

January 25, 2022

US Army Corps of Engineers ATTN: CESAS-RD-P Best Road, Suite 140 College Park, Georgia 30337-5600

Reference: Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Request Alpharetta Parcel at Charlotte Drive Alpharetta, Fulton County, Georgia S&ME Project No. 21680007

To Whom it May Concern:

S&ME, Inc. (S&ME), herewith submits this Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination (PJD) Request on an approximate 35-acre parcel located at Charlotte Drive just north of Rucker Road in Alpharetta, Georgia (Exhibit 1). Four wetlands and six non-wetlands waters were observed on the site.

The site is a former farm with pastures and forested areas. The site is identified as Fulton County Tax Parcel Number 22 434012450230 totaling 35 acres. The parcel is owned by the Estate of Carroll Byers c/o Mr. John Smith, the individual requesting the PJD. The site is proposed to be developed in the future; however, no specific site development plans are available.

Preliminary Jurisdictional Assessment

The field reconnaissance was conducted on January 3, 2022. The site had received approximately 1.57 inches of rainfall 48 hours prior to the start of field activities. The site reconnaissance was performed by S&ME Scientists Ronald Walker and Trevor DeLaere. Following review of the supporting information described above, the site evaluation began on the south section at the pond and proceeded from south to north.

Wetland 1 has developed within a drainage feature within the northcentral portion of the site. Within Wetland 1, Non-Wetland Waters 1 flows within a channel before dissipating within Wetland 1. Non-Wetland Waters 2 is an ephemeral channel that conveys flow from Wetland 1 to Non-Wetland Waters 3. Non-Wetland Waters 2 transitions to a perennial stream (Non-Wetland Waters 3). Non-Wetland Waters 3 flows through Wetland 2 until it discharges into the ponded waters of Non-Wetland Waters 6. Wetland 2 has developed abutting Non-Wetland Waters 3 and 6. Non-Wetland Waters 6 has formed behind a shallow berm. Non-Wetland Waters 4 and 5 and Wetland 3 have formed below the berm, along the southern property line.

Wetland 4 has developed within a wide swale along the east property line.

All site streams had channels with a bed and bank system and defined ordinary high-water mark. Non-Wetland Waters 2 lacked hydric soil indicators and as such would be considered an ephemeral channel and would not be subject to the 25-foot buffer requirement. The remaining waters were all perennial and would be subject to the



S&ME Project No. 21680007

25-foot buffer requirements. All site wetlands had an observable presence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soil indicators and wetland hydrology.

The jurisdictional features are summarized in Tables 1 and 2:

Table 1 –Wetlands	5
-------------------	---

Feature ID	Area - Acre
Wetland 1	0.229
Wetland 2	0.515
Wetland 3	0.002
Wetland 4	0.150
Total Approximate Wetland Area	0.896

Table 2 – Non-Wetland Waters

Feature ID	Linear Feet	Area-Acre	Flow Status
Non-Wetland Waters 1	80	0.005	Perennial
Non-Wetland Waters 2	105	0.004	Ephemeral
Non-Wetland Waters 3	535	0.036	Perennial
Non-Wetland Waters 4	22	0.001	Perennial
Non-Wetland Waters 5	20	0.001	Perennial
Total Approximate Tributaries	762	0.179	

Non-Wetland Waters 6 a pond (0.684 acre) was also delineated on site.

Please find attached:

- SAS Appendix 1 Request for Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determination
- Appendix 2 Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Form Exhibits depicting the Site Location Map (Exhibit 1), Site Topographic Map (Exhibit 2), Aerial Imagery (Exhibit 3), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Associations (Exhibit 4), US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) NWI Mapped Features (Exhibit 5), Site Photographs and Photograph Index (Exhibit 6)
- One Wetland Data Form and One Upland Data Form



Alpharetta, Fulton County, Georgia S&ME Project No. 21680007

Should you need additional information, please contact Ronald Walker at 864-297-9944.

Sincerely,

S&ME, Inc.

Ronallhalte

Ronald Walker Project Scientist/Project Manager

Mark plur/ger

/ Mark Augspurger / Senior Review/Senior Scientist

Attachments



Corps
rs
Distric

SAS APPENDIX 1: Request for Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determination (JD) and/or Delineation Review

I.	Reason for request: (check as many as applicable)
	I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which would be designed to avoid all aquatic resources.
	I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which would be designed to avoid all jurisdictional aquatic resources under Corps authority.
~	I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which may require authorization from the Corps, and the JD would be used to avoid and minimize impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources and as an initial step in a future permitting process.
	I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which may require authorization from the Corps; this request is accompanied by my permit application and the JD is to be used in the permitting process.
	I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities in a navigable water of the U.S. which is included on the district Section 10 list and/or is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	A Corps JD is required in order to obtain my local/state authorization.
	I intend to contest jurisdiction over a particular aquatic resource and request the Corps confirm that jurisdiction does/does not exist over the aquatic resource on the parcel.
	I believe that the site may be comprised entirely of dry land.
	Other:

II. I am requesting that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Savannah District, provide me with the following:

Delineation Review of Aquatic Resources - Concurrence with an aquatic resource delineation is a written notification from the Corps concurring, not concurring, or commenting on the aquatic resource boundaries, or limits, delineated on a property.

Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination - (PJD). A PJD is defined in Corps regulations at 33 CFR 331.2, as "written indications that there may be waters of the United States on a parcel". When the Corps provides a PJD, the Corps is making no legally binding determination of any type regarding whether jurisdiction exists over the particular aquatic resource in question.

Approved Jurisdictional Determination - (AJD) An AJD is defined in Corps regulations at 33 CFR 331.2. A definitive, official determination that there are, or that there are not, jurisdictional aquatic resources on a parcel.

I am unclear as to what I would like to request and require additional information to inform my decision.

III. Property/Owner Information. Please complete ALL of the following information for the property under review:

SECTION 1		
Parcel Number of Property: 22 434	4012450230	
Lat. 34.079178	Long 84.328069	(in decimal degrees)
Parcel Address: Charlotte Drive		
Parcel City : Alpharetta	Parcel County: Fulton	Zip: 30004
Size of Review Area: 35	Acre(s)	Linear feet

SECTION 2

LANDOWNER NAME	AUTHORIZED AGENT'S NAME
First: The Estate of Carroll Byers c/o John	First: Ronald
Last: Smith	Last: Wallker
Company: Smith Accounting Services, LLC	Company: S&ME Inc
Email Address: john.smithAcpa.com	Email Address: rwalker@smeinc.com
Address: 241 Lake Forrest Lane	Address: 48 Brookfield Oaks, Suite F
City: Atlanta	City: Greenville
State: GA Zip: 30342	State: SC Zip: 29607
Phone: 404.481.5067	Phone: 864.590.3569

PROPERTY ACCESS PERMISSION, AKNOWLEDGEMENT OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 10001 AND STATEMENT OF AGENT AUTHORIZATION Initial ONLY One:

By signing below, I certify that I am the owner of record of the property referenced in III, Section 1 above, and I hereby authorize representatives of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Savannah District, to enter the property for purposes of conducting on-site inspections, and issuing an aquatic resource delineation concurrence and/or a jurisdictional determination. My signature shall also be an affirmation that I possess the requisite property rights to request a delineation review and/or a jurisdictional determination on the property referenced in III - Section 1. Further, I authorize the agent in III - Section 2, to act on my behalf in the processing of this request and to furnish supplemental information in support of this request.

By signing below, I certify that I am acting as the duly authorized agent of the owner of record of the property referenced in III, Section 1 above, and have been given the authority to: 1) request a delineation review and/or a jurisdictional determination (JD) on the property referenced in III - Section 1, and 2) authorize representatives of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Savannah District, to enter the property for purposes of conducting on-site inspections, and issuing an aquatic resource delineation concurrence and/or a jurisdictional determination. I understand that I may be required to provide documentary evidence of my authority to request a delineation review and/or JD, and/or to grant Corps of Engineers personnel access to the property.

JMITT Please Print Name Legibly: Date: 3/23/22 Signature

Authorities: Rivers and Harbors Act, Section 10, 33 USC 403; Clean Water Act, Section 404, 33 USC 1344; Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act, Section 103, 33 USC 1413; Regulatory Program of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; Final Rule for 33 CFR Parts 320-332.

Principal Purpose: The information that you provide will be used in evaluating your request to determine whether there are any aquatic resources within the project area subject to federal jurisdiction under the regulatory authorities referenced above.

Routine Uses: This information may be shared with the Department of Justice and other federal, state, and local government agencies, and the public, and may be made available as part of a public notice as required by federal law. Your name and property location where federal jurisdiction is to be determined will be included in the approved jurisdictional determination (AJD), which will be made available to the public on the District's website and on the Headquarters USACE website. Disclosure: Submission of requested information is voluntary; however, if information is not provided, the request for an AJD cannot be evaluated nor can an AJD be issued. Appendix 2 - PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (PJD) FORM

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PJD:

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PJD: John Smith, 241 Lake Forrest Lane, Atla

C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

CORPS USE ONLY - FILE NUMBER ASSIGNED BY CORPS OFFICE

D. PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: (USE THE TABLE BELOW TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE AQUATIC RESOURCES AND/OR AQUATIC RESOURCES AT DIFFERENT SITES)

State: GEORGIA County/parish/borough: Fulton City: Alpharetta

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):

Lat.: xx.xxx° Long.: yy.yyy° 34.07178/-84.328269

Universal Transverse Mercator: 16S 746531 37741

Name of nearest waterbody: Foe Killer Creek

E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

✓ Field Determination. Date(s): 01/3/2022

TABLE OF AQUATIC RESOURCES IN REVIEW AREA WHICH "MAY BE" SUBJECT TO REGULATORY JURISDICTION.

Site number	Latitude (decimal degrees)	Longitude (decimal degrees)	Estimated amount of aquatic resource in review area (acreage and linear feet, if applicable)	Type of aquatic resource (i.e., wetland vs. non-wetland waters)	Geographic authority to which the aquatic resource "may be" subject (i.e., Section 404 or Section 10/404)
1	34.0801232	-84.328925	80 LF / 0.006 Acre	Non-Wetland Waters	Section 404
2	34.079506	-84.328925	105 LF / 0.007 Acre	Non-Wetland Waters	Section 404
3	34.078644	-84.328468	535 LF / 0.037 Acre	Non-Wetland Waters	Section 404
4	34.077414	-84.327977	22 LF / 0.001 Acre	Non-Wetland Waters	Section 404
5	34.077421	-84.328037	20 LF / 0.001 Acre	Non-Wetland Waters	Section 404
6	34.077742	-84.329233	0.684 Acre	Non-Wetland Waters	Section 404

Site number	Latitude (decimal degrees)	Longitude (decimal degrees)	Estimated amount of aquatic resource in review area (acreage and linear feet, if applicable)	Type of aquatic resource (i.e., wetland vs. non-wetland waters)	Geographic authority to which the aquatic resource "may be" subject (i.e., Section 404 or Section 10/404)
7	34.079998	-84.328569	0.229Acre	Wetland 1	Section 404
8	34.078847	-84.328569	0.515 Acre	Wetland 2	Section 404
9	34.077404	-84.328087	0.002 Acre	Wetland 3	Section 404
10	34.079309	-84.326699	0.150 Acre	Wetland 4	Section 404
				D.	-
	5				

- The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional aquatic resources in the review area, and the requestor of this PJD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved JD (AJD) for that review area based on an informed decision after having discussed the various types of JDs and their characteristics and circumstances when they may be appropriate.
- 2) In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "preconstruction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an AJD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware that: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a PJD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional aquatic resources; (2) the applicant has the option to request an AJD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an AJD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization; (4) the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an AJD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the PJD; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a PJD constitutes agreement that all aguatic resources in the review area affected in any way by that activity will be treated as jurisdictional, and waives any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an AJD or a PJD, the JD will be processed as soon as practicable. Further, an AJD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331. If, during an administrative appeal, it becomes appropriate to make an official determination whether geographic jurisdiction exists over aquatic resources in the review area, or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional aquatic resources in the review area, the Corps will provide an AJD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable. This PJD finds that there "may be" waters of the U.S. and/or that there "may be" navigable waters of the U.S. on the subject review area, and identifies all aquatic features in the review area that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:

SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for PJD (check all that apply)

Checked items should be included in subject file. Appropriately reference sources below where indicated for all checked items:

~	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the PJD requestor:
	Map: Location, Topographic, Aerial Imagery, NRCS Soil, NWI Map
~	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the PJD requestor. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Rationale:
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: <u>Roswell,</u> GA
~	Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Fulton County, 9/10/2021
~	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: <u>USFWS</u> Wetland Mapper Website
	State/local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: 🖌 Aerial (Name & Date): ESRI World Imagery 2020
0	or Other (Name & Date): <u>S&M\$</u> Site Photographs 1/3/2022
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Other information (please specify):

IMPORTANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the Corps and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional determinations.

Signature and date of Regulatory staff member completing PJD Signature and date of person requesting PJD (REQUIRED, unless obtaining the signature is impracticable)¹

¹ Districts may establish timeframes for requestor to return signed PJD forms. If the requestor does not respond within the established time frame, the district may presume concurrence and no additional follow up is necessary prior to finalizing an action.





Wetland 1 0:229 Acre

Non-wetland Waters 1 80 LF

Non-Wetland Waters 2 105 LF

> Wetland 2 0.515 Acre

Non-Wetland Waters 6 - Pond 0.684 Acre

A PERSONAL CONTRACTOR				
Site Approximate Totals				
Total Site Area - 3	35 Acres			
Total Jurisdictional Area	0.948 Acre			
Total Upland Area	34.052 Acres			
Wetland 1	0.229 Acre			
Wetland 2	0.515 Acre			
Wetland 3	0.002 Acre			
Wetland 4	0.150Acre			
Non-Wetland Waters 1	80 LF / 0.006 Acre			
Non-Wetland Waters 2	105 LF / 0.007 Acre			
Non-Wetland Waters 3	535 LF / 0.037 Acre			
Non-Wetland Waters 4	22 LF / 0.001 Acre			
Non-Wetland Waters 5	20 LF / 0.001 Acre			
Non-Wetland Waters 6	0.684 Acre			

Central Coordinates -84.328269 34.079178

> Wetland 4 0.150 Acre

Non-Wetland Waters 3 535 LF

Wetland 3 0.002 Acre

400

200

0

Non-Wetland Waters 2047

400 Feet

egend Approximate Site Location Wetlands		&
 Non-Wetland Waters Ephemeral Non-Wetland Waters - Pond Wetland Data Point Coordinates Culvert 	Aerial Imagery	Alpharetta Parcel At Charlotte Drive PJD Request Estate of Carroll Byers Alpharetta, Fulton County, Georgia
12-Ditte	1 " = DA 1/21	ALE: 200 ' .TE: /2022
REFERENCE: THIS EXHIBIT WAS DEVELOPED USING INFORMATION AND DATA FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES: - ESRI WORLD IMAGERY - 2020	PROJECT 2168	NUMBER 0007
- S&ME GPS DATA - FULTON COUNTY TAX PARCEL DATA PLEASE NOTE THIS EXHIBIT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. IT IS NOT MEANT FOR DESIGN, LEGAL, OR ANY OTHER USES. THERE ARE NO GUARANTEES REGARDING ACCURACY. S&ME, INC. ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DECISION MADE OR ANY ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE USER BASED UPON THIS EXHIBIT	EXHIB	3







3 Non-Wetland Waters 6 and Wetland 2



Non-Wetland Waters 6

4







8 Non-Wetland Waters 1 Within Wetland 1 Area

















N		&
	Photograph Index	Alpharetta Parcel At Charlotte Drive PJD Request Estate of Carroll Byers Alpharetta, Fulton County, Georgia
1 1 61 5 8 1	sc/ 1 " =	ALE: 150 '
	DA 1 /2 /	ATE: /2022
REFERENCE:	PROJECT	NUMBER
THIS EXHIBIT WAS DEVELOPED USING INFORMATION AND DATA FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES:	2168	0007
- ESRI WUKLD IMAGERT - 2020 - S&ME GPS DATA - FULTON COUNTY TAX PARCEL DATA PLEASE NOTE THIS EXHIBIT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. IT IS NOT MEANT FOR DESIGN, LEGAL, OR ANY OTHER USES. THERE ARE NO GUARANTES REGARDING ACCURACY. S&ME, INC. ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DECISION MADE OR ANY ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE USER BASED UPON THIS EXHIBIT	EXHIB	6 6

U.S. WETLAND DETERMINATION DA See ERDC/EL TR-07	Army Corps of Engin ATA SHEET – Eastern M 7-24; the proponent ag	neers Iountains and P ency is CECW-	Piedmont Region -CO-R	Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)		
Project/Site: <u>Alpharetta Parcel At Charlot</u> Applicant/Owner: Estate of Carroll Bye	te Drive ers	City/County:	Alpharetta / Gerogia State:	GA Sampling Date: <u>1/3/2022</u>		
Investigator(s): Ronald Walker		Section, Townshi	p, Range:			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.); Flat	Lo	- ocal relief (concave	convex. none): concave	e Slope (%): 1		
Subregion (LRB or MLRA): LRB P. MLRA	136 Lat: 34.079007		Long: -84 328678			
Soil Map Unit Name: Cartegay-Toccoa Co			NW/I cl	assification: PEO		
Are elimetic / hydrologic conditions on the	with turning for this time of us					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the s		ar? Ye		(II no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hyd	rology significantly d	Isturbed? Are	Normal Circumstances"	bresent? Yes X No		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hyd	rologynaturally prob	lematic? (If ne	eeded, explain any answe	rs in Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attac	ch site map showing	sampling poin	t locations, transec	ets, important features, etc.		
Hydrophytic Vagatation Droppet?		le the Semale	Aroa			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X No	within a Wetlar	nd? Yes	X No		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No			<u> </u>		
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is req	uired; check all that apply)	(244)	Secondary Inc	licators (minimum of two required) oil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants	(B14)	Sparsely \	/egetated Concave Surface (B8) Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aydrogen Suilide O	or (CT) res on Living Roots	Drainage	Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduce	ed Iron (C4)	Drv-Sease	on Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reducti	on in Tilled Soils (0	C6) Crayfish E	Burrows (C8)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface ((C7)	Saturation	NVisible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Re	emarks)	Stunted of	r Stressed Plants (D1)		
Iron Deposits (B5)			Geomorph	nic Position (D2)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow A	quitard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Microtopo	graphic Relief (D4)		
		I	X FAC-Neut	rai Test (D5)		
Field Observations:	No Dopth (inch	voc):				
Water Table Present? Yes	No Depth (incl	nes).				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inch	nes): 0	Wetland Hydrology Pres	sent? Yes X No		
(includes capillary fringe)	· · ·	·				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, r	nonitoring well, aerial photo	s, previous inspect	ions), if available:			
Remarks: Saturated to the surface.						

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Wetland

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Acer rubrum	50	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species
2. Quercus phellos	20	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:5(A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
5				
6				Percent of Dominant Species
7				Brevelence Index workshoet
<i>I</i>	70	Tatal Online		
	/0	= I otal Cover		
50% of total cover: 3t	5 20%	of total cover:	14	OBL species 40 x 1 = 40
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species 10 x 2 = 20
1				FAC species 60 x 3 = 180
2				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
3.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
4.				Column Totals: 110 (A) 240 (B)
5.	·			Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.18
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
<i>1.</i>				
8				
9				X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{\circ}$
		=Total Cover		4 - Morphological Adaptations' (Provide supporting
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover:		data in Remarks or on a separate sneet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1. Juncus pylaei	20	Yes	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
2. Microstegium	10	Yes	FAC	present, unless disturbed or problematic.
3. Cvperus esculentus	10	Yes	FACW	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4				Tree Woody plants evaluding vines 3 in (7.6 cm) or
5				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
o				height.
0				
/				Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less
8				than 3 In. DBH and greater than or equal to 5.20 it (1 m) tall
9				
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 tt tall.
	40	=Total Cover		Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
50% of total cover: 20	0 20%	of total cover:	8	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
,, _,, _				
··				
2.				
3.				
4				
5				Hydrophytic
		-Tatal Causes		Vegetation
		= rotal Cover		
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover:		Present? Yes X No
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover:		Present? Yes X No
50% of total cover:	20% rate sheet.)	of total cover:		Present? Yes X No
50% of total cover: Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	20%	of total cover:		Present? Yes X No
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover		Present? Yes X No
50% of total cover: Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	20%	of total cover		Present? Yes X No
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover		Present? Yes X No

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to doc	ument ti	he indica	ator or c	onfirm the abs	ence of indic	ators.)			
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	% Featur	es Type ¹	loc^2	Texture		Rema	rks		
					турс		TOXICIO		Renta			
0-3	10YR 3/2	100										
3-20	10YR 4/2	90	5YR 4/6	10	С	PL	Loamy/Clayey Prom		minent redox	inent redox concentrations		
· <u> </u>												
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, I	MS=Mas	ked Sano	d Grains.	² Lo	cation: PL=P	ore Lining, M=	Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:							Indicators f	or Problemati	ic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol (A1)		Polyvalue B	elow Sur	face (S8) (MLRA	147, 148)	2 cm Mu	2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)				
Histic Epipedon (A2)		Thin Dark S	urface (S	69) (MLR	A 147, 1	48)	Coast P	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)				
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Loamy Muc	ky Minera	al (F1) (N	ILRA 13	6)	(MLR/	(MLRA 147, 148)			
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gley	ed Matriz	x (F2)			Piedmor	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)			
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		X Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)				(MLR/	A 136, 147)			
2 cm Mu	uck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark	Surface	(F6)			Red Par	ent Material (F	-21)		
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					(outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)				
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depr	essions	(F8)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)				
Sandy M	/ucky Mineral (S1)		Iron-Mangar	nese Ma	sses (F1)	2) (LRR	Ν.	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Sandy G	Gleved Matrix (S4)		MLRA 13	6)		, ,			•	,		
Sandy R	Redox (S5)		Umbric Surf	ace (F13	3) (MLRA	122. 13	6)	³ Indicators o	f hvdrophytic v	edetation and		
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Piedmont Fl	oodplain	Soils (F	19) (MLF	-, RA 148)	148) wetland hydrology must be present				
Dark Su	Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 12		LRA 127	(, 147, 148) unless disturbed or problematic.								
Restrictive	l aver (if observed):				. ,.							
Type [.]												
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes X	No		
Remarks												
Remarks.												

U.S. WETLAND DETERMINATION DA See ERDC/EL TR-07	Army Corps of Engir TA SHEET – Eastern N 2-24; the proponent ag	neers Iountains and P ency is CECW-	iedmont Region CO-R	Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
Project/Site: Alpharetta Parcel At Charlott	e Drive	City/County:	Alpharetta / Gerogia	Sampling Date: 1/3/2022
Applicant/Owner: Estate of Carroll Bye	rs		State:	GA Sampling Point: Upland
Investigator(s): Ronald Walker		Section, Township	o, Range:	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Flat	Lo	ocal relief (concave,	, convex, none): concave	e Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P, MLRA	136 Lat: 34.078971		Long: -84.328735	Datum: NAD 1983
Soil Map Unit Name: Appling-Hard Labor (Complex		NWI cla	assification: Upland
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the s	ite typical for this time of ye	ear? Ye	s X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hyd	rology significantly di	isturbed? Are "	Normal Circumstances" p	oresent? Yes X No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hyd	rology naturally prob	lematic? (If ne	eded, explain any answe	rs in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attac	h site map showing	sampling poin	t locations, transec	ts, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Remarks:	Yes X No Yes No X Yes No X Yes No X	Is the Sampled within a Wetlan	Area ad? Yes	No <u>X</u>
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requ	uired: check all that apply)		Secondary Ind Surface Se	oil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants	(B14)	Sparsely \	/egetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Od	dor (C1)	Drainage F	Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizosphe	res on Living Roots	(C3) Moss Trim	Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduce	ed Iron (C4) on in Tilled Soils (C	Dry-Seaso	on Water Table (C2)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface ((C7)	Saturation	Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Re	emarks)	Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)			Geomorph	nic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (I	37)		Shallow A	quitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Microtopo	graphic Relief (D4)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes	No Depth (inch	nes):		
Water Table Present? Yes	No Depth (inch	nes):		
Saturation Present? Yes	No Depth (inch	nes):	Netland Hydrology Pres	sent? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, n	nonitoring well, aerial photos	s, previous inspecti	ons), if available:	
Remarks:				

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Upland

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Acer rubrum	50	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species
2. Quercus phellos	20	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A)
3		·		Total Number of Dominant
4.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	70	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
50% of total cover:38	5 20%	of total cover:	14	OBL species 10 x 1 = 10
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
1.				FAC species 150 x 3 = 450
2.				FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
3				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
а		·		$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
				$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$
5				
6				Hydrophytic vegetation indicators:
7.		·		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
8				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
9				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
		=Total Cover		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover:		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1. Juncus pylaei	10	No	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
2. Festuca arundinacea	80	Yes	FAC	present, unless disturbed or problematic.
3.				Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
4.				Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines 3 in (7.6 cm) or
5				more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of
6				height.
7				Continent Mandy planta evaluating vince loss
·				then 3 in DRH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft
o				(1 m) tall.
9		·		
10		<u></u>		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				or size, and woody plants less than 5.20 it tall.
	90	=Total Cover		Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
50% of total cover: 45	5 20%	of total cover:	18	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
		=Total Cover		Hydrophytic
50% of total cover:	20%	of total cover		Present? Yes X No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

SOIL

Depth Matrix			Redo	Redox Features							
nches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Rema	arks	
0-4	10YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey				
4-20 2.5Y 5/4 100		2.5Y 5/4 100		Loamy/Clavey							
. 20											
	·										
	·					<u> </u>					
	·										
Гуре: С=С	oncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.	² Loca	ation: PL=P	ore Lining, M	=Matrix.	
ydric Soil	Indicators:						I	ndicators fo	or Problema	tic Hydric Soil	
Histosol (A1)			Polyvalue B	elow Sur	face (S8) (MLRA	147, 148)	2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)			
Histic Epipedon (A2)		Thin Dark S	urface (S	69) (MLR	A 147, 14	48)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)				
Black H	istic (A3)		Loamy Mucl	ky Minera	al (F1) (N	ILRA 136	5)	(MLRA 147, 148)			
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Depleted Matrix (F3) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Redox Depressions (F8)					Piedmor	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Stratifie	d Layers (A5)							(MLRA			
2 cm M	uck (A10) (LRR N)							Red Pare			
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)						(outsid			
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)	. ,						Very Sha			
Sandv N	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Iron-Mangar	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N.							
 Sandv (Gleved Matrix (S4)			6)	,	<i>,</i> ,	-			,	
Sandy F	Redox (S5)		Umbric Surf	-, ace (F13	3) (MLRA	122, 136	3) ³	Indicators of	hvdrophytic	vegetation and	
Stripper	Matrix (S6)		Piedmont Fl	oodolain	Soils (F	19) (MI R	-, Δ 148)	wetland	nydrology mi	ist he present	
Dark Su	Inface (S7)		Red Parent	Material	(F21) (M	LRA 127	, 147, 148)	unless d	sturbed or p	roblematic.	
estrictive	Laver (if observed):				. ,.						
Type:	, , , , , , , , , ,										
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil P	resent?	Yes	No X	