SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOMESTIC VIOLENCE UNIT (202) 879-0157

Alfred Durham	
Petitioner	
IF No. 08 CP0 11 63	
vs.	
Michael Kennedy	
Respondent	
PETITION AND AFFIDAVIT FOR CIVIL PROTECTION ORDER	
Pursuant to D.C. Code Section 16-1001 et seq.; Petitioner respectfully requests that the court issual 12-month Civil Protection Order against Respondent. In support of this request, Petitioner state	ue es:
1. Respondent is related to Petitioner By Blood; Legal Custody; Marriage; Having a Child in Common; Now or Previously Having Shared the Same Residence; Stranger Stalking Harassment If related by romantic/dating relationship.	
Do you reside in the District of Columbia? \bigcirc Ves \bigcirc No	
Did any incident described below occur in the District of Columbia? OYes ONo	
2. Respondent committed or threatened to commit an act punishable as a criminal offense against Petitioner within the meaning of D.C. Code Section 16-1001 et seq., by:(Please describe any such acts, including physical assaults like hitting, punching, shoving or kicking; threats to do harm, or destruction of property).	
A. On or about October 2007 to present , 2002, at approximately various a.m./p.m	
At (location): Police Headquarters 300 Indiana Ave., N. W.	
lass been stalking the petitioner since October 2007. The respondent has been harassing and stalking the petitioner by in-mail and blogging accusations about the petitioner and the respondent's wife. The petitioner has never had a solice department of the so-called affair. The petitioner does not know why the respondent is accusing him but this is armful to the petitioner's reputation on the department and dangerous because the petitioner has never seen the asspondent so he is very leery when approached by persons not familiar to him. The petitioner does not know if the petitioner on April 9, 2008 with the police department in regards to this. It appears the respondent could be suffering to a mental health issue based on some of his writings involving this situation. People within the department have the petitioner telling him they have seen what the respondent is writing about the him. On April 9, the petitioner and the respondent is not entitled to have about anyone. The respondent has accused the petitioner of raping his wife.	