

Facilitators and Barriers to Engagement with Nature for Youth Living with Mental Illness



Rachel McMillan, Undergraduate Psychology Student Submission ID#: 65467

Department of Psychology and Neuroscience Dalhousie University





Rationale: Improved mental health is one of many benefits to spending time in nature, yet youth spend little time doing so. No prior research has examined time in nature for youth living with mental illness. Given the benefits, understanding nature engagement in this population is important for identifying ways to increase this behaviour.

Method: Participants of this study were Laing House members, a drop-in centre for youth living with mental illness. A mixed method sequential design, including questionnaires and focus groups, was used to examine facilitators and barriers impacting the behavior of spending time in nature. Study materials were based on theoretical domains framework (TDF) within the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behaviour model. Participants self-identified as regular or rare nature users.

Results: Participants who rarely accessed nature identified barriers in capability and motivation, but not in opportunity. Focus groups revealed that both user groups identified a more complex relationship with nature than previously reported. Participants described time in nature as a mood amplifier that can enhance both positive and negative mood states, depending on use of and perception of nature.

Conclusions: This study points to the importance of understanding an individual's relationship to nature before recommending it as a therapeutic intervention. Actions: By understanding the behavioural barriers of spending time in nature, Laing House can develop interventions to decrease these barriers, increasing participation in nature-based programming.





- al., 2017; Soga and Gaston, 2016).

behavior of being in nature for Laing House members.

RATONALE

Introduction

Many studies have demonstrated that spending time in nature is related to improved mental health for all ages (Bratman, Hamilton, and Daily, 2015; Khan and Kumar, 2014; Zijlema, et al., 2017).

• This includes adults living with mental health issues (Berman, et al., 2012; Martyn and Brymer, 2016).

• Though there are benefits to spending time in nature, less youth do so with each generation (Frumkin et

No prior studies have specifically examined how youth with mental illness perceive nature or the types of facilitators and barriers that affect their time and use of natural settings.

Objectives

• In partnership with Laing House, a drop-in centre for youth aged 16-29 living with a diagnosis of mood disorder, psychosis, and/or anxiety disorder, our goal is to explore facilitators and barriers that affect the

• To inform the development of behavior change interventions that will increase the potential for youth living with mental illness to use nature as a form of mental health self-care.

• The findings will be shared with Laing House staff to inform nature related program development.

Berman, M. G., Kross, E., Krpan, K. M., Askren, M. K., Burson, A., Deldin, P. J., ... & Jonides, J. (2012). Interacting with nature improves cognition and affect for individuals with depression. Journal of affective disorders, 140, 300-305. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2012.03.012 Bratman, G. N., Hamilton, J. P., & Daily, G. C. (2012). The impacts of nature experience on human cognitive function and mental health. Annals of the New York academy of sciences, 1249), 118-136. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06400.x Frumkin, H., Bratman, G. N., Breslow, S. J., Cochran, B., Kahn Jr, P. H., Lawler, J. J., ... & Wood, S. A. (2017). Nature contact and human health: A research agenda. Environmental health perspectives, 125(7), 075001. doi:10.1289/EHP1663 Khan, A., & Kumar, S. (2014). EPA-1362–Nature and mental health: to what extent can nature and outdoor activities improve the mental health of young people with depression?. European Psychiatry, 29, 1-1. doi:10.1016/S0924-9338(14)78574-8 Martyn, P., & Brymer, E. (2016). The relationship between nature relatedness and anxiety. Journal of health psychology, 21, 1436-1445. doi:10.1177/1359105314555169 Soga, M., & Gaston, K. J. (2016). Extinction of experience: the loss of human-nature interactions. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 14, 94-101. doi:10.1002/fee.1225 Zijlema, W. L., Triguero-Mas, M., Smith, G., Cirach, M., Martinez, D., Dadvand, P., ... & Masterson, D. (2017). The relationship between natural outdoor environments and cognitive functioning and its mediators. Environmental research, 155, 268-275. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2017.02.017



Lang House



- system



The Behaviour Change Wheel

Atkins, L., Francis, J., Islam, R., O'Connor, D., Patey, A., Ivers, N., Foy, R., Duncan, E.M., Colquhoun, H., Grimshaw, J.M., Lawton, R., & Michie, S. (2017). A guide to using the Theoretical Domains Framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. Implementation Science, 12(1), 77. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0605-9 Huijg, J. M., Gebhardt, W. A., Crone, M. R., Dusseldorp, E., & Presseau, J. (2014a). Discriminant content validity of a theoretical domains framework questionnaire for use in implementation research. Implementation Science, 9, 11. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-9-11 Huijg, J. M., Gebhardt, W. A., Dusseldorp, E., Verheijden, M. W., van der Zouwe, N., Middelkoop, B. J., & Crone, M. R. (2014b). Measuring determinants of a questionnaire based on the theoretical domains framework. Implementation Science, 9, 33. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-9-33 Michie, S. (2015). The Behaviour Change Wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions [PowerPoint slides]. Retrieved from https://ktcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Susan-Michie-slides_nov_12_2015.pdf Michie, S., Van Stralen, M. M., & West, R. (2011). The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. Implementation science, 6, 42. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-6-42

METHOD

Phase 1: Questionnaires:

- Nature Contact Scale

- Background questionnaire

Phase 2: Semi-structured focus groups:

	COM	Theoretical Domains	Subcategories
		Knowledge	Knowledge
		Physical	Physical skills
		Behavioural regulation	Action planning
	Conchility		Coping planning
	Nature of the behaviors	Automaticity	
		Memory	
			Attention
			Decision processes
	Motivation	Social/professional role and	Identity
		Reliefs about canabilities	Solf officerv
		bellers about capabilities	Perceived behavioral control
		Optimism	Optimism
		Beliefs about consequences	Attitude
			Outcome expectancies
			Reinforcement
		Intentions	Intentions
		Goals	Priority
		Emotions	Positive emotions
			Negative emotions
		Social influences	Subjective norms
	Opportunity		Descriptive norms
			Social support
		Physical	Environmental context and resources



 Engagement with Nature Scale Connectedness to Nature Scale

• 2 focus groups divided by level of engagement with nature

NATURE CONTACT SCALE

Regular Users:

- 91% spend time in nature weekly and would like to be spending more time in nature
- 91% would also like to spend more time in nature with others
- 100% identified mental health in their top 3 reasons to get outside

Rare Users:

- 33% spent time weekly, 33% monthly and 33% annually
- 67% would like to spend more time in nature
- 67% identified mental health in their top 3 reasons to get outside

CONNECTEDNESS TO NATURE SCALE

- Regular users scored higher in all questions, with each question averaging to 'agree'
- Rare user's responses averaged to 'neutral' for all questions

ENGAGEMENT WITH NATURE SCALE					
Theoretical Domains	Subcategories	Regular Users of Nature	Rare Users of Nature		
Knowledge	Knowledge	4.4	2.8		
Physical	Physical skills	3.9	2.8		
Capability TOTA	3.5 (AGREE)	2.7 (NEUTRAL)			
Social role & identity	Identity	3.7	2.7		
Optimism	Optimism	4.2	2.8		
Beliefs about consequences	Attitude	4.4	3.3		
Motivation TOTAL AVERAGE		3.8 (AGREE)	3.1 (NEUTRAL)		
Opportunity TOTAL AVERAGE		3.6 (AGREE)	3.1 (NEUTRAL)		
Averaged score for each domain subcategory comparing self-identified user types using likert-type scoring: 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree					



SUMMARY OF KEY DOMAINS USING DEDUCTIVE ANALYSIS OF FOCUS GROUP TRANSCRIPTS					
Theoretical Domains	Subcategories	Regular Users of Nature	Rare Users of Nature		
Optimism	Optimism	'I definitely now always try to have a positive mood when I'm spending time in nature I go into nature with a positive mood not just that nature impacts me positively.' 'being in the present moment, I find is easier in nature to be in that mindful moment of like I'm just here in the present moment just breathing.'	'With my anxiety I worry a lot like if I go out you know what's going to happen and like what if I meet somebody like what is this person going to say or like are they going to judge me about something or like I just feel really self-conscious going out.'		
Beliefs about consequences	Outcome expectancies	'it can kind of enhance whatever you're coming in with if you were coming in with feeling low and alone and isolated then I think it can definitely amplify that but if you're approaching it with the mentality of it being restorative then that's different, it's more apt to be uplifting.'	' people who have better mental health tend to spend more time in nature so just working on that (mental health) could make it easier.' 'You kind of have to work on your mental health to want to get outside and getting outside kind of helps your mental health.'		
Goals	Priority	'It can help with kind of short-term goal setting, so you have something to look forward to, something to focus your attention on'	'It's too big of a goal to get out in nature.' 'it can be really hard to even get out of bed some days so the last thing on my mind is to get out in nature.'		
Emotions	Positive & Negative	'It's all to do with how you spend time in nature I used nature to spend time alone and ruminate and so you could say that me spending time in nature was very negative, a negative impact on my mental health. With cognitive behavioural therapy, practicing how to spend time in nature or just spend time with yourself in a more positive manner. Now spending time in nature is positive for my mental health because I think more positively when I'm out in nature.'	'I have generalized anxiety disorder and like, it kind of made me so a lot of things kind of made me feel anxious. Leaving the house a lot of the times was one of those things.' 'What's the point in going out if I'm not, like, enjoying it.'		



The behaviour of being in nature can amplify mood for youth living with mental illness.

It can enhance positive mood states AND it can be used as an opportunity to ruminate and self-isolate.

DISCUSSION



Barriers

- spend time in nature

Facilitators

Research specific

Next steps

Results demonstrate that HOW one engages with nature can impact mental health

• Understanding an individual's relationship to nature and providing a framework for engagement when recommending it as a therapeutic intervention is important

Rare users identified lack of knowledge, experience, physical skill and stamina as reasons why they choose not to

Closely linked to this, rare users also describe a lack of confidence in their skill and ability, especially if they don't have others with them when participating in nature

Rare users disagreed that spending time in nature was pleasurable or interesting

Application of learned skills during therapy aided with a positive connection to nature Being more connected to nature is correlated to increased use of nature Experiencing being in nature as a need is correlated to increased participation in nature Relating to being in nature as a part of one's identity is correlated to increased participation in nature

• Low sample size impacts the transferability of these findings • Initial goal of applying youth engagement model may have aided with increased responses • Including Laing House staff's perspective would have aided with triangulation

• Implementing and assessing interventions that target aspects of capability and motivation using the behaviour change wheel process to determine what type of interventions may be most effective • Continuing development and testing of Nature Contact Scale & Engagement with Nature Scale • Applying youth engagement model to future research involving youth living with mental illness

