

From: [Sebren, Liz](#)
To: [Kris Cappel](#)
Subject: Fw: Upcoming Board Meeting- Feb 19, 2020
Date: Sunday, May 30, 2021 3:39:44 PM
Attachments: [Jeff- Board Meeting Comments for 19 Feb 2020.docx](#)

From: Daily, Jeff
Sent: Monday, February 17, 2020 12:24 PM
To: Gattenby, Eric; Sebren, Liz; Diehl, Rebecca; Berg, John; Daily, Jeff
Cc: Winter, Tim
Subject: Upcoming Board Meeting- Feb 19, 2020

Hi Folks-

Attached are my comments for the next board meeting. I will probably have more once the meeting starts. Rebecca and I have been working on information to present at the 5 PM session. We will try and get it to you as soon as we can.

Cordially,

J Daily

Hello, my board meeting comments for Feb 19, 2020

1.01. We will have items to discuss during this portion of the meeting.

2.02. I will repeat my request that the following items be removed from the agenda.

4.01 Executive Limitation

9.01 – 9.04

12.01

3.01. I see no briefing slides provided for preview. If you don't want surprised, then don't surprise me. If the intent is to just talk about things, I request this be removed. I wish to see data, analysis, trends, variance, and fixes to problems. Please do not talk about platitudes and how great SK is and the great things we are doing. If you can't prove it, remove it.

4.01. This is not the proper place to discuss this.

5.03. I will bring up the following items. I prefer not to read about things in the paper that affect the district. Ms Miller is quite capable of sending out notes to all directors about what we are going to read in the paper and what the papers are asking about.

1. The drinking water problems in the district schools. Saying that we have been providing bottled water for years is not comforting to anyone. Please present what the solution is and when it is going to be fixed and how much it will cost.

2. If the Sun is requesting information about bus paddleboard violations, is this not a safety issue that we should all be concerned about.

6.02. I think that several items are not accurately depicted in the minutes. I will vote to not approve them.

6.03. I will ask about the resignations of the two classified people. I will ask about the reclassification of the two teacher's assignments.

7.01. In several places, it says that the consent agenda items have gone through a board subcommittee review prior to being placed on the agenda. Please tell me about this subcommittee and who is on it.

7.02. Not enough information is provided regarding the donations that the district has received. I will have several questions regarding the donations and how they will be used.

7.03. As I mentioned times previously, I have never seen these presented so I will not approve them.

7.04. I will oppose this item being approved. I see nothing here that helps the SKSD. I believe that testifying to the WA legislature would yield a better use of time and district money if the intent is to raise awareness of SPED issues.

8.01. The movie "MacBeth" says it is R rated. How many other R rated movies do we show in schools? Do we check student ages before we show them? While I realize the world has changed, does showing the movie outweigh the possible backlash from the community if a student complains or a parent has seen it on TV in its entirety? The idea of editing out parts of a movie concerns me greatly. What else are we editing out of our materials?

8.01. The policy contains the statement "A district will not inquire into a student's citizenship or immigration status or that of his/her parents or guardians." Is this in accordance with state and federal law?

8.01 – 8.06. Has there been any attempt to:

1. Limit the number of policies we have? Is there a particular reason we have to break out every situation in a separate policy? Do we know exactly how many policies we have? Do parents and students know?

2. Do we just revise policies as they become due or actually look to see which ones can be consolidated?

3. In some policies we are putting RCW references into the text. How does this help the average reader to understand the policy? In others, we have a whole list of RCW references at the end. Is it possible to be consistent one way or another? Is not the goal to produce a policy easy to read and understand at the level for our public readers?

8.06. I again request that the line, "The enrolling school shall be notified that the official transcript is being withheld due to an unpaid fee or fine." be eliminated or rewritten to reflect a reasonable interpretation of fines/fees.

9.01. Recommend we delete this item from the agenda.

9.02. Recommend we delete this item from the agenda.

9.03. Recommend we delete this items from the agenda.

10.01. I would like to see this item formalized with slides and discussion topics to be presented.

12.01. I recommend we delete this from the agenda. It is inappropriate to do at this time.

I will probably have other questions as the discussions proceed.

Cordially,

J Daily