

No minor students/children should be included in any board executive sessions

Dave Kimble <jndkimble@wavecable.com>

Mon 12/6/2021 10:37 AM

To: Winter, Tim <winter@skschools.org>; Daily, Jeff <daily@skschools.org>; Berg, John <berg@skschools.org>; Pickard, Brian <pickardb@skschools.org>; Wilson, Jeffrey <wilsonj@skschools.org>;

📎 1 attachments (86 KB)

Kimble Questions Sent SKSD Boad Meeting Public Comment Period 12-01-21.pdf;

Hello Tim.

Another week and another exciting board meeting coming up! Before I forget, are you going to attempt to answer those dozen or so questions I sent to you and copied the board from the meeting of December 1, 2021? And is it possible for you to poke the directors a little to try and get them to answer those questions as well? I would appreciate that. To date I have had zero responses to any of my questions.

On another matter while I sit here and ponder writing a letter to the editors. It is true that the two student advisors who are minors are going to be allowed to sit in on the board's executive session on December 8th and give their 'opinions' or "advisements on the three candidates vying for former Director Gattenby's seat? Even if it is legal (which I doubt) and even if they are not voting (which you have explained to me before) in my 'search' of Berg polices and how the student advisors positions were established - I have found NOTHING in any wording that would suggest that these Berg's "Wonder Kinder" student advisors are permitted to sit in on executive sessions much less be a part of any process to make any candidate viability decisions on any board candidates. Berg clearly wrote the student advisors are not to advise on board director matters. (See cut and paste below).

On the other wrong foot, if I were a candidate and minor students were being permitted to give views on how the appointment should be managed/decided - I would use that action in a direct and immediate appeal if I was one not being appointed by the board. I don't think letting them sit in on, much less give advice or opinions on who should be appointed is a good look for the District. This is not trust building. In fact, it smells like a "Johnny on the left foot, while others are on their right foot" type of decision. It reeks ethically and fails to pass and smell tests in this regard.

The mere fact we just heard a student advisor talking and referring to 'his dad' during the last meeting is so very unprofessional at best. That any of the student advisors would let slip out an oral vote on any board decisions (which I heard and was captured on prior meeting video) is also just patently wrong and clearly prohibited in Berg's policy intent. If you have time we could chat about this - but not if you mind is already made up. If this is the case, I need to continue whipping my pencil for letters to editors.

Here is what I sent on December 1, 2021. I seemed to have failed to send same to the info address for comments thus I was not called upon to ask my questions.

Sincerely,

Dave Kimble

Tim - note the wording below by Berg effective as of 7-1-21.

(They are not "on" the Board, nor are they student representatives "to" the board.)

School Board Student Representatives

Effective July 1, 2021, the South Kitsap School Board now has two student representatives meeting with the Board. They provide student input and will fully participate in Board discussions but without the right to vote or make motions.

The governing [Board Policy GP-13](#) was adopted February 17, 2021.

The School Board Student Representative meet with the Board as advisors. (They are not "on" the Board, nor are they student representatives "to" the Board.)

The Board had only two applicants for the position and, after hearing from both at the May 29, 2021 meeting, the Board choose to select two representatives, Jesse La-Cross Lambert and Kai Wilson.