

Dear (insert controlling body name),

I have recently been made aware of NRR proposed changes on thresholds for ketoprofen and procaine.

Draft amendment GAR 146 (6)(g): 146 Therapeutic substances and screening limits ... (6) The following screening limits apply: (g) ketoprofen at a mass concentration of 5 nanograms per milliliter in a sample of plasma or 10 nanograms per milliliter in a sample of urine

Draft amendment GAR 147 (6)(d) Residue substances and residue limits – Procaine Draft amendment GAR 147 (6)(d): 147 Residue substances and residue limits ... (6) The following residue limits apply: (d) procaine at a mass concentration of 5 nanograms per milliliter in a sample of plasma or 200 nanograms per milliliter in a sample of urine. ***In NSW, LR138A will continue to apply where procaine is an exempted substance at concentrations equal to or less than 1000ng/ml.***

I have been in the industry for XX years. While I make every effort to ask and understand that the meat I am using is safe and inline with the National Racing Rules, I am concerned that there is no way for me to be absolutely sure. Should these rules be put in place, the increased risks of a positive swab plus the additional costs to change meat sources would mean the industry is likely to become unviable for me to remain in.

I am concerned that rule changes such as these have not considered the impact to participants and by making participation unsustainable, Australian greyhound racing is heading in the direction of the USA. I strongly urge you to vote against the rule amendment recommendation.

Regards

(Insert name)