JONATHAN ANOMALY

BIOETHICS (PHIL165 01M MAYMESTER 2015) No. of responses = 18




Global Index + 1 2 3 4 5 dev.=0.62 Course/Instructor Characteristics + 1 2 3 4 5 av.=4.46 dev.=0.56 Overall Course Assessment + 1 2 3 4 5 av.=4.46 dev.=0.56 Teaching Award Recommendation + 1 2 3 4 5 av.=4.68 dev.=0.54

Legend

Feedback to Students

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

av.=4.7 dev.=0.48

Course/Instructor Characteristics The course challenged me to think deeply about the n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree subject matter. av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.38 14 77.8% The instructor clearly communicated what was expected n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.72 of me in this class. md=5 dev.=0.57 n=16 I was able to get individual help when I needed it. av.=4.56 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree md=5 dev.=0.51 ab.=2 n 0 0 The course material (e.g., coursepack, website, texts) n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.61 helped me better understand the subject matter. md=5 dev.=0.5 n=17 av.=4.47 The course assignments helped me better understand Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree md=5 dev.=0.62 the subject matter. ab.=1





Comments on overall assessment of this course.

- -well organized, intellectually stimulating, and an overall very interesting course
- Amazing and nothing less.
- I did not just rush through this course evaluation by clicking all of the most positive indicators. Dr. Anomaly deserves them all. This was an awesome class.
- Overall, this class was great. I enjoyed it very much and learned heaps of interesting things.
- Professor Anomaly's knowledge and expertise is clear in his lectures. However, the grading was a little strict.
- Really good course, liked Anomaly a lot. Looking forward to taking more classes with him through the PPE minor in the future.
- This class was very informative, the topics that were selected apply to everyday life.
- This was a great course! I had never taken a small completely seminar-like course before, although I guess this is the standard of Maymester courses, but this class was certainly conducive to provocative discussion. I understood the material significantly and the way it was taught was very interesting and not at all boring or lackluster. The readings were all relevant to the success and learning outcomes of the class, and they weren't too much to manage or handle. I would definitely recommend this course to anyone who might ask what to take for a PH credit class or any interesting class for that matter.
- This was an amazing course that challenged me to think in ways that I do not normally do so. The instructor facilitated discussion extremely effectively in a way that paced the class brilliantly. This was one of the best courses I ever had in my history of education as it nurtured my critical thinking abilities and ways of approaching thought.
- This was an effective Maymester course. By effective I mean that it taught some key ideas of bioethics in a short period of time with a

Page 4

workload that was doable. Instead of the usual "let me fit in as much info as possible" style of teaching, it was more of a "let me focus on the key ideas" style. I learned a lot this way. Instead of focusing on study strategies to remember everything for the exam, I actually just focused on the information and thought critically about it.

- Very intellectually stimulating. I have learned a great deal about how philosophy is structured. I enjoyed the different topics we discussed, all of which were controversial.
 - There were times when Dr. Anomaly talked too fast for me to write sufficient notes but thankfully I have a recorder to fall back on as needed. This was my first philosophy class and maymester experience. I highly enjoyed my time.
- Very interesting topics
- Very thought-provoking
- wqf

JONATHAN ANOMALY, BIOETHICS **Teaching Award Recommendation** The instructor was one of the best I have had at n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.22 md=4.5 Carolina, fully deserving of a teaching award. dev.=0.88 Feedback to Students The instructor handled questions well. n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49 The instructor used examples that had relevance for me. n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.43 The instructor used class time well. n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49 n=18 av.=4.83 The instructor encouraged students to participate in this Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree class. md=5 dev.=0.38 5 This course was designed to keep me engaged in n=18 av.=4.61 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree learning. md=5 dev.=0.61 The workload was appropriate for what I gained from n=18 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.67 this class. md=5 dev.=0.49 Other Information What grade do you think you will get in this course? n=18 0% Н 0% 0% A- or A 50%

B or B+

C+ or B-

C- or C

 $\mathsf{F}\,\mathsf{or}\,\mathsf{D}$

Fail

50%

0%

0%

0% 0%

0%

Is this a required course for you?

Yes 72.2% n=18
No 27.8%

Profile

Subunit: College of Arts and Sciences
Name of the trainer: JONATHAN ANOMALY

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)


JONATHAN ANOMALY BIOETHICS

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Course/Instructor Characteristics

The course challenged me to think deeply about the Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree subject matter. n=18 av.=4.83 md=5.00 dev.=0.38 The instructor clearly communicated what was Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.72 md=5.00 dev.=0.57 Disagree expected of me in this class. I was able to get individual help when I needed it. Strongly Strongly Agree n=16 av.=4.56 md=5.00 dev.=0.51 Disagree The course material (e.g., coursepack, website, Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.61 md=5.00 dev.=0.50 Disagree texts) helped me better understand the subject matter. The course assignments helped me better Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.47 md=5.00 dev.=0.62 n=17 understand the subject matter. Disagree The instructor evaluated my work fairly. Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.59 n=18 Disagree The instructor showed concern about whether Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.33 md=4.00 dev.=0.69 students learned the material. Disagree The instructor expressed ideas clearly. Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.61 md=5.00 dev.=0.50 Disagree The instructor showed enthusiasm for the subject Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.89 md=5.00 dev.=0.32 Disagree The instructor showed enthusiasm for teaching the Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.89 md=5.00 dev.=0.32 n=18 Disagree Strongly The instructor treated all students with respect. Strongly Agree av.=4.61 md=5.00 dev.=0.61 Disagree n=18 The instructional techniques engaged me with the Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.62 subject matter. Disagree The instructor provided me with helpful feedback on Strongly Strongly Agree Disagree n=18 av.=4.56 md=5.00 dev.=0.62 my performace. This course was very exciting to me intellectually. Strongly Strongly Agree n=18 av.=4.72 md=5.00 dev.=0.57 Disagree Rate the grading standards of this course compared Very Easy Most Rigorous n=18 av.=3.33 md=3.00 dev.=0.69 with others you have taken at UNC. Rate the workload required in this course compared Most Rigorous Very Easy n=18 av.=3.11 md=3.00 dev.=0.83 with others you have taken at UNC.

Overall Course Assessment



Teaching Award Recommendation

The instructor was one of the best I have had at Carolina, fully deserving of a teaching award.



n=18 av.=4.22 md=4.50 dev.=0.88

av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.49

av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.43

av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.49

av.=4.83 md=5.00 dev.=0.38

av.=4.61 md=5.00 dev.=0.61

av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.49

Feedback to Students

The instructor handled questions well.


The instructor used examples that had relevance for me

The instructor used class time well.

The instructor encouraged students to participate in this class

This course was designed to keep me engaged in learning.

The workload was appropriate for what I gained from this class.




JONATHAN ANOMALY

INTRO TO PHIL/POLI/ECON (PHIL384 003 ECON384 003 POLI384 003 2152) No. of responses = 11




Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention








Comments on overall assessment of this course.

- Best class I have ever taken and also the most intellectually rewarding. No one should leave this class with the same views as before entering the class.
- This was a great course that challenged my ways of thinking about the world around me. Students were introduced to new ideas and were also given the resources to support these claims. The professor was also extremely excited and was determined to help us learn. Instead of teaching down to students, both professors required that the students meet their standards which was inspiring and motivational.
- This was my first class in college where the professor structured lessons as a dialogue with the students rather than a lecture. I found this a little intimidating at first, but by the end of the semester I really enjoyed it. The readings were thought provoking and although the lessons moved quickly they were clear and informative. With regards to the content of the class, I thought that the Prisoner's Dilemma/ Property rights/Distributive Justice units moved a little slow and were at times repetitive, which is fine, but I wish we had more times to spend with the Public Choice Theory/Markets in Everything sections. On the readings, I loved the distributive justice readings and I did not gain very much from Carol Rose "Liberty, Property, Environmentalism". I think that the Markets in Everything readings could have had more ties to game theory. I liked the ones we were assigned, but I didn't feel like they gave me as deep of an understanding of the topics as I wanted. I personally wouldn't have minded if we were given more readings, or maybe just optional additional readings. I also thought it was awkward to include the Alex Morris article about Miriam Weeks because she is still a Duke student. Overall, I really liked this class and it was evident how passionate the professors were about the material and their students.
- Wow, what a great class! The PPE Gateway exposes students to a variety of great thinkers, economists, and scholars. The information that we learn is practical and super relevant to other classes, too. I wish that everyone, including those who are not PPE minors, could take this course, as I feel that it has no equivalent and I know many people that would enjoy it.

Dr. Anomaly is one of the most enthusiastic and knowledgable professors I've ever encountered. His passion for the subject matter, coupled with his seemingly boundless knowledge, made the class very enjoyable and enlightening. Understanding that this class was cotaught with Duke, I would appreciate the opportunity to take another class with Dr. Anomaly exclusively at UNC--my experience this semester was wonderful!



Other Information

vvnat grade do you tnink you will get in this course?		
н	0%	n=10
P	0%	
L (0%	
A- or A (30%	
B or B+ (70%	
C+ or B-	0%	
C- or C	0%	
F or D	0%	
Pass	0%	
Fail	0%	
Is this a required course for you?		
Yes (81.8%	n=11
No (18.2%	

Profile

Subunit:


Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) College of Arts and Sciences JONATHAN ANOMALY INTRO TO PHIL/POLI/ECON

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Course/Instructor Characteristics

The course challenged me to think deeply about the Strongly Strongly Agree subject matter. Disagree n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30 The instructor clearly communicated what was Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.55 md=5.00 dev.=0.69 Disagree expected of me in this class. I was able to get individual help when I needed it. Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.55 md=5.00 dev.=0.52 Disagree The course material (e.g., coursepack, website, Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.73 md=5.00 dev.=0.47 Disagree texts) helped me better understand the subject matter. The course assignments helped me better Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.73 md=5.00 dev.=0.47 n=11 understand the subject matter. Disagree The instructor evaluated my work fairly. Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.55 md=5.00 dev.=0.69 n=11 Disagree The instructor showed concern about whether Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.36 md=4.00 dev.=0.67 students learned the material. Disagree The instructor expressed ideas clearly. Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.73 md=5.00 dev.=0.47 Disagree The instructor showed enthusiasm for the subject Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=5.00 md=5.00 dev.=0.00 Disagree The instructor showed enthusiasm for teaching the Strongly Strongly Agree av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30 n=11 Disagree Strongly The instructor treated all students with respect. Strongly Agree av.=4.82 md=5.00 dev.=0.40 Disagree n=11 The instructional techniques engaged me with the Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.50 subject matter. Disagree The instructor provided me with helpful feedback on Strongly Strongly Agree Disagree n=11 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.67 my performace. This course was very exciting to me intellectually. Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.82 md=5.00 dev.=0.40 Disagree Rate the grading standards of this course compared Very Easy Most Rigorous n=11 av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=0.45 with others you have taken at UNC. Rate the workload required in this course compared Most Rigorous Very Easy n=11 av.=3.64 md=4.00 dev.=0.67 with others you have taken at UNC.

Overall Course Assessment



Teaching Award Recommendation

The instructor was one of the best I have had at Carolina, fully deserving of a teaching award.



n=11 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.50

Feedback to Students

The instructor handled questions well.


The instructor used examples that had relevance for me.

The instructor used class time well.

The instructor encouraged students to participate in this class

This course was designed to keep me engaged in learning.

The workload was appropriate for what I gained from this class.



n=11	av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=11	av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=11	av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=11	av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.50


av.=4.73 md=5.00 dev.=0.65

n=11

JONATHAN ANOMALY


PHIL/POLI/ECON CAPSTONE PHIL698 ECON698 POLI698 001 Spring 2016 No. of responses = 11/20 (Response Rate:55%)






Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention







Comments on overall assessment of this course.

- Jonny Anomaly represents what the humanities should be. Intelligent, critical, and not afraid to tell you you are wrong. In a world where professors pander to students, Professor Anomaly demands respect and attention.
- Really enjoyed this class! I thought the class discussions were thought provoking. The class was a bit big considering the discussion-based structure, but overall it was one of my favorite classes this semester!
- The class size was too large. In a discussion-based class, it's extremely difficult to have your voice heard (especially when volunteering requires a lot of forethought) when you have to compete with 30 other students to get the chance to speak.
- This course has challenged me intellectually. It has forced me to escape my analytical mind and view the world through a philosophical, economical, and political lens. In keeping an open mind, the course has encouraged me beyond my comfort zone of thought. The course material has not only enabled me to form opinions about current issues, but it has enabled me to justify my beliefs.
- This is the second PPE course I have taken with Prof. Anomaly, and unfortunately, it will probably be my last. Anomaly is an extremely effective instructor and is able to facilitate level-headed, rigorous discussions even on the most controversial topics. This probably sounds like pandering, but I felt like I grew intellectually during every class.
- When I registered for this course I was unaware that I would have to travel to Duke for over half of the semester. If I have to travel to another campus for a class I should not have to pay \$3 each way to take the Robertson bus. Especially since Professor Anomaly decided that that the Duke students did not need to travel to UNC after spring break. Duke students do not have to pay for their Robertson passes so I think it would have been more fair if they had had to travel to UNC.

Teaching Award Recommendation The instructor was one of the best I have had at n=11 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.64 Carolina, fully deserving of a teaching award. md=5 dev.=0.67 Feedback to Students 10 90.9% The instructor handled questions well. n=11 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 5 10 90.9% The instructor used examples that had relevance for me. Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 10 90.9% The instructor used class time well. n=11 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 5 0 0° 0% The instructor encouraged students to participate in this n=11 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=5 class. md=5 dev.=0 5 0 0 This course was designed to keep me engaged in n=11 av.=4.91 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree learning. md=5 dev.=0.3 The workload was appropriate for what I gained from n=11 Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree av.=4.82 this class. md=5 dev.=0.4 Other Information What grade do you think you will get in this course? n=11 0% Н 0% 0% A- or A 36.4% B or B+ 54.5% C+ or B-9.1% C- or C 0% $\mathsf{F}\,\mathsf{or}\,\mathsf{D}$ 0% 0% Fail 0%

Is this a required course for you?

⁄es	100%	n=11
No	0%	

Profile

Subunit:

College of Arts and Sciences
JONATHAN ANOMALY

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

PHIL/POLI/ECON CAPSTONE

Comparative line:

PHIL Dept Avg 2016 Spring

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Course/Instructor Characteristics

The course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.

The instructor clearly communicated what was expected of me in this class.

I was able to get individual help when I needed it.

The course material (e.g., coursepack, website, texts) helped me better understand the subject matter.

The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.

The instructor evaluated my work fairly.

The instructor showed concern about whether students learned the material.

The instructor expressed ideas clearly.

The instructor showed enthusiasm for the subject matter.

The instructor showed enthusiasm for teaching the class.

The instructor treated all students with respect.


The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.


The instructor provided me with helpful feedback on my performace.

This course was very exciting to me intellectually.

Rate the grading standards of this course compared with others you have taken at UNC.

Rate the workload required in this course compared with others you have taken at UNC.






Overall Course Assessment

Overall, this course was excellent.

Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.



n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30 n=1117 av.=3.71 md=4.00 dev.=1.09

n=11 av.=5.00 md=5.00 dev.=0.00 n=1114 av.=4.04 md=4.00 dev.=1.01 Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.

The instructor held class meetings consistent with the official schedule published for this course.



n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30 n=1115 av.=3.95 md=4.00 dev.=1.03

n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30 n=1096 av.=4.45 md=5.00 dev.=0.67

Teaching Award Recommendation

The instructor was one of the best I have had at Carolina, fully deserving of a teaching award.



n=11 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.67 n=1103 av.=3.48 md=3.00 dev.=1.12

Feedback to Students

The instructor handled questions well.


The instructor used examples that had relevance for me

The instructor used class time well.

The instructor encouraged students to participate in this class

This course was designed to keep me engaged in learning.

The workload was appropriate for what I gained from this class.



n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=1115 av.=4.26 md=4.00 dev.=0.84

n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=1111 av.=4.27 md=4.00 dev.=0.84

n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=111 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=111 av.=4.16 md=4.00 dev.=0.91

n=11 av.=4.17 md=4.00 dev.=0.95

n=11 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=1115 av.=4.91 md=5.00 dev.=0.30
n=111 av.=4.82 md=5.00 dev.=0.40

n=1112 av.=4.07 md=4.00 dev.=0.90