

# Re: Some of your interesting thoughts

Berg, John

Fri 3/19/2021 3:35 PM

To: Larry Mann <mannlarry95@gmail.com>; Daily, Jeff <daily@skschools.org>; Diehl, Rebecca <diehl@skschools.org>; Gattenby, Eric <gattenbye@skschools.org>; Sebren, Liz <sebren@skschools.org>; Winter, Tim <winter@skschools.org>;

Cc: 'Gerry Austin' <millsaustin@wavecable.com>;

📎 2 attachments (114 KB)

Sun2018\_LarryMann.pdf; Rapsheet\_MannLarry.pdf;

Mr. Mann:

I was surprised that your application to the facilities committee was not on the agenda of our March 17th meeting. I expected it to be there for consideration. I will move to put it on the agenda for the March 31st meeting. If we put it after the Public Comments portion of the meeting, you will have a three-minute opportunity to demonstrate the extent to which you would be an asset to the committee.

Regarding your requests for information, I am not speaking for the District, but will share my observations and my understanding of the law and the process. I am not an attorney. You may wish to consult your own legal counsel.

The Freedom of Information Act applies to Federal agencies, not State agencies such as a local school district. Any exemption from the cost of reproducing documents in the FOIA does not apply to State Agencies and a school district.

The Washington State Public Records Act (RCW 42.56), applies to the South Kitsap School District. The SKSD has a staff member assigned to handle requests for public records. Amy Miller is the District's Communication & Public Information Officer and all public records requests should be directed to her, not to the superintendent, nor to the board members.

When information requests are sent to multiple people such to the superintendent and all board members, the response becomes problematic. It is certainly not practical to have six different people each respond to your request, so a request to many is best responded to by only one. None of the individual board members have authority to speak for the District. Only the Board as a whole or the Board through its appointed representative can speak for the District.

You recently requested information on the negotiated SKEA agreement. I discussed your request with the superintendent and suggested that he respond to the first questions and refer you directly to the SKEA regarding the latter questions on the internal affairs of the SKEA. I cannot personally require the superintendent to perform any specific task; his direction comes from the Board as a whole.

This response to your email is with a "Reply to All" and goes to the superintendent and the rest of the Board. However, the recipients of that Reply to All cannot respond with their comments through another Reply to All. Discussions between three or more Board members must be limited to public meetings, and a series of Reply to All email messages could be in violation of the Washington State Open Meetings Act. That makes it difficult for the Board members to coordinate a single response to request sent to multiple Board members.

**Exhibit N**

There is a difference between a request for information and a request for public records. The Washington State Public Records Act requires a response from the District to a request for "identifiable public records". A question like "How many students are enrolled in the SKSD?" is a request for information and not a request for public records. A request like "Please provide a copy of the latest enrollment report from the SKSD to the Superintendent of Public Instruction" would constitute a public records request. The law itself provides for redress when a public records request is denied, and that redress is not an appeal to the superintendent nor to the Board. You nevertheless have a right to petition for redress of grievances and inform the Board of any denial of your public records request, but such petition is not considered to be duplicate request for public records.

The District, the superintendent and the individual board members do not have any legal obligation to respond to other requests for information. Normally, they will respond to appropriate requests as a matter of good policy and public relations, but they are not required to do so.

In the same way, an elected official will generally respond to inquiries as to why she or he voted a particular way, but the elected official is under no obligation to do so upon the demand of any citizen.

Regarding any statements that you are "listed as a sex offender", I have confirmed that you are not registered. I don't recall any mention of the word "pedophile" at any SK School Board meeting. Nevertheless, the recordings of the meetings are available online for viewing. Since your moral character and criminal history has been brought into question, in your defense I am including a 2018 article from the Kitsap Sun and a copy of your criminal history for the information of the Board. Not all current Board members were on the Board in 2018 when the incidents referenced in the Sun article took place.

When you include with your requests statements such as "please try to get an ounce of brains compiled amongst you", it does not promote a civil dialogue, nor does it provide any incentive for any of us to respond to you.

**John R. Berg**  
South Kitsap School District  
Director Position 2

Email: Berg@SKSchools.org  
Voice mail: 206-317-3785  
Website: wwwSKSchools.org

2689 Hoover Ave  
Port Orchard, WA 98366

---

**From:** Larry Mann <mannlarry95@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 18, 2021 10:03:01 PM  
**To:** Berg, John; Daily, Jeff; Diehl, Rebecca; Gattenby, Eric; Sebren, Liz; Winter, Tim  
**Subject:** Some of your interesting thoughts

SKSD Directors:

First things first, I sent the board a second application for the Facilities Committee that the board did not have the common courtesy to acknowledge receipt of. Therefore, I'm demanding at this time a written decision on the status of my application and if it was denied I want specific written reasons for the denial.

Second, in the last week or so I sent a simple letter to the board with some questions about your negotiated SKEA agreement. Once again the board did not acknowledge receipt and did not respond. I will expect a written answer to that letter also in a very timely manner.



Third, I am told that Director Jeff Daily is being wrongfully attacked because he allegedly supports me. See if you can grasp this thought. What I have seen and heard about Director Daily is that he would support anyone, other than me, whom you would be playing the same games with as you are with me. Let me explain my ties to Director Daily. Exclude Director Daily from this next statement. You five (5) remaining Directors please try to get an ounce of brains compiled amongst you to understand this. I do not know Director Daily, never talked to him, shook his hand, had coffee with him, a donut with him, don't have a clue what he looks like, would not know him if he walked up to me and started to talk to me. However, I have to admit, I have a huge tie to Director Daily—"I VOTED FOR HIM." Is that too deep for you?

Fourth, I understand one of the districts employees and this board has been discussing me, at board meetings (a public meeting) regarding the fact that I was the subject of an SKSD "restraining order," long expired by the way. The significance of that fact is that I can now request to visit any class at any school as long as I request it in compliance with your policy and will expect "no denial for any reason" to said request. I was accused by SKSD superintendent of threatening him, also a lie. Keep in mind this was your superintendent who put a camera in a teacher's room so he could watch her and was forced out of that position and came to SKSD. Is that not called "voyeurism?" I was also accused by an SKSD administrator of stalking her, also a lie, otherwise she would have been in court to support that allegation. Of late your employee and the board have used the word "pedophile" in reference to me and I suspect that term will NEVER come up again from any board member, school district employee, or associate of SKSD. Then your group states, "I'm listed as a sex offender," also a lie, so please apply the end of my prior sentence here once again. I urge this board to quickly, very quickly, clean up your public speaking habits.

I expect a speedy response to my letter accompanied by any/all requested documents.

Larry I. Mann

## NEWS

# South Kitsap School District critic tied to threats, racist letters remains banned

**Chris Henry** Kitsap

Published 6:00 p.m. PT Oct. 28, 2018 | Updated 2:32 p.m. PT Oct. 29, 2018

SOUTH KITSAP — A 2017 protection order barring South Kitsap resident Larry Mann from South Kitsap School District property and prohibiting him from directly contacting district staff has been extended.

Kitsap County District Court Judge Marilyn Paja on Friday approved the school district's petition to extend the anti-harassment order she issued against Mann on Nov. 1, 2017. The order remains in effect through May 1, 2019.

The district in 2016 and 2017 received more than 20 letters signed by "Texas Momma" and "Prince of Darkness." The letters contained crude language, sexually suggestive statements about students, racist and anti-gay statements, and threats to harm staff, such as suggesting they 'need to be lynched.'

**More:** Judge: Letters to South Kitsap schools "predatory"

District officials linked the anonymous letters to Mann through handwriting on a letter he sent to a student at the high school.

Mann in court said he had received the letters by mail and forwarded them to the district.

Similar letters were sent to Central Kitsap and North Kitsap school districts.

Mann is a longtime critic of the district. He wrote voters' pamphlet statements against South Kitsap's 2016 bond and against the bond and capital levy measures that are on the upcoming Nov. 6 ballot.

Mann and his wife Judith Kay Mann in 2005 pleaded guilty to child assault and unlawful imprisonment after two of their three grandchildren, who they had legally adopted, were found bound with plastic zip ties. Larry Mann served eight months in jail for his role in the abuse; his wife served four years in prison and was released in 2009.

The protection order bans Mann from being within 500 feet of schools and district facilities. He is allowed to be within 50 feet of school bus stops "as necessary for ordinary travel" on roads since he lives near Burley Glenwood Elementary, the court allowed. Mann can communicate with the district only through its attorney.



**More:** Judge extends school district's temporary restraining order against South Kitsap man

The original anti-harassment order against Mann, which would have expired on Nov. 1, allowed the district to petition for an extension. Superintendent Karst Brandsma in court documents asked for a one-year extension, saying he "remains vigilant and fearful" that once the order expires the harassment will begin again. Paja granted a six-month extension.

Brandsma, in his declaration, said his concern is heightened by the upcoming bond and levy election, which "is expected to garner media attention."

"In the ongoing harassing letters of 2016 and 2017, Mr. Mann was highly agitated over similar school funding," Brandsma stated. "There is concern that the upcoming ballot measures will trigger additional threatening and disturbing communications."

Brandsma said the district has in the past year received two communications from Mann through the approved procedure. The district has not received more threatening letters from "Texas Momma" or "Prince of Darkness."

Mann in his response to the court maintains there was a "lack of evidence that I had written the letters." He acknowledges his opposition to school bonds and levies.

"I believe as a United States citizen and taxpayer, I have the right to have my opinion heard," Mann said. "I believe the school board is simply trying to prevent me from exercising my right to express my opinion about the school levies."

Note, Oct. 29, 2018: A Bremerton school district staff member also received letters from the same apparent source.

Friday, March 19, 2021



## Web Search Transcript

Washington State Patrol

Identification and Criminal History Section

P. O. Box 42633

Olympia, Washington 98504-2633

Telephone (360) 534-2000 Option 2

This report was generated from a transaction run on 3/19/2021 at 2:11 PM  
Conviction Criminal History RCW 10.97.050(1)

Pursuant to the purpose of inquiry, a possible match was found in the Washington State Criminal History Repository based on the descriptors provided:  
**MANN,LARRY L DOB 01/13/1939 SEX M RAC U**

WASHINGTON STATE PATROL  
CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD SECTION  
P.O. BOX 42633  
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-2633

\*\*\*\*\*  
CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION AS OF 03/19/2021  
\*\*\*\*\*

NOTICE

THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. SECONDARY DISSEMINATION OF THIS CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION IS PROHIBITED UNLESS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE WASHINGTON STATE CRIMINAL RECORDS PRIVACY ACT, CHAPTER 10.97 RCW. NON-CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES WHO HAVE STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO RECEIVE NON-CONVICTION CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS MAY NOT USE SEALED JUVENILE RECORD INFORMATION IN MAKING LICENSING OR EMPLOYMENT SUITABILITY DETERMINATIONS.

POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION CAN ONLY BE BASED UPON FINGERPRINT COMPARISON. BECAUSE ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS MAY BE MADE AT ANY TIME, A NEW COPY SHOULD BE REQUESTED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE. WHEN EXPLANATION OF A CHARGE OR DISPOSITION IS NEEDED, COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY WITH THE AGENCY THAT SUPPLIED THE INFORMATION TO THE WASHINGTON STATE PATROL.

A DISPOSITION STATUS OF ?WARRANT ISSUED? MAY NOT INDICATE THE PRESENCE OF AN ACTIVE WARRANT. ALL WARRANT INFORMATION SHOULD BE OBTAINED FROM THE ACCESS SYSTEM AND MUST BE CONFIRMED WITH THE ENTERING AGENCY.

THIS CONVICTION RECORD MAY INCLUDE INFORMATION FOR WHICH A PERSON IS CURRENTLY BEING PROCESSED BY THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

\*\*\*\*\*  
MASTER INFORMATION  
\*\*\*\*\*

NAME: MANN, LARRY LEE DOB: 01/13/1939  
SID NUMBER: WA22835381

\*\*\*\*\*  
PERSON INFORMATION  
\*\*\*\*\*

SEX	RACE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	PLACE OF BIRTH	CITIZENSHIP
M	W	605	190	BRO	GRY	IN	US

OTHER NAMES USED	OTHER DATES OF BIRTH USED	SOC SEC NUMBER
------------------	---------------------------	----------------

\*\*\*\*\*  
CONVICTION AND/OR ADVERSE FINDING SUMMARY  
\*\*\*\*\*

	DISPOSITION DATE
2 FELONY(S)	
ASSAULT OF A CHILD-3	CLASS C FELONY 10/21/2005
UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT	CLASS C FELONY 10/21/2005
0 GROSS MISDEMEANOR(S)	
0 MISDEMEANOR(S)	

0 CLASSIFICATION(S) UNKNOWN

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* NO KNOWN DOC SUMMARY INFORMATION \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION  
\*\*\*\*\*  
THE ARRESTS LISTED MAY HAVE BEEN BASED ON PROBABLE CAUSE AT THE TIME OF ARREST  
OR ON A WARRANT. PROBABLE CAUSE ARRESTS MAY OR MAY NOT RESULT IN THE FILING OF  
CHARGES. CONTACT THE ARRESTING AGENCY FOR INFORMATION ON THE FORMAL CHARGES  
AND/OR DISPOSITIONS.

AN ARREST IS NOT A CONVICTION OR FINDING OF GUILT.

-----  
ARREST 1 DATE OF ARREST: 06/11/2005  
-----

NAME USED: MANN, LARRY LEE  
CONTRIBUTING AGENCY: WA0180000 KITSAP COUNTY SHERIFF  
LOCAL ID: 30021326 PCN: 737054136 TCN: WA1800002200219937  
-----

ARREST OFFENSES	DISPOSITION
	CONTRIBUTOR OR RESPONSIBLE AGENCY:
	WA018015J KITSAP COUNTY SUPERIOR
	COURT
	COURT CASE NO: 051008839
	STATUS: GUILTY
	0115600 ASSAULT OF A CHILD-3
	RCW: 9A.36.140(2)
	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
	CLASS C FELONY
	STATUS DATE: 10/21/2005
	SENTENCE: SENT. DESC.:
	CHG 01: JAIL-8 MOS, SUPV-
	12 MOS **CHG 02: JAIL-8
	MOS, CONCURRENT, SUPV-
	INCLUDED
	STATUS: GUILTY
	0066400 UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT
	RCW: 9A.40.040(2)
	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
	CLASS C FELONY
	STATUS DATE: 10/21/2005

\*\*\*\*\*  
NO KNOWN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS INFORMATION



\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
NO KNOWN SEX/KIDNAPPING OFFENDER REGISTRATIONS  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
NO KNOWN APPLICANT DETAILS  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
NO KNOWN MONITORED POPULATION REGISTRATION TRACKING INFORMATION  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

GLOSSARY OF TERMS IS AVAILABLE IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING MANUAL (CJTM)  
LOCATED AT [HTTP://WWW.WSP.WA.GOV/\\_SECURED/IDENT/RESOURCE.HTM](http://www.wsp.wa.gov/_secured/ident/resource.htm)  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
RESOURCES  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF  
THE COURTS (AOC)-----WWW.COURTS.WA.GOV  
WSP CRIMINAL HISTORY  
RECORDS SECTION-----CRIMHIS@WSP.WA.GOV OR (360) 534-2000  
WSP CRIMINAL HISTORY &  
FINGERPRINT TRAINING-----  
[HTTP://WWW.WSP.WA.GOV/\\_SECURED/IDENT/RESOURCE.HTM](http://www.wsp.wa.gov/_secured/ident/resource.htm)  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (DOC)---WWW.DOC.WA.GOV  
WSP SEX/KIDNAPPING  
OFFENDER REGISTRY (SOR) UNIT--(360) 534-2000  
REVISED CODE OF WASHINGTON (RCW)--[HTTP://APPS.LEG.WA.GOV/RCW/](http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/)  
WSP WASHINGTON ACCESS TO CRIMINAL  
HISTORY (WATCH) WEBSITE-----<https://fortress.wa.gov/wsp/watch>  
WSP IDENTIFICATION AND  
BACKGROUND CHECK SECTION-----WATCH.HELP@wsp.wa.gov OR (360) 534-2000

RE: your 19 Mar 2021 3:35 pm email

Larry Mann <mannlarry95@gmail.com>

Sat 3/20/2021 5:32 PM

To: Berg, John <berg@skschools.org>; Daily, Jeff <daily@skschools.org>; Diehl, Rebecca <diehl@skschools.org>; Gattenby, Eric <gattenbye@skschools.org>; Sebren, Liz <sebren@skschools.org>; Winter, Tim <winter@skschools.org>;

Mr. Berg:

Please do not present my application for the facilities Committee at the next board meeting. Please use the meeting time for more important district business. Thank you for offering to present my app but I no longer have any interest in participating on this committee.

Thank you,

Larry Mann



# Your letter and application of March 28th

Berg, John

Mon 4/19/2021 3:22 PM

To: Larry Mann <mannlarry95@gmail.com>;

Cc: Winter, Tim <winter@skschools.org>;

Mr. Mann:

This in response to your letter dated March 28, 2021, in which in which you wrote that you “rescind my previous request for non-consideration to appointment to the SKSD Facilities Planning Committee.”

Your original application for appointment to the Committee was considered by the Board at its February 17, 2021, meeting and the motion to appoint you failed. The minutes of that meeting constitute the record of that action.

You subsequently submitted a revised and more complete second application for appointment to the Committee dated March 4, 2021. In my email to you of March 19, 2021, I indicated that I would “move to put it on the agenda for the March 31<sup>st</sup> meeting”. However, on March 20, 2021 you emailed me requesting “Please do not present my application for the Facilities Committee at the next board meeting.”

I understand that your March 28<sup>th</sup> request is to rescind your March 20<sup>th</sup> request to not have your application considered, and that you have now submitted a third application dated March 28, 2021 and wish to have it considered by the Board.

Your demeanor and actions have convinced me that you would not be an asset to the Facilities Planning Committee and that your appointment to the Committee would not be in the best interests of the District. I therefore will not request that your application be put on the agenda for consideration by the Board. I am sharing this correspondence, including your third application, with the rest of the Board. If any of them want to put your application on the agenda for a vote, the board can decide whether or not to even bring it to a vote.

Board appointments are at the discretion of the Board and no one has a right to be appointed upon demand. Board members are not required to justify or explain any vote. Other than from you and Director Daily, I have not heard from anyone who disagreed with the initial vote against appointing you to the Committee. On the other hand, I have received support and encouragement from multiple individuals who agree that you should not be appointed to the Committee.

In my email of March 19<sup>th</sup>, I did not “require” you to appear before the Board to “argue” for your appointment. I simply pointed out to you an opportunity to participate in Public Comment and “have a three-minute opportunity to demonstrate the extent to which you would be an asset to the committee.” As stated above, your demeanor and actions before and after that time have demonstrated the extent to which you would be an asset to the committee. None of the other applicants chose to use the Public Comment to address the board regarding the consideration of their applications, although they could have.

We did not conduct criminal background checks for the other applicants for the Committee because the issues were never raised. In your case, there was first a mention of your criminal history at a meeting of the Committee in March and you later referenced the issues and challenged them in your email to the Board of March 18<sup>th</sup>. For

that reason, I obtained the relevant information and shared it with the rest of the Board so that we would all have accurate information.

In my email of March 19th, I did not suggest that you hire an attorney to plead your case regarding the appointment to the Committee. My reference "You may wish to consult your own legal counsel" was clearly in the context of my discussing your public disclosure request and my own disclaimer of my qualifications in discussing the public disclosure law and process.

Regarding your public disclosure requests regarding students, your request for the unredacted list of all students by name and school has been denied by the District and the reason for partial denial and redaction of denied portions have also been provided to you. Your recourse for the denial of a public disclosure request is stated in the statute. Repeated appeals to the Board will be futile. I see no point in your repeatedly asking for information that you have already been denied (in the case of your public disclosure request for unredacted records), or that you have already been provided (in the case of the redacted record and reasons for the denial of the redacted portions).

Regarding your questions about the District's agreement with SKEA, I understood that to be a request for information and not a request for disclosure of identifiable public records under the Public Records Act. I explained the difference between the two in my March 19<sup>th</sup> email.

You stated that no other applicant has been treated as you have been in how their applications were processed. No other applicant has presented the same issues as you have.

You are correct that convicted felons are not barred from being appointed to district advisory committees. I don't recall that your criminal history was even brought up before your initial application was denied on February 17<sup>th</sup>. It is the intent and duty of the District to act in the best interests of the children. The Board has broad discretion in deciding whom to appoint to committees. The board can certainly take into consideration whether or not a person who has been convicted of Assault of a Child and Unlawful Imprisonment can be expected to act in the best interests of the children if appointed to a committee that is expected to act in the best interests of the children. That is not an abuse of the Board's discretionary authority.

**John R. Berg**

South Kitsap School District  
Director Position 2

Email: [Berg@SKSchools.org](mailto:Berg@SKSchools.org)  
Voice mail: 206-317-3785  
Website: [www.SKSchools.org](http://www.SKSchools.org)

2689 Hoover Ave  
Port Orchard, WA 98366



# SOUTH KITSAP SCHOOL DISTRICT

Nurturing Growth • Inspiring Achievement • Building Community

## Application for Appointment to the South Kitsap School District Facilities Long-Range Planning Committee

Name: Larry Mann	Phone: 360-876-3482
Address: PO Box 1338 Port Orchard, WA 98366	Email: mannlarry95@gmail.com

### Facilities Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee tasks and purpose:

- Consider, develop, and recommend long-range plans for the physical facility needs of the District, with benchmarks at a minimum of five, ten, twenty-five, and fifty-year points;
- Recommend priorities for addressing deferred maintenance and planned upgrades to physical facilities of the District;
- Consider and recommend bond and capital levy requirements.

Do you reside within the South Kitsap School District boundaries? Yes  No

*If not, describe your interest below.*

Your elementary school boundary? Burley/Glenwood

Please explain your interest in South Kitsap Schools and serving on this committee.

I know much about or South Kitsap history. I have been a resident and faithful taxpayer for several decades. I am very interested in helping to help advise our school district and school board in helping to advise how the district might prioritize what we need to be doing with our current district facilities. I enjoy planning and doing research so these skills and interests should be helpful working in a group. I believe the young adults in South Kitsap should have the best facilities our and learning environments in our district that the

In order to represent all segments of the community, please check ALL boxes that apply.

SKSD employee  No - I have never been an SKSD employee.  
*If so, your position*

Parent of SK student(s)  No - I do not have children in the SKSD.  
*If so, grade level(s)*

SK student  N/A  
*If so, grade level*

Member of a Community Organization(s)  No, but I do attend church in my community.  
*(please specify)*

Do you currently, or have you in the past, served on any other SKSD committees or boards? Yes  No  Please list name(s) and dates.

I have not served on any other SKSD committee or board , I do not currently serve on any other SKSD committees or boards.

What experience and talents will you bring to the committee?

My experiences and talents are varied. In my past work experiences both federal and civilian as well as military. I have acquired extensive experience in building, heavy machinery repair/maintenance, heavy industrial manufacturing including design, manufacture and assembly of items. I have vast experience in industrial safety and have been a member of several safety committees as well as serving as a safety officer and member safety committees for two of my employers in my working years. I was also a Chief Steward for a union that had more than 20,000 members. I am well versed in preparing and negotiating with others as I worked extensively on negotiations involving union agreements and contracts

Please explain any potential conflict of interest you might have with the implementation of the committee's recommendations, such as being a potential contractor, architect, etc.

I have no conflicts of interest. I am retired and will not be a contractor, an architect, or other member of a trade or organization that could run into potential conflicts of interest while serving on this committee.

I have read Resolution 1336 Facility Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee and agree to comply with the Committee's guidelines.

Larry Mann

March 4, 2021

Sign or print name

Date

Thank you for taking the time to complete this application.  
Please return to:  
South Kitsap School District/Superintendent's Office  
2689 Hoover Avenue  
Port Orchard, WA 98366  
Or email to: [bell@skschools.org](mailto:bell@skschools.org)  
Please call 360-874-7001 with any questions.