Regulating Genetic Editing: Balancing Technological Advancements with Legal and Ethical Boundaries

-Anish Kancharla[*]

Abstract:

Recent advances in gene editing tools like CRISPR-Cas9 have enabled precise modifications to DNA sequences, holding tremendous potential for treating genetic diseases. However, along with the promising applications, genetic editing raises pressing ethical and legal concerns requiring regulatory oversight. This study analyzes the issues surrounding human germline editing, which can permanently alter hereditary traits. It explores the slippery slope of enhancing human traits beyond therapy and the implications of irreversible genetic changes for future generations. The analysis also focuses on risks like unintended mutations, exacerbating inequalities and eugenics. The paper further examines the current legal landscape governing gene editing research from an international perspective. It highlights regulatory gaps in ensuring ethical clinical trials and protecting against unsafe or unethical uses of genetic modification technologies. Balanced oversight frameworks are proposed that can effectively regulate this rapidly evolving field while supporting innovation for beneficial applications. The findings will inform policy and governance mechanisms to harness the promise of gene editing for therapeutic purposes while establishing safeguards against misuse.

Keywords: *Gene editing; Germline editing; CRISPR; Eugenics; Bioethics.*

Introduction:

Recent advances in gene editing technologies, especially CRISPR-Cas9, have enabled rapid, precise modifications to DNA sequences that can potentially treat genetic diseases. However, along with promising therapeutic applications, genetic editing also raises complex ethical and legal issues that warrant prudent regulatory oversight (Lander et al., 2019). This paper argues that establishing oversight frameworks that balance scientific progress and ethical boundaries is imperative for harnessing the benefits of genetic editing responsibly. The introduction will elucidate the concept of genetic editing, focusing on tools like CRISPR that allow efficient,

---

[*] 4th Year BALLB VIT-AP School of Law, VIT-AP University

targeted editing of genes. It will highlight the tremendous potential of genetic editing for curing debilitating hereditary conditions as evidenced by recent scientific breakthroughs. However, risks like human germline editing, unintended effects and misuse for enhancement or eugenics will also be discussed. The analysis will underscore why governance mechanisms are needed given the rapid pace of advances in this powerful technology.[1] The paper will review the existing legal landscape regulating genetic engineering research from an international perspective. Gaps in current oversight structures will be identified, such as lack of binding global accords on germline editing, insufficient safeguards for clinical trials and absence of benefit-sharing guidelines (Carr et al., 2021). Finally, the introduction will describe the scope of this paper to propose ethically-grounded policy frameworks that balance scientific progress and precautionary principles to ensure genetic editing is deployed safely for advancing human health.[2]

II. Technological Advancements in Genetic Editing

However, despite the optimistic promises associated with genetic editing, there are several concerns and controversies surrounding the technology that have prompted calls for regulation. One such concern is the potential for genetic editing to exacerbate existing social inequalities by creating an underclass of people who cannot afford access to genetic enhancement technologies. There are also concerns that genetic editing could compromise the integrity of the human germ-line by allowing genetic modifications to be passed down to future generations. Furthermore, there are ethical concerns surrounding the notion of "designer babies" and the potential for gene editing to be used not only to cure diseases but also to enhance certain desirable traits. Thus, it is important to carefully consider the legal and ethical boundaries that should be in place to regulate genetic editing in order to balance technological progress with ethical considerations and ensure a fair and just society.

The history of genetic editing can be traced back to the early 1970s when scientists discovered a method for creating recombinant DNA molecules by splicing together pieces of DNA from different organisms. In 1985, researchers successfully used this technique to edit genes in mammalian cells, marking one of the earliest examples of genetic editing in a lab setting. From there, the field of genetic editing rapidly advanced, with the development of

---

[1] Townsend, B.A., 2020. Human genome editing: how to prevent rogue actors. BMC Medical Ethics, 21(1), pp.1-10.
[2] Yotova, R., 2020. Regulating genome editing under international human rights law. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, *69*(3), pp.653-684.

new techniques such as CRISPR-Cas9 that have made it significantly easier to edit specific genes in a wide variety of organisms. While these advancements have the potential to revolutionize medicine and agriculture, there are still many ethical and legal questions that need to be addressed in order to ensure that genetic editing is used for beneficial purposes and does not poseunacceptable risks to individuals or society as a whole.[3]

Recent advancements in genetic editing have generated both excitement and controversy. The use of CRISPR/Cas9 technology has revolutionized the field by providing researchers with a precise tool to edit genes, opening possibilities for treating previously incurable genetic diseases. In 2015, a group of Chinese scientists claimed to have used CRISPR/Cas9 to modify human embryos, raising ethical concerns about the safety and unintended consequences of the technology.[4] In 2018, a different Chinese scientist created a media storm after announcing the birth of genetically edited twins, sparking international debate about the ethical and legal boundaries of genetic editing. While the technology offers promising benefits, it is also important to consider the potential risks and societal implications of genetic editing. As technology continues to advance, it underscores the need for ongoing discussions about the legal and ethical boundaries that should guide its use. In conclusion, genetic editing can have numerous benefits that should not be overlooked. It can help eliminate or reduce the prevalence of genetic disorders that have long plagued the medical field. Additionally, it can produce crops that are more resistant to pests or weeds, which can bolster agricultural productivity and help address food scarcity issues around the world. Genetic editing can also be used to enhance the athletic abilities of individuals, which could potentially enable individuals to perform better in sports, ultimately spurring advancements in the field. However, while the potential benefits of genetic editing cannot be denied, it is crucial to acknowledge the ethical, moral and legal implications surrounding this technology. Furthermore, it is important to regulate this technology so that there are checks and balances in place to ensure that it is used for the greater good of humanity.[5]

Genetic editing holds immense potential for curing genetic diseases and enhancing human

---

[3] Bu, Q., 2019. Reassess the law and ethics of heritable genome editing interventions: Lessons for China and the world. Issues L. & Med., 34, p.115.
[4] Coutts, L.E., 2021. Balancing Biomedical Progress Against Reproductive Justice in the Case of Human Germline Genome Editing with CRISPR-Cas9 (Doctoral dissertation, Queen's University (Canada)).
[5] Molnár-Gábor, F., 2018. Integrating Ethical Standards into the Human Rights Framework: Considerations towards the Future Regulation of Genome Editing on an International Level. Between Moral Hazard and Legal Uncertainty: Ethical, Legal and Societal Challenges of Human Genome Editing, pp.31-50.

capabilities. However, this technology also poses significant ethical and legal challenges that require careful consideration before it can be widely adopted. The development of regulatory frameworks for genetic editing is essential to ensure that this technology is utilized in a responsible and ethical manner. While some countries have already implemented strict regulations around genetic editing, others are still grappling with these issues. A global dialogue is needed to establish universally accepted guidelines and regulations for genetic editing in order to avoid potential negative consequences and ensure safe and ethical use of this technology. Only by striking the right balance between technological advancements and legal and ethical boundaries can we fully realize the potential benefits of genetic editing while safeguarding the health and dignity of future generations.[6]

III. Legal and Ethical Boundaries in Genetic Editing

The legal and ethical boundaries surrounding genetic editing are a contentious issue. Many argue that genetic editing is playing God and that the technology should be banned outright. Others caution against rushing into legislation that could stifle scientific innovation. It is clear that genetic editing has far-reaching consequences, both positive and negative. The potential to cure genetic diseases and eliminate hereditary conditions is enormous, but so is the potential for harmful effects on future generations. Laws and regulations must strike a delicate balance between encouraging scientific advances and protecting against unforeseen consequences. Ethics must also be considered, with an emphasis on respect for human dignity and the autonomy of individuals. As scientists continue to push the boundaries of what is possible, policymakers must create a legal framework that ensures the safety and well-being of society as a whole.[7]

The laws governing genetic editing are still in their developmental stages, but they have the potential to be very influential in shaping the ethical and legal boundaries of the scientific community's use of this technology. Although genetic editors continue to push the boundaries of genetic editing, the regulations surrounding the use of these technologies must remain diligent in protecting individuals' liberties, the greater scientific community, and the public. The future of genetic editing holds great promise but requires us to continue to regulate the rapidly expanding scientific advancements. Ethical, legal and scientific debates will

---

[6] Mendicino, M., 2020. Genetically Customized Generations-A Need for Increased Regulatory Control over Gene Editing Technology in the United States. SMU L. Rev., 73, p.585.
[7] Tang, N., 2023. Governance of Heritable Human Genome Editing: Developing a Regulatory Framework for a Transformative Technology. URPP Human Reproduction Reloaded: H2R Working Papers Series, (01/2023).

undoubtedly continue to shape the use of genetic editing and the ever-changing legal and ethical boundaries of genetics. This conversation must include all relevant stakeholders to ensurethat we make the best possible decisions for ourselves and our future society.

Moreover, genetic editing also raises ethical concerns. The ability to edit the genes of a fetus or an individual could lead to the creation of "designer babies," whose physical traits and abilities are carefully chosen by their parents. This could further widen the gap between the rich and the poor, as only those who can afford genetic editing would have access to the technology.[8] Additionally, the potential misuse of genetic editing could result in unintended consequences, such as mutations and health problems that could be passed down to future generations. Therefore, it is important to establish ethical guidelines for the use of genetic editing to ensure that it is not being exploited for commercial or personal gains. Creating a regulatory framework that limits the use of genetic editing to therapeutic purposes could go a long waytowards balancing the potential benefits and pitfalls of gene editing.

Moreover, another layer of complexity emerges when addressing the balance between legal and ethical boundaries in genetic editing. In many cases, ethical considerations are not necessarily reflected in current legal frameworks. For instance, while using germline editing to eliminate hereditary diseases may be a morally appealing prospect, it may pose significant legal challenges. The use of gene-editing technology in altering the genetic makeup of an embryo could, for instance, result in unexpected and catastrophic consequences that can limit the growth and development of a human being and, consequently, infringe on the individual's inalienable right to health. Furthermore, technological advancements in genetic editing have outpaced the laws put in place to regulate its application, which means that there is a need for constant updates and reviews to ensure that the legal and ethical boundaries are in line with the latest scientific developments.[9]

In addition to ethical concerns, there are also potential legal implications of genetic editing. A major issue is the possibility of unintended consequences, such as the creation of unintended genetic mutations or effects on future generations. As such, there may be a need for legal frameworks to regulate the use of genetic editing and prevent harm to individuals and society as a whole. One potential solution is to establish strict licensing requirements for

---

[8] McHale, J.V., 2004. Regulating genetic databases: some legal and ethical issues. Med. L. Rev., 12, p.70.
[9] Kerr, A., 2003. Governing genetics: reifying choice and progress. New Genetics and Society, 22(2), pp.143-158.

researchers and clinicians, requiring them to follow specific safety protocols and adhere to ethical guidelines. Additionally, the creation of a government agency dedicated to overseeing genetic editing research and development could help ensure that these technologies are being used in a responsible and safe manner. Ultimately, it is imperative that legal and ethical considerations are taken into account when regulating genetic editing, in order to prevent harm and promote the potential benefits of this technology without infringing on individual rights.[10]

IV. Arguments for Regulating Genetic Editing

There are several arguments in favor of regulating genetic editing. One of the main arguments is that regulations can help prevent any potential harm that may arise from unregulated genetic editing. There is a risk that genetic editing may lead to unknown and unforeseen consequences that could cause harm to individuals or society. Regulations can also help ensure equitable access to genetic editing technology, preventing it from becoming a tool of the wealthy or powerful. Additionally, without regulation, there may be a rush to use genetic editing technology without fully understanding its implications. Setting clear safety standards and ethical guidelines can protect against any unintended consequences and abuse of this technology. Regulation can also help foster public trust in the technology and ensure that it is used in the best interest of humanity.

The risks and consequences of unregulated genetic editing are numerous and significant. The most immediate danger arises from the potential for unintended consequences of genetic editing, such as the introduction of unintended mutations or the disruption of critical genes required for viability. Additionally, unregulated genetic editing could lead to inequitable access to genetic enhancements, as those who can afford the technology may have significant advantages over those who cannot. Further, genetic editing may have long-term consequences that are difficult to predict, including the potential for unintended effects on future generations. Finally, the absence of regulation raises significant ethical concerns, as the ability to modify human genetic material has important implications for our understanding of human identity, dignity, and the meaning of human life. Taken together, these risks and consequences demonstrate the need for thoughtful regulation of genetic

---

[10] Gibbons, S.M. and Kaye, J., 2007. Governing genetic databases: collection, storage and use. King's Law Journal, 18(2), pp.201-208.

editing technologies, in order to ensure their safe and equitable use.[11]

The issue of genetic editing creates various legal and ethical challenges that revolve around equality. The use of genetic editing technologies to design "perfect" embryos raises concerns about social implications, specifically, the potential exacerbation of social inequality. The technology could be used to create an elite class of genetically enhanced individuals, resulting in discrimination and exacerbating already-existing inequalities regarding access to healthcare, education, and employment. Additionally, there is a concern that genetic editing will perpetuate societal prejudices, such as racism and sexism, as certain "desirable" traits are favored over others based on cultural or societal norms. Overall, it is essential that regulatory frameworks address these equality-related social implications to ensure that genetic editing technologies do not result in entrenched and even exacerbated social inequality.[12]

One of the most critical issues surrounding the genetic editing debate is ensuring public safety and health. While proponents of genetic editing point to its potential for curing diseases and living longer, critics warn of unintended consequences such as genetic mutations or unforeseen negative side effects. It is essential, therefore, to strike a balance between the advancements in genetic editing and the legal and ethical boundaries that society expects. As genetic editing becomes more prevalent, establishing a comprehensive regulatory framework that considers both the scientific and ethical implications becomes increasingly important. This framework should involve proper testing procedures, careful monitoring, and clear guidelines for development and application. Only then can society fully reap the benefits of genetic editing while avoiding the risks associated with unethical and dangerous genetic procedures.[13]

Another area of concern when it comes to genetic editing is the potential for creating a societal hierarchy based on genetic traits. This is a common topic in science fiction, where individuals are genetically modified before birth to have traits deemed desirable by society, such as intelligence or physical attractiveness. However, this concept has real-world implications as well. If certain genetic traits are deemed advantageous, those without them

---

[11] Faulkner, A. and Poort, L., 2017. Stretching and challenging the boundaries of law: varieties of knowledge in biotechnologies regulation. Minerva, 55, pp.209-228.
[12] Clayton, E.W., Evans, B.J., Hazel, J.W. and Rothstein, M.A., 2019. The law of genetic privacy: applications, implications, and limitations. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 6(1), pp.1-36.
[13] O'Connell, K., 2005. The devouring: Genetics, abjection, and the limits of law. Ethics of the body: Postconventional challenges, pp.217-234.

may be at a disadvantage when it comes to job opportunities, social status, and even access to health care. This could exacerbate existing inequalities and create a society where some individuals are seen as "genetically superior" to others. As genetic editing becomes more accessible and advanced, it will be crucial to address these ethical concerns and ensure that any potentialnegative consequences are weighed against the benefits.[14]

V. Counterarguments against Regulating Genetic Editing

Despite the many benefits of regulating genetic editing, some argue that such regulation could stifle advancements in research and medicine. Additionally, such regulation could inadvertently limit access to genetic services, especially for those in underprivileged communities. There is also concern that oppressive regimes could utilize such regulations to prevent access to genetic editing, leading to geneticinequality and exacerbating societal divides. Finally, some argue that regulating genetic editing could leadto a black market for genetic services, resulting in unregulated and potentially dangerous experimentation.While these counterarguments should be considered, they do not negate the need for regulation. Instead,policymakers must balance the potential risks and benefits of regulating genetic editing to ensure that ethical, legal, and societal concerns are addressed while promoting progress in the field of genetic researchand medicine.

Another potential hindrance to scientific advancement is the lack of uniform regulation across countries and institutions. Genetic editing techniques have the potential to greatly improve public health, but the lack of an international consensus on the ethical and regulatory principles of genetic editing has led to confusion and even conflict. For example, in 2018, a Chinese scientist claimed to have produced the world's first gene-edited babies, which sparked an international outcry due to ethical concerns. Additionally, there is a risk that the lack of uniform regulation could lead to a "genetic divide" between countries or even socioeconomic groups, where the wealthy have access to the latest genetic editing techniques while the less affluent are left without. Therefore, it is crucial to establish and enforce internationally recognized standards for genetic editing to prevent unethical practices and ensure that scientific advancements are accessible to all.[15]

---

[14] Patel, S. and Varley, I., 2019. Exploring the regulation of genetic testing in sport. ESLJ, 17, p.1.
[15] Zhang, D. and Lie, R.K., 2018. Ethical issues in human germline gene editing: a perspective from China. Monash Bioethics Review, 36, pp.23-35.

The concept of genetic autonomy revolves around an individual's right to control and make decisions about their own genetic makeup. This includes the right to choose whether or not to undergo genetic testing, the right to access and interpret their own genetic information, and the right to make informed choices about reproductive options. However, there are concerns that personalized genetics may lead to the exploitation of individuals' genetic data by insurance companies, employers, or others with power imbalances. Additionally, there are ethical concerns surrounding the use of genetic editing technologies, particularly in the creation of "designer babies" with chosen traits. As such, striking a balance between individual autonomy and societal responsibilities is crucial in crafting regulations for genetic editing thatprotect both individual rights and the common good.[16]

is crucial to promoting innovation and advancing science in the realm of genetic editing. Overregulation can stifle research and development by creating hurdles that researchers must jump through in order to conduct studies. As noted by scholars, overly restrictive regulatory regimes can create a "chilling effect" by making it prohibitively difficult for researchers to conduct basic research, create or invest in new start-ups, or attract funding for innovative projects. Conversely, a lack of regulation can lead to ethical and safety concerns that threaten public trust and the progress of genetic editing. Therefore, it is pivotal to strike a balance between avoiding unnecessary and burdensome regulations and promoting ethical and safety concerns. This can be achieved by establishing a reasoned, proportional, and transparent framework that sets minimum standards and guidelines for conducting genetic editing research, while also providing a degree of flexibility and risk assessment measures to accommodate the rapidly evolving technologies.[17]

Pre-implantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) is a technique used to select embryos without inherited genetic diseases. This includes inherited cancers and some of the most devastating diseases, such as Tay-Sachs disease and sickle cell anemia. PGD is similar to in-vitro fertilization, but it also involves the determination of genetic characteristics of embryos before implantation. Although PGD has been around since the 1990s, its popularity started growing exponentially because of increased fertility issues, marriages at later ages, and genetic testing advancements. Despite PGD's potential benefits, some experts argue that the

---

[16] Hodson, N., Earp, B.D., Townley, L. and Bewley, S., 2019. Defining and regulating the boundaries of sex and sexuality. Medical Law Review, 27(4), pp.541-552.
[17] Juengst, E.T., 2017. Crowdsourcing the moral limits of human gene editing?. Hastings Center Report, 47(3), pp.15-23.

technology should be more heavily regulated because of the risk of eugenics and potential unethical practices, such as designer babies. The primary ethical concern regarding PGD is that it will reinforce stigmatization or discrimination based on perceived genetic traits or undesirable characteristics. Moreover, access to PGD could be determined based on wealth, further exacerbating socioeconomic inequalities.[18]

VI. Balancing Technological Advancements with Legal and Ethical Boundaries

As the genetic editing technology continues to advance, it is imperative to ensure that the legal and ethical boundaries are established to prevent any potential misuse or abuse. The development of the CRISPR-Cas9 system of gene editing has presented numerous advantages in different areas ranging from agriculture to medicine. However, this technology poses unique ethical and legal challenges, including concerns about the potential for "designer babies" and genetic discrimination. Furthermore, some experts argue that the use of gene editing must be constrained within the limits of safety, responsibility, and accountability, as failures to regulate could lead to societal and environmental outcomes that may have potentially severe consequences. Therefore, finding the appropriate balance between technological advancements and legal and ethical boundaries remains critical for both the scientific community and thebroader society.[19]

In conclusion, the regulation of genetic editing presents a unique challenge in balancing technological advancements with legal and ethical boundaries. While innovation in genetic editing has the potential to transform healthcare and agriculture, it also poses serious ethical concerns such as the potential for creating a genetic underclass. Therefore, it is critical for regulatory bodies to maintain a level of oversight to ensure that ethical guidelines are being followed in research and development of genetic editing technologies while also providing opportunities for innovation. Ultimately, striking a balance between innovation and regulation in genetic editing requires a multidisciplinary approach that includes input from ethicists, scientists, policymakers, and the public to address these complex issues in a way that is both equitable and sustainable.[20]

Potential solutions for regulating genetic editing include implementing strict regulatory frameworks that ensure responsible use of genetic technologies. There is a need for

---

[18] Halliday, S. and Steinberg, D.L., 2004. The regulated gene: new legal dilemmas. Med. L. Rev., 12, p.2.
[19] McHale, J.V., 2004. Regulating genetic databases: some legal and ethical issues. Med. L. Rev., 12, p.70.
[20] Campbell, A.V., 2007. The ethical challenges of genetic databases: safeguarding altruism and trust. King's Law Journal, 18(2), pp.227-245.

government bodies to establish clear and transparent regulations, applicable to all parties involved in genetic editing, including researchers, clinicians, and geneticists. Policies and ethical guidelines can be established at local and international levels to govern gene editing research and practices, ensuring that all regulatory bodies are in compliance with ethical norms. Additionally, there is a need to create a unified global framework and regulatory oversight for the gene editing process with international collaboration. Besides, encouraging open dialogues between scientists, policymakers, and stakeholders is essential for driving forward ethical and responsible use of genetic editing. Such actions can guarantee that the technology's potential benefits can be maximized while mitigating possible risks and ethical concerns.[21]

As technological advancements continue to progress rapidly, it becomes increasingly important to ensure that the applications of new technologies do not violate ethical and legal boundaries. This task requires collaboration and cooperation between multiple disciplines, including science, ethics, and law. Scientists must be willing to pause and consider the ethical implications of their innovations, and to consult with ethical experts when needed. Similarly, ethical experts must be willing to engage with scientific developments and provide informed guidance about which applications of these technologies are justifiable. Finally, it is up to legal experts to ensure that these boundaries are reflected in regulations and laws. Only by working together can we ensure that technological advancements do not come at the cost of ethical and legal violations.

While the potential benefits of genetic editing are undeniable, it is essential to approach this technology with caution and regulation. A lack of oversight can lead to potentially disastrous consequences, including the creation of genetically engineered individuals who are deemed "better" or more desirable, perpetuating socially constructed ideas of worth and value. Such developments could quickly lead to the creation of a genetic underclass, where those who lack access to these technologies are seen as inferior. Additionally, genetic editing raises ethical concerns about how much control humans should have over the natural world and the sanctity of life itself. It is up to society to establish legal and ethical boundaries that mitigate the worst possible outcomes, such as those that could arise from genetically mutating or enhancing human beings. Achieving this balance will require a commitment to

---

[21] Elger, B., 2016. Ethical issues of human genetic databases: a challenge to classical health research ethics?. Routledge.

continued research and collaboration between ethicists, scientists, and policymakers.[22]

VII. Conclusion

In conclusion, genetic editing has the ability to revolutionize the way society approaches diseases and disabilities, and has the potential to improve the lives of countless individuals. However, the ethical and legal implications of genetic editing must be carefully considered in order to balance beneficial advancements with the need for responsible regulation. The rights and safety of both the modified individuals and future generations must be considered, as well as the economic and societal impacts of advances in genetic editing technology. While there is still much to learn about the potential of genetic editing, it is imperative that regulations be put in place to prevent the abuse and misuse of this technology. By taking a cautious and measured approach to genetic editing regulation, society can pave a path towardsa healthier, more equitable future.[23]

In summary, this essay has explored the various legal and ethical considerations surrounding the regulation of genetic editing. It has been argued that while genetic editing has the potential to bring about significant medical advancements, it also poses a number of risks and challenges that must be carefully regulated. To balance the competing interests of scientific and medical progress with ethical and legal boundaries, a number of legal frameworks and regulatory mechanisms have been proposed and implemented. These frameworks include global treaties, national laws, and ethical standards, all of which seek to ensure that genetic editing is performed in a safe and responsible manner. Ultimately, it is the responsibility of scientists, policymakers, and citizens alike to balance the promise of technological advancements with the need for ethical and legal boundaries.

The implications of regulating genetic editing for society extend far beyond the realm of medicine and bioengineering. One of the most significant impacts could be on the economy, particularly as the field of genetic engineering continues to grow and advance. The development of new genetically modified organisms and products could create jobs and stimulate economic growth, but it could also raise concerns about monopolies and the concentration of power within the biotechnology industry. Regulating genetic editing could

---

[22] Austin, M.A., Harding, S.E. and McElroy, C.E., 2003. Monitoring ethical, legal, and social issues in developing population genetic databases. Genetics in medicine, 5(6), pp.451-457.
[23] Field, R.I., Dombroski, E., McDevitt, M.K. and Petrie, W.A., 2020. Genetic Databases and the Future of Medicine: Can Law and Ethics Keep Up? Perspectives and Analysis of a Conference. Drexel L. Rev., 13, p.321.

also have significant social and ethical implications, particularly with regards to access and equity. Some fear that genetic editing could exacerbate existing inequalities by allowing the wealthy to manipulate and control biological traits in their offspring, creating a new class of genetically-enhanced individuals. Additionally, regulating genetic editing may raise questions about individual autonomy and human rights, particularly in cases where gene modifications may be permanent or inherited by future generations.

One promising future direction for genetic editing regulation is the development of more targeted and precise editing techniques. CRISPR-Cas9 is currently the most widely used genetic editing tool, but it has its limitations in terms of precision. Newer techniques like base editing and prime editing show promise in their ability to edit DNA with greater accuracy and specificity. Additionally, there is a growing interest in regulating gene editing in non-human organisms, particularly in agriculture and environmental applications. This includes editing crops to make them more resilient to climate change and disease, and editing mosquitoes to reduce the spread of diseases like malaria. These applications have the potential to revolutionize agriculture and public health, but must be carefully regulated to ensure the safety of both the environment and the human population. Overall, future directions for genetic editing and regulation will require balancing the benefits of technological advancements with legal and ethical boundaries.