

Teacher Grant Evaluation Rubric

Funding Year 2021-2022

Total Score:

Project Name:		
Applicant:	School:	
Date Reviewed:	Reviewer:	_
Note: The numbers of the rub	ic items correspond with the application question numbers.	
Application question number	has 5 rubric items, 9a, 9b, 9c, 9d, 9e. Application questions 14	
and 15 are covered by only or	e rubric item, 14/15, combined.	

		55 1		F .* 1
Requirement	Does not	Moderately	Considerably	Entirely
	meet	meets	meets	meets
	requirement	requirement	requirement	requirement
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points
9a. Contribution	The submitted	The submitted	The submitted	The submitted
to Student	project does not	project	project	project
<u>Learning</u>	contribute to	contributes	contributes well	contributes
The project	student	partially to	to student	exceptionally
effectively	learning.	student	learning.	well to student
contributes to student learning.		learning.		learning.
student learning.				
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
9b. Clear	The description	The description	The description	The description
Description	of the project is			
The proposal clearly	unclear. It raises	only partially	mostly clear. It	exceptionally
describes the	multiple	clear. It raises	raises few	clear. It raises no
implementation of	questions about	some questions	questions about	questions about
the project.	implementation.	about	implementation.	implementation.
		implementation.		, ,
		'		
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
9c.	The submitted	The submitted	The submitted	The submitted
Impact/Scope	project is very	project is limited	project impacts	project impacts
The project impacts	limited in scope	in scope and	a relatively large	most or all
a large group of	and impact.	impact.	number of	students
students, or,			students	assigned to the
alternatively,			assigned to the	teacher, <u>or</u>
greatly impacts a small group of			teacher, <u>or</u>	significantly
students who have			sufficiently	impacts
targeted needs.			impacts	students with
			students with	targeted needs.
			targeted needs.	targeted fieeds.
			targeted needs.	
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
	ruiits	roints	FUIIILS	roilits

9d. Practicality The quality of the project is required to be practical.	The submitted project is impractical.	The submitted project is moderately practical.	The submitted project is only practical under specific occurrences.	The submitted project is entirely practical.
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
9e. Sustainability The outcome of the project is likely to sustain itself for the intended timeframe.	The submitted project has no benefits beyond the day of implementation.	The submitted project has very little benefit beyond implementation.	The submitted project is likely to sustain itself for the intended timeframe.	The submitted project has an exceptional plan for sustainability beyond implementation.
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
10. Timeline The timeline is clear and within a one- year period.	The submitted timeline exceeds one year or is unclear.	The submitted timeline is within a one-year period, but is only partially clear.	The submitted timeline is within a one-year period and is mostly clear.	The submitted timeline is within a one-year period and is exceptionally clear.
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
11. Budget Description The submitted plan is required to provide a detailed budget description that includes actual anticipated costs (not an overall estimate.)	The submitted project does not include a detailed budget.	The submitted project includes a detailed budget, but is unclear.	The submitted project includes a detailed budget with actual anticipated costs.	The submitted project includes a practical and exceptionally detailed budget with actual anticipated costs.
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:

12. Reflects RCSD 5-year Strategic Plan One or more items have been connected from the Achievement and Growth Milestones, Goals/Strategic Initiatives, and/or Portrait of a Graduate.	The submitted project does not reflect the district's 5-year strategic plan.	The submitted project lists items from the district's 5-year strategic plan, but gives the impression of being disconnected.	The submitted project lists items from the district's 5-year strategic plan.	The submitted project lists items from the district's 5-year strategic plan and connects one or more of the items exceptionally well.
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
13. Undesirable Characteristics The project must not possess any undesirable characteristics listed in item 6 of the grant guidelines.	The submitted project includes one or more items listed in number 6 of the guidelines. Project Disqualified	The project does not include any undesirable characteristics as listed in guidelines item number 6. Project still in the running.	The project does not include any undesirable characteristics as listed in guidelines item number 6. Project still in the running.	The project does not include any undesirable characteristics as listed in guidelines item number 6. Project still in the running.
14/15. Preferred Characteristics The proposal includes one or more characteristics listed in item 7 of the grant guidelines, and the listed characteristics are consistent with the project.	The submitted project does not list any preferred characteristics that are consistent with the project. Project Disqualified	The submitted project lists only one preferred characteristic that is consistent with the description of the project.	The submitted project lists two or more preferred characteristics that are consistent with the description of the project.	The submitted project lists two or more preferred characteristics that are highly consistent with the description of the project.
	, , , , , , ,			

16. Goals The project lists clear and measurable goals.	The submitted project does not include goals.	The submitted project includes one or more goals, but they are unclear or difficult to measure.	The submitted project includes one or more mostly clear goals that are measurable.	The submitted project includes one or more very clear goals that are easy to measure.
	Points:	Points:	Points:	Points:
17. Evaluation Tool To measure your goal(s), applicants are highly encouraged to (a) develop their own evaluation tool, or (b) choose an existing tool that appropriately measures their goal(s). Exceptions given for extremely high-merit projects.	The submitted project does not include an evaluation tool and is not exceptionally high merit.	The submitted project includes an impractical evaluation tool and is not exceptionally high merit.	The submitted project includes an existing tool that appropriately measures the goal(s), or does not include a tool, but is an exceptionally high-merit project.	The submitted project includes a developed evaluation tool personalized to their specific goal(s).

Narrative

The following section will be utilized in the award/declination letters at the end of the review/approval process. Please lists strengths, weaknesses and any additional comments in the appropriate sections. This section is optional.

Strengths
Weaknesses
Additional Comments