



SAFE

ENERGY EFFICIENT

ADAPTABLE

AFFORDABLE

MEETS 90% OF THE NCC*



BUT “illegitimate” - because of red tape.



80% of people that would buy and live in a tiny home, approach council, and are left so confused, they do not go ahead. There is no framework for a ‘dwelling’ that does not fully meet codes that were written for traditional houses.

Over 148 tiny home builders are able to go ahead with mass building of tiny homes, as secondary dwellings, right now. (Just 4 - 8 weeks build time) Councils can still depict placement, and minimum land sizes, as a secondary dwelling.

Including modular builders, this number is over 300 builders, each building 1 - 20 homes per month.

Tiny Houses can not meet Livability Codes and natHERS (Under 50SQM)

The average tiny house is under 40sqm

IF Tiny Houses - Built Off-Site, Under 50SQM, meeting high standards (which they are) - were EXCLUDED from some aspects of the NCC officially - there is a ready market for these homes.

Furthermore, with a Building Code that suits, they will be deemed a legitimate dwelling, opening Bank Loans for low income earners to be able to afford: (Average cost of an efficient, liveable tiny home is currently under \$130k)

**CALLING STATE GOVERNMENT TO GREEN LIGHT
THE TINY HOME INDUSTRY TO MAKE AN IMPACT ON THE
HOUSING CRISIS - REMOVE THE RED TAPE.**

* Recent data collection and inspection of legitimate tiny house builders in Australia, show that the Building Standards are closely met, but are virtually impossible to meet the full NCC and energy rating due to their size; the fact they are built off site, and the software/pathway for natHERS does not consider such small buildings.