

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

OPERATION PUBLIC TRUST

CONGRESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY & GOVERNMENT INTEGRITY

INVESTIGATIVE FILE 002

INQUIRY INTO THE HOUSE VOTE ON THE MASSIE AMENDMENT TO REMOVE \$3.3 BILLION IN ISRAEL-RELATED FOREIGN MILITARY FINANCING

Legislative body	U.S. House of Representatives
Bill	H.R. 8595 - National Security, Department of State, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2027
Amendment	Massie of Kentucky - Part A Amendment No. 8
House vote	Roll Call 243 - On Agreeing to the Amendment
Date / time	July 15, 2026 - 4:43 PM
Result	FAILED - 104 Aye / 314 No / 10 Present / 9 Not Voting
Proposed reduction	\$3,300,000,000 from the Foreign Military Financing Program account
Core restriction	No funds made available under the Act could be obligated or expended for Israel

Purpose of file. Preserve the official House voting record and relevant legislative material for public campaign research into congressional accountability, foreign-policy influence, campaign-finance relationships, lobbying, gifts, travel, conflicts of interest, and whether independently verifiable evidence warrants referral to a competent federal authority.

IMPORTANT LEGAL STATUS: This campaign file opens a public-integrity inquiry. It does not declare any member guilty of bribery, corruption, treason, or any other crime. A vote against the Massie amendment is a protected legislative act and, standing alone, is not evidence of criminal intent or an unlawful quid pro quo. Criminal conclusions require independent evidence satisfying the elements of an applicable statute and constitutional protections.

Prepared for: Shimp for President 2028

Campaign research file - public accountability document - not a law-enforcement charging instrument

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

What the amendment would have done. House Rules Committee materials state that Massie Amendment No. 8 would have prohibited funds appropriated by H.R. 8595 from being used for Israel and would have reduced the Foreign Military Financing Program account by \$3.3 billion. The amendment text states that none of the funds made available under the Act could be obligated or expended for Israel.

What the House did. On July 15, 2026, the House held a recorded vote on the amendment. The Clerk records 104 Ayes, 314 Noes, 10 Present, and 9 Not Voting. The amendment failed. Party totals were Republicans: 1 Aye, 215 No, 0 Present, 5 Not Voting; Democrats: 103 Aye, 98 No, 10 Present, 4 Not Voting; Independent: 0 Aye, 1 No.

Meaning of a No vote. A No vote opposed adoption of this amendment. Because the amendment both prohibited use of funds for Israel and reduced the Foreign Military Financing Program account by \$3.3 billion, the practical result of defeating it was that H.R. 8595 proceeded without this proposed \$3.3 billion reduction and Israel-specific prohibition. A No vote does not, by itself, establish why a member voted that way.

TREASON - WHY THIS FILE DOES NOT MAKE THAT ACCUSATION

Article III, Section 3 of the Constitution defines treason narrowly as levying war against the United States or adhering to its enemies, giving them aid and comfort. The vote examined here does not by itself meet that definition. Operation Public Trust therefore does not characterize the members who voted No as traitors and does not treat disagreement over foreign assistance as treason.

PUBLIC-INTEGRITY PURPOSE

The legitimate accountability question is narrower: whether any independently provable conduct outside the protected legislative act - for example, a corrupt exchange of value for an official act, prohibited foreign-national election financing, concealed agency for a foreign principal, false statements, conspiracy, money laundering, or another independently established offense - warrants referral to the Department of Justice, FBI, FEC, congressional ethics bodies, or another competent authority.

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

FEDERAL CRIMINAL-LAW & CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

18 U.S.C. § 201 - Bribery of public officials

Members of Congress are expressly included in the statute's definition of public official. The relevant inquiry is whether there is evidence of a corrupt quid pro quo - a thing of value offered, sought, received, or agreed to in return for influence on an official act. Ordinary political support, lobbying, access, or a contribution aligned with a member's policy views is not automatically bribery.

18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343 & 1346 - Mail/wire and honest-services fraud

Potentially relevant only if evidence establishes a qualifying scheme to defraud and use of mail or interstate wires; § 1346 includes schemes to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services. Application is limited by Supreme Court precedent and should not be inferred merely from a vote or political relationship.

18 U.S.C. § 371 - Conspiracy

Potentially relevant if two or more persons agree to commit a federal offense or defraud the United States and at least one conspirator commits an act to advance the agreement. Parallel political activity or shared policy preferences do not themselves establish conspiracy.

18 U.S.C. § 1001 - Material false statements

Potentially relevant if a person knowingly and willfully makes a materially false statement, conceals a material fact by a scheme, or uses a materially false writing in a matter within federal jurisdiction, subject to statutory exceptions and constitutional limits.

18 U.S.C. § 1951 - Hobbs Act extortion

Potentially relevant to proven extortion under color of official right affecting commerce. The statute carries demanding elements and cannot be inferred from campaign support or a legislative vote.

18 U.S.C. § 1956 - Money laundering

Potentially relevant only where a financial transaction involves proceeds of specified unlawful activity and the required knowledge/intent elements are established. It is a derivative offense requiring evidence of underlying unlawful proceeds.

52 U.S.C. § 30121 - Foreign-national election activity

Federal campaign-finance law prohibits foreign nationals from making prohibited contributions, donations, expenditures, or certain election-related disbursements and bars knowing solicitation, acceptance, or receipt of prohibited foreign-national funds. U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are treated differently under the statute.

22 U.S.C. § 611 et seq. - Foreign Agents Registration Act

FARA can require agents of foreign principals engaged in specified political or representational activities to register and disclose their relationship and activities. The existence of advocacy concerning Israel does not itself establish a FARA violation; status, direction/control, activities, exemptions, willfulness, and filings matter.

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

SPEECH OR DEBATE CLAUSE - INVESTIGATIVE LIMIT

Article I, Section 6 protects legislative acts, including voting and debating. Supreme Court precedent recognizes that protected legislative acts generally may not be made the basis of criminal or civil liability or used to inquire into legislative motivation. This is a critical limitation on any executive-branch investigation.

At the same time, the Supreme Court has distinguished protected legislative acts from independently criminal conduct outside the legislative sphere. In *United States v. Brewster*, the Court explained that a Member may be prosecuted for bribery where the case can be proved without relying on protected legislative acts; taking a bribe is not itself a legislative act.

Accordingly, this file uses Roll Call 243 as a public accountability record and a starting point for public-record research - not as proof of a crime and not as a substitute for the individualized factual predicate required for a federal criminal investigation.

INVESTIGATIVE STANDARD

- No member should be referred for criminal investigation solely because of a No vote, a pro-Israel policy position, lawful lobbying contacts, or lawful campaign contributions.
- Public-record review may examine campaign-finance reports, financial disclosures, gift and privately sponsored travel filings, lobbying disclosures, FARA registrations, public statements, organizational affiliations, and other lawfully available records.
- A criminal referral should identify particularized evidence, the potentially applicable statute, the factual nexus between the evidence and each statutory element, and any exculpatory or innocent explanation found in the same record.
- Political disagreement must remain separate from criminal accusation. The same evidentiary standard applies regardless of party or ideology.

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

RESEARCH QUESTIONS FOR OPERATION PUBLIC TRUST

- For each member recorded as voting No, what public explanation, if any, did the member give for opposing Massie Amendment No. 8?
- What campaign contributions were lawfully reported from individuals, PACs, party committees, and other lawful sources associated with organizations advocating U.S.-Israel policy, and what are the dates relative to relevant legislative activity?
- Do public records show any contribution or other thing of value accompanied by an express or otherwise provable agreement to perform a specific official act? Mere temporal proximity or policy alignment is not enough.
- What lobbying contacts and expenditures concerning H.R. 8595, Foreign Military Financing, Israel, or related appropriations are disclosed under federal lobbying law?
- Do FARA records identify any registered foreign principals or agents whose disclosed activities relate to the legislation or members under review?
- What House financial disclosures, gift-travel filings, privately sponsored travel records, or other ethics disclosures are relevant?
- Is there evidence of a prohibited foreign-national contribution, donation, expenditure, solicitation, acceptance, or receipt under federal campaign-finance law?
- Is there evidence of false statements, concealment, conspiracy, laundering of criminal proceeds, extortion, or another offense independent of the legislative vote?
- What evidence tends to show innocent explanations - constituent views, ideology, national-security judgment, party position, treaty/strategic commitments, or other lawful policy reasons?
- If evidence is sufficient for referral, which authority has jurisdiction: DOJ/FBI, FEC, House Ethics/OCC, DOJ National Security Division/FARA Unit, or another body?

SOURCE RECORD

1. Office of the Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives - Roll Call 243, H.R. 8595, July 15, 2026. Official member-by-member vote.
2. U.S. House Committee on Rules - H.R. 8595 amendment materials. Massie Part A Amendment No. 8: prohibition on funds for Israel and \$3.3 billion reduction to Foreign Military Financing.
3. U.S. Constitution, Article I, Section 6 - Speech or Debate Clause; Article III, Section 3 - Treason Clause.
4. 18 U.S.C. §§ 201, 371, 1001, 1341, 1343, 1346, 1951, 1956; 52 U.S.C. § 30121; 22 U.S.C. § 611 et seq.
5. Constitution Annotated / Supreme Court precedent including United States v. Brewster concerning legislative immunity and bribery prosecutions.

The following pages reproduce the complete official House roll call for Massie Part A Amendment No. 8, separated into Aye, No, Present, and Not Voting.

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - ROLL CALL 243

H.R. 8595 - Massie Part A Amendment No. 8 - July 15, 2026

Result	FAILED
Aye	104
No	314
Present	10
Not Voting	9

Aye - 104 Members

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Amo	Democratic	RI	Aye
Ansari	Democratic	AZ	Aye
Auchincloss	Democratic	MA	Aye
Balint	Democratic	VT	Aye
Barragan	Democratic	CA	Aye
Beyer	Democratic	VA	Aye
Bonamici	Democratic	OR	Aye
Brownley	Democratic	CA	Aye
Carbajal	Democratic	CA	Aye
Carson	Democratic	IN	Aye
Carter (LA)	Democratic	LA	Aye
Casar	Democratic	TX	Aye
Castro (TX)	Democratic	TX	Aye
Chu	Democratic	CA	Aye
Clark (MA)	Democratic	MA	Aye
Crockett	Democratic	TX	Aye
Crow	Democratic	CO	Aye
Davis (IL)	Democratic	IL	Aye
Dean (PA)	Democratic	PA	Aye
DeGette	Democratic	CO	Aye
Deluzio	Democratic	PA	Aye
DeSaulnier	Democratic	CA	Aye
Dexter	Democratic	OR	Aye
Doggett	Democratic	TX	Aye
Escobar	Democratic	TX	Aye
Evans (PA)	Democratic	PA	Aye
Foushee	Democratic	NC	Aye
Friedman	Democratic	CA	Aye
Frost	Democratic	FL	Aye
Garamendi	Democratic	CA	Aye
Garcia (CA)	Democratic	CA	Aye
Garcia (IL)	Democratic	IL	Aye
Garcia (TX)	Democratic	TX	Aye
Goodlander	Democratic	NH	Aye
Green, Al (TX)	Democratic	TX	Aye

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Grijalva	Democratic	AZ	Aye
Harder (CA)	Democratic	CA	Aye
Hayes	Democratic	CT	Aye

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - AYE (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Hernandez	Democratic	PR	Aye
Horsford	Democratic	NV	Aye
Houlahan	Democratic	PA	Aye
Hoyle (OR)	Democratic	OR	Aye
Ivey	Democratic	MD	Aye
Jackson (IL)	Democratic	IL	Aye
Jacobs	Democratic	CA	Aye
Jayapal	Democratic	WA	Aye
Johnson (GA)	Democratic	GA	Aye
Johnson (TX)	Democratic	TX	Aye
Kamlager-Dove	Democratic	CA	Aye
Keating	Democratic	MA	Aye
Kelly (IL)	Democratic	IL	Aye
Khanna	Democratic	CA	Aye
Lee (PA)	Democratic	PA	Aye
Leger Fernandez	Democratic	NM	Aye
Lofgren	Democratic	CA	Aye
Lynch	Democratic	MA	Aye
Magaziner	Democratic	RI	Aye
Mannion	Democratic	NY	Aye
Massie	Republican	KY	Aye
Matsui	Democratic	CA	Aye
McBride	Democratic	DE	Aye
McGarvey	Democratic	KY	Aye
McGovern	Democratic	MA	Aye
McIver	Democratic	NJ	Aye
Mejia	Democratic	NJ	Aye
Menefee	Democratic	TX	Aye
Min	Democratic	CA	Aye
Moore (WI)	Democratic	WI	Aye
Moulton	Democratic	MA	Aye
Mullin	Democratic	CA	Aye
Neal	Democratic	MA	Aye
Neguse	Democratic	CO	Aye
Ocasio-Cortez	Democratic	NY	Aye
Omar	Democratic	MN	Aye
Pelosi	Democratic	CA	Aye
Pettersen	Democratic	CO	Aye

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - AYE (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Pingree	Democratic	ME	Aye
Pocan	Democratic	WI	Aye
Pressley	Democratic	MA	Aye
Quigley	Democratic	IL	Aye
Ramirez	Democratic	IL	Aye
Randall	Democratic	WA	Aye
Raskin	Democratic	MD	Aye
Ross	Democratic	NC	Aye
Ryan	Democratic	NY	Aye
Salinas	Democratic	OR	Aye
Scanlon	Democratic	PA	Aye
Schakowsky	Democratic	IL	Aye
Scott (VA)	Democratic	VA	Aye
Simon	Democratic	CA	Aye
Smith (WA)	Democratic	WA	Aye
Stansbury	Democratic	NM	Aye
Takano	Democratic	CA	Aye
Thompson (MS)	Democratic	MS	Aye
Tlaib	Democratic	MI	Aye
Tokuda	Democratic	HI	Aye
Tonko	Democratic	NY	Aye
Trahan	Democratic	MA	Aye
Tran	Democratic	CA	Aye
Underwood	Democratic	IL	Aye
Vasquez	Democratic	NM	Aye
Velazquez	Democratic	NY	Aye
Waters	Democratic	CA	Aye
Watson Coleman	Democratic	NJ	Aye

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - ROLL CALL 243

H.R. 8595 - Massie Part A Amendment No. 8 - July 15, 2026

Result	FAILED
Aye	104
No	314
Present	10
Not Voting	9

No - 314 Members

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Adams	Democratic	NC	No
Aderholt	Republican	AL	No
Aguilar	Democratic	CA	No
Alford	Republican	MO	No
Allen	Republican	GA	No
Amodei (NV)	Republican	NV	No
Arrington	Republican	TX	No
Babin	Republican	TX	No
Bacon	Republican	NE	No
Baird	Republican	IN	No
Balderson	Republican	OH	No
Barr	Republican	KY	No
Barrett	Republican	MI	No
Baumgartner	Republican	WA	No
Bean (FL)	Republican	FL	No
Beatty	Democratic	OH	No
Begich	Republican	AK	No
Bell	Democratic	MO	No
Bentz	Republican	OR	No
Bergman	Republican	MI	No
Bice	Republican	OK	No
Biggs (AZ)	Republican	AZ	No
Biggs (SC)	Republican	SC	No
Bilirakis	Republican	FL	No
Bishop	Democratic	GA	No
Boebert	Republican	CO	No
Bost	Republican	IL	No
Boyle (PA)	Democratic	PA	No
Brecheen	Republican	OK	No
Bresnahan	Republican	PA	No
Buchanan	Republican	FL	No
Budzinski	Democratic	IL	No
Burchett	Republican	TN	No
Burlison	Republican	MO	No
Calvert	Republican	CA	No

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Carey	Republican	OH	No
Carter (GA)	Republican	GA	No
Carter (TX)	Republican	TX	No

A No vote opposed adoption of the amendment. It is not, standing alone, evidence of bribery, foreign control, criminal intent, or treason.

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Case	Democratic	HI	No
Casten	Democratic	IL	No
Castor (FL)	Democratic	FL	No
Ciscomani	Republican	AZ	No
Cisneros	Democratic	CA	No
Clarke (NY)	Democratic	NY	No
Cleaver	Democratic	MO	No
Cline	Republican	VA	No
Cloud	Republican	TX	No
Clyburn	Democratic	SC	No
Clyde	Republican	GA	No
Cohen	Democratic	TN	No
Cole	Republican	OK	No
Collins	Republican	GA	No
Comer	Republican	KY	No
Conaway	Democratic	NJ	No
Correa	Democratic	CA	No
Costa	Democratic	CA	No
Courtney	Democratic	CT	No
Craig	Democratic	MN	No
Crane	Republican	AZ	No
Crank	Republican	CO	No
Crawford	Republican	AR	No
Crenshaw	Republican	TX	No
Cuellar	Democratic	TX	No
Davids (KS)	Democratic	KS	No
Davidson	Republican	OH	No
De La Cruz	Republican	TX	No
DeLauro	Democratic	CT	No
DelBene	Democratic	WA	No
DesJarlais	Republican	TN	No
Diaz-Balart	Republican	FL	No
Donalds	Republican	FL	No
Downing	Republican	MT	No
Dunn (FL)	Republican	FL	No
Edwards	Republican	NC	No
Ellzey	Republican	TX	No
Emmer	Republican	MN	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Espallat	Democratic	NY	No
Estes	Republican	KS	No
Evans (CO)	Republican	CO	No
Ezell	Republican	MS	No
Fallon	Republican	TX	No
Fedorchak	Republican	ND	No
Feenstra	Republican	IA	No
Fields	Democratic	LA	No
Figures	Democratic	AL	No
Fine	Republican	FL	No
Finstad	Republican	MN	No
Fischbach	Republican	MN	No
Fitzgerald	Republican	WI	No
Fitzpatrick	Republican	PA	No
Fleischmann	Republican	TN	No
Fletcher	Democratic	TX	No
Flood	Republican	NE	No
Fong	Republican	CA	No
Foster	Democratic	IL	No
Fox	Republican	NC	No
Frankel, Lois	Democratic	FL	No
Franklin, Scott	Republican	FL	No
Fry	Republican	SC	No
Fulcher	Republican	ID	No
Fuller	Republican	GA	No
Gallagher	Republican	CA	No
Garbarino	Republican	NY	No
Gillen	Democratic	NY	No
Gill (TX)	Republican	TX	No
Gimenez	Republican	FL	No
Golden (ME)	Democratic	ME	No
Goldman (NY)	Democratic	NY	No
Goldman (TX)	Republican	TX	No
Gomez	Democratic	CA	No
Gonzalez, V.	Democratic	TX	No
Gooden	Republican	TX	No
Gosar	Republican	AZ	No
Gottheimer	Democratic	NJ	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Graves	Republican	MO	No
Gray	Democratic	CA	No
Griffith	Republican	VA	No
Grothman	Republican	WI	No
Guest	Republican	MS	No
Guthrie	Republican	KY	No
Hageman	Republican	WY	No
Hamadeh (AZ)	Republican	AZ	No
Haridopolos	Republican	FL	No
Harrigan	Republican	NC	No
Harris (MD)	Republican	MD	No
Harris (NC)	Republican	NC	No
Harshbarger	Republican	TN	No
Hern (OK)	Republican	OK	No
Higgins (LA)	Republican	LA	No
Hill (AR)	Republican	AR	No
Himes	Democratic	CT	No
Hinson	Republican	IA	No
Houchin	Republican	IN	No
Hoyer	Democratic	MD	No
Hudson	Republican	NC	No
Huizenga	Republican	MI	No
Hunt	Republican	TX	No
Hurd (CO)	Republican	CO	No
Issa	Republican	CA	No
Jack	Republican	GA	No
Jackson (TX)	Republican	TX	No
James	Republican	MI	No
Jeffries	Democratic	NY	No
Johnson (LA)	Republican	LA	No
Johnson (SD)	Republican	SD	No
Jordan	Republican	OH	No
Joyce (OH)	Republican	OH	No
Joyce (PA)	Republican	PA	No
Kaptur	Democratic	OH	No
Kean	Republican	NJ	No
Kelly (MS)	Republican	MS	No
Kelly (PA)	Republican	PA	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Kennedy (NY)	Democratic	NY	No
Kennedy (UT)	Republican	UT	No
Kiggans (VA)	Republican	VA	No
Kiley (CA)	Independent	CA	No
Kim	Republican	CA	No
King-Hinds	Republican	MP	No
Knott	Republican	NC	No
Krishnamoorthi	Democratic	IL	No
Kustoff	Republican	TN	No
LaHood	Republican	IL	No
LaLota	Republican	NY	No
Landsman	Democratic	OH	No
Langworthy	Republican	NY	No
Larsen (WA)	Democratic	WA	No
Larson (CT)	Democratic	CT	No
Latimer	Democratic	NY	No
Latta	Republican	OH	No
Lawler	Republican	NY	No
Lee (FL)	Republican	FL	No
Lee (NV)	Democratic	NV	No
Letlow	Republican	LA	No
Levin	Democratic	CA	No
Liccardo	Democratic	CA	No
Lieu	Democratic	CA	No
Loudermilk	Republican	GA	No
Lucas	Republican	OK	No
Luna	Republican	FL	No
Luttrell	Republican	TX	No
Mace	Republican	SC	No
Malliotakis	Republican	NY	No
Maloy	Republican	UT	No
Mann	Republican	KS	No
Mast	Republican	FL	No
McBath	Democratic	GA	No
McClain	Republican	MI	No
McClain Delaney	Democratic	MD	No
McClellan	Democratic	VA	No
McClintock	Republican	CA	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
McCormick	Republican	GA	No
McDonald Rivet	Democratic	MI	No
McDowell	Republican	NC	No
McGuire	Republican	VA	No
Meeks	Democratic	NY	No
Menendez	Democratic	NJ	No
Meng	Democratic	NY	No
Messmer	Republican	IN	No
Meuser	Republican	PA	No
Mfume	Democratic	MD	No
Miller-Meeks	Republican	IA	No
Miller (IL)	Republican	IL	No
Miller (OH)	Republican	OH	No
Miller (WV)	Republican	WV	No
Mills	Republican	FL	No
Moolenaar	Republican	MI	No
Moore (AL)	Republican	AL	No
Moore (NC)	Republican	NC	No
Moore (UT)	Republican	UT	No
Moore (WV)	Republican	WV	No
Moran	Republican	TX	No
Morelle	Democratic	NY	No
Moskowitz	Democratic	FL	No
Moylan	Republican	GU	No
Mrvan	Democratic	IN	No
Murphy	Republican	NC	No
Nehls	Republican	TX	No
Newhouse	Republican	WA	No
Norcross	Democratic	NJ	No
Norman	Republican	SC	No
Norton	Democratic	DC	No
Nunn (IA)	Republican	IA	No
Oberholte	Republican	CA	No
Ogles	Republican	TN	No
Olszewski	Democratic	MD	No
Onder	Republican	MO	No
Owens	Republican	UT	No
Pallone	Democratic	NJ	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Palmer	Republican	AL	No
Panetta	Democratic	CA	No
Patronis	Republican	FL	No
Perez	Democratic	WA	No
Peters	Democratic	CA	No
Pfluger	Republican	TX	No
Pou	Democratic	NJ	No
Reschenthaler	Republican	PA	No
Riley (NY)	Democratic	NY	No
Rivas	Democratic	CA	No
Rogers (AL)	Republican	AL	No
Rogers (KY)	Republican	KY	No
Rose	Republican	TN	No
Rouzer	Republican	NC	No
Roy	Republican	TX	No
Ruiz	Democratic	CA	No
Rulli	Republican	OH	No
Rutherford	Republican	FL	No
Salazar	Republican	FL	No
Scalise	Republican	LA	No
Schmidt	Republican	KS	No
Schneider	Democratic	IL	No
Scholten	Democratic	MI	No
Schrier	Democratic	WA	No
Schweikert	Republican	AZ	No
Scott, Austin	Republican	GA	No
Self	Republican	TX	No
Sessions	Republican	TX	No
Sewell	Democratic	AL	No
Sherman	Democratic	CA	No
Shreve	Republican	IN	No
Simpson	Republican	ID	No
Smith (MO)	Republican	MO	No
Smith (NE)	Republican	NE	No
Smith (NJ)	Republican	NJ	No
Smucker	Republican	PA	No
Sorensen	Democratic	IL	No
Soto	Democratic	FL	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Spartz	Republican	IN	No
Stanton	Democratic	AZ	No
Stauber	Republican	MN	No
Stefanik	Republican	NY	No
Steil	Republican	WI	No
Steube	Republican	FL	No
Stevens	Democratic	MI	No
Strickland	Democratic	WA	No
Strong	Republican	AL	No
Stutzman	Republican	IN	No
Subramanyam	Democratic	VA	No
Suozzi	Democratic	NY	No
Sykes	Democratic	OH	No
Taylor	Republican	OH	No
Tenney	Republican	NY	No
Thanedar	Democratic	MI	No
Thompson (PA)	Republican	PA	No
Tiffany	Republican	WI	No
Timmons	Republican	SC	No
Titus	Democratic	NV	No
Torres (CA)	Democratic	CA	No
Torres (NY)	Democratic	NY	No
Turner (OH)	Republican	OH	No
Valadao	Republican	CA	No
Van Drew	Republican	NJ	No
Van Duyne	Republican	TX	No
Van Epps	Republican	TN	No
Van Orden	Republican	WI	No
Vargas	Democratic	CA	No
Veasey	Democratic	TX	No
Vindman	Democratic	VA	No
Wagner	Republican	MO	No
Walberg	Republican	MI	No
Walkinshaw	Democratic	VA	No
Wasserman Schultz	Democratic	FL	No
Weber (TX)	Republican	TX	No
Webster (FL)	Republican	FL	No
Westerman	Republican	AR	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

ROLL CALL 243 - NO (CONTINUED)

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Whitesides	Democratic	CA	No
Wied	Republican	WI	No
Williams (GA)	Democratic	GA	No
Williams (TX)	Republican	TX	No
Wilson (FL)	Democratic	FL	No
Wilson (SC)	Republican	SC	No
Wittman	Republican	VA	No
Womack	Republican	AR	No
Yakym	Republican	IN	No
Zinke	Republican	MT	No

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - ROLL CALL 243

H.R. 8595 - Massie Part A Amendment No. 8 - July 15, 2026

Result	FAILED
Aye	104
No	314
Present	10
Not Voting	9

Present - 10 Members

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Bera	Democratic	CA	Present
Brown	Democratic	OH	Present
Bynum	Democratic	OR	Present
Elfreth	Democratic	MD	Present
Huffman	Democratic	CA	Present
McCollum	Democratic	MN	Present
Morrison	Democratic	MN	Present
Pappas	Democratic	NH	Present
Sanchez	Democratic	CA	Present
Thompson (CA)	Democratic	CA	Present

SHIMP

FOR PRESIDENT 2028

ACCOUNTABILITY | INTEGRITY | RULE OF LAW

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - ROLL CALL 243

H.R. 8595 - Massie Part A Amendment No. 8 - July 15, 2026

Result	FAILED
Aye	104
No	314
Present	10
Not Voting	9

Not Voting - 9 Members

Representative	Party	State	Vote
Cammack	Republican	FL	Not Voting
Davis (NC)	Democratic	NC	Not Voting
Dingell	Democratic	MI	Not Voting
Mackenzie	Republican	PA	Not Voting
McCaul	Republican	TX	Not Voting
Nadler	Democratic	NY	Not Voting
Perry	Republican	PA	Not Voting
Plaskett	Democratic	VI	Not Voting
Radewagen	Republican	AS	Not Voting

Official vote source: Office of the Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives, Roll Call 243, H.R. 8595, July 15, 2026.
Campaign research file - Shimp for President 2028 - Operation Public Trust - Investigative File 002