DOCKET NUMBERS: : SUPERIOR COURT

FBT-CR20-0336784-T FBT-CR20-0336785-T FBT-CR20-0339360-T

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF BRIDGEPORT

v. : AT BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

NICHOLAS HALL : AUGUST 14, 2025

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PETER MCSHANE, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

Representing the State of Connecticut:

ATTORNEY KELLY DAVIS
ATTORNEY ELENA PALERMO
Office of the Chief State's Attorney
300 Corporate Place
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067

Representing the Defendant:

ATTORNEY ROBERT BERKE
Law Office of Robert Berke, LLC
640 Clinton Avenue
Bridgeport, Connecticut 06605

ATTORNEY PHILIP RUSSELL Philip Russell, LLC One River Road Cos Cob, Connecticut 06807

ALSO PRESENT:

ATTORNEY FREDERICK PAOLETTI ATTORNEY AUDREY FELSEN

Recorded By: Shawna Wortz

Transcribed By: Erin Baxter

Court Recording Monitor

120 School Street

Danielson, Connecticut 06239

1	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be
2	seated. Good morning. This is State of Connecticut
3	v. Nicholas Hall, a matter that's on for sentencing.
4	I will we've got a lot of lawyers in this case, so
5	why don't we first start by having the lawyers put
6	their name on the record. We'll start first with the
7	State.
8	ATTY. DAVIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Kelly
9	Davis for the State of Connecticut.
10	ATTY. PALERMO: Good morning, Your Honor. Elena
11	Palermo for the State of Connecticut.
12	THE COURT: Good morning.
13	ATTY. BERKE: Morning, sir. Robert Berke for
14	Mr. Hall.
15	ATTY. RUSSELL: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm
16	Philip Russell. I'm on a motion for new trial.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. And we have attorneys
18	here too.
19	ATTY. FELSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Audrey
20	Felsen for L.T. and the [T.] family.
21	ATTY. PAOLETTI: Good morning, Your Honor. Fred
22	Paoletti for L.T. and the [T.] family.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. I will note for the
24	following, we do have a motion for a new trial. I
25	think that should probably be addressed first. I
26	will note also that the defendant was originally
27	charged in, I believe, a six-count information. The

trial lasted four days. The State called upon 13 witnesses, introduced 40 exhibits. The defendant did not testify or ever called a witness and introduced 10 exhibits. The jury deliberated for two and a half days and announced their verdicts on 2/21/25; that is, February 21, 2025.

I want to apologize to counsel -- actually, to everyone for the delay here. I'm on trial right now on a court trial. This is a date that's been a moving target, getting this date to go to the sentencing. I'm old, and I'm trying to put things in the computer as opposed to a written calendar, and I put the wrong date. So I thought it was next week. I was -- not that I'm not ready to go. I certainly Thanks to counsel's motion for new trial filed am. on August 8th and a motion for a bond -- appeal bond filed yesterday, it alerted me to the fact, "Hey, Judge, you wrote down the wrong date." So it's on today. I appreciate it. I had witnesses coming in from the lab that had to testify this morning in the court trial, so that's why you got bumped to 11:15. I'm sorry, but I'm all yours now for as long as it takes.

So, counsel, I will note this: as I said, the motion for new trial has been filed. It was filed on August 8th. It contains with it transcripts as well, which were attached as Exhibit A. So I'll hear from

252627

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

you, counsel. It's your motion.

ATTY. RUSSELL: Thank you very much, Your Honor. This is a difficult day for Your Honor, and there's a lot to be done. But I'm here on the simple and finite task, which is bring to the Court's attention the four items that came up during trial which we think are compelling for a new trial, and I'll just say what they are.

The first is the denial of the admission of the matrimonial documents that were served and filed in March 2020 that were offered by defense counsel and the colloquy and questioning that would flow from the admissibility of those. And the second is the -- it's our claim that the defendant was prejudiced by the admission of the DNA information, and its classification as relevant evidence, we think, was misleading. Actually, I'm sorry, there are three.

THE COURT: Yeah, you said four, but I'm looking at --

ATTY. RUSSELL: Yeah, there are three. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: You're actually doing it in reverse order of what you had in your motion, but go ahead.

ATTY. RUSSELL: Yes. And then the third and last -- my apologies. The third and last is the statement made by the State pertaining to the DNA evidence in her closing remarks to the jury, and we

think that, taken together, and in particular the DNA stream, we think, is a compound series of issues which warrant a new trial. I think that, in hindsight, it's clear that the DNA was important, it's clear that it was important to the jury, and it's clear that it was perhaps overvalued by the State in its presentation to the Court.

2.2

I know that, in trial advocacy, prosecutors are trained to utilize DNA because of the powerful hold that those three letters have on the jury, and I know that any way that it can be shoehorned into a case is going to be helpful in terms of creating an aura of certainty. And I know that our Supreme Court has now put up heightened goal posts for the admission.

Independent of what the Supreme Court has said about expert testimony in general, in particular, DNA is fraught because it's dangerous what can be done with it.

So Attorney Berke was given an opportunity to cross-examine, and he was able to rest from the witness on cross-examination that, under the findings of the DNA evidence, two men on the jury could have been in that bedroom to the best of your knowledge, and that Q&A between witness and counsel really argues my case better than I can, which is, what is this? What is this thing that the jury just heard? And so if the odds are so slim that it incriminates

the defendant, how does it meet the Code of Evidence criteria? In light of the fact that it's DNA, fraught with danger, fraught with prejudice, how does it illuminate for the jury than a fact was more or less likely to have occurred under the Rules of Evidence?

2.2

So I think, respectfully, in hindsight, it was an error by the Court not understanding fully the frailty of this proffer and not appreciating, as can be seen in hindsight, the powerful effect it had.

And again, why do I make that argument? Because the State doubled down on that argument during its closing remarks and, "Why is the DNA there? Why is the DNA there?" And I put the verbatim quote from the transcript in our papers. "Why is the DNA there? Because the defendant was in that room doing what he's accused of doing." When, in fact, as Your Honor noted during the proffer, he said amply during his police interview that he was in there on a regular basis doing dad things. He was in there all the time doing dad things.

So we have two warning signs on the way to what I think supports this motion for a new trial, and respectfully, in hindsight, we have two warning signs, and the first is the importance that the State attached not to the probative value, but to the damning effect that it had, independent of its

evidentiary significance. And so the Court appropriately saw what was happening during the closing remarks by the State and set up some guide rails for the State right in the middle of their closing remarks, but this statement did get its way into the jury box. "What was that DNA doing in his room -- in the room? Why was it there? Because he was doing what he's accused of."

2.2

Is it a strong argument? Sure. Is it based on, at best, the thin reed of this evidence and its admissibility? Yes. Did that essentially weave into the verdict the uncertainty that improper evidence was received? So that's the DNA argument, and there it sits, and we believe that, on its own, it warrants a new trial. So that's that part of it.

The second part that I raised is the matrimonial pleadings. It is almost ordinary that allegations are made during an acrimonious divorce. It is almost academic that those types of things accompany or coincide with an allegation of sexual assault because if sexual assault comes to the attention of a responsible mother, it will end the marriage. So on the one hand, you know, it would be circular logic to say that one follows the other or the other follows one. However, in this case, we have the victim's mother going to probably one of the best lawyers in Fairfield County, doing her best to file for an

action which is going to be acrimonious, going to be adversarial, that's known by the parties, and there's no mention of the allegation.

So the police investigation begins on May 22nd. The divorce filing is, I believe, March the 10th.

And the question again -- obviously there's a gatekeeper function that this court has to abide by about not letting this turn into a divorce case, and we're sensitive to that. Obviously, that could happen in any child sex assault case where a mother moves proactively or reactively to protect her children, but that is not what this divorce was, and that was not what Attorney Berke's proffer was.

Attorney Berke's proffer was that this allegation was no place on the horizon on March the 10th.

THE COURT: But wasn't he able to get that out in evidence? Without the document itself, without having a trial within a trial, wasn't Attorney Berke, through his cross-examination -- and I'll say for the record it was a quite skillful cross-examination, as was his cross-examination of the DNA expert. Wasn't he able to get all that out without the so-called documents of the divorce proceedings?

ATTY. RUSSELL: I wasn't here.

THE COURT: Well, you read the transcript, I take it.

ATTY. RUSSELL: I read the transcript. And I'm

2.2

not here to find fault --

THE COURT: Look, I wasn't at the game last night, but I could tell you what happened.

ATTY. RUSSELL: And I read the transcript, and I understand what Your Honor's saying. The argument is there. I can't say he didn't do a good job with what was left for him. However, this piece of paper was unique. It was a unique snapshot of the state of affairs, and it was the embodiment of that witness putting her best foot forward to cast the defendant in a bad light and to gain an advantage in what we knew before it began was going to be an acrimonious and a bitter and a complicated divorce.

So again, I come here with the benefit of hindsight, and I don't dispute what Your Honor says, but I think that those two -- frankly that is our motion for a new trial. That is our issue. Those two, the DNA and that piece of evidence, are our issues for a new trial, they are our issues for an appeal, and they are our issues for bond pending appeal. That's what we have.

THE COURT: Your motion for a new trial has nothing to do with newfound evidence. It's just on the appellate issues; is that correct?

ATTY. RUSSELL: Yes, absolutely.

THE COURT: Anything further?

ATTY. RUSSELL: Thank you. No.

2.2

THE COURT: I'll hear from the State.

ATTY. DAVIS: Your Honor, just as a housekeeping matter, was the Court able to review my response dated August 11th to defense's motion?

THE COURT: Yes.

2.2

ATTY. DAVIS: Okay. I'm not going to belittle my points. I already made them in a written motion. But as the Court just stated, there's no new evidentiary findings here. This court thoughtfully deliberated these issues. We spent days on the DNA and that information. The Court had a hearing outside the presence of the jury, which I think backed us up at least a day because we were dealing with this issue. So the Court thoughtfully considered these issues. Why file their DNA tests? There's been Porter hearings on it. It's acceptable DNA, and it is admissible. Counsel rightfully attacked the weight of that, so it goes to -- it is admissible, and this argument goes to weight, not admissibility.

As far as counsel's recitation of the reliance the jury might have had on this evidence, this isn't a stranger rape, right? It's not like Joe Smith went into Random's house and we only caught him because of his DNA. It's not that case. In this case, defendant, in his own statement, said, "Hey, yeah, I was on L.T.'s bed. I had sex on L.T.'s bed a lot.

So whatever weight counsel wants to conflate that the jury spent on this, it comes in by the defendant's own admission anyways. He said he had sex in this bed. So his argument might have more weight if this was a stranger rape, but he lived in that house. He was in that house.

2.2

There was amylase found in L.T.'s which that profile matched the defendant's male lineage, and the ratio -- I think the lowest was one in four. The highest was one in 33. And counsel did do a skillful job addressing those low ratios, but that goes to the weight. And the jury took all the evidence, probably including most powerfully the defendant's own statement that he was on that bed, and weighed that and came to the decision they came to. So that's all I'll say about that, and I wrote it more artfully in my motion.

As far as what counsel's considering the divorce papers, what counsel -- Attorney Berke sought to admit was a standard motion about custody. It was a motion where G.T. said, on the advice of her lawyers, "Hey, I want full custody." I spoke about this at length during the trial. That's a standard motion in custody cases. It's often filed -- and it has no -- and counsel talked about this at length and crossed G.T. at length about that issue. It's not divorce papers. It's a pleading about custody which

literally was a standard motion, and counsel asked G.T. about those issues. So those are just the two points I want to raise right now, but I just think most importantly, we've litigated all this. So there's nothing new here, the Court's considered all these issues, and that's where the State sits on that.

2.2

THE COURT: And I do want to just comment on counsel's indication about the prosecution doubling down, so to speak, in closing argument by mentioning the DNA. Doubling down sounds kind of torrid. It sounds that it's wrong. You know, without commenting on potential ramifications on appeal, I've considered the claims, but I just didn't care for counsel's description as doubling down. The State commented on evidence that I believe it could rightfully comment on because of my rulings in the case.

So the State -- you know, I don't want anyone to walk out of here thinking that I gave any colorable claim to the fact that the State introduced unlawful evidence and then doubled down -- that is, went back to that -- and emphasized it to the jury. The State had every right to comment upon evidence that was presented in trial and which the State -- the Court had ruled was admissible. As I said, I'm not going to speculate as to any appellate review. I've considered the claims. They may be best

reserved for appellate review on those three issues.

This is not a claim of new evidence. So the Court -and the parties are well familiar with the standard
to be used here. I will deny your motion.

Now, if we can get back to the sentencing at this time. I have received the PSI. I'm not saying this because I'm sentimental and leaving the jurisdiction, but I have always been impressed by the presentence investigations in such a busy jurisdiction, and this is no exception. This is a very lengthy 16-page presentence investigation. I believe probation did a wonderful job here.

But I'd also like to thank counsel for including various things. As a sentencing judge, I want to have the most information possible, and I received that. Even this morning, I received a polygraph report from Attorney Berke that he forwarded to me that I was able to receive. I'd rather have -- I don't think there's such a thing for a sentencing judge to have too much information. But between the presentence investigation and what's been provided by counsel, I appreciate, and I also appreciate the organization, the manner in which it was presented. It's nice to have the entire picture before making such a big decision.

So just to go back, a jury of your peers, Mr. Hall, found you guilty of the following charges:

sexual assault in the first degree with a victim less than 10 years of age, sexual assault in the fourth degree with a victim less than 13 years of age -- it's the same victim, but that's just how the statutes read -- two counts of risk of injury, both with victims less than 13 years of age. Your total exposure, as I calculate it -- and the parties could correct me if I'm wrong -- is 70 years. With mandatory minimum time, that could be as much as 20 years. In addition, there's mandatory SAVA payments that have to be made, fines that have to be paid.

But at this time, I'm going to look towards the State. How would you like to proceed? I know we have a jury box full with supporters of L.T. and her family, but I'd like to hear from you and then victim's counsel. However you want to proceed.

ATTY. DAVIS: Sure. Your Honor, I learned this morning from L.T.'s family it will be -- Attorney

Felsen will be speaking on behalf of the family, and also, Megan Martinez will be speaking on behalf of the family as well.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. How would you like to -- yes?

ATTY. BERKE: I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. We should probably address the PSI objections.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't do that.

I'm still thinking of my trial. I do have a notation

2.2

here. We do have a presentence investigation. I should have asked the State first, any changes, deletions, omissions, anything that needs to be addressed with regards to the 16-page document?

ATTY. DAVIS: Your Honor, I'm not going to ask the Court to change the PSI, but I did want to address page 11, where the offender reports his relationship with his other daughter, A.S., who's not a party to this case. The mother of A.S. has a family attorney. Their custody is being litigated in New York right now. It's Attorney Amy Ingraham. I just want the Court to know that after speaking with her, she said that they do not have a great relationship.

When the defendant was convicted, she cut off all contact with him. He did try to get phone calls and was successful in getting phone calls with the child on or about June 17th of 2025, but she's actively trying to get rid of those. He's never had unsupervised contact with that child, and the mother, Nicole, wants nothing to do with him. So that's just from Ms. Ingraham yesterday, Attorney Ingraham. But besides that, I have no substantive changes.

THE COURT: Thank you. And just for the purpose of the record, counsel is referring to page 11.

That's the first full paragraph on the top. The defendant reported he has another five year old

2.2

daughter, A.S., who resides in New York. So that that's what counsel -- but thank you, counsel, for bringing that to my attention. I will not make any substantive changes, but I will take that into account. Anything else from the State?

ATTY. DAVIS: I just don't know if this is a typo or miscorrection [sic]. I don't know if the defendant misspoke. But I thought evidence on trial -- looking at page 10, last paragraph, where he's talking about his relationship with W.T., it states that he didn't know she was his biological daughter until she was six months old and didn't see her for the first year and a half of her life. I must assume that must be a typo, because that's really contradictory to the evidence. I'll let defense speak to that, but that just stuck out to me.

ATTY. BERKE: That is a mistake.

THE COURT: I think it's sort of a cut and paste, but I took it as with regards to A.S. So what I will do -- and again, counsel's referring to page 10. It would be the very bottom of page 10, W.T. I'm going to just take out that entire paragraph.

ATTY. DAVIS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Attorney Berke, any changes?

ATTY. BERKE: Thank you, Your Honor. I've had an opportunity to review the PSI with my client, and

we have shared some requests that we make of the Court.

THE COURT: Sure.

ATTY. BERKE: Conceptually, under the paragraph or under the section that's entitled current offense, there are references to Victim Number Two which I think are improper. It doesn't affect the context of the sentencing because the Court heard the evidence in the case. It does affect proceedings down the road, and I don't think it's appropriate to have Witness Number Two included within that entire section.

There are also several references to allegations of conduct that are not part of the current offense that specifically referenced Victim Number Two, and I believe they should be removed from a number of paragraphs. I can highlight which paragraphs, but I don't think it's appropriate for them to be included within this document.

THE COURT: I did note that when I read it. I'm very familiar after sitting here with the trial that there was one alleged victim. So what I will do -- and the one that I'll put back in the court file, the PSI I'll put back in the court file, anywhere where it says Victim Two, it's been excised or taken out and just simply replaced with sister.

ATTY. BERKE: I'd also ask the Court to remove

1	the paragraphs that talk about conduct alleged by
2	Victim Two.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Would the State like to be
4	heard on that?
5	ATTY. DAVIS: I don't object.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So, Attorney Berke, do you
7	want to direct me to the
8	ATTY. BERKE: I certainly can. The third
9	paragraph on page 3
LO	THE COURT: Yes.
L1	ATTY. BERKE: the fifth line begins with
L2	Victim Number One.
L3	THE COURT: Yes.
L 4	ATTY. BERKE: I'd ask that line be removed.
L5	ATTY. DAVIS: I'm sorry, where are we, counsel?
L 6	THE COURT: Victim Number One had also said that
L7	it began this is the third paragraph.
L 8	ATTY. BERKE: Third paragraph, page 3.
L 9	ATTY. DAVIS: Okay.
20	THE COURT: That it began happening around when
21	she was eight years old.
22	ATTY. BERKE: No, it begins with
23	THE COURT: The next sentence down?
24	ATTY. BERKE: Fifth line. It begins with Victim
25	Number One. It's in the middle of the line.
26	ATTY. DAVIS: Oh, about the piggyback ride?
7	ATTY BERKE. YAS

1	ATTY. DAVIS: Okay.
2	ATTY. BERKE: I'd ask that line be removed.
3	THE COURT: It's out. Done.
4	ATTY. BERKE: On page 4, the first full
5	paragraph
6	THE COURT: Go ahead.
7	ATTY. BERKE: it's the last line of the first
8	full paragraph.
9	ATTY. DAVIS: Starting with she said?
10	ATTY. BERKE: Starting with the victim's mother.
11	ATTY. DAVIS: Okay.
12	ATTY. BERKE: I'm sorry, it's the second to last
13	line to the last line.
14	ATTY. DAVIS: To the end? Okay, no objection.
15	THE COURT: Out.
16	ATTY. BERKE: On page 5, the first two lines of
17	the fifth paragraph actually, it's the
18	ATTY. DAVIS: Starting Victim One
19	ATTY. BERKE: From Victim One to
20	THE COURT: Victim One also explained; that
21	whole sentence out? Oh, it should be also
22	ATTY. DAVIS: I think Victim One said that twice
23	she saw Nick give Victim Two is that what you're
24	talking about, counsel?
25	ATTY. BERKE: Yes.
26	ATTY. DAVIS: All right.
27	ATTY. BERKE: And then the next line which

1	references that as well.
2	ATTY. DAVIS: No objection.
3	THE COURT: I'm sorry, I don't have that last
4	one. Page 5?
5	ATTY. BERKE: Page 5, the fifth paragraph.
6	Unfortunately, they all begin with Victim Number One.
7	THE COURT: Right.
8	ATTY. BERKE: It says Victim Number One said
9	that twice
10	THE COURT: She also explained
11	ATTY. DAVIS: Starting with Victim One said that
12	twice she saw Nick give Victim Two a piggyback ride.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	ATTY. BERKE: Through the end of the third line.
15	I'd rather not repeat that.
16	THE COURT: Very good. It's out.
17	ATTY. BERKE: The bottom of page 5, there's one
18	line that flows into page 6. That whole paragraph
19	refers to Victim Number Two.
20	THE COURT: State have any objection on that,
21	the whole paragraph ending on page 5 and going onto
22	page 6?
23	ATTY. DAVIS: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: It's out.
25	ATTY. BERKE: On page 6, the entire paragraph
26	that begins during parts of the conversation.
27	ATTY. DAVIS: I'm just reading it, Your Honor.

1 ATTY. BERKE: Actually, it should not be the 2 entire paragraph. 3 THE COURT: No. ATTY. DAVIS: The first two sentences, the State 4 5 has no objection. So during parts of the 6 conversation at the sleepover, Victim Two told 7 Witness One that Nick picks her up by his peepee. 8 Victim Two said that she pretends like she's sleeping 9 when sometimes he touches her. I don't object to 10 that coming out. THE COURT: I think the rest stays in. 11 12 ATTY. BERKE: May I have a moment, Your Honor, 13 to talk to --14 THE COURT: Take your time, please. 15 ATTY. DAVIS: So, Your Honor, just so it 16 contextually makes sense, on that first paragraph on 17 page 6 -- not the first paragraph, paragraph 3 on 18 page 6 --19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 ATTY. DAVIS: -- I think I'd ask the Court to 21 keep in the part of the sentence that says during 22 parts of the conversation at the sleepover. So keep 23 that. Take out starting Victim Two all the way to he touches her. Does that make sense? 24 25 ATTY. BERKE: Yes. 26 THE COURT: Okay. 27 ATTY. BERKE: I would just ask that Victim

1 Number Two be removed from the third line of that 2 paragraph. 3 THE COURT: Got it. 4 ATTY. DAVIS: No objection. 5 THE COURT: There's actually victim -- it's the 6 third line and the sixth line, seventh line? 7 ATTY. BERKE: Yes, correct. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 9 ATTY. BERKE: No. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay, at this time I'll hear from the -- I'm going to hold on to this, but 11 12 I'll give it to you at the end, Madam Clerk. Thank 13 you, Madam Marshal. I'll hear from the State how you 14 want to proceed. Do you want Attorney Felsen to go 15 first or do you want --16 ATTY. DAVIS: I'm going to have Ms. Martinez go 17 first, then I'll have Attorney Felsen, then I'll go. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Martinez? Thank you. I'll ask 19 -- thanks. If you could just spell your last name 20 for us and then just -- I'm going to ask -- and I 21 know it's difficult -- if you could please keep your 2.2 voice up and speak near the microphone. Thank you. 23 MS. MARTINEZ: Martinez, M-a-r-t-i-n-e-z. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed. 25 MS. MARTINEZ: My name is Megan Cano Martinez, and I speak on behalf of my husband, Aldelso Cano 26 27 Martinez -- "Checho" -- and I on the disturbing topic of our daughter's rapist, Nicholas Robert Hall.

My husband has no interest in seeing Nicholas or the Hall family for sentencing, as he is a stronger person than I. He already understands that Nicholas and his family will not take what we have to say to heart, nor does he believe that they are entitled to our experience. Meanwhile, I'm happy to take my chances, as L's and our family's struggle should not go left unknown, especially now, having two extra months to get my thoughts together.

For reference, S.T. is my husband's biological daughter with G.T. However, we share parental responsibility of L.T. and W.T. by heart, if not by law. Upon beginning to date my husband seven years ago, it was made very clear to me how much he adored his children during the course of getting to know one another. Out of the then two girls, L was so apprehensive to let me or anyone into her life, and we now understand why.

When I first met Nicholas Hall, he and [G.] had welcomed W into the world. He shook my husband's hand and hugged me in greeting whenever we all saw each other. Checho and I were excited for the girls to have a baby sister. We all split and spent time between L and S, and we loved seeing Baby W when we could.

We were completely unaware of what was happening

to L until my husband received a frantic call late one afternoon from his ex-wife [G.]. We were both home upon receiving this call, and he walked out of our house and into our driveway. I watched as the life left his body as the call continued. He stared into nothingness as [G.] relayed this information to him. He told me what he could. I had to pry the information out of him. He had heard it, absorbed it, and could barely get the words out to tell me what had happened to our daughter. He was angry, he was heartbroken, and he didn't speak for days.

2.2

I had tried to concentrate on helping my family and push my feelings down. Once my emotions had surfaced, I was sickened. Sleepless nights were spent wondering what the hell our daughter went through. This couldn't be our life. We tried to get on with everyday life, but we knew our family was never going to be the same. There was evil in our world, and it hurt.

The first time I picked up L and S after the disclosure, my husband and I had never been so nervous to talk to our own girls. What do we say? How do we not cry? How do we be strong for them? Watching my husband hug his children for the first time after we found out was awful, a grown man crying as he clung to his little daughters. There was a pain that was unspoken but understood between he and

the girls, and that was all my husband could muster.

2.2

Sometimes when it was just L and I, she would begin to talk. It was plain to me that she didn't want the burden of her memories to carry on her own. She would reiterate what had already been shared with us, but I would listen and try to gather up the strength to respond. The horrific sexual context being described to me from our child's voice paralyzed me. She used the words of a child that did not know the proper words or body parts or bodily functions. She had so many questions.

The sex talk came earlier than we had ever expected, and of course she still didn't understand. I didn't want to spend time discussing reproductive matters further to our nine-year-old. I made it clear that the acts he performed on her or made her perform on him were for his own selfish needs. He broke her trust. He was not thinking of her feelings. He wasn't thinking of anything but himself. How do you begin to explain this to a child who looks to you for comfort and answers?

Throughout these years until Nicholas's sentencing, of course we had moments where what happened faded into the back of our minds, but never too far. Nicholas was still out free. Nicholas Hall has uprooted our children's lives, our lives, and our family's lives. My husband and I were harassed by a

private investigator hired by the Hall family. This private investigator had appeared at my husband's place of work, our home, and made countless phone calls. We spoke to her, although we had no legal obligation.

2.2

About two years later, my husband and I walked into a McDonald's in Poughkeepsie, New York. Mask regulations had long been lifted, yet a man wearing a sweatshirt, sweatpants, baseball hat, disposable gloves, and face mask swung the door open.

Realization hit us once we saw his red hair and a rose tattoo on his neck. He went out of his way to open the door for us and say, "Nice to see you guys," only to quickly walk to his car. Why not just leave us alone? Why not go out another exit? Why interact with us at all?

In August 2023, an investigator with the New York State Police and Child Protective Services worker were waiting for us in our driveway on our way home from vacation. Nicholas had tried to clear his name one last time by trying to implicate that my husband was the one guilty of sex crimes against our children to New York State officials. For three weeks, we were in open communication with both parties as they diligently worked up to follow on Nicholas's accusations. A week after our wedding in September 2023, Checho and I were separately taken

into New York State Police interrogation rooms and questioned. The New York State Police investigator found no suspicion, and my husband and I were no longer investigated, as it was obvious to what Nicholas and the Hall family were trying to cleanse themselves of.

What did we ever do to Nicholas? What did we ever do to the Hall family? Why harass us? Why try every tactic they could possibly think of doing? The simple answer is guilt. Stooping so low, meanwhile, we just want a normal life. Nicholas should be ashamed of the lies he's told his family, ashamed of the hell they've all put us through, and ashamed for not believing a child in her greatest time of need.

The sentencing of Nicholas Hall goes far beyond protecting only L. For the last five years, L and S were aware of the fact that their baby sister was visiting her rapist father. Imagine the feelings that arose in us as toddler W, on numerous occasions, started talking naively about Nicholas. Our two older girls handled each situation by brushing it off as the mature young ladies they are for the sake of their baby sister. Because of him, our girls had to grow up way too fast. I'm happy to say she doesn't speak of him or the Halls now, as life is thankfully going on without them.

As for now, we are all preserving W's innocence,

2.2

but someday she will find out what he did, and I hope that haunts Nicholas and the Hall family, if not by us, by somewhere. W's love for her sisters is endless, and I dread her eventual rage and heartbreak when she finds out.

2.2

As parents, we hate him. He physically makes us sick. We are sickened that we have shaken his hand and we have hugged him when those same hands and body were used as a weapon against our daughter. We hate what he did to L so many times she's lost count. He played with her innocent mind and body. We hate, though, we had any level of trust in him. How dare he put this weight on her young soul? Whatever game he thinks he's winning at, he's not. The only thought that gave us any solace on this matter is stressing that karma and justice will come, and it finally did.

As human beings, Checho and I wonder what happened to him in his past or what affliction of the mind made him believe that taking a child's innocence, sense of safety, and normalcy was okay. However, I'm sure he's never wondered that. We're not monsters. We understand he's someone's son, grandson, brother, uncle. But he did this to our daughter. We pity his family and friends. We pity them for believing their family member was innocent and are not capable of these crimes.

Yet through all of this, we still have L. She has spoken her truth and spread that weight for us to bear with her now. What happened to her does not define her. She is her own person. She is smart, creative, silly, beautiful, compassionate, and strong-willed. Nicholas is beyond undeserving of knowing her. He did not break her, regardless of his efforts. Whatever he tried to take from her, he failed. She is the strongest young woman I have ever met, and my husband and I are so proud to call her ours.

A horrible chapter of our lives will be put to rest with Nicholas gone. There's been a cloud of dread, a pit of despair in our souls throughout these years. We knew that even in our happiest of moments, there was something evil to come back into the front of our minds once that moment ended. Thank God we are all now free to heal and be with the girls free of this nightmare. The world is now a better place. We don't want any of our children, any children, to live fearing the future, fearing their safety, and fearing that justice was not served.

Thank you for your time, Your Honor. We commend you for your role in this case. The verdict of guilty in the case of Nicholas Robert Hall is a relief we cannot explain.

THE COURT: Thank you. Before I hear from you,

2.2

1 Attorney Felsen, I'm just obligated -- I feel 2 obligated to make comments. Ms. Martinez, I want you 3 to know loud and clear, when I heard you speak, it's 4 almost like you spoke of some guilt on your own part. 5 Do not feel guilty at all. I'm going to use the 6 words you said. There is evil in our world, and it 7 hurts. You had no way of knowing. Please do not 8 cast any blame on yourself --9 MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: -- or your husband. You have done nothing wrong. I had the same comments that I was 11 12 going to say to L.T. that she has done nothing wrong 13 14 MS. MARTINEZ: No, she hasn't. 15 THE COURT: -- but I want you to know that 16 because when I heard you and I heard your tone, I was 17 overtaken by the fact that maybe you think you did 18 something wrong here, and I'm going to tell you 19 resoundingly and very clear and loudly no, you 20 haven't. Go right ahead, Attorney Felsen. 21 ATTY. FELSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Do you 22 want me to come up so I'm behind a mic? I'm happy to 23 do that. Thank you. Your Honor, I do have a 24 statement that is on behalf of and for the [T.] 25 family --

27 ATTY. FELSEN: -- but I also had the opportunity

THE COURT: Thank you.

to review an adult psychosexual risk report, and I know Your Honor had mentioned early on in the proceedings that it's important for you to have all of this information because it factors into, obviously, your sentence. I understand that there's a request for an appellate bond in this case, and it's incumbent upon me, after reading it, to make sure that Your Honor has the correct information. So if it's okay with Your Honor, I will read the statement that I prepared --

THE COURT: Please.

ATTY. FELSEN: -- and then when that issue comes up, I'd like to address some of those factors that were raised upon my review of that report.

THE COURT: Sure.

ATTY. FELSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm speaking on behalf of L.T. and the [T.] family. L.T. doesn't want or need to speak to or about Mr. Hall ever again. Five years ago, L.T. was eight years old. That eight-year-old girl had the courage to tell a family friend that Mr. Hall was sexually abusing her. Thankfully, that family friend told her mother, and that mother told L.T. mother, [G.T.]. At that time, [G.] had a good relationship with Mr. Hall, even though they were getting divorced. Gretchen was dating someone else, and she was --

THE COURT: I'm just going to stop you.

1 ATTY. FELSEN: Yes? 2 THE COURT: Throughout the trial, we've been 3 using initials for her. 4 ATTY. FELSEN: G.T. Thank you, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. So I'm going to ask that that be --6 7 ATTY. FELSEN: We strike that. 8 THE COURT: I'll ask that the previous reference 9 -- and you're not doing anything wrong. 10 ATTY. FELSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. ATTY. DAVIS: Also -- sorry to jump in. Can we 11 12 also strike the last name? 13 ATTY. FELSEN: G.T. Thank you. G.T. was dating 14 someone else and was grateful that Mr. Hall remained 15 a part of his biological daughter's life. As a young 16 mother of three beautiful girls, G.T. appreciated 17 that Mr. Hall would watch her children while she ran 18 errands and occasionally went out. 19 It's impossible to adequately express the shock 20 and anguish G.T. experienced when she got that call 21 about L.T.'s disclosure of sexual assault. 2.2 she married, gave access to her home, let care for 23 her children was a monster. As a mother, your first 24 duty is to your children, and G.T. embodies that in 25 every way. As Your Honor had just mentioned, she's 26 not at fault. She never could have seen this coming,

and she's going to have to learn that she did nothing

wrong. She has to forgive herself and realize that Mr. Hall is a predator and repeatedly took advantage of the most vulnerable victims, a little girl entrusted to his care.

L.T. has had to talk about what Mr. Hall did to her with investigators, therapists, and prosecutors, and finally, five years later, a jury. She was subjected to intense cross-examination. Her private life was exposed for everybody to hear. She never, ever once wavered because she knows what was done to her and because she didn't want this to happen to her little sister or anybody else's daughter or anybody else's little sister.

Mr. Hall was convicted by a jury of, among other things, sexual assault in the first degree. The statutory mandatory minimum is a floor. It's a minimum. It's a statutory minimum. It's what a defendant would get who's convicted of this who pleads guilty to it for one act one time, what a defendant would get who accepts responsibility, expresses remorse, shows effort towards rehabilitation. That's not what this is. That's not who Mr. Hall is.

When Mr. Hall was first arrested and the police confronted him, Mr. Hall explained that L.T. must have been hiding in a closet when he and G.T. were having sex. He explained that L.T. must have known

2.2

about sex because some little boy on a school bus exposed his penis to her. Neither of those were true. Or that he might have mistakenly, inappropriately touched her while wrestling with her. That's not true. Or that his bodily fluids must have gotten all over the bed sheets because he had sex there. That's not true.

1.3

2.2

For the past five years, he and his family have maligned and attacked L.T.'s family members, even going so far as to suggest — to pick up the phone and call the police and suggest that one of L.T.'s closest family members was her assailant. I fully expect Mr. Hall's sentencing hearing and what his family member say to be a variation on that same theme.

L.T. has an incredibly close and loving family, and they're doing what they need to do to heal and move forward. They'll be fine. She'll be more than fine. The repeated nature of Mr. Hall's disturbing and depraved conduct and refusal to accept responsibility points to a need for a sentence that takes into consideration specific deterrence and the need to protect the community. I'll address later the moderate risk that was referenced in the psychosexual risk evaluation when the issue of the appellate bond comes up and to make sure that Your Honor has correct facts. But a significant period of

1	incarceration is necessary not just to punish Mr.
2	Hall, because it's obvious that punishment is
3	warranted and significant punishment at that, but
4	there's no amount of time that will adequately
5	protect the public. Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Attorney Felsen.
7	Anything further?
8	ATTY. DAVIS: I'll just speak briefly.
9	THE COURT: Sure.
10	ATTY. DAVIS: I do have comments about the
11	psychosexual analysis as well.
12	THE COURT: I would appreciate if you could
13	reserve that until the consideration with regards to
14	the appeal bond.
15	ATTY. DAVIS: Of course.
16	THE COURT: I think that's the most appropriate
17	time.
18	ATTY. DAVIS: I'm just going to start with a
19	quote from it, however, because I think it hits the
20	nail on the head.
21	THE COURT: A quote from what?
22	ATTY. DAVIS: I'm going to start with a quote
23	from it, however, because I think it kind of hits the
24	nail on the head.
25	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Sure.
26	ATTY. DAVIS: Then I'll get to the substantive
27	later in Your Honor's order. But on page 2, the

evaluator says, when she's talking about her interview with Mr. Hall, "While the interactions with the undersigned were congenial, it was evident that Mr. Hall was attempting to portray himself in a predetermined way in attempt to present more favorably." And I just think that kind of is the crux of this whole case.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

He presented -- tried to present himself more favorably when he was dating G.T. and trying to get to know her and trying to come across as this great dad, right, and then the second they got married, it fell apart. He tried to present more favorably with the police when he was trying to be their buddy. And the Court saw the interview. He talked about his video games and his life. He talked for hours with the police, and he blamed Checho and he blamed school. He blamed kids on the bus. He was trying to present favorably there. And notably, those theories are all absent from the psychosexual. And then he -the State contends he tried to present favorably here when he came every day with the huge crosses. He wanted to come across a certain way, and I think the Court is smart enough to see through that.

The jury found the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of sexual assault in the first degree, sexual assault in the fourth degree, and risk of injury to a minor under both the (a)(1) subsection

and the (a)(2) subsection. The facts that led to this conviction involve the defendant sexually assaulting L.T., then approximately only eight years old, over a period of over a year while he was married to L.T.'s mom and then after, when he would babysit. Because the two, G.T. and the defendant, had a good relationship.

The jury heard about the assaults in detail from L.T. The jury convicted on the counts pertaining to fellatio performed by L.T. onto the defendant and acquitted as to the anal intercourse. The jury heard from L.T. that these assaults became routine in nature. The defendant would take her to the laundry room and sexually assault her.

She could describe at eight years old how the penis felt. She said it would become hard and it had veins. She talked about clear pee coming out of his penis when she was nine. But when she testified as a thirteen-year-old, she now knows it was semen. At the time, she didn't. She was way too young. She described how the semen tasted and it was salty. It should be noted that L.T. disclosed when she had just turned nine. What nine-year-old knows what a penis feels like? What nine-year-old knows what clear pee is? What nine-year-old knows what it tastes like?

The State is proud of L.T. for coming forward and being able to stand up on the stand. I

personally couldn't have done that. The jury heard that the sexual assault at the hands of the defendant, as Attorney Felsen said, did not just happen one time. The Court allowed L.T. to testify about how these sexual assaults often happened in the laundry room, and the defendant forced her to perform fellatio on him. But she also testified about how the defendant forced her to touch his penis in the living room as well as in her own bedroom.

2.2

The Court just heard from Attorney Felsen about how this impacted L.T., but I think the Court has enough experience to know from doing these types of cases to know that this will impact L.T. for the rest of her life. It will affect G.T. for the rest of her life. It will affect Megan and Checho for the rest of their lives. It'll affect her grandparents, her family, the entire family of G.T. and L.T. for the rest of their lives. This doesn't go away. Today doesn't end it.

If she didn't have trust issues, L.T. will now. The defendant was supposed to be a father figure for her, and by his own words in his police interview, he made a lot of notes about that. He was her dad. He loved being a dad. What kind of dad does this? The defendant ruined his family. Because of his actions, both of his daughters -- one's five and one's six -- will grow up without their father while he remains

incarcerated. L.T. is going to struggle for the rest of her life because of what he did to her. She has -- L.T. had no relationship with her biological father, and the defendant had been a father to her, and he took up that role since he began dating her mother. She called him Dad.

2.2

Under State v. Huey, the sentencing court can consider information that would otherwise not be admissible at trial and was not sought to be admitted. The Court's aware that cases of child sexual abuse rise and fall on the ability of the minor child to testify. Most times in these cases, children under a certain age either cannot or are not comfortable talking about this and cannot articulate what happened to them, and in those instances, the State may choose not to prosecute at the time.

The State chose not to pursue a case against the defendant for assaulting S.T. and/or W.T.H., but it should be noted that both girls made separate disclosures. S.T., when this case happened in 2020, disclosed to Witness Jaden, who spoke, and did not disclose during a forensic; she couldn't say it. And W.T.H. did tell her mother while this case was pending. L.T.'s not the only one who alleged this.

I do want to note that the defendant does have no record to speak of, but Your Honor knows that this is common with sexual predators. They are often able

to get away with their crimes because of the way they prey on the young and keep them in fear, and if L.T. had not disclosed when she did, who knows how long this would have went on because the defendant was still babysitting the kids often. L.T. was brave to come forward when she did.

The Court's been doing this for a long time.

The Court's very familiar with criminal sentencing,

so I'm not going to give the Court a number. As

Attorney Felsen noted, the floor here is 10 years,

but the State believes that, based on the allegations

here, based on the number of times that the assaults

happened, I would ask the Court to impose a lengthy

period of incarceration. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. I do just want to address something. You indicated -- you saw me panic probably when you spoke about the jury coming back with a verdict on (a)(1) on the risk of injury. My recollection is that the jury returned verdicts on (a)(2) risk of injury and, by the verdict accepted and ordered by me, it was both proven that she was under the age of 13 at the time.

ATTY. DAVIS: Thank you. I misspoke.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Attorney Berke?

ATTY. BERKE: Thank you, sir. There are a

number of people that wish to address the Court,

family and friends of my client, in addition to Mr.

1 Hall. 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 ATTY. BERKE: Some of them --4 THE COURT: If they could come up to where 5 you're standing and use that microphone, that would 6 be most helpful. 7 ATTY. BERKE: Sure. I'll move the mic. Some of 8 them have expressed they want to speak themselves. 9 Others ask that they stand by me while I read their 10 statement. THE COURT: That's fine. 11 12 ATTY. BERKE: So if I can just begin by calling 13 each one. 14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 ATTY. BERKE: Mr. Golino? Your Honor, if I may, 16 many people are not familiar with the process. 17 a chance to review many of these letters. Some of 18 them don't really know that -- don't know that they 19 cannot use the first names of people involved, so if 20 you can just please keep that in mind. 21 MR. GOLINO: Dear Judge McShane --2.2 THE COURT: I'm sorry, your name and if you 23 could spell your last name for the record? I 24 apologize for interrupting. 25 MR. GOLINO: Leonard Golino, L-e-o-n-a-r-d 26 G-o-l-i-n-o. Dear Judge McShane, my name is Leonard 27 Golino, and I'm writing on behalf of Nicholas Hall,

who is scheduled to appear before you for sentencing. I's aware of the seriousness of the crimes he has convicted of and respect the jury's decision in this case. However, I believe it is important to provide a fuller picture of Nicholas Hall as a person.

I first became acquainted with Nicholas 14 years ago. He was the best friend of my daughter's fiancé and best man at their wedding and later became the godfather to my grandson, Jayden, now 10 years old. Over the years, I have come to know Nicholas and his family as a loving and devout family who enjoy spending time together. Nicholas possesses strong family values and treats others with respect and compassion. He was a frequent visitor in my home over the years, and no one has ever felt uncomfortable or uneasy in his presence.

Nicholas demonstrated his true compassion for my daughter and my family during a difficult divorce with his best friend, my daughter's husband. He never allowed the divorce to hinder his friendship or loyalty to my daughter or my family. He was instrumental in helping my daughter during this period, which exemplifies his genuine compassion of others.

On numerous occasions, I have observed Nicholas at work at his family's business interacting with others. His compassion for helping others was

evident in his actions. Nicholas had a passion for music writing and aspires to achieve his life goals and dreams.

2.2

Your Honor, I was present in the audience throughout the entirety of the trial and had lodged accommodations at a local hotel in support of Nicholas and his family. Over my 21 years of service as a police officer, both undercover and as a second-grade detectives, I've personally been involved in numerous sex offense cases, including domestic assaults, assault, robbery, burglary, and for the last seven days before retirement, in the homicide unit. I have participated in over 100 trials, both as a prosecution witness and a defense witness. I would respectfully like to make mention, without criticism, on some of the observations I witnessed in the courtroom during the trial.

On one occasion, a female audience member seated with the victim's family repeatedly nodded her head, shaking it up and down to affirm and side to side to negate while the victim was testifying. This behavior gave the impression that she was coaching the witness, prompting the sheriff to reprimand her and cease or be removed from the courtroom. On another occasion, while I briefly left the courtroom to use the restroom, I observed an expert witness from the prosecution who had just concluded his

testimony discussing his testimony with another expert witness who was about to take the stand, coincidentally as the sheriff called him in.

2.2

In conclusion, Your Honor, while acknowledging the gravity of the charges against Nicholas and his guilty verdict, I humbly request that you consider his positive qualities and exercise leniency in sentencing. I believe that Nicholas has the potential to become a productive member of the community and, with hope, achieve his life goals and dreams. Respectfully, Leonard Golino. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor, I received a letter from Michael Glassey, who's unable to attend. If I may read that? "Dear Judge McShane, my name is Michael Glassey. I'm a retired New York State trooper, a father to two boys aged 12 and 15, and longtime resident of Pearl River, New York. I respectfully write this letter in support of Nicholas Hall, who I've known for over 20 years through his family's business.

Through the decades I've known Nick and his family, I've come to know him as a generally good-hearted and dependable individual. He has never shown any behavior, tendencies, or interests remotely aligned with the accusations he now faces. To me, this situation is entirely out of character for the

man I've known for so long.

Nick has always been a happy go lucky person, someone who brings light and humor into a room and someone who is consistently willing to lend a hand. Whether it's helping fix a car, solve a computer problem, or simply being available to listen, Nick is the type of person you can rely on without hesitation. His actions have always been grounded in care and kindness.

I had also the privilege of meeting his daughter, W.T.H., when she was just a baby. Seeing him in the role of father has only reinforced my respect for his character. He's attentive, loving, and clearly devoted to her well-being.

I respectfully ask that you take my words into consideration during sentencing. I understand the seriousness of the situation, but I also ask that you weigh Nick's longstanding character, his history of good conduct, and the impact this will have on his daughter and family. I believe he has the strength and integrity to move forward and make positive contributions to those around him. Thank you for your consideration."

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, I will ask
this. The court monitor handed me a note. I would
deeply appreciate copies of any written statements
read in the record if possible. It certainly aids in

1 the complete transcription. 2 ATTY. BERKE: Absolutely. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 ATTY. BERKE: Tiffany Ward, she had asked me to 5 read her letter. 6 THE COURT: And last name is spelled? 7 ATTY. BERKE: Ward, W-a-r-d. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Tiffany Ward. 9 ATTY. BERKE: "Dear Honorable Peter McShane, I'm 10 writing to support Nicholas Hall. My name is Tiffany Ward, and I have known Nicholas his whole life. I 11 12 have been friends with his mother since grade school. 13 I have watched Nick grow into a respectful, 14 polite young man. I have shared holidays, birthdays, 15 and other family events with him and his family. 16 Whenever I'm around Nick, he always conducts himself 17 with compassion, generosity, the desire to help 18 others, and resilience. Nick has always been 19 involved with his family business in some capacity. 20 When Nick's mother faced serious health issues 21 and surgeries, he stepped up to handle household 2.2 responsibilities. He provided emotional support to 23 his two younger siblings and his older brother, who 24 had already graduated and was working at the time. 25 He used laughter to lighten the seriousness of the 26 situation and went out of his way to make everyone

laugh. You can't help but laugh when you're around

him.

I have three children, two daughters and a son. Nicholas is the same age as my oldest daughter, Alyssa, with whom he attended high school. She is learning disabled and was bullied in school. Nick stood up for her and offered emotional support. I have two grandchildren aged six months and three years old. I have never felt uncomfortable in his presence or witnessed any unusual behavior that raised any concern regarding his interaction with children.

I have been disabled for several years, and my husband passed away eight years ago. Nick has always helped me with a ride if needed, assistance with my car, grocery shopping, or lifting and carrying heavy items. Even during this case, he has continued to be supportive with my needs, which I believe shows his character and who he is as a person.

I attended most of the trial. Unfortunately, my health issues prevented me from attending for a couple of days. As I listened to the witnesses' testimony, it only strengthened my continued support for Nick, reaffirming my belief in who he truly is beyond the statements made during the trial.

I respectfully ask that Your Honor consider the lightest possible sentence if a new trial is not granted. If Nick is to pursue an appeal, I kindly

ask that you consider bail pending appeal."

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Ward.

ATTY. BERKE: I have a letter from Heidi Valorian, who's not present. If I can read that, sir.

THE COURT: Yes. If you can just spell the last name, please.

ATTY. BERKE: V-a-l-o-r-i-a-n. "My name is Heidi Valorian, and I am married, expecting my first child this December. I recently relocated to Oregon with my husband, Jeff. Before moving here, we lived in Danbury, Connecticut, and prior to that, I lived in Carmel, New York. I have been a real estate agent for five years, and I'm also a property investor.

I have known Nicholas Hall since 2021 through my best friend, who was Victoria, Nick's sister, and I also attended his trial. Over the years, I have come to know Nick as a generally good-hearted person. From the very beginning, he has always been friendly, polite and caring, never failing to ask how I'm doing. I've had the opportunity to see him at work in his family business, where he consistently treats others with kindness and respect. I have also observed the way he treats his partner with great affection and consideration, which speaks volumes about his character.

Nick has shown warmth toward my own family and

even my dog, greeting them with affection and patience. His generosity and helpfulness were evident when he and his family assisted greatly with my wedding preparations, something I remain deeply grateful for. Over the years, I have spent time with him at the Hall family farm on numerous occasions. I recall many moments where Nick and his daughter [A.] played joyfully with my nieces when they visited from California, memories that highlight his devotion as a father.

In my personal experience, Nick is a kind, dependable, and compassionate individual. I hope you will take into account the positive impact he has had on those around him when considering his sentencing."

THE COURT: Thank you, Heidi Valorian.

ATTY. BERKE: Gina Santiago, she is present in the court and asked that I read her letter.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.2

ATTY. BERKE: "Please allow me to introduce myself and give a little background on who I am. My name is Gina Santiago. I'm 36 years old. I live in New Windsor, New York. I have three children, two boys and one girl. My sons are Jayden, eleven years old, and Logan, six months old, who was about four months old during the trial, and my daughter Eliana, who is eight. I have two stepsons: Christian, who is 17, and Jayden, who is 19. I have a husband and two

dogs. I live with my husband, our kids, and my parents, who occupy a downstairs apartment. I currently work as an executive assistant for my father's private investigation firm. I have been doing this for about seven years. Prior to this, I was a medical assistant. I have worked in a range of doctor's offices across the Hudson Valley and Westchester areas for about ten years.

2.2

I have known Nicholas Hall for about 14 years. I first met him through my boyfriend at the time, who later became my husband, current ex-husband. I still remember the first time I was introduced to him. He was working at a gas station in Wallkill, New York in approximately 2011. It was summertime, and Luke, my ex-husband, and I went to the gas station so I could meet his friend Nicholas. As we walked in, he saw Luke and threw a bag of chips at him and ducked and hit me instead. He was mortified and profusely apologized, and we laughed about the incident and it was a really great icebreaker. From that point on, I knew he was a funny but compassionate person, and we became friends.

I would attend dinner and holidays in the Hall residence, bring my car to the family mechanic shop. There were many times I would go to Nicholas's house. We would all hang out together. Nicholas has met my family. He has come to my house for dinner and

lunch.

Luke, my ex-husband, enlisted in the Marines, and when he left, I was really having a hard time, and Nicholas was there for me. He would call and check in on me and make sure I was okay or if I needed anything. He showed true friendship and compassion and considered me like family. Soon after Luke's departure, I found out I was pregnant with my first child. We got married in 2013, and Nicholas was the best man at my wedding, and I gave birth in 2014, and Nick was announced as the godfather to my son. He has been there for both of my children. They even call him Uncle Nick. To us, he is their uncle and is part of our family.

I got divorced in 2020 and it was a very difficult divorce, and Nick, being my husband's best friend, never once disrespected me or made me feel like our friendship was over. To this day, I still bring my car to the shop, we speak pretty often, and I was there every day for his trial while having a newborn at home and traveling over an hour and a half to show my support.

I know his daughter [A.] well and the mother of his daughter. He is truly a wonderful person inside and out. He would go out of his way to be a kindhearted person. I am currently married to a new partner. Nicholas is nothing but kind and welcoming

to my partner and his children. I have never felt uncomfortable around Nicholas or having him around my children, as he is a respectful, kind, helpful person.

He is a young man with many dreams and aspirations. He is a father, a godfather, an uncle, a loving son, a brother, a wonderful friend to me and many others, and truly a remarkable individual who has laughter, hope, love, strength, and many other positive qualities in life. I am aware of the outcome of this trial. I'm asking the Court to have leniency on him during sentencing."

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

ATTY. BERKE: I have a letter I'd like to read from Eric Jennings. "My name is Eric Jennings. I'm 39 years old, and I have worked as an auto mechanic for 22 years at the Hall family business. I'm writing to share my perspective of Nicholas Hall, who I've known since he was 10.

Over the past two decades, I've seen Nick grow into a respectful, dependable, and hardworking man. He has always treated me and my family with kindness and consideration, and he's consistently been someone I can count on both personally and professionally. I have attended social gatherings with Nick outside of work, birthdays, barbecues, and other occasions.

Nick has always gone above and beyond for me. He's

1 the first person to give you the shirt off his back. 2 Unfortunately, I was only able to attend the 3 trial one day in support of Nick and his family. It 4 was the first day of trial. We all prayed together 5 in the court's hallway just prior to the start, 6 standing together as friends and loved ones. 7 I have met Nick's daughters, [A.] and [W.], and 8 I have seen him in his role as a father. He adores 9 his children, and it's clear how much they mean to 10 I live in Monroe, New York with my fiancée Erin, and together we are raising 12 children ranging 11 12 in age from 4 months to 23 years old. Nick has been 13 a positive presence in our lives and has always shown 14 genuine care for those around him. I hope my words 15 convey the respect and trust I have for Nick based on 16 many years of knowing him. Thank you for your time 17 and consideration." 18 ATTY. DAVIS: Just quickly, Your Honor, may we 19 redact the names W and A? 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't catch that, but 21 yes. 2.2 ATTY. BERKE: I was intending on making that 23 request. 24 ATTY. DAVIS: I know you didn't mean to, 25 counsel. 26 THE COURT: So those are stricken.

ATTY. BERKE: Anna Tennant?

THE COURT: How do you spell the last name?

2 ATTY. BERKE: T-e-n-n-a-n-t.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Tennant.

MS. TENANT: Good afternoon, Honorable Judge.

I'm writing to express my strong support for Nicholas Hall and to share with the Court the profound impact that he's had on my life and the lives of many others. I have known Nick for over 13 years, and throughout that time have witnessed his selflessness, kindness, and unwavering willingness to help others in need.

Nick has never hesitated to offer assistance, whether it was helping me care for my rescue animals, rescuing injured animals himself, or aiding me during some of my most difficult times. When my husband abandoned me and I was left struggling to manage my household and property, Nick was the first to step forward. From installing air conditioners, helping with my garden, and supporting my animal rescues, he was always there without being asked twice. His compassion has been a lifeline during moments where I had no one else to turn to.

I've also seen Nick as a devoted and loving father. He has fought tirelessly to remain in his children's lives despite facing significant challenges in his custody arrangements. I'm deeply concerned that certain circumstances and evidence

were not presented in full during his trial, which I believe has a direct impact on the outcome of his case. It is my sincere hope that the Court will allow a new trial so that all relevant evidence can be heard. Nick is a man of integrity, loyalty, and compassion. The thought of him facing a lengthy prison sentence for a crime I firmly believe he did not commit is heartbreaking and, in my opinion, a miscarriage of justice.

2.2

Nick is more than a friend. He's like a son to me. After losing my own son three years ago, Nick was there to help me through the grief with love, kindness, and support. He has been a steady presence for his daughters, showing them gentleness and attentiveness and unconditional love. I cannot imagine him harming a child in any way.

Over the years, I have watched Nick grow into an outstanding citizen and a productive member of society. Despite enduring the trauma of his legal battle in the past five years, he has remained positive and compassionate towards others. During this trial, I have drove daily from Monticello, New York to Connecticut, three-hour each way trip, for two weeks to show my unwavering belief in his innocence.

Your Honor, the consequences of this case will not only affect Nick, but also all those who love and

know him. If he is incarcerated, we will feel the weight of that sentence. We will all feel the weight of that sentence. Respectfully, I ask the Court to grant Nick the opportunity of a new trial so that all the evidence can be brought forward.

In closing, I ask that you not to take away the best years of a good man's life without allowing him the chance to prove his innocence. Justice should not be about convenience. It should be about truth. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Tennant.

ATTY. BERKE: The next letter I have been asked to read is from Luke Lutterodt. I'm sure I'm mispronouncing that name. I will spell it: L-u-t-t-e-r-o-d-t. "My name is Luke Lutterodt. I am a United States Marine. I have been best friends in Nicholas Hall for over 20 years. Throughout all the years I have known Nick, he has been nothing but kind, caring, and compassionate. He consistently puts others before himself and is always willing to help anyone in need. Nick is also the godfather to my son Jayden. He has also been very close to my family for years, joining us for church, helping us feed the homeless on Sunday mornings, and being a positive presence in our lives.

I know without a doubt that Nick is innocent and does not deserve the sentencing that has been placed

upon him. I respectfully ask that you show mercy.

He's a devoted father, a loving son, a loyal friend
to many, and an overall good man who should never
have even placed in this situation. Thank you for
your time and consideration."

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

ATTY. BERKE: I have a letter from Daniel Leon.

It's spelled -- I'm sorry, Leen, L-e-e-n. "My name is Daniel Leen, and I'm a retired state police officer. I've known Nicholas Hall and his family for over 20 years through their family business, which I have trusted and relied upon for many years. Nick and his family were entrusted to work on my personal and family vehicles. I've always had complete confidence in their honesty and professionalism.

I have known Nick since he was a child. I watched him grow up in the business, and over the years, I have seen him consistently display kindness, respect, and a strong work ethic. He was polite, reliable, and carried himself with maturity, always treating customers with courtesy and care. These qualities were consistent, not occasional, and they left a lasting impression on me.

I understand Nicholas is now facing a very serious charge. I'm aware that he continues to assert his innocence. While I'm not here to question the legal process or make assumptions about the case,

I feel it's important to share my long standing experience with Nicholas. In all the years I've known him, I never saw him -- I never saw anything to suggest he was capable of what he has been accused and convicted of. To the contrary, I always found him to be a young man of integrity.

I hope this letter offers a fuller picture of the person Nicholas has been to those of us who have known him throughout his life. I respectfully ask the Court to take his character and history into account during the sentencing."

MS. GUNDERSON: Hello. First off, good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. GUNDERSON: My first name is Marissa, M-a-r-i-s-s-a, last name is Gunderson, G-u-n-d-e-r-s-o-n.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

MS. GUNDERSON: The reason -- first off, without reading off of what I wrote, I put on this hat because this belongs to Nick and he wears it every single day, so I try to keep my pieces of him close to me. Your Honor, my name is Marissa Gunderson, and for the last 3 years, 10 months, and 27 days, Nicholas Hall has been the one that I am proud to love each and every day. And in those same 3 years, 10 months, and 27 days, his parents, siblings, close friends, and everyone in between had graciously made

me feel like one of their own, giving me that kind of love and support that matches when you feel like you're in the right place that you are meant to be in, like you belong no matter where you are in your life.

2.2

I'm a New York native, born and raised in a hardworking household, and my parents were not handed anything in life other than life itself. It was my father who gave me my work ethic and my mother who gave me my patience and love of working with children. I have worked with children since I was one myself, beginning with your quintessential below minimum wage jobs, working at summer camps and operating birthday parties. The joy and purity that children possess and the love they bring to the table when they know you love them is why now, at the age of 25, I'm on the road to receiving my master's, already concluding my long, rigorous journey to begin teaching in the first place.

I have worked with children in underprivileged, low socioeconomic, and high-risk environments. There are definitive statistics for it, but it doesn't take rocket science to know that when you put children in unstable situations, they start to act and think with that same instability. I didn't have to grow to appreciate my students, even though there were days when curse words and threats from the mouths of nine-

and ten-year-olds were flying and sometimes we just needed to get through the day. I have worked with children who have lost loved ones to drugs, violence in the community, and disease.

2.2

I have worked with children who have already been victims of abuse in the broad spectrum that abuse even is, and it's very sad but true that I have also worked with children who are pathological liars. Most of them had comorbidities; in other words, had other diagnoses ranging from learning disabilities to behavioral disturbances such as bipolar depression and even personality disorders. But because they are children, they were given the benefit of the doubt from anyone who didn't know them as well as I or their own parents or guardians.

I worked with a children [sic] this year, actually, in the fifth grade, so she's about ten eleven years old. She had moved from Texas after the conclusion of a case where her stepfather had sexually abused her, and so she moved back to New York to be with her father and start a new life.

Around the month of April is when the boys and girls in this age group had a maturation class. The boys have theirs with the gym teacher, and the girls go with the nurse. She basically gives them the rundown on how our bodies grow, how to practice proper hygiene, and they don't really go into

explicit things that unfortunately most of those kids anticipated that they would be talking about that day. One of the girls that day had apparently raised her hand, and upon asking a question to the nurse, asked one that you would not imagine a ten-year-old would be capable of asking. But she did. She asked, ever so calmly and innocently at the same time, if you get raped on your period, could you get pregnant? The other girls just gasped in embarrassment and confusion, and the nurse was beside herself.

2.2

In the interim, the girl who had come from Texas the same year to escape her old life had a complete and utter breakdown. She was inconsolable to the very people she trusted each and every day. To this day, she cannot disclose the things that happened to her, let alone in a clear and concise way. She blacks out at times in class, staring off into space as if lost in thought, unconsolable, incoherent.

Real trauma.

This has been one of the most emotionally driven things I've written, so forgive me; I'm shaking. So as difficult as it was to start and conceptualize, I'm here today for an important cause and the most important person in my life. For a long time, I was able to repress the reality that the love of my life would have to prove his innocence, and knew when that time came that I would, without a shadow of a doubt,

be there for him. And it makes sense that this beautiful group of believers behind me have given him the same devotion because I know that Nick is the kind of individual that would be doing the same thing if any of us were in his shoes.

2.2

I have been in his presence and have witnessed the true compassion in the man he is since our shared beginning those 3 years, 10 months, and 27 days while I was working for wage pay at the local Dunkin' Donuts to make money while away at college. And I know that this wasn't five, ten, fifteen years ago, but I am and have always been somebody to catch on to sincerity and, unfortunately, the lack of in some very quickly, and I have been blessed to finally meet a man who not only cherishes who I am and every imperfect ounce of my being, but someone who looks for a light, even if life appears dim.

At the time of writing this letter, Your Honor, my mother was going to be in attendance. She was going to be up here next to me, rubbing my back and telling me that everything was going to be okay, something that she had always done, and Nick would be the person to carry the reins. Just about a month ago, Nick was sitting by my side, holding my hand firmly as I found out the tragic news that my mother was diagnosed with metastatic breast cancer, clinging onto hope in even in the midst of the stress in his

life. Leading up to this moment, he made sure that I would spend as much time as possible with my mom. I found myself in once again another predicament. I literally cried in solidarity that night, knowing the reality that I could be losing my mom and my best friend both to circumstances that are out of my control.

2.2

When we went to see her in the hospital, we checked out the gift shop, and Nick found a little cat chime to hang in her window so she could see a glimmer in the sun. He wrote her name on a walker that we both spotted being given away at the edge of somebody's driveway after knowing the hospital wouldn't give her one on request. He gave her a chocolate rose that he didn't even realize was chocolate, and she loved it. So simple and beautiful, and even in the worst of times, he told my mom that it is not the end and that she will be okay. She wishes she could be here today, and so I have to take a quick detour in my letter and make sure to mention that she has and always will believe that Nicholas Hall is an innocent man.

This is definitely something I could not have had ChatGPT write. I'm pretty sure my computer would have exploded, and by the end of this, you will think that this letter is full of emotions clearly written by someone stricken by love. Your Honor, you would

be correct, and I will proudly and honestly stand up in front of you and say as someone who has been through what I've been through in my life, naivety is off the table.

2.2

I could not sit down and write this letter by giving a handful of examples and scenarios that best encapsulate how Nicholas Hall is as a person because it's hard for me. It's not that it's challenging to do so because there haven't been enough examples, but after loving this man for as long as I did and after the endless days and hours spent by his side, I feel as though each and every moment I have had the privilege to witness the genuine beauty in who he is. I've been able to dish up essays with any information you may ever need or want to believe in something.

At the end of the day, Your Honor, whatever you believe is what you believe, and you may look at the seemingly broken and clearly distraught woman and feel sorry for her given the circumstances. But you know what? I don't feel sorry for myself, and I do not wish for anyone else to do so for me because these 3 years, 10 months, and 27 days have been the most fulfilling and extraordinary I've ever lived. I've been exposed to what love should be between two people, what a strong, loving family should look like, and how much perseverance and passion I have inside of me.

Nick was there for me during those treacherous times in me coming home from student teaching, flopping on the bed, sobbing, wondering how I was going to be able to get through another day. But God, I made it, and my person would sacrifice many potential movie nights and times to just relax after his long days of work to listen to my stories on how my lessons went for the day and which kid made me want to pull my hair out in my endless self-criticism because I wanted to make sure that when I went to school each day that I was making a difference. And in any moment of doubt, Nick reminded me of how much I was making a difference, and he didn't have to witness it; he just knew.

2.2

My obstacles outweighed the imaginable, and no matter what you can anticipate in this life, whether it's loss, disease, or deceit, nothing and no one can prepare you for when it happens. Well, Nick has been by my side through all of those things, and I have witnessed the same impact as he's made on me with so many other people we have come across since the first moment I was with him.

Embedded in all of my obstacles is the reality that I can be really brutal towards myself. I consider myself to be my own worst enemy. Nick has helped make me feel things I've never felt before. When I love, I love hard. It caught people off

guard. It has scared people before. But the thing is, it's who I've always been. It's how I see life as a whole, something short and precious and heartbreaking and a gift.

2.2

There's a song I love from a musical, actually, and the show is projected towards families, but it's got some hard hitting lyrics, such as, "Every life is unbelievably unlikely, the chances of existence almost infinitely small. The most common thing in life is life."

What I mean by that is that I'm more than aware of mortality. I remember trembling from fear when I stood over my grandma's open coffin, and she was just laying there, not snoring with her glasses half on, not tossing and turning. Nothing. And that's when I knew that I must preserve whatever good I can find in this world because I want to live a life -- live a love filled, meaningful life. Sorry, I worded that weirdly.

I went into relationships knowing it'd be imperfect, that I myself would make mistakes. I go into everything knowing the risk factors. But when my heart was really broken for the first time, I knew that that was the worst feeling in the world. The people I share my time with in this life are so important to me. Your Honor, without a shadow of a doubt do I know that Nicholas Hall is so important to

me. But I digress.

2.2

As I mentioned before, this would be one of the hardest things to put into words. I can stand still staring into my bathroom mirror with tears pouring down my face, professing to myself the perfect words to say in this courtroom to best encapsulate the worth that Nicholas Hall has, the impact he has made not just on my life, but the imperfect but bona fide human being that he is. I know in my heart that Nicholas Hall is special and in a way that those who know they cannot be trusted see those wonderful qualities as a challenge, as a test of their own character.

Life is complicated. It's hard when we find ourselves at crossroads, not knowing where to go, as if we're deer in the headlights. And even in the midst of my own self-doubt, when I do find my clarity, I am more than happy to be the woman who stands beside him, to trudge through the darkness and bask in joy.

I see the love in his eyes in the presence of his daughters, and even though I was only there once to meet W.T.H. when Nick's parents arranged one of his visits to take place at a laser tag birthday party for his nephew, I saw the same spark of love in his eyes that I see each time I hear A.S. laugh hysterically or call out to her daddy from her pink

princess Jeep. It warms my heart and gives me hope for the bright future, without the excruciating trials and tribulations he faces now, where the only fears he has are the ones that each father, let alone each person, faces.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

Your Honor, Nicholas Hall's an individual worthy of happiness because of all of the happiness he has brought to people, even on the smallest scale. witnessed people look and feel hopeless, and then after an unprovoked conversation with Nick, even if he's just being his usual goofy self, it's the positivity that he exudes unto others that has made those same hopeless individuals depart with the brightest of smiles and even a thank you. I understand the heart that resides with those thank yous because I myself feel that way each and every day having Nick in my life. We've been devoted to one another since the beginning, and we've shared our hopes and dreams of one day getting married and me giving him the opportunity to know what it's like to be a dad to his child, no matter what day of the week.

To close off, I want to show you my first and only tattoo that I have on my left forearm. I learned very early on in my life that what you choose to put on your body is a commitment, not just a commitment to the actual artist putting the ink into

your skin, but to yourself as the person carrying the words or the image each and every breath you take.

Mine is clearly half a heart, to which Nick shares the other half. You might not be able to see it because the sleeve's not up.

There's a story behind the image inside, though. You see, prior to graduating college, I was knees deep in my education, and Nick and I were living together. He was holding us financially stable the entire time, and so when we had that shared time, just as we did our first few weeks together, we would just drive and explore. We stumbled upon this spot along the Hudson River that's connected to a state park. So you have the option to hike in different directions, picnic with your family, or just find a cozy spot along the water to rest your mind.

It was a warm summer day, and we got so lucky to have found a desolate spot along the river coated in enormous rocks, sea glass, and you can only hear the nature around you. He reached down to grab two flat stones and asked me if I had ever skipped stones before. I said that, funny enough, I've tried a million times but could never get one to skip. He said, "Oh, well, today we're going to get one to skip."

I remember him showing me my form, how I should hold my arm at the right angle, and he said, "Don't

be afraid to throw it as hard as you can and let it skim across the water." After a few attempts, I'll never forget watching the stone I just released jump across the water two times and sink. After that, Nick was more preoccupied about finding stones for me to throw than practicing himself.

2.2

The day was simple and pure, and as overcomplicated his life was even in that very moment — because, Your Honor, as long as I've known him, he's been going through this. With the possibility of a day like this, Nick was able to form beautiful, pure memories, as he's managed to do every day over the last five years, let alone his entire life.

Now, I'm not religious, but I do believe in divinity. I actually grew up Catholic. I had to take a class on the Bible in college to count for English credits, so I was able to recount a lot of what I lost over the years since being a church kid. Your Honor, in case you may not know this information, it is said in the Bible that Jesus himself was an innocent man wrongly accused. Jesus the innocent one was betrayed, unjustly rejected, and falsely condemned by the guilty, who sentenced him to be crucified as a criminal, and he believed in truth, and so do I.

I also believe in innocence, I believe in sincerity, I believe in facts, I believe in

compassion, I believe in love, and I believe in my best friend. Your Honor, I ask for you to consider the reasonable doubt that was most certainly presented in Nick's case because, as you know, reasonable doubt is always present when the accused is innocent. I humbly ask that you grant his request for appeal and allow him to work towards presenting his case further in a way that he wasn't able to before.

This was a sentence I was gulping while writing, but I must also humbly ask that you please propose granting my best friend a second chance of proving himself with a new trial or granting him an appeal with bond. Please do something that in your heart you know is right and just for someone who is innocent. Because we know he is. Your Honor, the love of my life is innocent, and nothing will sway me to believe otherwise. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gunderson.

ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor, Nicholas Hall's brother, Mitchel Hall, could not be here, but his brother Chris wished to read his letter on his behalf.

THE COURT: So this is a letter from Mitchel Hall but read by Chris Hall?

ATTY. BERKE: Correct.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed. Thank

2.2

you.

2.2

MR. CHRISTOPHER HALL: Good afternoon, Your
Honor, on my brother's behalf. He couldn't be here
because of personal and work reasons out of state.
"My name is Mitchel Hall, and I am the brother of
Nicholas Hall. I currently reside at 594 River Road
Jacksboro, Tennessee, and I am employed at Road Works
as a full-depth reclamation technician, where I have
worked for over a year. Prior to relocating to
Tennessee, I worked at my family's business. I have
lived between Tennessee and New York for roughly six
years. Unfortunately, I am unable to attend Nick's
sentencing hearing in person, but I want to express
my unwavering support for him and his continued claim
of innocence.

Growing up, our family spent much of our time camping, traveling, and enjoying outdoor activities. We often gathered with extended family and friends for social events. Nick was always smiling, quick to lend a hand, and had a natural ability to make people laugh. In school, he was well loved, had many friends, and was often called the class clown.

It was because of Nick that I met my fiancée
Whitney, whom I have been with since 2018. He joined
me on a trip to Tennessee for a car event, and during
that trip I met Whitney. We later attended Nick's
wedding, and I was living in New York when my nieces,

A.S. and W.T.H. were born. I have seen Nick as a devoted father, showing love, responsibility, and care.

2.2

Nick was always the one I was closest to growing up. Between the laughs, playful banter, and playing video games together, we built an unreal bond.

Seeing him grow from a crazy goofball to the amazing person and father he's become couldn't be more amazing. Seeing him with his kids and how much they love him and never want to leave when they had to was amazing to witness as well. He has always been a loving, amazing father, and I have no doubt he will continue to be.

Nick has always been the brother I've been closest with, and I look forward to every talk I get to have with him. He always does so much to make sure everyone around him is happy, laughing, and never has any problems. If anyone ever had a problem, he's the first one there. He's always been dependable and outgoing, and to this day, I know if I ever needed anything, he'd be there.

For as long as I can remember, Nick has shown unwavering integrity, loyalty, and kindness. It is sincerely hoped that the Court will weigh his lifelong character and his steadfast claim of innocence when making its decisions in this matter. Thank you for your time and for considering my

perspective. Sincerely, Mitchel Hall."

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for reading on behalf of your brother.

ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor, I have a letter that I've been asked to read from Michael Taylor. "I'm writing this letter to express my heartfelt support for Nicholas Hall, whom I've had the privilege of knowing for the past 15 years. I've known Nicholas since he was a teenager, and over the years, I've watched him grow into a thoughtful, respectful, and responsible young man.

I have been married for 28 years and am a parent to a wonderful son. I work as a telecommunications professional. I've been employed in the field for 25 years. My work has taught me the importance of responsibility, integrity, and consistency, qualities I also recognize in Nicholas.

From the start, Nicholas has always carried himself with humility and sincerity. I remember one instance when he helped organize a neighborhood cleanup after a storm, gathering friends and supplies without being asked. Another time he volunteered to drive an elderly neighbor to doctor's appointments for several weeks when her family couldn't. These kind of gestures have been typical of his nature.

Most recently, I witnessed a powerful moment while sitting in the gallery of trial involving

Nicholas. Despite the stress and emotion of the situation, I watched him carry himself with quiet dignity. The courtroom was emotionally charged, and at times the atmosphere became particularly tense due to a member of the prosecution's family repeatedly turning toward our section with visible agitation and expressions of anger.

2.2

Additionally, it was concerning to observe what appeared to be a member of the prosecution's family providing nonverbal cues or direction to the plaintiff during the proceedings. This behavior created a sense of discomfort among those of us seated nearby. Throughout it all, Nicholas remained composed and respectful. His ability to maintain professionalism under such pressure was a clear reflection of his character and the seriousness with which he approaches the situation at hand.

Whether offering support to friends during tough times, helping with community projects, or simply being someone others can rely on, Nicholas has always shown himself to be caring and conscientious. I have seen him navigate life's challenges with grace and accountability, including when he took responsibility for a mistake at work and made things right, even though it would have been easier to deflect blame.

No one is without flaws, but I know the man Nicholas is and, more importantly, the man he still

1 is becoming. I respectfully ask the Court to 2 consider this letter as a sincere reflection of his 3 character and the potential he continues to hold. 4 Thank you for your time and considering my words." 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have many more? 6 ATTY. BERKE: Yes. 7 THE COURT: And the only reason I'm asking this 8 is my staff has been here since the trial began at 9 10:00, and they are entitled to lunch and I'm not 10 going to take that from them. ATTY. BERKE: There are eight more. 11 12 THE COURT: There are eight more? Okay, so what 13 we're going to do now -- I think it's probably 14 appropriate to take a break at this point. I'll ask 15 Madam Clerk if you could please notify counsel --16 well, I know there's members of the State here --17 that I'm bumping back the trial until 3:00 at the 18 earliest. 19 So with that, I apologize to everyone, but --20 and here's how we're going to proceed out of court. 21 I'm going to ask that everyone in the family over 22 here in the jury box go out the door first, and then 23 everyone else could follow thereafter. So with that, 24 I'm going to stay on the bench, but we'll recess for 25 lunch. 26 (A recess was taken. Court resumes)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. Please be

1 seated. Thank you. We're back -- I'm going to wait. 2 We're back on the sentencing of Nicholas Hall, 3 CR2336785. Attorney Berke, thank you, and I hope you 4 appreciate and understand that I needed to break for 5 lunch. ATTY. BERKE: Certainly, sir.

THE COURT: But you may proceed.

ATTY. BERKE: Christopher Hall?

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. CHRISTOPHER HALL: Afternoon, Your Honor. This is my own letter this time. My name is Christopher Hall, and I am the older brother of Nicholas Hall. I currently work as a geotechnical driller, and prior to that, I was employed in my family's business. Nicholas is my younger brother, and I have attended most of his trial alongside my wife Nicole. I continue to support him wholeheartedly in his fight for his liberty and his efforts to clear his name.

The stories and memories we have together are priceless. From sports growing up, skateboarding, BMX, racing quads, video games, making music, editing videos, the list goes on. My brother has always been in my corner and highly supportive with anything I've ever done, the same as I.

I have witnessed Nicholas's positive influence on those around him, especially in his role as an

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

26

1 uncle to my son Colton, who is now ten years old. 2 Nicholas has always been actively involved in 3 Colton's life, and their bond is something I deeply 4 value. His patience, kindness, and ability to 5 connect with my son are clear examples of his 6 character. 7 I sincerely hope the Court will take into 8 account my brother's character, his dedication to his 9 family, and the unwavering support he has from those 10 who know him best in making decisions in this case. Thank you for your time and consideration. 11 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hall. 13 ATTY. BERKE: Victoria Hall? 14 MS. VICTORIA HALL: Hello. 15 THE COURT: Hello. 16 MS. VICTORIA HALL: My name is Victoria Hall. 17 I'm the youngest and only sister of Nicholas Hall. 18 can't do this. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 ATTY. BERKE: "I'm the youngest and only sister 21 of Nicholas Hall. I'm 26 years old and have worked 2.2 in the real estate field for the past five years. I 23 write this letter with deep sincerity to speak about the brother I have known my entire life, someone I 24 25 love, respect, and continue to believe in.

27 my best friend, and someone I could always turn to.

Nick is not only my brother, he is my supporter,

He is someone who's seen me at my worst and still loves me unconditionally. From as early as I can remember, my brother guided, protected, and supported me through everything, and he will always be someone I look up to. He has made me laugh during difficult times, encouraged me when I doubted myself, and has consistently been there without question. I've always known him to be a very selfless person with a good heart and someone who helps others without hesitation.

2.2

Growing up as the only girl in a family with three older brothers was certainly a challenge, but it also came with a deep sense of safety. I always knew I was never alone. I always had someone to call if I needed anything. My brothers, especially Nick, were my protectors, watching out for me in ways I didn't realize while pushing me to be strong and stand my ground. That upbringing shaped who I am today, and Nicholas was at the center of it all.

As a teenager and a young girl, I had many sleepovers with friends at our home. Every stage of life from childhood into adulthood, I have observed Nick's interactions with children and others. I can honestly say that I have never seen anything that would raise concern, especially considering the allegations in this case. My experience has only ever reflected a caring, respectful, and responsible

person who values the well-being of others.

I've had Nicholas by my side my whole life as my brother but also a true best friend. He supported me through heartbreak and personal hardship, including one of the hardest times in my life, my divorce. He showed me strength when I felt weak and reminded me who I was when I had forgotten. He reminded me that I deserved happiness and helped me believe in it again.

I share these things because I want to provide context and perspective on the man I know beyond these allegations. Your Honor, I ask you from the deepest part of my heart to please consider the whole person my brother is, the person he has always been to me and to so many others.

Nick is a father to two beautiful daughters, and I've witnessed firsthand his deep love and commitment to them. He is also the most amazing uncle to my seven-month-old daughter, Penelope Rose. Even under the weight of this situation, he continues to try to show up for his family however he can. He means so much to us, to me, and he still has so much more to give to this world.

I have been through this situation with Nick since day one, and I will continue to stand by him. He has maintained his innocence in pursuing post-conviction relief, and I believe it is his right to

do so. Regardless of what happens, I hope my words help paint a fuller picture of who Nicholas Hall truly is: a loving, supportive, selfless man who has a profound impact on my life and the lives of so many others."

MS. VICTORIA HALL: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

ATTY. BERKE: Mr. Golino has asked to read a letter that was submitted by Glenn Schaeffer.

THE COURT: So it's being read by Mr. Golino?

ATTY. BERKE: It's written by Glenn Schaeffer,

S-c-h-a-e-f-f-e-r.

MR. GOLINO: "Dear Honorable Judge McShane, I am writing this letter today to share my deep concern and support for Nicholas Hall. I've known Nicholas and his family for over 27 years. We have raised our families side by side. As our children have grown through the years, Nicholas has always shown respect and empathy for everyone he has encountered during his years.

We have raised our daughter with the same family values that the Halls have done with their three sons and their daughter. Each one of their children have always displayed respect and courtesy to our family and to the town residents where we reside. Nicholas and his family are well known in our town for the reasons of providing community service when required,

not only for homeowners, but also for our municipality.

2.2

I have watched Nicholas grow from a child into a young man and promising adult that his family can be proud of. Nicholas has always worn his heart on his sleeve. I have watched him love and nurture his children over the years. I'm sure you realize that, being a lifelong neighbor and raising our children together, there are many instances that I would recite for Your Honor.

Being an honorable judge in your capacity, you have seen many cases come through your courtroom. I was personally there every day for his trial. I witnessed many emotions from the entire courtroom.

Many things were said in respect to Nicholas's character, and never once has Nicholas been disrespectful. Your Honor, you have watched Nicholas throughout his trial. He always displayed professionalism and courtesy to you, the jury, and the prosecution and all to the family members on both sides of the trial. This is truly a testament of who Nicholas is as a man.

I have been a local builder in our community as well as Orange County for 32 years. I have made families' dreams come to reality. Working in this capacity, I've met many parents and siblings. The individuals that had the pleasure of meeting Nicholas

had always spoken kindly about his personality and aura. Nicholas has always taken accountability for his actions, no matter what the capacity might have been.

Your Honor, I know you have a challenging job ahead of you. Please know that Nicholas is a good man, a loving father, and a devoted member to the Hall family. The members of the Hall family are very close and they live within the same town. Honorable Judge McShane, please consider this letter as a testament of Nicholas Hall's character. In advance, your time and attention are greatly appreciated. Respectfully, Glenn Schaeffer."

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, sir, for reading for Mr. Schaeffer.

ATTY. BERKE: I was asked to read a letter from Magda Hunt, M-a-g-d-a, last name H-u-n-t. "I'm writing to support Nicholas Hall, the defendant in this case. Let me introduce myself. My name is Maggie Hunt, and I've been self-employed as a seamstress for 40 years. I'm retired from West Point as a contract officer for 28 years.

My late husband is Judge Kevin Hunt, who presided as town justice in our hometown of Shawangunk for roughly 30 years. He retired from the Department of Corrections after serving 27 years as a deputy superintendent. He also owned and operated

Hunt Tile and Ceramics for over 30 years. During his lifetime, he served on the environmental commission, as a town councilman, town supervisor, and as an Ulster County legislator. He was a pillar of our community. We were married for more than 15 years.

I had met Nick and the Halls through my husband, Kevin Hunt, who had known the Halls and Nicholas for over 25 years. He and Nick's father had met in the Lions Club in the early 2000s. My husband was the judge who married Nick and [G.] in December 2018. We offered to host their wedding at our home. Kevin and I were surprised that none of [G.]'s family attended the wedding. Her mother did attend virtually from California.

When I think of Nick, I think of a very respectful young man, constructive, always asking to see who needs help. I trusted Nick enough that I rented him an apartment in my home. He was always good to help with taking out the garbage, shoveling snow, feeding my cat, and asking if I needed anything. Always a good morning or hello. I would let him move in with me anytime. He was a great tenant and is a wonderful person. Sometimes his daughter [A.] would visit with her mother or grandmother, and I'd look out the window and see the big smile on his face. He's a good father.

When these allegations were made, my husband and

2.2

I were very shocked. We had socialized with [G.], Nick, and the girls many times. We never observed any behavior that raised any type of suspicion regarding Nicholas in his role as a stepfather or father. My husband had stated that, given his career in the Department of Corrections, he had been very familiar with people incarcerated for these type of charges. He had said to me numerous times he did not believe Nick would have done any harm to a child. He did not believe the allegations. We were aware at the time of Nick and his wife's separation. We were also aware of her demands regarding the custody of their child W.T.H.

2.2

During their short marriage, my observations of Nick as a stepfather and father were that he was attentive, he adored the children, and the children adored him. His daughter W.T.H. was the apple of his eye. He was a proud dad. We shared many holidays and family functions with the Halls, which included Nick and G.T. on many occasions. Those functions also included my children and grandchildren.

I have two stepsons -- two sons, a stepson, and a stepdaughter. I have six grandchildren. He was around my grandchildren. I never felt uneasy or that they were in any danger. Neither my husband nor I ever observed anything that would cause concern regarding Nick. He has always conducted himself with

humility and compassion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I ask Your Honor to please consider what I have shared as a reflection of Nick's character and who he truly is as a person. It is our hope that this letter provides valuable insight for Your Honor as the sentence for Nick is determined." Your Honor, to the extent that I referenced G.T. or anyone else, I ask that be stricken and replaced.

THE COURT: Thank you.

ATTY. DAVIS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes, I didn't want to interrupt. Thank you.

ATTY. BERKE: This is a letter I received from Lisa Savitt. "My name is Lisa Savitt. I'm writing this letter in support of Nicholas Hall." Savitt is spelled S-a-v-i-t-t. "I have known Nick for the past 17 years. I first met Nicholas in October 2008 through his mother when he was only 15 years old. At the time, I was living in Connecticut prior to relocating to Florida in the winter of 2016.

Over the years, I had many opportunities to spend time with Nicholas and his family during birthday parties, holidays, and other family gatherings. I also attended a number of fundraisers with Nicholas and his family, which allowed me to observe him in both personal and community settings. He was always helpful on our fundraiser walk for

Chiari malformation. His family would drive to Connecticut to support us. He helped with whatever I asked him to do.

2.2

Through these interactions, I came to know
Nicholas as a respectful, kind, and well-mannered
individual. He has an infectious, bubbly personality
that naturally draws people in and brings a sense of
joy to any setting. He consistently displayed warmth
towards his family members and was courteous and
friendly with others. I never had to worry about
him. He would make friends even in situations where
he didn't know many people. He carried himself with
sincerity and maturity even from a young age.
Nicholas has always impressed me as someone who
values family, connection, and community.

I offer this letter to speak to the positive character I have witnessed firsthand over nearly two decades of knowing him. It is sincerely hoped that the Court will consider the information presented in this letter when making sentencing decisions regarding Nicholas. Thank you, Lisa Savitt."

The next letter is from Shanon Ingrassia, I-n-g-r-a-s-s-i-a. "My name is Shanon Ingrassia, I live at 5 Van Alst Road, Montgomery, New York. I have served as a funeral director at RLHI Funeral Home for the past 18 years. I have been married to my husband, Anthony Ingrassia, for 17 years, and we are blessed

with two daughters, Allison and Sara.

2.2

I have known Nicholas for his entire life. His mother Darla has been my close friend since grade school, and my mother was her godmother. Over the decades, our families has been closely connected, sharing countless milestones, celebrations, and challenges together.

From the time he was young, Nick stood out to me as the most respectful, mature, and considerate of Darla's children. He consistently demonstrated integrity, responsibility, and kindness, qualities that have only strengthened with age. I have always valued my conversations with him, finding him to be thoughtful, grounded, and sincere.

Nick is also a deeply devoted father to his daughters, A and W.T.H. His love for them is profound, and he is hoping to have the opportunity in the future to have a more active role in their lives. I know how much he misses them during this difficult time. The pain of that separation is clear, but so is his determination to remain positive and hopeful.

I want to express my unwavering belief in Nick's steadfast claim of innocence. Knowing him for as long as I have, I cannot reconcile the accusations against him with the man I know, one who values honesty, loyalty, and family above all else. I believe in his character. I believe in the truth of

his words.

2.2

Your Honor, I respectfully ask that you consider the decades of consistent character, responsibility, and compassion that Nick has shown to those around him. He is a good man, a loving father, and someone whose life still holds promise for his family and community. Thank you for your time and consideration." Robert Hall?

MR. ROBERT HALL: I'll try to do this. Morning, Your Honor. My name is Robert Hall, and I'm the proud father of Nicholas Hall. My wife and I have been together for 39 years, raising four children and now blessed with four grandchildren, two of whom are Nicholas's daughters. I have owned and operated an auto repair center for 29 years, where Nicholas has worked for most of his life. Customers regularly compliment me on his politeness, kindness, and his humor. He is an asset to the business, and I hope someday he can take it over. From the day Nicholas was born --

THE COURT: Take your time, please.

MR. ROBERT HALL -- his smile lit up the room. He grew up in a loving, closeknit family, enjoying camping, snowboarding, and four wheeling. He has always been honest, compassionate, protective of those around him. He is a kind man. He's the kind of man who will stop and help an injured animal,

nurse it back to health, and release it, something he has done many times. His relationship with his siblings, Chris, Mitchel, and Victoria, are a strong and unbreakable bond. Most importantly, Nick is a devoted and loving father whose daughters light up when they see him.

2.2

When Nicholas was married to [G.], I saw
firsthand the bond they shared and he shared with her
daughters, L.T. and S.T. He treated them as his own,
playing with them in our yard, pushing them on the
tree swing, spending quality time together. From the
beginning, we welcomed them into our family.
Unfortunately, I saw concerning behavior from [G.],
such as allowing her girls to watch inappropriate,
sexual, and profane content on TikTok, MTV music
videos, and Teen Mom. When I objected, she told me
they were allowed to do that and dismissed my
concerns.

Nicholas's marriage to [G.] eventually broke down, and she filed for divorce, requesting sole and legal physical custody of [W.] -- I'm sorry, W.T. When Nicholas refused to sign the papers, [G.] told me directly if he didn't agree, he would never see his daughter again. Soon after that, he was arrested and charged with these allegations. I believe that is the whole motive behind this for the custody dispute. Nicholas has never been in trouble with the

law before this case, and I truly believe he is innocent.

2.2

During the trial, I witnessed two women coaching [L.], nodding their head yes and no in response to questions. Even after the marshals told them to stop, they continued. I also remember Your Honor acknowledging in the court that you made a mistake when the jury requested to see the divorce papers, and I respectfully ask that this mistake does not be the reason my son loses his freedom, his daughters, and they lose their father.

I truly have faith in God, and I am by no means ashamed to show it, display it, or own it. God is the only thing that I have faith in, and He has never let us down. Nicholas has shown nothing but respect for the justice system. He has never missed a court date, failed to sign in at bail bondsman. He has continued to pay child support for W.T. and A.S. without complaint, despite being kept from them. His daughters deserves to their father in their lives. We as a family deserve to have our son, our brother back home.

Your Honor, you don't personally know my son, but I ask you to see him through the eyes of those who love him. Nicholas is kind, caring, and deeply devoted to his family. He does not deserve the guilty verdict he received, and I believe the appeal

1 process will show the truth if given the chance. 2 respectfully and urgently ask you to grant bond 3 pending appeal so Nicholas can be with his family 4 while he fights for justice. Thank you for your 5 consideration. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 7 ATTY. DAVIS: Your Honor, I just ask that G.T.'s 8 name be stricken. I think L.T.'s name was said too. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. I did not want to 10 interrupt. ATTY. DAVIS: Me neither. 11 12 ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor, as well, W.T.H. was 13 referenced. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Sorry, I missed that. 15 MS. DARLA HALL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 17 MS. DARLA HALL: My name is Darla Hall, and I am 18 the mother of Nicholas Hall. I'm writing to express 19 my support for my son. My husband and I have been 20 together for 39 years, and I am blessed to have four 21 children, Christopher, Nicholas, Mitchel, and 22 Victoria. Nicholas is my second born child, and he 23 is also the father of two of my four grandchildren: 24 Colton, who is ten; A.S., who is six; W.T.H., who is 25 five, and Penelope, who is seven months old.

In light of the fact that I did not testify on

behalf of Nicholas and share with the jury and Your

26

Honor who he truly is, I'm taking this opportunity to share my observations that pertain to this case as well as give you a broader picture of who Nicholas truly is. He is not merely a defendant in this case. He's a caring, loving, compassionate father, friend, brother, and son.

Nicholas has consistently demonstrated his willingness to assist family and friends in times of need, whether by helping with moving, pet sitting, providing transportation, troubleshooting computer issues, or just offering emotional support. He is known for his infectious smile and vibrant personality, often bringing joy to social gatherings. Moreover, I frequently receive praise from friends regarding his respectfulness and politeness.

Nicholas has a profound love for animals and has gone to great lengths to assist those in distress. For instance, he rescued an injured hawk, a baby rabbit under attack, and a white dove whom he named Dovinchy.

Nicholas possesses a passion for music and art.

He received music lessons as a child and has

experience playing the piano, guitar, French horn,

and African drums. He enjoys drawing and anything

that fosters creativity. His passion for media work

has been evident. He's documented the story of an

individual affected by an environmental hazard

resulting from a utility company's misconduct. He has been honored with invitations to galleries in Manhattan to document art shows featuring world renowned artists. He has created media content for a nonprofit organization that employs horses for therapeutic purposes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

I would also like to share my observations regarding his role as a husband to G.T. and stepfather to her children. When Nicholas and G.T. approached me with their desire to marry in November of 2018, I had reservations. They had intended to elope but needed his birth certificate, which I had.

I took the opportunity to sit down with them in my dining room to express my concerns. I informed G.T. that Nicholas was not in a position to provide for a wife and two children, particularly since he had just learned that he was going to be a father himself. His ex-girlfriend had informed him of her pregnancy in September. G.T. assured me that she was financially stable, and she highlighted that Nicholas provided emotional support in various ways, despite his financial limitations. Although I felt uneasy, I respected their independence as adults and chose to support my son's decision to marry her. We welcomed G.T. and her children into our family, with her referring to us as Mom and Dad and her children calling us Grammy and Grandpa.

We were subsequently blessed with W.T.H. from their short marriage. She is at the center of this case and stands to lose her biological father. Nick Hall's ex-wife has made significant efforts to replace him with her ex-husband, Checho, and her partner, Brooklyn, whom she refers to as Daddy and Momma Brook. My granddaughter has told me that she has two daddies and two mommies.

2.2

It is essential to note that G.T. informed the Department of Children and Protective Services, DCF, of her intention to terminate Nicholas's parental rights, an identical demand that she made filed [sic] for the divorce in March of 2020, two months prior to the allegations arose [sic]. Nicholas expressed to G.T. that he would never consent to relinquish his parental rights regarding their daughter. She sought sole legal and physical custody, and this constitutes motive behind the entire case.

The jury appeared to recognize this motive when they requested the divorce records, which Your Honor acknowledged by stating, "Folks, I made a mistake."

I believe this moment significantly influenced the jury's deliberations and ultimately the verdict. Had the jury been allowed to review the dissolution of marriage filed on March 10, 2020 as well as the finalized divorce records which state he would lose his parenting time if he loses his liberty, they

would have observed that G.T. initially sought sole legal custody with primary physical custody over two months before the allegations emerged.

2.2

This contradicts her testimony, in which she stated that Nicholas was a good father, they maintained a positive coparenting relationship after their separation. This begs the question, why, if that were the case, would she seek sole primary custody?

Ironically, the date on which the alleged act was disclosed coincided with the birthday of Nicholas's other child, May 21st. This was also the first day that Nicholas posted a picture of himself with his other child, A.S., on social media. Is that just a coincidence?

G.T. stated in her initial report to the police that her daughter made this confession to her friend's daughter while they were swimming at her friend's pool on May 21st. However, her story changed a couple of weeks later. She then claimed that the report was made overnight when her children spent the night at her friend's house. Why was none of this questioned? Why did the police not investigate the inconsistency in her stories?

I want to share something personal. I was sexually molested when I was five years old by my neighbor. My brother, who was nine at the time,

witnessed the assault. I'm sharing this not for sympathy, but to explain that I understand what it is like to be a victim of such a heinous act. I know what it looks like and feels like to be around your abuser. If you have not experienced this, you can only speculate about how someone behaves and reacts in the presence of their abuser. Just a touch on your shoulder can make you tense up or try to move away. You go to great lengths to avoid being alone with that person, often placing people or other objects between you.

2.2

From what I observed, I did not see any of this behavior from the alleged victim. While I may not be an expert, I can speak from experience. I saw a child who was thrilled to have a father figure who she said looked like her, sat by him at almost all our family functions. At times, when she missed her biological father, who passed away from an accidental drug overdose in September of 2015, she would stand beside Nicholas for support. She wouldn't even go to the dentist unless Nicholas was there to hold her hand.

I also witnessed her devastation when Nicholas and her mother separated. Her mother sent a text message to my husband after their separation, expressing it was the saddest thing she'd ever seen. The message from G.T. stated L.T. was saying how,

when she married Nick, she finally had a dad of her own because S.T.'s dad looked like her, and Nick and L.T. looked the same. Now she doesn't have a dad. Would you consider that to be motive?

2.2

Additionally, how is it that G.T. claimed to be so scared when she requested the order of protection in June of 2020, yet we received harassing calls for over a half an hour at 10 p.m. on Nicholas and G.T.'s wedding anniversary, December 8, 2020? The calls were traced by the phone company using pound 58 and provided to the local police via subpoena. The calls were made from Carolyn Shiavo, or Brooklyn's, cell phone. My home number is unlisted, and I have never met Brooklyn. She is from Saratoga, New York, which is about three hours northwest of where I live. How would Brooklyn obtain my phone number? Why would she be calling me on December 8th?

During the trial, I observed Kelsey Gardiner, who was sitting directly in front of my husband and I, seemingly coaching the alleged victim by shaking her head yes in response to a no answer given by the alleged victim during questioning by the prosecutors. The question involved sexual details related to the alleged act. Kelsey is a close friend of Nicholas's ex-wife. My husband and I witnessed the marshal, a young woman with bright red hair, give a verbal warning to Ms. Gardiner. After the court took a

break for lunch, a friend sitting across the courtroom informed me that he and his son observed similar behavior from an older woman with gray hair in a ponytail, who also received a verbal warning from another marshal on the opposite end of the seating area.

2.2

We informed Attorney Berke of what we had witnessed. He brought it to your attention, noting that not only we, but also our friends and the marshals, observed this behavior. Attorney Berke even asked the alleged victim, while questioning her about the audio recording and using her own words, if there was a reason why she was looking over there. She responded, "I'm just confused." What is there to be confused about? Those were her statements in the audio recording. Why is it not viewed as coaching? Why would someone need to coach a victim who is recalling a memory?

If the jury had been aware of this coaching, wouldn't it have influenced their judgment of the alleged victim's testimony and ultimately the verdict? How does this not undermine the Court's ability to ensure a fair and impartial outcome?

During the discovery process, private investigators contacted many of G.T.'s ex-boyfriends, her ex-husband Checho, friends and family members of L.T.'s biological father, her ex-stepfather, and her

ex-brother-in-law. The investigators reported conversations from those interviews. I know that much of what we discovered could not be utilized during the trial, as it was considered hearsay.

2.2

Many of those interviewed were reluctant to provide any written statement, affidavit, or get involved due to the family's influence and fear of retaliation. One of my -- one mother of an exboyfriend stated G.T. was the worst thing that ever happened to him. After listening to the recordings, I was left with significant concerns.

There were many inconsistencies in what G.T. had told us about her past. For instance, she claimed to have been kidnapped as a teen. To date, no evidence have been found to support such a claim. It's my understanding that no charges were ever filed. That is what one interviewed person indicated. He was an adult who was in a relationship with G.T.'s mother at the time of the alleged kidnapping.

G.T. claimed that L.T.'s father had been stabbed to death in prison for murdering his pregnant girlfriend. That is false. David Carter died from an accidental drug overdose in North Dakota after a traffic stop. He has never been incarcerated. It was also discovered that G.T. and L.T. spoke to her biological father's relatives in California in the summer of 2020, just a few weeks after the report of

the allegations. There was no mention of these
allegations to her biological grandmother. Why would

I want to point out that when Nicholas was arrested, there were allegedly two victims. charges were dismissed about the other victim. Oddly enough, after the trial, G.T. commented under an old article by the Daily Freeman dated June 16, 2020 regarding Nicholas's arrest. She stated, "I am the mother of the girls. Today he got convicted of four out of six counts and is facing 70 years in jail." She posted this under her full name. There was no clarification that the charges pertained one, not This post was five years old. Why would two. someone so concerned about their identity of them or their child be withheld from the public and then publicly post this?

you not share that information with her grandmother?

On the day the verdict was announced, Nicholas's daughter A.S.'s mother received a test message from [G.] -- excuse me, G.T. -- that said, "You're welcome." She had not had any communication with her whatsoever. G.T. even refused our repeated requests over the last five years for A.S. to meet her sister W.T.H. What would be the purpose of that text message?

The recording that G.T. shared with the police which she claims to have made on May 22, 2020 with

4

5

3

6

8

7

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

. .

the alleged victim was not entered into evidence.

One might wonder why the prosecutors did not provide this recording to the jury for their review. The

recording begins with L.T. saying, "It's a nice day

out." G.T. responds with, "It is." However, G.T.

testified that it was the worst day of her life,

stating that she went outside and threw up in her

front yard after receiving a call from her friend

Jenn. How can she agree that it's a nice day out

after such a traumatic experience?

Before any alleged acts are mentioned, L.T. states, "These are all weird words." To this, G.T. replies, "Well, say different words, and I'll know what you're talking about." Why would she use different words from her own, and how can she know what she's talking about if she's speaking with her for the first time? At the end of the recording, L.T makes an alleged remark.

17 seconds later, G.T.s asks, "Do you want me to make you pancakes or do you want me to order food?"

L.T. replies, "Can we get donuts?" G.T. responds,

"Yeah." L.T. then asks, "What about, like, a dozen

or two?" How can they be discussing breakfast after

what she described as the worst conversation of her

life? Their demeanor during this exchange completely

contradicts the alleged gravity of the conversation.

Detective Wheeler's report states, "On May 27,

2020, I contacted the victim's mother via phone. The victim's mother advised that she would send the audio recording of the conversation she had with her daughter. On June 1, 2020, the audio recording that L.T.'s mother states she took of her conversation

with L.T. on May 22nd was received."

Officer Fortunato claims that G.T. informed her of the audio recording on May 22nd, the day she filed the first statement. Officer Fortunato states that she did not obtain the recording on that day.

Officer Fortunato also testified that she made a call to DCF right after the meeting with G.T. on May 22nd at 1356 hours, or 1:56 p.m. Officer Fortunato testified that as an officer, she is a mandated reporter. Officer Fortunato never made a report to DCF on May 22, 2020 or any date after regarding these allegations. In addition, how did Officer Fortunato testify that she made a report at 1:56 p.m. to DCF, when she began her testimony indicating that she worked evenings and her shift started at 3 p.m.?

A report was made by G.T.'s family attorney on May 22, 2020, who made a statement to DCF that G.T.'s uncle is on the Board of Commissioners for the Trumbull PD. One would have to question how they thought that was relevant to the report to DCF.

I would like to share with you some social media comments that were posted under a shared news article

2.2

when Nick was arrested. These are unsolicited comments from people who grew up with Nicholas, friends, coworkers, family, people who have known Nick for years, decades, or his entire life. "Nick would never even sexually assault two little girls. That's crazy." "I don't know if I believe that. I've known Nick since I was five. He's never given off that pedo vibe. He's too good of a person." "I was close with him for a while. We went to California together a couple of years back. I don't believe he did the sexual abuse thing." "I don't know if I believe that." "I don't know if I believe The sexual assault on children? I don't see it." "I've known Nick since high school, and I've always thought very highly of him. He was always just a really good dude."

Through this trial, we gain insight into what the prosecutors aimed to try. However, the truth is that the prosecutors do not know Nicholas. The detectives do not know Nicholas. The experts do not know Nicholas. You, Your Honor, do not know Nicholas. Even the constancy witness and her mother do not truly know him. They have met him only a handful of times. Nicholas and his now ex-wife were together for just 16 months, and as she testified, their relationship was sporadic. The alleged victim attended school and frequently visited her sister's

father's house in New York. This raises the question, how many hours a week did Nicholas spend at his ex-wife's home in Trumbull considering their intermittent relationship?

I would also like to clarify the reason my family and friends wore crosses during the trial. I thought it would be a good idea for those of us who attended to show our support for Nicolas by wearing something that identified us as his supporters. I've seen this done in other cases where supporters wore items representing the party they support. The idea of wearing a cross with the words "Innocent" and "Not guilty" was my initiative.

Your Honor, I found your comment, "There are no atheists in foxholes," to be offensive. The saying implies that in times of extreme danger or stress, even those who are not normally religious may seek comfort in the belief in a higher power. I do not share that fear. My husband and I are Christians, and when our children were younger, we attended church regularly and became members of our congregation. I was taken aback by the suggestion that someone would mock me for wearing a cross during my son's criminal trial. Leaning on our faith during this time is important to us.

We also wore pins that said "Faith over fear."

At the beginning of the trial, my husband, Nicholas,

our family and friends all stood in the courthouse hallway and prayed together. I continue to pray for Nicholas and my family, seeking strength to endure this wrongful prosecution, knowing that God is my vindicator. Isaiah 54:17 states, "But in that coming day, no weapon turned against you will succeed. You will silence every voice raised up to accuse you. These benefits are enjoyed by the servants of the Lord. Their vindication will come from me. I, the Lord, have spoken." I firmly believe in the truth of this word.

Your Honor, I respectfully ask you to use your common sense, just as the prosecutors urged in their closing argument. Why would so many people who have known Nicholas personally for years, even decades, be here today or write letters in support of him if they believed he was guilty of these allegations? I urge the Court to consider that my son is being falsely accused. My grandchildren stand to lose their father, and I stand to lose my son. I pray that these points I've made in this letter prompt you to hesitate before making a quick decision. Please ponder the questions I have raised and grant my son's request for post-conviction relief. Respectfully, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor, to the extent that

2.2

1 G.T. was mentioned by first name or anyone else, I'd ask those be stricken and replaced. 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 ATTY. BERKE: And the final person to speak is 5 Mr. Hall. THE COURT: Mr. Hall, as you know, I'm sure your 6 lawyer's explained to you you have a right of 7 8 allocution. You can make a statement before the 9 Court. That's with regards to Practice Book 10 Connecticut's 43-10. Do you wish to be heard? THE DEFENDANT: I do. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 1.3 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 THE DEFENDANT: I hope you're having a good day 16 so far. Only four days after my 32nd birthday, I'm 17 here to respectfully submit my letter as a part of 18 the unfortunate sentencing process in my case. 19 writing to reiterate my plea of innocence to the 20 charges have been falsely accused and now wrongfully 21 convicted of in hopes for you to see and recognize, 2.2 Your Honor, the very real and true danger my life is 23 him right here, right now, as an innocent man and innocent father and as an innocent son. 24 25 I apologize for the long letter in advance and 26 if anything seems repetitive. Your Honor, please do

not think any of my statements are directed towards

you, for I am being very sincere, but this is very important because I finally get to speak the truth to you. Your Honor, unfortunately, you heard many false facts stated about me before by others. But luckily, you heard the truth about me before from the people I love and who know me, the real me: the many good things said about me and my character, how I positively impacted lives, their kids' lives, the community and more. I have no criminal or violent history. I have a perfect court record and attendance. No issues for five years, even being out on an ankle monitor.

2.2

Before I get more into my background, I need to make sure I mention some things before then. I just wanted to say I appreciate you and thank you for your time, Your Honor. I appreciate everyone else's time and work throughout my case. Unfortunately, all this hard work has led to a horrible and wrong outcome, my false and wrongful conviction. My belief in the integrity and morals of the judicial system are challenged by this horrible outcome, but I know there's an opportunity for you to save my life right now after my letter and restore my hope and help me believe in the judicial system again I thought was here to protect me, the innocent.

This is potentially my last chance at having a time to speak the truth of what is really going on

here with me and revealing the true motive behind all of this. I would not even accept a plea deal if I was offered probation. They want me to register as a sex offender, which is something I have not or never will be. All this has taken my daughter from me. They did not expect me and my family to fight for my daughter to the very end, and the only choice they had left was to falsely accuse and convict me and put me away in a prison because they know there is proof and reasons why G.T. shouldn't have custody of her children. G.T. even told me multiple times to take the kids, she is done. They took my child from me.

2.2

Your Honor, it is time to remove the wool from people's eyes because you're all being deceived in a way that is excluded and weaponized by most today, and that is sexual assault allegations. I understand the seriousness of these allegations, Your Honor, and I understand that you, no matter what, have to take them seriously.

I would like to mention I offered to take a polygraph test in my interview when this first happened. August 11, 2025, three days ago, I voluntarily took and passed a polygraph test from a gentleman with a vast history performing over 2200 polygraph tests, from post-conviction child sexual assault polygraphs to government and high-profile cases performing polygraphs. Passing this polygraph

test proves even more I did not and would not ever
touch, sexually assault, or hurt those girls, adding
to the voluntary psychosexual evaluation that took,
where I did receive positive results saying I am
attracted to adult females and I do pose as a low

THE COURT: Take your time.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I hope all the effort and everything I have done helps prove my innocence and helps you in your decision to grant my motion for new trial or appeal bond today. only hope that you take seriously the very real danger my life is in right now. Considering your vast experience as a former prosecutor and judge, I believe even you, Your Honor, have seen the lack of care, the many lies and inconsistencies shown through this entire process by the plaintiff, the alleged victim, detectives, experts involved, from the changing stories, sworn police reports changed only days after filing the first sworn report, to the detectives involved and the careless assumed investigation conducted, to the weightless DNA evidence that did not match me, and more.

I'm not here to bash anyone, but I do have to speak on the truth and some information that I'm confident you may not know already, Your Honor. It is very important for you to know this information

24252627

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

risk.

because I believe that we would have a different outcome today or in hopes, after you finding out, you respectfully grant my motion for new trial and/or appeal bond today, Your Honor. I hope you can be open minded to what I am going to say, because this is real. What I'm going to say to you is true, and my life is in danger.

2.2

I need to show you how close you are to doing the very thing that any judge wants to avoid, and that is sending an innocent person away to prison for crimes they did not commit. Please do not let them use you and others as a tool to falsely accuse, convict me, and separate me from my daughters, my family, the woman I love and care for dearly, who has been here for the entire time, my friends, my pets, and more. Too many good, innocent people like myself who have a bright future get falsely accused, falsely convicted, and put away in prison for crimes they did not commit.

I truly did not think I would be in this horrible position, and yet I still have hope and belief in you, Your Honor. I believe in you to have the courage to keep me safe and will save me from going to a horrible prison and let me be home with the people that I love. My family, my friends, and I are all praying to God that you grant my motion for new trial and/or appeal bond today. We pray you

grant me a second chance to come home this weekend. Good, innocent people like myself have had their names dragged through the dirt, their lives ruined, been wrongfully separated from their kids, and more because of judicial miscarriages and people who take advantage of the judicial system. This is a mistake I did not make, and yet nobody else goes away for this traditional miscarriage besides me, leaving my family, my loved ones, and my life that I love.

Now, finally, a bit more about myself. My name is Nicholas Hall. I'm 32 years old. I'm from a small town called the Hamlet of Wallkill in Hudson Valley, New York. I was born and raised by a great God-fearing family. I've lived in Wallkill most of my life. I'm here today as an innocent man who has been falsely and wrongfully accused and convicted of such horrible crimes I did not commit.

I would like to tell you the truth about myself, now that I have time to, with my own words, with nobody else trying to take my voice from me and paint me as someone I'm not. I've never had a criminal record at all my entire life, nor did I intend to have one now. I'm a God-fearing Irish Italian man. I'm very blessed to be a father. I'm a family man who always enjoys spending time with my daughters, my mother and father, my sister and two brothers, and the woman I love any chance I can get. Not counting

the other seven cats, four dogs, and two pigs my family has, I have a cat named Autumn, a dog named Chase, a white dove named Leonardo Dovinchy, and three turtles. I love them all. I love animals, and I've rescued many different animals such as owls, a red-tailed hawk, big and small snapping turtles, dogs, cats, and more.

I'we always had a tightknit family, and I know
I'm very lucky to have that because not everybody has
that privilege. I quickly realized this, especially
when I married into the [T.] family. I unfortunately
married into a very broken-up, confused, rich family.
After some time, I saw how connected they really are.
They're trying to send a good, innocent father away
because of every other false accusation attempt that
has failed multiple times through DCF and even via
family court.

She tried to say I touched my daughter W.T.H. on supervised visitations. G.T. went as far as saying I took W.T.H. two hours away to New York and sexually assaulted W.T.H. on my court-ordered, five-hour supervised visits multiple times while the supervisor and three family members who would always accompany me on every visit were there. We provided many times photos and proof that solidify that we were in a public setting. Thankfully, by the grace of God, the judge realized these allegations that went to great

lengths were false and fabricated. He knew that I did not sexually assault my daughter W.T.H. and then reinstated our visits. I would not go and visit alone with W.T.H. if a family member could not come with me.

G.T. has stated even in reports that she's actively trying to stop my visitations with my daughter W.T.H. Another thing I must mention: G.T. is also charged with five counts of eavesdropping after recording five visits of mine with W.T.H., placing a recording device in my bag that I found -- [W.]'s bag.

I can safely say that my parents love me and my siblings, gave us a great childhood, support us in every way possible and always will. They never gave us an ultimatum for their love. My mother and father never had made everything about money. They made everything about their kids, giving them the best life that they could. I remember being outside a lot as a child and even now with my family going camping in the Catskills, traveling to Myrtle Beach, driving four Wheelers, learning carpentry, going to water parks, and doing so much more, just being a normal kid with my family that I love. I was raised to be respectful. I was raised to be honest, mindful, to take care of my family and others in need, to have a good heart, and more.

Some hobbies I enjoy are fishing, golfing, photography, filming, making music, traveling, camping, puzzles, being with nature, and more. I sadly still never got to do some of these hobbies with my own daughters, and I hope that I still have a chance to do those hobbies with my daughters, Your Honor.

I'm focused and have been focused on being the best father, the best man, and the best son that I can be, even throughout these seemingly impossible five years. I do not wish for anyone to ever be falsely accused, falsely convicted, and have their name slandered like I have been a victim of. Through this entire process, I've learned so much and have only gotten strong from this, and I'm thankful that I can do that in my darkest times. But the mental, emotional, physically draining damage that has been done to my family is tragic and severe. My family and I — please let me take what I've learned from this process and live my life and be the best father that I can be.

My daughters are hurting the most because they don't understand everything like we do. My daughters miss their father. My daughters need their father. My daughter W.T.H. is prone and has been exposed to dangerous situations in her mother's care, her stepfather's care, and random people she has let

babysit W.T.H. I'm not sure how this information, even some of it, did not make it into court and how this is not concerning to anyone at all, but I hope you feel and understand as a father yourself how concerning that is and what danger my daughter W.T.H. is in, Your Honor.

Through what I've learned from DCF reports, calls by G.T.'s own family calling DCF on G.T., I've only been scared for my daughter W.T.H as I found out more about her true living situation with her mother and her partner. There has been and still is domestic violence and substance abuse that my daughter has been exposed to. L.T. recorded their domestic violence altercations before via phone. One example is G.T. received stitches because her partner hit her so hard in the head with a pack of beer.

I'm still even worried about all the girls after being falsely convicted and the danger that they are still exposed to right now, especially at their stepfather's house and other random people and places the kids are left with. W.H. told me one day on a visit in front of a supervisor that the car window was broken because Mommy was upset.

I'm trying to build my filming career. I would like to film more documentaries and movies. After the situation with me, I started my show called School Talk for victims like myself who have been

abused, falsely accused, falsely convicted, and more to have a voice and tell their story to expose the abuse of children and people through the court system, government, business, individual, and more. Unfortunately for me, I've never had a platform to finally speak the truth about what is happening to me for the last five years until this very moment with me reading my letter to you, Your Honor.

Even now as I sit here, I know I have not had a fair limelight shining on me for five years because of how I've been painted over the years by the radio, newspaper, media sources, people on social media, and more. From Connecticut, New York, and all the way out to California, I was all over the United States. False information was spread about me and who I am as a person, my character, and more, which has tainted my name and more. I have received death threats from people who have posted my address, telling everyone to hurt me and much, much more. I had relatives and friends from California tell me that my name was in their newspaper and on their radio talking about terrible things they knew I would never do. I was in many newspaper ads and played on many radio stations all over Connecticut, New York, all the way out to California for weeks and weeks.

One day, while working at my family shop, I saw my name on the news on TV at work, and right after

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

getting into a customer's car to move it, WPDH radio station was on. I heard my name again. Some radio stations even know the [T.]s personally -- excuse me, I'm sorry about that -- in New York, like WPDH, who played me for three weeks straight. The negative, twisted, and false radio media, newspaper, and social media coverage about me -- one report saying I was a babysitter, not even the husband -- mixed with the power and influence their family have in this very court have affected my life, my daughter's life, my family's life for five long years, and ultimately affected the outcome of my case here in Connecticut, leaving me in a dangerous and life-threatening situation.

My life, my future, my freedom, and much more is in your hands. Your Honor, not knowing where my future lies or even where I go from here is a lot to think about. If I do go away, I will most likely die in prison, and not of natural causes. I will most likely get killed in prison for something that I did not do.

This is not the first time that they did this to someone. Look at G.T.'s last two fathers of her children. Look at her last marriage and her history.

L.T. was taken from her father, David, when she was younger. S.T. was taken from her father, Checho, and only sees him so many times. W.T.H. is now being

I do

1 used and now is being separated from me, her father. 2 G.T. told me after we first met that she snuck out of 3 her house at 14 years old to go to a bonfire party, 4 where she met L.T.'s father. She claimed that she 5 was drugged and sexually assaulted by David, L.T.'s 6 father, and that is how [L.] was born. Excuse me. 7 THE COURT: Strike. 8 ATTY. DAVIS: Your Honor, sorry, may we approach 9 briefly? 10 THE COURT: Sure. Off the record for a minute, please. We'll go in chambers. 11 12 (A pause was taken. Court resumes) 13 THE DEFENDANT: May I continue, Your Honor? 14 THE COURT: Please. 15 THE DEFENDANT: G.T. also claims that she was 16 taken hostage by David and his family while they 17 sexually assaulted her, forced her to make meth, and 18 hide bodies and more. Come to find out this is not 19 true at all. It cannot be any more obvious this is a 20 pattern and there is a history of taking their kids 21 from their fathers, lying, and taking advantage of 2.2 the legal system. Please don't let them take my 23 daughters' father from her. That is all they ever 24 wanted, and it is very obvious and has been stated in 25 written reports, especially in those divorce and 26 psychiatric records that were denied, Your Honor.

May 21st is my daughter [A.]'s birthday.

not know about anyone else, but I do not think that this is a coincidence at all that the very day I was supposed to be sentenced happened to fall on my daughter's birthday. I also found it ironic that five years ago, May 21st, my daughter's birthday, after G.T. had seen I posted a picture with me and my daughter, [A.], A.S., for the first time L.T. allegedly voiced these accusations about me, which started this whole process, the same day as my daughter's birthday, again. Put the pieces together, Your Honor, if you have not already.

2.2

Regarding the insufficient and inaccurate DNA evidence that did not even have the alleged victims'

DNA, do you remember when you have, Your Honor, specifically mentioned to Mr. Berke, "Doesn't this DNA evidence help your client?" There are many signs, and I believe you did see them, Your Honor. I believe you do see the bigger picture, but there is much more that is making this difficult.

Whether I go away for 70 years or by the grace of God you see the very real and true danger my innocent life is in right here, right now and you let me walk out of here with a second chance, I remain committed and steadfast on maintaining and proving my innocence until the day that I die. I sure hope it doesn't take that long, though. I do not want my innocent blood on any person's hands. You will not

be sending a guilty man to prison, Your Honor. You will be sending an innocent man to prison. Please help and protect me from such a miscarriage of the judicial system. Even after one realizes they made a mistake, God cannot bring me back after it's too late, and praying will not help either. Don't let the devil get in the way of doing what is right. I know God is on my side and He will save me too, like how I believe you can, Your Honor.

2.2

After collecting months of proof of G.T. being unfaithful, confronting G.T. about it, and doing my best to prevent anything from getting worse, I had to leave, which led to a very nasty divorce and more motive. She had no intentions of making anything better. G.T. has made bad choices, putting my daughter in danger, exposing her to situations she should have never experienced in the first place. The hardest thing to know was that I would not be with my daughter W.T.H. the day that I had left.

When I had left, G.T. was always asking me to come up and be with her, take care of the girls or the dog, or even when she was sick, telling me how grateful she is for me and how great of a father I am. After some time, G.T. asked to reconcile with me in my parents' kitchen, crying her eyes out. My father witnessed it as he walked in. I told G.T. that I cannot trust her anymore and that I cannot be

with her again. After some time being apart,
everything was great and I thought I could trust her,
so I was going to sign the divorce papers for her.

My mother had read the papers and caught G.T. trying
to trick me into signing over full, sole physical and
legal custody of my daughter W.T.H to G.T. without me
knowing.

After I refused to sign the divorce papers, G.T. changed, and all that led to a nasty divorce. Before this started, G.T. told my father and I three or four different times before these accusations occurred that I would never see my daughter again. G.T. explained to me, and I quote, "My dad will buy me a house if you sign these divorce papers." G.T. told my father and I, quote, "Nick will never see his daughter again if he does not sign those divorce papers." All she wants to do is take my daughter W.T.H. from her father, like she did to her last two daughters' fathers after a nasty divorce and separation.

Your Honor, I respectfully ask for you to put yourself in my shoes, and if you cannot do that because of the nature of the situation, I ask you to imagine me as your own son talking to you, who, correct me if I'm wrong, is a great person and a great teacher who has a bright future ahead of himself. Imagine your own son having his life

flipped upside down and being falsely accused five years out of nowhere and then wrongfully convicted because he rightfully had to leave an unfaithful person and marriage. Imagine your own son, your own family and blood, being falsely accused of horrible

Imagine watching your own son get falsely convicted and the judge be asked to give him a maximum of 70 years by the prosecution. Imagine your own son's life in the hands of the judicial system, feeling helpless. Imagine your own son having to write a letter to plead for his life and beg the judge to see what is really happening and to grant him another second chance. Imagine your son being the victim of a miscarriage of the judicial system. Imagine your own son getting sent to prison for crimes he did not commit. Imagine your own son getting hurt, potentially killed in prison for something that he never did. My own mother and father are living all those very moments right now right over there in this very courtroom as I read my letter to you, Your Honor. Please do not let them live it forever.

Five years is long enough. I would like to have a full normal life and a future with my daughter and be the best father that I can be. I want to have a future with my family and with my love. I would like

242526

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

crimes.

to get married one day to a woman I love with all my heart and have a future with her. Please save the prison cell for someone that needs to be there and learn from their horrible mistakes, someone who is violent and is actually a danger to society, that has no motivation to do better. Do not waste the chance to put an actual criminal inside of the same cell that others want me to die inside of.

I believe people are here under the impression that I cannot leave here without a punishment because of the seriousness of the allegations, although I am innocent. I believe people are here under the impression that they are a bad person or wrong for letting an innocent man have a second chance because of the seriousness of the allegations. They believe I cannot leave here with a future ahead of me although I'm innocent because of these allegations. It is easier to send an innocent man to prison with false accusations and not deal with the backlash than giving an innocent man a second chance because of the fear of others and the effect that it will have on their life for doing right. That is sad and unacceptable. Please let my case be the first stepping stone into fixing and preventing such a horrible miscarriage of the judicial system like I face here today.

I believe that you know what is happening here,

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and you know that you have the power to stop it.

Please know that you are a good man, Your Honor, and if you save my life and grant me a second chance, you are not wrong for doing it. I know you've been faced with hard decisions before, Your Honor, and I believe this one to be very difficult as well. I pray that you see through these false accusations and now my false conviction. Save my life, Your Honor. Please do not end it, and let me case, let my pain and suffering be the stepping stone into a new beginning for the judicial system and prevent such a total miscarriage from happening again, because I am a victim here too.

2.2

Before I end my letter, I want you to please think about what I'm going to tell you. You cannot tell the truth if you are misinformed, Your Honor. You cannot tell the truth if you are misinformed. What does that mean? How would anybody be telling the truth if they were given false information or lying in the first place? You cannot tell the truth if you are misinformed, and I'm sorry to tell you, Your Honor, everyone here has been misinformed from the start. From the officers to the experts, they did not tell the truth, whether they like it or not, because in reality they are prosecuting me using false, coached, influenced allegations and misinformation without thoroughly investigating or

even knowing it.

2.2

I know a motion for new trial is more rarely to be granted, Your Honor, and I'm not asking for special treatment. But compared to others and considering my clean history, I believe that my case meets the criteria for a motion for new trial to be corrected or appeal bond. I have no criminal, violent, alcohol, or substance abuse background. I have had no issues for the last five years waiting for my trial and do not intend to have any. Join the judges who have the courage to -- excuse me, join the judges who had the courage to grant a motion for new trial or appeal bond.

Please let me see my daughters again. Please let me go home. Please let my daughters' father go home. Let my family see their son and their brother. If false and coached testimony can get me, an innocent man, falsely convicted of horrible crimes, then let my truthful testimony, my family's truthful testimony, the woman I love's truthful testimony, and many good close friends' truthful testimony from the bottom of our hearts save me from this death sentence that is not meant for me and let me come home today. Please save my innocent life because I know you can and have the power to do it today.

Thank you for your time, Your Honor. I appreciate you listening very much, and I hope I was

able to open your mind more about the very real danger that I am in as a falsely convicted innocent man. Don't let me die in prison. I love my life and the bright future I have always had ahead of myself.

I love God, and I know that He is going to save me.

I believe He's going to help you see the truth behind all of this. I believe God will help you get the strength to grant me a second chance and have me come home to my family today.

2.2

Have the courage to grant a motion for new trial or appeal bond because I believe it does meet the criteria. I have no criminal history, nothing. I've never laid a finger on any children in any negative or sexual manner. I do not expose my daughters to violence or dangerous situation. I am a loving father. I am a good man. I miss my daughters so much, and I know they miss me too. I miss what normalcy felt like because I cannot wake up without thinking about potentially being sent away because of false allegations. I will maintain my innocence.

If I am granted a second chance, I will tell you now, Your Honor, I will continue to be the loving father and a good man that I am. I will continue to support my forever, stay close to them. I will continue to be with the woman I love very much, hopefully build a life with her, and finally move on from this five-year nightmare. Thank you, Your

Honor. I hope that I'm able to walk out of here today with my family to continue fighting this serious nightmare. I hope you see the danger that I face here today as a falsely convicted man. I have done everything I can to go out of my way and show that I am a good man. I hope it is recognized. I love and miss my daughters so much. I love my family. I love the woman by my side. I love my life.

I am innocent. This is real. Save me, Your
Honor. I need your help. I know this is a very
unique situation with serious allegations, but you
have all people know that judicial miscarriages
happen, and it is happening right now. Be the reason
we move another step towards changing the judicial
systems for the better and preventing innocent people
like me from being wrongfully convicted. Thank you,
Your Honor, for your time and for listening, and I
hope I can be with my family and the woman I love
dearly after today, and I hope I'm able to see my
daughters again. I miss them very much. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: You're welcome.

THE COURT: Attorney Berke, anything further?

ATTY. BERKE: There are no other people that are going to speak, but I'd like to conclude.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

ATTY. BERKE: You heard several hours from friends and family of Nick Hall. There's nothing factually I could add that would provide any additional weight to those concepts. In an attempt to quarterback these proceedings, I had asked the family to provide me letters in advance so at least I can see what was going to be said. In reviewing it, there's a theme of Nicholas Hall continuing to maintain his innocence. That is inconsistent with how sentencings normally proceed. Judges typically look towards acceptance of responsibility as a very significant factor. Obviously, I'm tortured here trying to deal with that conflict.

And having read the PSI, I was looking at the conditions that are proposed. In looking at Page 15, Paragraph 4, probation is asking that the Court impose periodic polygraph examinations, and that was the jumping stone for my thought process. Let me see if anyone has ever utilized or challenged a polygraph at a sentencing hearing. The statements are made from so many people, including Nicholas Hall, that he's innocent. So I reached out and commissioned a polygraph examiner who has a lot of experience over three decades in the field. I can say informally I shared that result and, I believe, the CV with the Court, and if I can make part of the record the same thing that I submitted?

THE COURT: Sure.

2.2

ATTY. BERKE: So the polygraph analyzed three questions, and how it was explained to me is the polygraph examiner places certain crucial facts and then identifies them through the process of a polygraph exam. And the three facts that he considered is statements that were signed by Mr. Hall: "I did not ever touch [L.]'s buttocks. I did not ever make [L.] touch my penis. I did not make [L.] perform oral sex on me." Now, I would ask that be stricken. I was just reading from the statement. If that could be changed to L.T. for the record.

THE COURT: And with regards to what I was going to make court exhibits, the name is in there as you just read from, so I'm going to -- Louis Conte, who did the polygraph, that could be made a court exhibit, but the other one could be made a court exhibit but sealed. I don't know what numbers we're up to, so we'll get to that later.

ATTY. BERKE: So facing a client that is continuing to assert his innocence -- I say that in the context that the jury had rendered a verdict -- this court is in a very difficult position. These are tough cases. This may be one of the hardest cases that I think many of us have been involved in for a variety of reasons, including the emotions that are involved. The legislature has placed constraints

on this court, and that constraint is a minimum sentence of ten years. Despite what I may think about mandatory minimums and how, in some jurisdictions, there are departures for most mandatories, Connecticut does not offer that for these types of offenses.

2.2

But there's something that I did consider, and although the Court can't depart from a minimum sentence of ten years, there is something the Court can consider, and I'd also the Court to consider allowing the issues raised to be addressed, as the Court indicated, by appellate review. And the Court could -- I don't mean to step on my colleagues' toes here. The Court could allow Mr. Hall an appellate bond to proceed with his issues raised at any point during the course of today. I would suggest to the Court if you thought, based on the composition of our current Supreme Court, that the Court may consider issues raised and how the Court may address that, I would urge the Court to consider an appellate bond.

I'm sure that Your Honor is not surprised that
I'm asking the Court to impose the mandatory minimum
of ten years. I am confident that based upon a
variety of factors that that is the appropriate
resolution of this, and that includes the significant
period of time he's on pretrial supervision. In my
recollection, there is not one negative report.

During the course of the trial, Mr. Hall was respectful. He frequently beat me into the courtroom. He was respectful to the parties involved, including the marshals and the Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

What's interesting about the PSI -- and I know there was reference to the psychosocial that was commissioned by Attorney Russell, but I want the Court to remember that on Page 15 of the PSI, probation did their own assessment, and if I can just quote it, "Probation's risk assessment tool, the Level of Service Inventory, reflects Hall possesses a low risk of recidivism." This is not an expert retained by the defense. This is probation's analysis, based upon everything they're aware of that, there is a low risk of recidivism. And when you couple that with a lack of criminal record, having been able to follow the Court's conditions of release for a significant period of time, I think that warrants a sentence at the lowest end of this statutory range, which is ten years. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further from the State?

ATTY. DAVIS: Just briefly. Do you want to address the psychosexual examination now? Okay. I just want to reiterate -- and I think Attorney Berke did a good job of that as well -- that this, as the Court knows, is a sentencing. There was a lot of --

which I could comment on, but I'm not going to because we'd be here all day. There was a lot of comment about evidentiary issues, but as the Court knows, a jury -- an impartial jury -- found the defendant guilty of four of the six counts, and that's the reality here. That's why we're here. It's a sentencing hearing. And I'll reserve the rest of my comments, but that's all for that.

THE COURT: Thank you. Well, as everybody recognizes, one of the most difficult parts of being a judge, the defendant in this case is exposed to a 70-year sentence, of which there is a 10-year mandatory minimum. In fact, two of the other charges, the risk of injury, carry a five-year mandatory minimums. However, that could be rolled in concurrently. But the defendant's sentence, nevertheless, is -- the floor, so to speak, is 70 -- the ceiling is 70 and the floor, so to speak, is 10 years.

I have listened to all the comments. Somebody indicated that we don't want you to make a quick decision. Quite the contrary. I've encouraged everyone to take their time, I've pushed back my trial, and I hope people don't think this is a quick decision. This is something I've been laboring over for months now. Every time the case got pushed back for sentencing -- and I'm not faulting one side or

another. You know, you got new counsel, it got pushed back, it got pushed back again, and here we are. But it's not a guick decision.

People have provided statements. I counted 19 people have commented and spoke on the defendant's behalf and told us about the impact the defendant has had on his life -- on their lives. That's very difficult to reconcile with what we do know is that a jury has convicted you, Mr. Hall, of having a very big impact on the lives of the victims' family. And I can say victim now. During the course of the trial, we were very clear not to say victim. We would say alleged victim or complainant, but here we could say victim because the jury has spoken, and the jury has spoken loudly and clearly that you've been found guilty of those charges.

I do want to spend a moment talking to L.T., to her mom, and to others in the family. L.T., sometimes judges sit up here and say I know what you're going through. I have no idea what you're going through. I can't imagine. I can't imagine been eight years old being subject to this abuse. I can't imagine having to tell your mother. We heard your mother's reaction when she was told, that she got violently ill because of it, and I know that must have been difficult to tell her.

Then after that, you had to tell a whole string

of other people, and then four years later -- five years later, you had to come in here and tell strangers in a strange atmosphere. People were sitting just where you were. You looked him right in the eye. I watched you testify and I thought, "Oh my goodness, that girl at this age, it's probably one of the bravest women that have ever come in this courtroom," and that's incredible. But I say that to everyone over here too. It's all been bravery. Too often, sentences become about the defendant and nothing about the victim or the victim's family, and I don't want you to think that what you've witnessed today is the Nicholas Hall show and has nothing to do with you. You're being remembered in this.

I've had the opportunity to listen to all the evidence, I've watched everyone testify, I've examined over 50 pieces of evidence, and I will tell you that this is by far the most difficult job in the judicial system. The prosecutor on my trial is indicating can we let the witnesses go. Yes, please, with my apologies.

ATTY. JUSTINA MOORE: And we can do a habeas, Judge?

THE COURT: What's that?

ATTY. JUSTINA MOORE: We can do a habeas?

THE COURT: New habeas for tomorrow. 10:00 tomorrow morning, please.

2.2

ATTY. JUSTINA MOORE: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

ATTY. DANIEL FORD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So here we are today, and I have to fix a decision. It's more than just putting together numbers. It is axiomatic that a judge considers the four objectives of sentencing, which include deterrence, retribution, incapacitation, and rehabilitation. Preventing crime and punishing those who engage in it are essential functions of the State. Criminal penalties must be imposed, one, to deter the perpetrator -- that is, Mr. Hall -- from doing this again; two, to express the moral outrage experienced by not only the victim but by society as a whole -- that's called retribution -- to prevent the perpetrator from committing additional offenses; and the fourth and final is rehabilitation. Sentencing courts of course must consider the risk a particular defendant poses to the public, the safety, and consideration to the incapacitation.

I do want to note something that the prosecutor said. Not to pick up on something you said, Ms.

Davis, but you said -- and I believe it was you -- a lot of people have spoken about a family being ruined. And I will tell this to L.T. and G.T.: yes, a family was ruined here, but that should not ruin you. That should not mean you as individuals. That should not ruin you as people. We always say -- and

we say to defendants too -- that we hope you're not defined by this one moment in time, and I sincerely mean that. You know, it's easy for me to say this is over with now, go forward, and I know that's difficult, and I know that's what counseling's for.

But I want you to know that I recognize this has ruined a family, but more importantly, I don't want it to ruin you or your family as it is right now.

2.2

Now, there's been a lot of talk about religion, and although religious -- you know, my kids probably hear more profanity on the weekends than they do scripture, but I do have -- you're going to quote Isaiah? I've got a quote. It's Matthew 10:36, and that's this: a man -- and of course, the Bible was written -- but a person's enemies shall come from thine own household, and that's exactly what happened here. This offense is particularly offensive when it was committed by somebody who was entrusted to live in a house, trusted to someone they called Dad. It's a title that we reserve for people who have loving and caring relationships, and this was far from it.

So now I stand ready to sentence. There are four charges. With regards to sexual assault in the first degree, Mr. Hall, it is the sentence of the Court that you be committed to the Commissioner of Corrections for a period of 20 years. Execution of that is suspended after 10. That is a mandatory

minimum sentence, and probation for a period of 35 years. Probation, under 53a-29f, is permissible for 35 years, and I think that's appropriate in this case given your age and given the age of L.T. On sex in the fourth degree, it is a sentence of the Court -- which bumps it up to a felony. It is the sentence of the Court you be committed to the Commissioner of Corrections for a period of 5 years, execution suspended after 2, probation for 10 years. I will note that those two sentences are to run consecutive to each other.

2.2

The two risk of injury charges I will run concurrent to each other, but it will be consecutive to the other two sentences. It may not seem like a well-drafted sentence. However, it is the best I could come up for for the bottom line, so to speak. On the first count of risk of injury, it is the sentence of the Court you be committed to the Commissioner of Corrections period of 15 years, execution suspended after 9, probation again for a period of 35 years. On the final remaining count of risk of injury, it is the sentence of the Court you be committed to the Commissioner of Corrections for a period of 15, years execution suspended after 9 years, probation for a period of 35 years.

As I said, the two risk of injuries are to concurrent to each other but consecutive to the other

sentences, for a total effective sentence of 40 years, execution suspended after 21, probation for a period of 35. SAVA fines in the amount of \$151 on all counts, for a total of \$604. As I said, you'll be on probation for a period of 35 years, with the following special conditions; and I'm adopting all of them from the PSI.

2.2

Supervised by the Office of Adult Probation Sex Offender Unit; I will say that this is the most stringent supervision in the state. You are to report as directed and abide by all standard conditions of policy of probation. You will abide by all rules and regulations pertaining to registration. Notification with regards to convicted sex offenders are outlined by the Connecticut General Statutes. And make no mistake, this is a lifetime registration which you will register for. Is there a (indiscernible)?

ATTY. DAVIS: Yes, there is.

THE COURT: The defendant will be evaluated and participate in community-based sex offender treatment with the Connecticut Association for Treatment for Sexual Offenders, which we commonly refer to as a CATSO provider. Abide by all rules and regulations pertaining to sex offender treatment that will include participation in periodic polygraph exams. The defendant will adhere to all sex offender

conditions, including the limited use of computer access, signing a computer access agreement as deemed appropriate by the supervising probation officer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

You will not initiate any contact with the victim throughout the period of probation. In fact, right now I am signing a standing criminal restraining order, and it reads as follows, and you are to abide by this restraining order. You are to not possess any firearms or ammunitions, and with regards to L.T., you are not to assault, threaten, abuse, harass, follow, interfere, or stalk her. You should stay away from her home or wherever she may reside. You should -- you are to stay 100 yards away from her, and you should have no contact. And when I say no contact, that means not in person, not in writing, not by any electronic means, not through a third party. No contact means no contact. In fact, you cannot be within 100 yards of L.T.

The defendant will not have any contact with any minors throughout the period of probation and will not visit any places where minors congregate unless approved by the supervising probation officer. You will seek and maintain legal verifiable employment through the Office of Probation, and that employment must be deemed appropriate by the supervising probation officer. You will participate in any counseling deemed necessary by probation, which may

include but is not limited to anger management and/or family therapy, and failure to abide by any of the special conditions of probation will result in an immediate violation of probation.

As I indicated, the sentence is 40 after 21, of which ten years is -- I'm sorry, 15 years is mandatory minimum. For the risk of injuries, it was 15-9-35, with of that 9 years, 5 is mandatory minimum on each of those. All right, I'll address appellate bond in just a minute, but anything you want to be heard on at this time?

ATTY. DAVIS: No.

THE COURT: All right. Then, Madam Clerk, if you could please address the defendant. Pay attention, please, sir.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. I'm currently providing the defendant with a notice of right to (indiscernible); notice of application; affidavit of indigency; application for waiver of fees, costs, and expenses, and appointment of counsel on appeal; notice of right to have sentence reviewed; sex offender advisement of registration requirements; and the (indiscernible) pamphlet.

THE COURT: Thank you. Is the standing criminal restraining order in there as well, or has that already been handed?

THE CLERK: He was provided it.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: He's already been provided a copy of 2 L.T. has received hers, or at least a member of it. 3 the family has received hers. 4 All right, now we'll address the -- you can be 5 seated as we address the motion for appellate bond 6 that was filed yesterday, August 13, 2025. I'll hear 7 from you, Attorney Russell. 8 ATTY. RUSSELL: Thank you, Your Honor. As Your 9 Honor knows, these are very often made orally. 10 of an abundance of caution, we filed it. There are issues which we brought before the Court on the 11 12 motion for the new trial, and we already -- all of 13 the legal issues have been resolved at this level. 14 What I would like to address briefly is this: he's 15 here today and he came here on a \$500,000 bond, and 16 he knew that he was facing a mandatory minimum. 17 now received a more serious -- a more stringent 18 sentence, and he has shown that he will abide by the 19 conditions of this court. His situation has not 20 changed greatly from where it was over the past five 21 years, with the exception --2.2 THE COURT: I'm not so sure that's correct. 23 ATTY. RUSSELL: Well --24 THE COURT: He went from being accused --25 ATTY. RUSSELL: No, respectfully, Your Honor --26 THE COURT: I'm still speaking, counsel. 27 ATTY. RUSSELL:

Yes.

THE COURT: He went from being accused -- a suspect to an accused to convicted and now sentenced. So I would submit to you that it has changed. It has changed substantially. He went from the hope of, "Judge, can you go below the mandatory minimum? Can you set me free? Can I get a free trial," to all of a sudden looking at 21 years, of which 10 is mandatory -- 15 is the mandatory minimum.

ATTY. RUSSELL: I heard his comments and I heard the sentence, and what I meant was he has the same room full of people supporting him. He has the same family supporting. He has the same job supporting him. He was in a more than hostile setting, subject to police scrutiny, police complaints, monitoring, accusations regarding his other child, and he weathered all of that, with probably constant complaints to the police for five years of court release.

And so this has been an acrimonious five years, this has been a difficult five years, and he has returned to this courthouse and to every other courthouse he was required to go to. He came to this courtroom 53 times. He came to probation as required. He checked in with local law enforcement. Your Honor heard from three retired law enforcement people who know this young man.

THE COURT: Actually, I counted four. One of

them worked for corrections as well.

ATTY. RUSSELL: Correct.

2.2

THE COURT: There were three the New York State cops -- two, a local cop, and then one who also worked for correction, so I counted four. And that did not go unnoticed by the Court that there were four law enforcement.

MEATTY. RUSSELL: Right. Yes. And so what I meant to say -- obviously, not articulately -- is his circumstance in terms of the network of people, the stakeholders in his continued compliance with the orders of this court, are prepared to assist his family to post a bond. And the issues that he has raised, respectfully, Judge, they are significant, they are noteworthy for our appellate court, who has looked carefully at the presentation of DNA evidence where appropriate.

And so I don't pretend to have any skill in predicting the outcome of an appellate process, but I do say that this young man, from the point of view as five years as a pretrial detainee, could not have provided the Court with more support than he did through his conduct, and he could not provide the Court with more support through the testimony of the people in this community and his employment and the unimaginable scrutiny and surveillance that he underwent. And the worst thing I heard from the

people that spoke from the State was that he held the door open at a McDonald's restaurant at one point during the five years, and so that was it. So in terms of --

2.2

THE COURT: If that was the worst thing you heard, you weren't listening, because you didn't see the trial.

ATTY. RUSSELL: No, I'm talking about his conduct. I'm here on the subject of bond. I'm here on the subject of his returning. I understand what happened here, and I also understand my role, and according to the statute, I would respectfully ask the Court to put aside what happened here today and, you know what, perhaps decide it on a different day, Your Honor.

But my application today is that Your Honor impose a two million dollar bond. His parents are working people. They own a car garage. These are not millionaires. These are not wealthy people. But there's a community of people that stand behind this man. Again, through no fault of the people around him, the real estate that they own, the property that they own is in the State of New York, and so putting up security is going to be far more cumbersome than if a community of this magnitude appeared with Connecticut businesses, Connecticut homes that they could post for collateral.

So my application, Judge -- I understand what happened today. He certainly understands the sentence that he received today. But the people around him will not disappoint Your Honor, and he will not disappoint the people around him. So for those reasons, and not because of the non-legal considerations, because of the legal considerations, I'm going to ask that Your Honor confer upon him not the right but the privilege to an appellate bond.

THE COURT: Thank you.

ATTY. DAVIS: I'm going to ask that the Court deny that privilege that the defense is asking for.

And I don't know where we are today, what kind of alternate universe this feels like, but the defendant is not the victim here. I've spent hours today listening to how the defendant's been victimized by this process. L.T.'s the victim here, all right? That's what we're here for. He's not a victim.

The right to bond is rooted in the presumption of innocence, which is gone. It is gone here. He's facing a mandatory minimum. The Court just sentenced him to 15 years mandatory minimum. And also, I reviewed counsel's motion -- which I believe I got yesterday -- in detail.

THE COURT: Yes.

ATTY. DAVIS: I went over the issues yesterday in detail with our appellate unit. There's nothing

novel here. I don't know why the defendant thinks he's special. This isn't special. This is a normal sex case. These issues come up in all sex cases. I don't know anything here -- our appellate court can handle it, but I don't think there's any need for an appellate bond or anything about this case that makes it special for an appellate bond.

2.2

I just see here a defendant who's failed to acknowledge that he did this, which is fine. That's his right. He's allowed to allocute in any way he wants. But I don't think this is a circumstance where the Court should impose an appellate bond. If the Court is inclined to do that, I'd ask that the Court impose at least a three million dollar appellate bond and I'd ask that he stay in the State of Connecticut.

THE COURT: Attorney Felsen, you wanted to be heard?

ATTY. FELSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to address some things because we are in a different situation than we were before. Your Honor has imposed sentence, and you have allowed many people to speak, including the defendant, and the defendant clearly repeatedly expressed that he was under the impression that you could certainly sentence him to less than the mandatory minimum. So he's a flight risk, not just for that reason.

There's a danger to the community, and I want to address that.

2.2

Attorney Russell pointed out a very important thing which I want to address: the fact that there's this network of people that won't disappoint Your Honor. This network of people got up one by one and told Your Honor that they were offended by Your Honor, got up one by one and told Your Honor that somehow the judicial process was corrupt, got up one by one and indicated that expert witnesses were out in the hall colluding with each other, got up one by one and stated that L.T. was coached. Worse than that, the loved one of Mr. Hall, by way of parable, got up and called L.T. a pathological liar. Those are the people whose care he would be entrusted in if he were released.

Your Honor made a really important point about the way people appear and the way that they really are. Your Honor knows I'm a criminal defense attorney. I've represented people for 26 years who are accused of and convicted of horrible things, and I can tell Your Honor that it is an anomaly, it is a rarity when a loved one or family member, when they learn that their loved one is producing child pornography or touching little children, where they say, "Oh, that tracks. I thought that all along."

The bottoms drop out of them. They're surprised.

They're shocked. They're able to be fooled, and they are fooled because people live double lives. That's what happens. That's what happened.

I want to briefly address the psychosexual report because it was submitted to Your Honor as part of a consideration of whether or not there's a risk to the community and whether the safety of the community can be insured if he's released upon it, and I want to address just a couple of things in it. And I very much appreciate whoever shared it with me because it's got a lot of information in here which I think Your Honor needs to consider.

Most importantly, Your Honor, I provide a lot of these reports to the Court for sentencing purposes or in pretrial negotiations, and what I know about these reports is that if I don't like them or I don't like something that's said in them -- I see them first. I review them. I screen them. I give them back. So I make sure that whatever is in the report is the best it can be, that it presents my client's best foot forward so that there's nothing in here that is cause for concern.

Somehow something slipped through the cracks.

Maybe this is the best it can be, but there are some things in here that are really concerning that I'd like Your Honor to take into consideration. First and foremost, the report itself. The person who

2.2

authored the report indicated that there are concerns about the defendant's candor, about his candor, about what he's sharing with them, and that he, in fact, is presenting himself in the most favorable light. Of utmost concern is the insistence repeatedly -- and I think Attorney Russell had indicated that he plans to bring this out in the appeal -- is that this allegedly false allegation is the product of a contentious divorce between G.T. and Mr. Hall. could not be further from the truth. In fact, G.T. was happy that Mr. Hall was going to her home, was thankful that Mr. Hall was babysitting her three beautiful girls, was grateful that Mr. Hall still had a good relationship with her and, in fact, was giving her dating advice during this time period. not contentious, and the facts belie that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: I do recall the testimony with regards to that.

ATTY. FELSEN: There's an indication within the report similar to what we heard today. I've never heard this in a sentencing hearing, where a family -- and a family as good as this that I've known for over five years, who have been nothing but graceful and respectful of the court process and have done every single thing along the way that reflects what's in the best interests of these children. There's been insinuation and attacks on G.T. and who she is as a

mom and who she is as a parent and who she has been as a spouse, and you've heard from Megan about one of those spouses and what kind of relationship G.T. has with him and had with him, and that completely contradicts the characterization that was presented here by Mr. Hall's loved ones and that he's presented in the report that's evaluating what the risk is.

And by the way, they didn't say on the best day that he's a low risk. They called him a moderate risk.

That's concerning.

2.2

Also reiterated in the report is all of the different reasons why L.T. would come up with such a thing, and those reasons -- and I'm not going to repeat them. I had said during my statement they don't exist. They're figments of his imagination, just like this contentious divorce proceeding, just like the accusations that you left something out and said that it was a mistake at some point, which I don't recall Your Honor ever saying.

There's an indication in here that his other daughter's mother -- and I don't mean to bring her into it, but I'd like to note, Your Honor, she's sitting here. She's sitting here with the victim's family. I've never spoken to her before today.

Never spoken to her before today. She's never reached out to me. She is sitting here as the mother of a young child, and that young child --

1 THE COURT: What's her initials? 2 ATTY. FELSEN: N.S. 3 ATTY. DAVIS: N.S. 4 THE COURT: N.S.; that's in our PSI as well, 5 right? 6 ATTY. DAVIS: Yes. 7 ATTY. FELSEN: Yes, and that's A.S.'s mother. 8 And there's an indication in this report that N.S. is 9 supportive of him and believes he was wrongfully 10 convicted and Mr. Hall was the one who opted out of seeing his daughter out of fears that a CPS case 11 12 would be opened. She's sitting here. That's simply 13 not true. 14 THE COURT: Thank you for bringing that to my 15 attention. I was unaware of that. 16 ATTY. FELSEN: So many things are glaring to me. 17 I'll just say one more that's kind of obvious or 18 should be obvious, because everybody comes in and 19 presents their best self at sentencing, and everybody 20 has loved ones and backgrounds and histories. 21 all defendants who come here -- and I'll say almost 22 all of my clients are really good people, which is 23 crazy. It's very hard to reconcile when defendants 24 for the most part or in some other aspects of their 25 life present themselves as being really good people

and really loving and they have interests and they

have hobbies and they have family, and those family

26

1 come and they support.

Mr. Hall has a pending criminal case. When Mr. Hall pulled up to G.T.'s home that day, when Mr. Hall thought he was going to babysit these three young, beautiful girls, he had 4.87 pounds of marijuana in his car. Is he innocent of that too?

Your Honor, I could go on and on, but there needs to be an end to this. There's been repeated over the past, I think, six or seven hours repeated traumatizing of the victim and her family, bashing of them, disparaging them, talking about them being broken and dysfunctional albeit rich. They may be rich. They're certainly not broken and they're certainly not dysfunctional. They're loving. They're caring. They're giving. They're forgiving. This is unforgivable.

Your Honor, he shouldn't be out. He's a danger to the community. He's a danger to them. There's nothing that can be fashioned that protects any risk. The family is not -- has shown themselves today to be not in a position to adequately supervise him or to have adequate respect for the Court or the process such that there can be any guarantee or security that he's going to be safe to be out under any conditions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Attorney Felsen.

Attorney Russell, did you want to be heard again?

ATTY. RUSSELL: I simply want to say that I didn't think it was my role to edit a psycho evaluation, a psychosexual evaluation.

THE COURT: Well, if there's something so glaring as that --

ATTY. RUSSELL: It's not --

1.3

2.2

THE COURT: And the fact whether -- go ahead.

ATTY. RUSSELL: It's not my job to sugarcoat it.

This was the evaluation, and that was the assessment.

More compelling, I think, for Your Honor is the
assessment of the Department of Probation's psycho
evaluation, which also came to even more favorable
conclusions. So both of those have not made any
impression on the Court whatsoever, so I don't know
that that's an issue that pertains to flight or
flight risk or risk of flight. And again, there's a
trial. There's a sentence. That happened. I'm not
arguing that. I'm here arguing the legal issues and
the privilege, as I phrased it, to be free on bond
considering the issues that he will raise on appeal
and considering the pressures he is under.

And again, to be clear, what I said was he had been challenged on his custody of his other child, and he was put through that and was found, after a DCF investigation, to be cleared, and he did that while he was out on bond in this case. And he was investigated not only by this state but by the State

of New York while he was out on bond in this case.

I'm here talking about bond pending appeal, not

trying the case again, and I apologize, Your Honor,

if there was any confusion about that.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you. The parties are well aware there's no constitutional entitlement to bail pending appeal either under our federal constitution or Article 8 -- Article First 8, Section 8 of the Connecticut Constitution. And I'll cite the case of State v. Roberson -- I'm sorry, Roberson v. Connecticut. That's R-o-b-e-r-s-o-n v. Connecticut, and that is at 501 Fed 2nd 305, a 1974 Second Circuit case, but also cited in State v. Menillo, 159

Connecticut 264, a 1970 case. Post-conviction bail is entirely disassociated from the -- as Attorney Davis has indicated, dissociated from the pre-conviction presumption of innocence.

However, although there is no constitutional entitlement to bail pending an appeal, there is a common law principle in Connecticut that a judge of the superior court has the discretion to consider the appellant's ability for post-conviction bail. This power to admit is not statutory. I like how you called it a privilege, counsel. That's what I was going to call it. But in a very, very old case, State v. Vaughn, 71 Connecticut 457 -- it's an 1899 case but cited again in the case you cited, McCahill.

And McCAhill is spelled M-c-c-a-h-i-l-l, 261

Connecticut 492, a 2002 case. The power to admit

bail after conviction is not statutory but common law

power. Bail is then a matter of absolute discretion

to be exercised by the court, however, with great

caution and rarely to be allowed when the crime is

serious.

2.2

This is a very serious crime, as indicated not just by my sentence but also by our legislators placing a mandatory minimum time on it. I do take into consideration similar conditions that I would for a -- in post-conviction that I would for something of someone on arraignment. I will note that I have taken into consideration the defendant has no record until today and that he has been in compliance with his conditions of release. I take that into consideration, and I have to weigh that against the defendant's -- potential public safety that could be compromised. I also have to weigh that against the defendant's sentence and the strengths of the State's case.

As I indicated earlier, I was present during the trial. I observed all the witnesses testify. I observed the manner in which they testified. I would be remiss if I didn't comment on this. There's been accusations today that there was coaching done in the back, that there was collusion out in the hallways.

I saw none of that. I recall we were in a different courtroom, in 3A, not my floor. I do everything I can to stay off the third floor. So when I was not -- when not in session, I got out of there, I came up here. I didn't see any such collusion.

I've got the best viewpoint of anyone in this courtroom, and I didn't see anyone coaching anyone. So would be remiss if I just let that go by. There was no evidence of that whatsoever, and there's been accusations of that that have been leveled several times. Of the speakers today, nineteen of them, at least three of them -- I'm sorry, five of them referenced coaching or nodding. Again, I did not see any of that, but I feel as though I should say that.

I've considered all the factors that I would in a pre-conviction such as his lack of convictions. I will say that the appellate claims that counsel has boldly stated has merit and have argued within his motion for a new trial dated 8/8/25 I'm not going to comment on. I will say I'll leave that up to the appellate court. I'm not going to handicap it in any sort of way, but I will tell you this: the jury has spoken, and their verdicts are quite clear, and my sentence is quite clear.

I do believe that the defendant is a risk to society. This is one of the most serious cases that will be heard in this courtroom. I've taken into

157 1 consideration the position of the State, the position 2 of the victim, and I think my ruling is for the 3 protection of the victims and for the community at 4 large. The defendant's motion to set bail pending 5 appeal is hereby denied. Absent anything further, we 6 will adjourn for the day --7 ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor --THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, there's a second 8 9 file, the Trumbull case, which was transferred here. 10 ATTY. DAVIS: I did convey an offer to Attorney Berke yesterday loosely. I don't think he wants to 11 12 take action on it today. 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 ATTY. DAVIS: We can set it down for a new date. 15 I'm not sure what counsel wants to do. 16 ATTY. BERKE: We should pick a new date. Before 17 we do that, as far as the mittimus, would the

ATTY. BERKE: We should pick a new date. Before we do that, as far as the mittimus, would the mittimus -- would the Court allow page 13 of the PSI, which articulates my client's medical history, be attached to the mittimus for medical attention?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: I am going to attach the entire PSI, but I will ask for medical attention and I will direct corrections -- actually, I'll have Madam Clerk attach 13. So that's that. Look, I don't have to hear the other case. Obviously, I will be in Milford, as I say with a smile. But we'll get it whatever date. What date? September -- we'll make

1	it September 16th.
2	ATTY. BERKE: Your Honor, could I have until the
3	end of the month? I'm going to be on trial in
4	September.
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	ATTY. BERKE: If I could have the 29th, please.
7	ATTY. DAVIS: No objection.
8	THE COURT: 29th. Costs and fees are imposed.
9	Marshals, stand adjourned. Thank you.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

DOCKET NUMBERS: : SUPERIOR COURT

FBT-CR20-0336784-T FBT-CR20-0336785-T FBT-CR20-0339360-T

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF BRIDGEPORT

v. : AT BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

NICHOLAS HALL : AUGUST 14, 2025

E L E C T R O N I C

${\color{red} {\tt C} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt E} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt R} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt T} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt I} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt F} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt I} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt C} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt A} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt T} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt I} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt O} \hspace{0.1cm} {\tt N} }$

I hereby certify the electronic version is a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, Connecticut, before the Honorable Peter McShane, Judge, on the 14th day of August, 2025.

Dated this 28th day of August, 2025 in Danielson, Connecticut.

Erin Payton

Court Recording Monitor