# Disentropy, Potentum, and the Conditionality of the Light–Speed Limit

Joseph P. Firmage[1]
[1]*Academy of Science and Arts, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA*
(Dated: September 2025)

This essay reframes energy conservation and the second law in light of potentum and reciprofluxion. We argue that reciprofluxion machines (*Disentropy Machines*) do not violate conservation; rather, they re–source it by ordering the vacuum's ambient energy–momentum density. We formalize the role of the continuous rotor current $|J|$ as the microscopic supply of impulse ($F\,\Delta t$) and show how macroscopic $F = MA$ emerges from the sum of $|J|$ across the atom's conjugating rotors. We then analyze why the speed of light $c$ appears as an absolute limit in conventional accelerators ("virtual proton tubes"), and why, under structurally ordered potentum, that limit is conditional—a property of disordered propagation rather than of physics per se. The program yields concrete tests and safeguards for causality.

### Conservation of Energy Revisited

Conservation asserts that energy can neither be created nor destroyed; it is transformed. Heat engines convert thermal gradients to work; induction machines convert magnetic flux change to current. In all such devices, friction and radiative loss set a practical floor: a system cannot run indefinitely "from nothing."

**Key move:** recognize the vacuum's *potentum*—a floor of dynamic energy density that standard thermodynamics treats as an undifferentiated "bath." Reciprocityfluxion shows this bath has structure that can be ordered.

Let $E_{\text{dev}}$ be the device's internal energy, $E_{\text{bath}}$ the vacuum (potentum) energy accessible to ordering, $W_{\text{out}}$ the useful work exported, and $Q_{\text{loss}}$ dissipation. Over a cycle,

$$\Delta E_{\text{dev}} + \Delta E_{\text{bath}} = -\,W_{\text{out}} - Q_{\text{loss}}.$$

For steady operation $\Delta E_{\text{dev}} \approx 0$, so

$$W_{\text{out}} + Q_{\text{loss}} = -\,\Delta E_{\text{bath}}.$$

Interpretation: the machine's "fuel" is the ordered component extracted from the vacuum bath. There is no over–unity: conservation holds once the bath is in the ledger.

### Structural Disentropy

Entropy increases when ordered degrees of freedom devolve into randomness. *Structural disentropy* is the inverse: by conjugating rotors (reciprofluxion), the device imposes order on ambient fluctuations, creating a potentum dipole—a sustained asymmetry from which work can be drawn.

- Local entropy decreases within the machine (more order).
- Global accounting is preserved as the vacuum supplies the negentropy reservoir (via $\Delta E_{\text{bath}} < 0$).
- The net effect is a machine that appears to escape the second law, but in fact enlarges its scope by revealing new structure in the vacuum.

Thus reciprofluxion devices are *Disentropy Machines*: engines whose working medium is ordered potentum, not a chemical or thermal stockpile.

### $|J|$ as the Microscopic Source of Impulse

Within atoms, rotors (electron/proton architectures) never stand still; they continuously reciproflux. Let $J_i$ denote the rotor current magnitude associated with the $i$-th conjugating element (a GA-current that tracks phase/closure). The ongoing sum $\sum_i |J_i|$ is the atom's continuous engagement with potentum.

Impulse is the integral form of Newton's law:

$$\int F\,dt \;=\; \Delta p.$$

We identify the microscopic supply of impulse with the time–integral of $\sum_i |J_i|$ across the architecture:

$$\int F\,dt \;\propto\; \sum_i \int |J_i|\,dt.$$

Operationally: the atom accelerates (macroscopic $F = MA$) because its internal rotor architecture is always supplying impulse from ordered potentum. In this sense, mass reflects a capacity to couple to potentum via $|J|$; acceleration is how that coupling manifests at scale.

### From Induction to Disentropy Machines

Faraday–Maxwell induction is the macroscopic shadow of reciprofluxion: changing flux $\to$ current is what you see when rotor conjugation reconfigures potentum lines in a conductor. The Energy Tap is then a reciprofluxion–based motor: an engineered conjugation zone that keeps the potentum dipole in phase with mechanical rotation to export electrical work. The Acceleromotor extends the same logic: phase–locked asymmetry in a toroidal throat turns ordered potentum into thrust (impulse over time). The Gravity Lense scales conjugation into nested arrays so the aggregate potentum dipoles generate a metric–like focusing—an engineering handle on curvature.

### Why Conventional Accelerators Hit $c$

Relativistic accelerators (linacs, synchrotrons) are, functionally, virtual proton tubes: long EM guides that push charged particles against the vacuum floor without reciprofluxive closure. They maximize field strength and coherence of the drive, not of the vacuum ordering. The particle's interaction with disordered potentum produces the familiar asymptote: as $v \to c$, the energy required to add $\Delta v$ diverges. Experiments confirm that in this regime, $c$ is a hard wall.

### $c$ as a Conditional Limit

If the limiting behavior observed so far is a property of disordered propagation, what happens when potentum is ordered by reciprofluxion?

In reciprofluxion architecture, the device sustains phase–locked conjugation, maintaining a coherent potentum dipole. The effective drag associated with disordered vacuum interaction drops, because the machine keeps the vacuum in phase with the motion. Consequently, the familiar asymptote at $c$ can relax: $c$ becomes a characteristic speed of disordered exchange, not an absolute ceiling on translation under engineered order.

### Causality Safeguards

- Local light cones remain local. The machine manipulates the medium (potentum) to alter the effective metric experienced by the device, akin in spirit to GR's curvature engineering, not to sending signals backward in time.
- No global superluminal signaling is implied. Any "faster–than–$c$" effective translation occurs within an engineered domain whose local causal structure is preserved.
- Relativity is not discarded; it is situated. The invariance of $c$ holds in locally inertial, unordered vacuum frames. Reciprocityfluxion addresses how that local frame can be prepared (ordered) by a machine.

### Predictions and Falsifiers

1. **Energy Accounting:** Close the energy ledger with a co–located potentum diagnostic (noise suppression, sideband combs).
2. **Potentum Signatures:** Look for line–narrowing, coherent sidebands, and suppressed noise floors synchronized to rotor phase.
3. **Acceleromotor 0.5:** Thrust $\propto$ (conjugation depth)$\times$(phase–lock fidelity); thrust flips with phase inversion. Null tests: dithering phase removes thrust.
4. **Gravity Lense:** Interferometry shows phase–front curvature tied to rotor array closure. Bench–top lensing experiments distinguish genuine focusing from artifacts.

### Integrating with $F = MA$

Summing rotor currents across the atomic architecture,

$$\sum_i \int |J_i|\, dt \;\longrightarrow\; \int F\, dt = \Delta p,$$

links microscopic reciprofluxion to macroscopic mechanics. This identifies mass with a coupling capacity to ordered potentum and interprets acceleration as the macroscopic manifestation of that coupling. The familiar relativistic wall at $c$ is, in this account, a property of unlocked (disordered) coupling.

### Conclusion

Disentropy Machines reconcile the persistence of operation with conservation by moving the credit line to where it has always belonged: the vacuum's structured floor. Potentum ordering (structural disentropy) supplies impulse through the continuous $|J|$ of atomic rotors; macroscopic $F = MA$ is the ledger's large–print summary. The observed $c$–limit in virtual proton tubes is a fact about disordered coupling; under reciprofluxion's ordered potentum, that limit is conditional. What began as a new reading of induction now closes a loop from spectroscopy to mechanics to relativity—a coherent pathway from the atom as machine to the vacuum as medium of order.