was no testimony that there -- there was no testimony that there weren't scratches on him. There -- that question wasn't asked.

2.4

What would nine year old LT have to gain by making this up? What would 13 year old LT have to gain by making this up? For what purpose? To get on the stand and talk about things that happened -- intimate things to her body? What reason would she get on that stand and tell you those things if she didn't experience them -- if she did not experience those instances of sexual abuse?

Everyone's talking about use your common sense.

All I'm going to say is the same thing, use your common sense. Do nine year olds know about this? Do their parents tell them about it? Why would after five years, she perpetrates this big lie? Does that make sense at all? Does it make sense at all? Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a break and -- and here's why.

I'm sorry. Let me make sure I turn this off, so it doesn't beep. Here's why. When -- actually, when I

give the charge, the Marshals lock the back of the Court. The -- the public isn't allowed to come in and come out, the focus is supposed to be on the charge itself. So, I'm going to give time for whoever doesn't want to be here for the charge -- and look, it's long. I -- I -- I know it. I -- I can't shorten it up that -- that -- what it is, is what it is, as my kids say. So, it's going to take a while. So, take a break -- I wish I could say, come in here, have some

So, it's going to take a while. So, take a break -- I wish I could say, come in here, have some popcorn, sit down, and watch the show. But I don't know where you'd get popcorn, and I -- I don't know if we -- we have a show. It -- it -- it's just the law.

So, I'm -- I'm going to take a -- a ten minute break. We actually have to switch out Court Reporters, not because she's tired, but she has an appointment. And then, as soon as we get the new Court Reporter in here, we'll start up. Thank you. Recess, please.

(End of Excerpt.)

FBT-CR20-0336785-T : SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF BRIDGEPORT

v. : AT BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

NICHOLAS ROBERT HALL : FEBRUARY 19, 2025

## 

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, Connecticut, before the Honorable Peter A. McShane, Judge, on the 19th day of February, 2025.

Dated this 6th day of March, 2025 in Hartford, Connecticut.

Ashlee S. Lewis Court Recording Monitor Trainee

FBT-CR20-0336785-T : SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF BRIDGEPORT

v. : AT BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

NICHOLAS ROBERT HALL : FEBRUARY 19, 2025

## ELECTRONIC CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify the electronic version is a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, Connecticut, before the Honorable Peter A. McShane, Judge, on the 19th day of February, 2025.

Dated this 6th day of March, 2025 in Hartford, Connecticut.

Ashlee S. Lewis
Court Recording Monitor Trainee