Business Case: Ultrasonic Hull Antifouling System Procurement and Installation

1. Executive Summary:

This business case presents the justification for procuring and installing an ultrasonic hull antifouling system for a vessel. Marine biofouling, the accumulation of marine organisms on a ship's hull, presents a significant operational challenge, leading to increased fuel consumption, higher maintenance expenses, and adverse environmental impacts [1]. The proposed solution involves the adoption of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system, a technology that utilizes high-frequency sound waves to prevent the settlement and growth of these organisms [1]. This proactive approach offers several key benefits, including substantial fuel savings due to reduced hydrodynamic drag, decreased maintenance requirements through less frequent hull cleaning and repainting, significant environmental advantages by eliminating the need for toxic biocides, and the potential to extend the lifespan of conventional antifouling paint [1]. While the initial investment in an ultrasonic system involves procurement and installation costs [8], the long-term operational savings and environmental benefits strongly support its adoption. Based on the analysis presented in this business case, the procurement and installation of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system is a worthwhile investment that aligns with the organization's strategic objectives of reducing costs, enhancing environmental responsibility, and improving operational efficiency.

2. Introduction and Background:

2.1 The Problem of Marine Biofouling:

Marine biofouling is a pervasive issue for all vessels operating in seawater. It refers to the accumulation of various marine organisms, such as algae, barnacles, mussels, and other forms of marine growth, on the submerged surfaces of a ship's hull [4]. This seemingly minor biological colonization has significant adverse effects on a vessel's performance and operational costs. One of the primary consequences of biofouling is the increase in hydrodynamic drag [1]. The accumulation of organisms creates a rougher hull surface, which increases the resistance the vessel experiences as it moves through the water. This heightened drag directly translates to reduced vessel speed and manoeuvrability, impacting operational schedules and potentially leading to delays.

Furthermore, the increased resistance caused by biofouling necessitates a greater power output from the vessel's engines to maintain the desired speed [1]. This increased engine load results in higher fuel consumption, leading to significantly elevated operating costs for the shipping company. Studies indicate that even a relatively thin layer of biofouling can increase fuel consumption by a substantial margin, with some reports suggesting increases of up to 30% or even 40% in cases of heavy fouling [1]. The amplified fuel consumption also has a detrimental impact on the environment through increased greenhouse gas emissions [4]. The maritime industry is under increasing pressure to reduce its environmental footprint, and biofouling exacerbates this

Perth 3/11 Blackly Row 6164 +61 8 9410 0144
Sydney L57 25 Martin Place 2000 +61 2 9238 2032
sales@marineplantsystems.com | ABN 61 078 997 449 | CAGE CODE ZAC53
www.marineplantsystems.com

challenge.

Beyond the direct operational and environmental consequences, biofouling also contributes to the potential spread of invasive aquatic species [2]. Organisms attached to a vessel's hull can be transported to new environments, where they may outcompete native species and disrupt local ecosystems. This issue is of growing concern globally, and effective biofouling management is crucial in mitigating this risk. Furthermore, biofouling necessitates increased maintenance requirements [1]. Vessels with fouled hulls require more frequent cleaning to remove the accumulated organisms and restore performance. This cleaning process can be costly and time-consuming, often involving dry-docking or underwater cleaning services. Additionally, the need for regular hull repainting with antifouling coatings adds to the maintenance expenses and vessel downtime. In some cases, severe biofouling can even lead to damage to the hull's protective coatings, making the underlying structure more susceptible to corrosion [3].

2.2 Introduction to Ultrasonic Hull Antifouling:

Ultrasonic hull antifouling technology presents a modern and effective solution to combat the challenges posed by marine biofouling [1]. These systems utilize high-frequency sound waves, typically above the range of human hearing, to create an environment on the hull's surface that is inhospitable to the settlement and growth of marine organisms [1]. The system typically consists of one or more transducers, which are attached to the inside of the vessel's hull, and a control unit that generates the ultrasonic signals [4]. These transducers emit ultrasonic waves that vibrate the hull surface at high frequencies. This vibration disrupts the initial attachment process of microscopic organisms like algae and barnacle larvae, preventing them from firmly adhering to the hull [4]. By inhibiting the growth of this primary layer of biofouling, the system also prevents the subsequent attachment of larger, more complex organisms that feed on this initial growth [4].

There are two primary approaches to ultrasonic antifouling: sub-cavitation intensity and cavitation intensity [17]. Sub-cavitation methods generate high-frequency vibrations that prevent the attachment of organisms by disrupting the Van Der Waals forces that allow them to adhere to the surface [17]. Cavitation methods, on the other hand, utilize higher intensity ultrasound to create microscopic pressure changes in the water near the hull surface, causing the formation and collapse of tiny bubbles. This process physically damages the cells of biofouling organisms and the supporting biofilm [17]. While cavitation methods can be more effective against established fouling, they require more power and there have been considerations regarding their potential effects on the hull [17]. Modern ultrasonic antifouling systems often employ sub-cavitation techniques or a combination of both, carefully calibrated to provide effective biofouling prevention without causing damage to the vessel [17]. A significant advantage of ultrasonic hull antifouling is its non-biocidal nature [1]. Unlike traditional antifouling paints that release toxic chemicals into the marine environment to deter fouling, ultrasonic systems rely on physical principles to achieve the same result. This makes them an environmentally friendly alternative, aligning with increasing global concerns about marine pollution and the impact of biocides on aquatic life. The proactive nature of ultrasonic antifouling, preventing biofouling from the outset rather than reacting to it after it has occurred, offers a more sustainable and potentially more cost-effective approach to hull maintenance [4].

3. Business Justification:

3.1 Alignment with Organizational Objectives:

The procurement and installation of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system directly supports several key strategic objectives of the shipping company. A primary goal for any commercial shipping operation is to reduce operational costs and improve overall profitability [1]. By mitigating biofouling, the ultrasonic system contributes to significant fuel savings, which represent a substantial portion of a vessel's operating expenses. The reduction in fuel consumption not only lowers direct costs but also enhances the vessel's operational efficiency, allowing it to maintain speed with less power [1].

Another critical objective for modern shipping companies is to enhance environmental sustainability and comply with increasingly stringent regulations [2]. The International Maritime Organization (IMO) has implemented various guidelines and regulations aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions from shipping and preventing the spread of invasive aquatic species [4]. Ultrasonic antifouling systems directly support these goals by reducing fuel consumption and preventing the attachment of organisms that can be transported to new environments. Furthermore, the non-toxic nature of ultrasonic technology aligns perfectly with the growing global emphasis on minimizing the use of harmful biocides in antifouling applications [7].

Improving vessel performance and overall operational efficiency is also a key driver for adopting new technologies. A clean hull, maintained by an ultrasonic system, ensures optimal hydrodynamic performance, leading to better hull speed, faster passage times, and improved manoeuvrability [1]. This can have a positive impact on scheduling, delivery times, and overall operational reliability. Additionally, the use of an ultrasonic antifouling system can contribute to extending the lifespan of existing antifouling coatings [5]. By preventing the initial layer of biofouling, the ultrasonic waves can help the underlying paint remain effective for a longer period, reducing the frequency of costly and time-consuming repainting.

3.2 The Problem/Opportunity Statement:

The persistent problem of marine biofouling continues to significantly impact the vessel's operational efficiency, leading to substantial financial losses through increased fuel consumption and elevated maintenance costs. Furthermore, the environmental consequences of biofouling and the use of traditional antifouling methods pose a growing concern in light of stricter environmental regulations and the organization's commitment to sustainability [47]. This situation presents a clear opportunity to adopt a proactive and environmentally responsible solution. By investing in an ultrasonic hull antifouling system, the organization can directly address these challenges, capitalizing on the potential for significant fuel savings, reduced maintenance requirements, and a diminished environmental footprint. This initiative aligns with the strategic goals of cost reduction, environmental stewardship, and enhanced operational performance, offering a pathway to a more sustainable and economically viable future for the vessel's operations [47].

4. Considered Options:

4.1 Do Nothing:

The option of not undertaking this project would mean continuing with the current practices for managing marine biofouling. This typically involves relying on traditional antifouling paints and periodic hull cleaning [47]. However, maintaining the status quo carries several negative consequences. The vessel would continue to experience increased hydrodynamic drag due to biofouling, leading to higher fuel consumption and associated costs [47]. This also means continued elevated greenhouse gas emissions, potentially hindering compliance with evolving environmental regulations and impacting the organization's sustainability goals. The need for frequent hull cleaning and repainting would persist, resulting in ongoing maintenance expenses and vessel downtime. Furthermore, the risk of transporting invasive aquatic species via biofouling would remain unaddressed. Given the increasing focus on environmental responsibility and the rising costs associated with fuel and traditional maintenance methods, the 'do nothing' option represents a missed opportunity to improve operational efficiency, reduce expenses, and enhance the organization's environmental profile [7].

4.2 Do the Minimum:

The 'do the minimum' option could involve intensifying current biofouling management practices without implementing new technology [47]. This might include more frequent applications of standard antifouling paint or more regular underwater hull cleaning. While these actions could offer some mitigation of the negative effects of biofouling, they come with their own limitations. Traditional antifouling paints often contain biocides that are harmful to marine ecosystems [4]. Increasing their use would exacerbate these environmental concerns. More frequent underwater hull cleaning, while removing existing fouling, is a reactive measure that does not prevent its initial formation. It also incurs ongoing costs and can potentially damage the hull's protective coatings. Furthermore, these approaches do not offer the same level of proactive and continuous protection as an ultrasonic antifouling system. While the initial outlay might be lower compared to investing in new technology, the long-term operational and environmental costs associated with 'doing the minimum' could ultimately be higher [7].

4.3 Do Something (Proposed Option): Procure and Install an Ultrasonic Hull Antifouling System:

The proposed option involves procuring and installing a modern ultrasonic hull antifouling system on the vessel [47]. This technology offers a proactive and environmentally sound approach to managing marine biofouling. Ultrasonic systems utilize high-frequency sound waves to prevent the settlement and growth of marine organisms on the hull, thereby reducing hydrodynamic drag and improving fuel efficiency [1]. Various types of systems are available, differing in the number of transducers, power output, and advanced features such as guided wave and heterodyning technologies that enhance coverage and effectiveness against a broader range of fouling species [11]. This option is preferable because it offers the potential for significant fuel savings, reduced maintenance costs, and substantial environmental benefits due to its non-biocidal operation [1]. It aligns strongly with the organization's strategic objectives of cost reduction, environmental responsibility, and improved operational efficiency.

5. Expected Benefits:

5.1 Fuel Savings:

The installation of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system is projected to yield significant fuel savings for the vessel. Research indicates that vessels with fouled hulls can experience a substantial increase in fuel consumption, ranging from 10% to as much as 30% or even 40% in severe cases [1]. By effectively preventing the buildup of marine organisms, the ultrasonic system helps to maintain a smoother hull surface, thereby reducing hydrodynamic drag [1]. This reduction in drag translates directly to a lower load on the vessel's engines, requiring less fuel to achieve and maintain the desired speed. Several case studies and pilot installations have demonstrated the effectiveness of ultrasonic antifouling in improving fuel efficiency [7]. For example, one system is reported to offer ship operators up to a 13% reduction in fuel consumption [7]. The actual fuel savings will depend on various factors, including the vessel's size, operating profile, and the severity of fouling in its typical operating environments.

Table 1: Potential Annual Fuel Cost Savings

Annual Fuel Consumption (Metric Tons)	Fuel Price per Ton (USD)	Fuel Saving Percentage	Annual Savings (USD)
1000	800	10%	80,000
1000	800	15%	120,000
1000	800	20%	160,000
5000	800	10%	400,000
5000	800	15%	600,000
5000	800	20%	800,000

5.2 Reduced Maintenance Costs:

Implementing an ultrasonic antifouling system is expected to significantly reduce the vessel's maintenance costs associated with biofouling [1]. The primary way this is achieved is through a reduction in the frequency of hull cleaning [1]. By proactively preventing the attachment of marine organisms, the need for frequent underwater hull cleaning or dry-docking for manual scrubbing is considerably diminished. This leads to direct savings on the costs associated with hull cleaning services, including labour, equipment, and potential downtime. Furthermore, the ultrasonic system can reduce the need for mid-season hull scrubs that are often required with traditional antifouling paints alone [1]. Some systems can even extend the time between major hull repainting cycles by helping the existing antifouling paint remain effective for longer [5]. This results in savings on the cost of antifouling paint, application, and the associated downtime. The overall reduction in maintenance frequency also translates to less operational disruption and more time available for revenue-generating activities [9].

Table 2: Comparison of Maintenance Schedule and Costs

Activity	Without Ultrasonic System	With Ultrasonic System	Estimated Annual Cost Without	Estimated Annual Cost With	Potential Annual Savings
Hull Cleaning	1-2 times/year	0-1 time/year	$5,000 - $10,000	$0 - $5,000	$5,000 - $10,000
Hull Repainting	Every 2-3 years	Every 3-5 years	$15,000 - $30,000 (averaged)	$9,000 - $10,000 (averaged)	$6,000 - $20,000 (averaged)
Mid-Season Hull Scrubbing	As needed	Reduced	$2,000 - $5,000	$0 - $2,000	$2,000 - $5,000

5.3 Environmental Advantages:

A significant benefit of ultrasonic hull antifouling is its environmentally friendly nature [1]. Unlike traditional antifouling paints, which release biocides (toxic substances) into the water to prevent fouling, ultrasonic systems operate without the use of any harmful chemicals [1]. This eliminates the risk of polluting marine ecosystems with toxins that can harm aquatic life and potentially accumulate in the food chain. Furthermore, the reduction in the need for frequent repainting with biocide-containing paints also minimizes the release of microplastics into the ocean as these paints degrade over time [4]. By keeping the hull clean, ultrasonic antifouling also plays a role in preventing the spread of invasive aquatic species, as there are fewer organisms attached to the vessel that can be transported to new environments [2]. The improved fuel efficiency resulting from a cleaner hull directly contributes to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, aligning with global efforts to combat climate change [4]. The adoption of ultrasonic antifouling can help the organization comply with increasingly stringent environmental regulations regarding biofouling management and emissions reduction [7]. Studies and tests have generally indicated that ultrasonic waves used in these systems do not pose a significant threat to marine life, including marine mammals [5].

5.4 Improved Hull Performance and Efficiency:

Maintaining a clean hull is crucial for optimal vessel performance, and ultrasonic antifouling systems excel at achieving this [1]. By preventing the buildup of biofouling, the system ensures that the hull remains streamlined, reducing hydrodynamic drag to a minimum [4]. This results in better hull speed, allowing the vessel to maintain its operational schedule more effectively and potentially achieve faster passage times [1]. Furthermore, a clean hull enhances the vessel's manoeuvrability, contributing to safer and more efficient navigation. The ultrasonic system helps in maintaining optimal propulsion efficiency by preventing fouling on propellers and rudders, which can significantly impact performance and fuel consumption [14].

5.5 Protection of Internal Systems:

In addition to protecting the external hull, certain ultrasonic antifouling systems can also provide protection to internal seawater systems [11]. Biofouling can occur within sea chests leading to blockages, reduced efficiency, and potential damage to equipment. Ultrasonic transducers installed in these areas can prevent the growth of marine organisms, ensuring the efficient operation of critical onboard systems and reducing the need for manual cleaning or chemical treatments [11].

6. Expected Dis-benefits:

6.1 Initial Outlay Cost:

One of the primary dis-benefits associated with procuring and installing an ultrasonic hull antifouling system is the initial capital investment required [8]. The cost of the system, including the control unit and transducers, along with the installation expenses, can be significant, particularly for larger vessels requiring multiple transducers to ensure adequate coverage [8]. However, it is important to consider this initial cost in the context of the long-term savings and benefits that the system is expected to generate over its lifespan [1]. Framing this expenditure as a long-term investment rather than a short-term cost is crucial for a comprehensive evaluation.

6.2 Potential Compatibility Issues:

Ultrasonic antifouling systems may not be suitable for all types of vessel hulls [8]. For instance, wooden hulls, due to their low density and numerous joints, can exhibit poor acoustic transmission

of the high-frequency sound waves, potentially rendering the system ineffective [8]. Similarly, vessels with cored hull construction, where there is a layer of material between the inner and outer skins, might also experience reduced effectiveness as the sound waves may not propagate efficiently through the core [8]. Additionally, some systems may face challenges in providing complete protection at the waterline, where fouling can still occur [11]. It is essential to assess the vessel's hull material and design to ensure compatibility with the chosen ultrasonic antifouling system.

6.3 Potential for Patchy Coverage:

If the ultrasonic antifouling system is not appropriately sized for the vessel or if the transducers are not strategically placed, there is a risk of experiencing patchy coverage [11]. This could lead to some areas of the hull remaining unprotected and susceptible to biofouling, while other areas benefit from the ultrasonic treatment [11]. To mitigate this risk, it is crucial to select a system with the correct number and power of transducers for the vessel's size and to follow the manufacturer's guidelines for optimal transducer placement [11]. Larger vessels and catamarans often require multiple transducers to achieve comprehensive hull protection [11].

6.4 Requirement for Complementary Antifouling:

While ultrasonic antifouling is effective in preventing the attachment of marine organisms, some experts suggest that it may be beneficial to use it in conjunction with a traditional antifouling paint [5]. This combination can help prevent natural staining of the hull that might occur even in the absence of significant biofouling [5]. Additionally, the ultrasonic system can extend the lifespan of the antifouling paint, reducing the frequency of repainting [5]. Therefore, while the ultrasonic system reduces the reliance on traditional antifouling, it might not entirely eliminate the need for it.

6.5 Potential Impact on Certain Marine Life (Although Research Suggests Otherwise):

Initially, there were some concerns raised about the potential impact of ultrasonic waves on marine mammals, particularly those that rely on sonar for navigation and communication [6]. However, more recent research and studies have indicated that modern ultrasonic antifouling systems operate at frequencies and power levels that do not typically interfere with the sonar of these species [7]. The ultrasonic waves tend to remain close to the hull structure and do not propagate significant distances into the open water [5]. While ongoing research is always important, current evidence suggests that ultrasonic antifouling is an environmentally sound alternative to biocidal paints in terms of its impact on marine life [7].

7. Costs Analysis:

7.1 Procurement Costs:

The cost of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system can vary significantly depending on several factors, including the size of the vessel, the number of transducers required for adequate coverage, the power output of the system, the specific technology employed (e.g., guided wave, heterodyning), and the manufacturer [11]. For smaller recreational boats, a basic system with one or two transducers might cost between $500 and $1,500 [26]. However, for larger commercial vessels, which necessitate multiple transducers and more powerful control units, the procurement costs can range from several thousand to tens of thousands of dollars [8]. For instance, a four-transducer system suitable for boats between 30 and 45 feet has been quoted at around $2,000 [18]. Some high-performance systems designed for larger vessels can have significantly higher initial costs [37]. It is important to obtain specific quotes from reputable manufacturers based on the vessel's specifications and antifouling needs.

7.2 Installation Costs:

The installation process for an ultrasonic antifouling system typically involves cleaning the inside of the hull where the transducers will be bonded and then applying epoxy to attach the transducers [7]. Most modern systems are designed for in-water installation, eliminating the need for costly and time-consuming dry-docking [2]. For smaller vessels, the installation can often be carried out by the boat owner as a DIY project, following the manufacturer's instructions [2]. However, for larger and more complex installations, it is advisable to engage experienced technicians to ensure proper placement and bonding of the transducers, as well as correct wiring of the control unit [7]. Professional installation costs can vary depending on the size of the vessel and the complexity of the system, but they are generally less than the cost of a dry-docking. Anecdotal evidence suggests that the installation of a high-end ultrasonic antifouling system on a larger vessel could cost around $10,000 [37].

7.3 Operational Costs:

The operational costs associated with ultrasonic antifouling systems are generally quite low [7]. These systems typically consume a minimal amount of electrical power to generate the ultrasonic waves [7]. Some systems boast very low power consumption, making them suitable for use with solar or wind power sources [18]. For example, some transducers consume as little as 3.6 watt-hours or around 3-4 watts on average [7]. The maintenance requirements for the ultrasonic system itself are also minimal, typically involving periodic inspections to check for any wear and tear or debris [7]. There are no consumable parts like anodes that need regular replacement, unlike some other antifouling technologies [14].

8. Timescale:

8.1 Project Duration:

The duration of the project, from procurement to full operation of the ultrasonic antifouling system, is expected to be relatively short [47]. Once a suitable system has been selected and procured, the installation process for many systems can be completed within a single day, especially for smaller vessels [2]. Larger or more complex installations might take slightly longer, but the overall disruption to the vessel's operations is typically minimal, as dry-docking is usually not required [2].

8.2 Benefit Realization Period:

The benefits of the ultrasonic hull antifouling system, such as fuel savings and reduced maintenance costs, will begin to be realized almost immediately upon installation and activation of the system [1]. The continuous operation of the system ensures ongoing protection against biofouling, leading to sustained fuel efficiency and reduced maintenance needs throughout its lifespan. The typical lifespan of ultrasonic antifouling systems can vary depending on the manufacturer and specific model, but many are designed to provide protection for several years, often exceeding 10 years for the transducers [8]. For certain components like propellers, the return on investment can be realized in a relatively short period, potentially within a couple of months, due to the significant impact of propeller fouling on efficiency [33].

9. Risk Assessment:

9.1 System Malfunction or Failure:

There is a risk that the ultrasonic antifouling system could malfunction or fail to operate correctly. This could result in a lack of protection against biofouling, leading to increased drag, fuel consumption, and maintenance requirements. To mitigate this risk, it is important to select a reputable manufacturer with a proven track record and to ensure that the system is installed correctly according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Regular monitoring of the system's performance, if supported by the unit, can also help in identifying and addressing any potential issues promptly.

9.2 Incompatibility with Hull Material or Design:

As mentioned earlier, ultrasonic antifouling systems may not be suitable for all hull types, particularly wooden or cored hulls. Using the system on an incompatible hull could lead to ineffective biofouling prevention and a wasted investment. To avoid this risk, a thorough assessment of the vessel's hull material and construction should be conducted prior to procurement. Consulting with the system manufacturer or other experts can help determine the suitability of the technology for the specific vessel.

9.3 Ineffective Protection Against Heavy Fouling:

While ultrasonic antifouling is effective in preventing the initial stages of biofouling, in areas with extremely aggressive fouling conditions, the system might not provide 100% protection [8]. In such cases, occasional manual cleaning of the hull might still be required to remove any persistent fouling. Understanding the typical fouling environment in which the vessel operates is crucial in assessing this risk [38]. If the vessel frequently operates in waters known for heavy fouling, a combination of ultrasonic antifouling with a high-quality antifouling paint might be the most effective solution.

9.4 Damage During Installation:

There is a potential risk of damage to the vessel's hull or the ultrasonic system components during the installation process, particularly if it is not carried out by experienced personnel or if proper procedures are not followed. Incorrect bonding of transducers or improper wiring of the control unit could lead to system malfunction or hull damage. To minimize this risk, it is recommended to either use certified installers or to carefully adhere to the manufacturer's installation manual if undertaking a DIY installation.

9.5 Power Supply Issues:

The continuous operation of the ultrasonic antifouling system is necessary for optimal protection. Any interruption in the power supply could temporarily halt the system's function, potentially allowing biofouling to begin attaching to the hull. To mitigate this risk, it is important to ensure a reliable power source for the system. This might involve connecting it to the vessel's main power supply with appropriate backup measures or ensuring sufficient capacity in the vessel's battery system, especially if the system is powered by batteries.

10. Investment Appraisal:

10.1 Return on Investment (ROI):

The return on investment for the ultrasonic hull antifouling system is primarily driven by the fuel savings and reduced maintenance costs achieved over the system's lifespan, compared to the initial procurement and installation expenses [10]. The ROI can be calculated using the following formula:

ROI = ((Total Benefits - Total Costs) / Total Costs) * 100%

Where:

- Total Benefits = Cumulative fuel savings + Cumulative maintenance cost savings over the system's lifespan.
- Total Costs = Procurement cost + Installation cost.

Table 3: 5-Year Investment Appraisal (Example)

Year	Initial Investment (Year 0)	Estimated Annual Fuel Savings	Estimated Annual Maintenance Savings	Total Savings	Cumulative Savings
1	-$20,000	+$15,000	+$8,000	+$23,000	+$3,000
2	$0	+$15,000	+$8,000	+$23,000	+$26,000
3	$0	+$15,000	+$8,000	+$23,000	+$49,000
4	$0	+$15,000	+$8,000	+$23,000	+$72,000
5	$0	+$15,000	+$8,000	+$23,000	+$95,000

Note: These are example figures and need to be adjusted based on the specific vessel and system.

Based on the example figures in Table 3, after the initial investment of $20,000, the cumulative savings over a 5-year period are estimated to be $95,000, resulting in a significant return on investment.

10.2 Payback Period:

The payback period is the time it takes for the cumulative benefits to equal the initial investment [28]. Using the example figures from Table 3, the initial investment of $20,000 is recovered within the first year, as the total savings in the first year alone amount to $23,000. This indicates a very attractive payback period for the ultrasonic antifouling system.

10.3 Net Present Value (NPV):

A more sophisticated investment appraisal method involves calculating the Net Present Value (NPV), which takes into account the time value of money. This involves discounting the future benefits back to their present value using an appropriate discount rate. A positive NPV would indicate that the project is financially viable. While a detailed NPV calculation is beyond the scope of this introductory business case, it is a valuable tool for a more in-depth financial analysis, especially for larger investments.

11. Recommendation:

Based on the analysis presented in this business case, it is recommended to proceed with the procurement and installation of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system for the vessel [47]. The potential for significant fuel savings, reduced maintenance costs, and substantial environmental benefits provides a strong justification for this investment. While the initial outlay cost is a factor to consider, the long-term financial returns and the alignment with the organization's strategic objectives of cost reduction, environmental responsibility, and improved operational efficiency make this a worthwhile undertaking. The next steps should involve conducting a detailed system selection process to identify the most suitable ultrasonic antifouling system for the vessel's specific requirements and operating environment. Following this, a comprehensive implementation plan should be developed, outlining the procurement, installation, and commissioning phases of the project.

12. Implementation Plan (High-Level):

The implementation of this project will involve the following key stages:

- **System Selection and Vendor Identification:** Research and evaluate different ultrasonic antifouling systems available on the market, considering factors such as vessel size, hull material, operating environment, system features, manufacturer reputation, and cost. Obtain quotes from potential vendors [47].
- **Procurement of the Ultrasonic Antifouling System:** Select the most suitable system based on the evaluation and budget and proceed with the procurement process [47].
- **Hull Preparation and Cleaning:** Ensure the inside of the vessel's hull is clean and free of any loose paint or debris in the areas where the transducers will be bonded [7].
- **Installation of Transducers and Control Unit:** Install the ultrasonic transducers on the inside of the hull according to the manufacturer's instructions, typically using a strong epoxy adhesive. Mount the control unit in an accessible location and connect the transducers and power supply [7].
- **System Testing and Commissioning:** Once the installation is complete, test the system to ensure that all transducers are functioning correctly and that the control unit is operating as expected [7].
- **Training of Vessel Crew on System Operation and Monitoring (if required):** Provide training to the vessel's crew on how to operate and monitor the ultrasonic antifouling system, if necessary [7].

13. Benefit Realization Plan:

To ensure that the expected benefits of the ultrasonic antifouling system are realized and measured, the following plan will be implemented [55]:

- **Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):** The success of the project will be measured using the following KPIs:
  - **Reduction in fuel consumption:** Track fuel consumption data before and after the installation of the system, adjusting for operational factors such as distance travelled and cargo load. Aim for a measurable percentage reduction in fuel consumption [55].
  - **Decrease in the frequency of hull cleaning:** Monitor the intervals between hull cleaning

activities and compare them to the historical frequency. The goal is to achieve a significant reduction in the need for regular hull cleaning [55].
    - **Extension of antifouling paint lifespan:** Track the time between hull repainting cycles and assess if the ultrasonic system contributes to extending the effective lifespan of the antifouling paint [55].
    - **Qualitative assessment of hull cleanliness and performance:** Conduct periodic inspections of the hull to assess the level of biofouling and monitor the vessel's speed and manoeuvrability to gauge any improvements [55].
- **Roles and Responsibilities:** The Project Manager will be responsible for overseeing the benefit realization process. The Senior User will provide input on the expected benefits and will be involved in verifying their achievement. The Project Board will review the benefit realization reports at key stages [48].
- **Timing of Benefit Reviews:** Benefit reviews will be conducted at the end of each project stage and again at a defined period (e.g., 6 months and 12 months) after the project completion to assess the ongoing impact of the ultrasonic antifouling system [48].

14. Conclusion:

The evidence presented in this business case strongly supports the procurement and installation of an ultrasonic hull antifouling system. The potential for substantial financial savings through reduced fuel consumption and maintenance costs, coupled with the significant environmental advantages and the prospect of improved vessel performance, provides a compelling justification for this investment. By proactively addressing the challenges of marine biofouling with this innovative technology, the organization can enhance its operational efficiency, reduce its environmental footprint, and align with evolving industry best practices and regulations. This project represents a strategic investment that offers a strong return and contributes to the long-term sustainability and profitability of the vessel's operations.

Works cited

1. The benefits of using UltraSonic Antifouling's advanced technology to prevent fouling, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.ultrasonic-antifouling.com/leisure/the-benefits/
2. The Benefits of the Ultrasonic Anti-Fouling system - Pro Antifouling, accessed March 18, 2025, https://pro-antifouling.nl/en/the-benefits-of-the-ultrasonic-anti-fouling-system/
3. Benefits - CleanAHull.com, accessed March 18, 2025, https://cleanahull.com/ultrasonic-antifouling/benefits/
4. Why every vessel needs ultrasonic antifouling - Evac, accessed March 18, 2025, https://evac.com/blog/ultrasonic-antifouling-for-every-vessel/
5. What is ultrasonic antifouling? The Benefits of Ultrasonic Antifouling - Smart System Antifouling solution, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.smart-antifouling.com/news/what-is-ultrasonic-antifouling/
6. Ultrasonic Antifouling - Discovery of Sound in the Sea, accessed March 18, 2025, https://dosits.org/ultrasonic-antifouling/
7. What is ultrasonic antifouling? The future of biofouling management - Evac, accessed March 18, 2025, https://evac.com/article/what-is-ultrasonic-antifouling/
8. Ultimate guide to yacht ultrasonic antifouling | YP, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.yachting-pages.com/articles/a-complete-guide-on-yacht-ultrasonic-antifouling.html
9. Ultrasonic antifouling systems are a smart financial investment - Evac, accessed March 18, 2025, https://evac.com/blog/economic-impact-of-ultrasonic-antifouling-systems/
10. Antifouling | Hostmost, accessed March 18, 2025, https://hostmostgroup.com/anti-fouling/
11. The pros and cons of ultrasonic antifouling systems | EFC, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.electronic-fouling-control.com/the-pros-and-cons-of-ultrasonic-antifouling-systems/
12. Proactive biofouling management and its impact on efficiency and sustainability - Rivieramm, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.rivieramm.com/expert-views/proactive-biofouling-management-and-its-impact-on-efficiency-and-sustainability
13. If efficiency is the name of the game, biofouling comes up trumps - Ship Technology, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.ship-technology.com/comment/if-efficiency-is-the-name-of-the-game-biofouling-comes-up-trumps/
14. Smart System - Ultrasonic Antifouling - Seaview Progress, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.seaviewprogress.com/en/smart-system-ultrasonic-antifouling/
15. How Ultrasonic Antifouling Works - Sonihull ECO, accessed March 18, 2025, https://eco.sonihull.com/how-it-works/
16. The Green Tech technology of Ultrasonic Antifouling Systems - Marinco, accessed March 18, 2025, https://marinco.it/ultrasonic-antifouling-systems/
17. Ultrasonic antifouling - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ultrasonic_antifouling
18. Hull Shield HD4 Ultrasonic Antifouling System, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.hullshield.net/product/hull-shield-hd4-ultrasonic-antifouling-system/
19. Ultrasonic Antifouling with USP DragGone™ - Cathelco - Evac, accessed March 18, 2025, https://evac.com/ultrasonic-antifouling/
20. Sonihull vs Hullshield: Comparing Top Ultrasonic Anti-Fouling Systems - Seamagazine, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.seamagazine.com/sonihull-vs-hullshield-comparing-top-ultrasonic-anti-fouling-systems
21. Antifouling systems - mesultra.com, accessed March 18, 2025,

https://mesultra.com/antifouling/
22. Ultrasonic Antifouling for Commercial and Leisure Vessels, accessed March 18, 2025, https://sonihull.com/
23. Sonihull ultrasonic antifouling systems, accessed March 18, 2025, https://sonihull.com/sonihull-ultrasonic-antifouling-systems/
24. HD Ultrasonic Antifouling Products - Hull Shield, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.hullshield.net/products/
25. Technical Specifications - CleanAHull.com, accessed March 18, 2025, https://cleanahull.com/technical-specifications/
26. HullSonic HS200 Ultrasonic Anti-fouling System - 2 Transducers, accessed March 18, 2025, https://hullsonic.com/product/hull-sonic-hs200-ultrasonic-antifouling/
27. Products - HullSonic.com, accessed March 18, 2025, https://hullsonic.com/products/
28. Ultra-Sonitec - Ultrasonic Antifouling Systems - Home – Ultra-SoniTec, accessed March 18, 2025, https://ultra-sonitec.com/
29. Ultrasonic Antifouling Systems, accessed March 18, 2025, http://productimageserver.com/literature/brochure/49822BR.pdf
30. Ultrasonic Antifouling System – Part 2, Fighting Algae Growth | Nordkyn Design, accessed March 18, 2025, https://nordkyndesign.com/ultrasonic-antifouling-system-part-2-fighting-algae-growth/
31. Ultraguard Anitfouling | Experts in Ultrasonic Antifouling Systems|, accessed March 18, 2025, https://ultraguard-antifouling.com/
32. Proactive biofouling management and its impact on efficiency and sustainability - Rivieramm, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.rivieramm.com/expert-views/expert-views/proactive-biofouling-management-and-its-impact-on-efficiency-and-sustainability
33. The future of anti-fouling, now, accessed March 18, 2025, https://brimrun.is/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/SH-Almennt-enska.pdf
34. The benefits of using UltraSonic Antifouling's advanced technology to prevent fouling, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.ultrasonic-antifouling.com/commercial/the-benefits/
35. Cut your marine antifouling costs - Sonihull, accessed March 18, 2025, https://sonihull.com/cut-your-costs/
36. Ultrasonic Antifouling Archives - Hull Shield, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.hullshield.net/shop/ultrasonic-antifouling/
37. Ultrasonic antifouling for under £300 ? | YBW Forum, accessed March 18, 2025, https://forums.ybw.com/threads/ultrasonic-antifouling-for-under-%C2%A3300.611126/
38. Does Ultrasonic Antifouling Work? - Technical Discussion | YachtForums: We Know Big Boats!, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.yachtforums.com/threads/does-ultrasonic-antifouling-work.29231/
39. (PDF) An acoustic antifouling study in sea environment for ship hulls using ultrasonic guided waves - ResearchGate, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304251445_An_acoustic_antifouling_study_in_sea_environment_for_ship_hulls_using_ultrasonic_guided_waves
40. Environmental and Economic Impact of an Innovative Biocide-Free Antifouling Coating for Naval Applications - PMC, accessed March 18, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9860572/
41. Ultrasonic antifouling maintenance - EFC, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.electronic-fouling-control.com/ultrasonic-antifouling-maintenance/

42. Better biofouling reduces costs and emissions - Drydock Magazine, accessed March 18, 2025, https://drydockmagazine.com/better-biofouling-reduces-costs-and-emissions/
43. How Ultrasonic Anti-fouling Works - HullSonic.com, accessed March 18, 2025, https://hullsonic.com/how-ultrasonic-antifouling-works/
44. A complete guide to yacht ultrasonic antifouling - EFC - Electronic Fouling Control, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.electronic-fouling-control.com/a-complete-guide-to-yacht-ultrasonic-antifouling/
45. How long does antifoul last? - EFC - Electronic Fouling Control, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.electronic-fouling-control.com/how-long-does-antifoul-last/
46. Vessel Suitability and Considerations - CleanAHull.com, accessed March 18, 2025, https://cleanahull.com/ultrasonic-antifouling/things-to-consider/
47. 3 steps to create a compelling business case with PRINCE2, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.prince2.com/blog/3-steps-to-create-a-compelling-business-case-with-prince2/
48. Business Case - PRINCE2® wiki, accessed March 18, 2025, https://prince2.wiki/theme/business-case/
49. PRINCE2 Business Case: Detailed Explanation With Examples & Templates, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.knowledgehut.com/blog/project-management/prince2-business-case
50. PRINCE2 Business Case for Project Management | USA, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.prince2.com/usa/prince2-business-case
51. PRINCE2 Business Case - Aspire Europe, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.aspireeurope.com/prince2-business-case.php
52. Ultrasonic Antifouling Info - Page 8 - Cruisers & Sailing Forums, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.cruisersforum.com/forums/f55/ultrasonic-antifouling-info-78923-8.html
53. Hull Shield ultrasonic antifouling - Does it really work? - YBW Forum, accessed March 18, 2025, https://forums.ybw.com/threads/hull-shield-ultrasonic-antifouling-does-it-really-work.615313/
54. Ultrasonic Antifouling - Page 4 - Cruisers & Sailing Forums, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.cruisersforum.com/forums/f124/ultrasonic-antifouling-212893-4.html
55. Business Case - PRINCE2® wiki, accessed March 18, 2025, https://prince2.wiki/management-products/business-case/
56. Understanding the PRINCE2 Business Case - Invensis Learning, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.invensislearning.com/blog/prince2-business-case-examples-templates/