

Fiscal fiduciary Duties

Dave Kimble <jndkimble@wavecable.com>

Tue 1/18/2022 10:29 AM

To: Wilson, Jeffrey <wilsonj@skschools.org>; espyk@skschools.org <espyk@skschools.org>; Pickard, Brian <pickardb@skschools.org>; Daily, Jeff <daily@skschools.org>; Berg, John <berg@skschools.org>;

Cc: Winter, Tim <winter@skschools.org>; 'Bob Smith' <rsmith@portorchardindependent.com>; 'Graham, Jeffrey' <Jeffrey.Graham@kitsapsun.com>;

Importance: High

Mr. Berg and other school board directors:

This appears to be a great example of why a recall is needed here. Pathetic and snipping to use the "Daily" standard of communications. You are so, so far below that standard, Mr. Berg. Before I forget, you private website smack of some "shill" complaining all the time about one of your most attentive constituents. See yellow highlighted from your reply. Big disappointment there.

John - I'll give you an "A" for response time, but a **"big fat F"** for your actions. Please refrain from giving me your canned crap about constitution not requiring public comments. You sound like the ghost of Sebren past!

Do you think your crappy website is adequate to notify all of your constituents about what is going on with this pool contract. You don't explain why you don't support having full and open public discussion of these contracts at the maybe to happen 29th coffee and cookie meeting with the public, as you had described it.

You fabulous four have been talking about what to accept contract wise outside of board meetings. This is just another example of saying what you do and doing the opposite. As an individual you always proclaim openness and transparency yet I know of many occasions you have and continue to hide the ball, John. What exactly do you think is right about this decision to prohibit live public comments at tomorrow's meeting?

So I am asking you as a "choke, choke" a constituent, to make a motion (01-19-22 board meeting) to fully discuss this issue in a public forum that permits the public to give their voice regarding project scope and costs. You and your three colleagues are very successful in hiding the ball(s) in the past, and now with this. You'd think it was your money for crying out loud~ Just more of the same - claiming to foster open and transparent relations with the community, and then do exactly what you want to do anyway. I note you did not copy the others. You have a problem with that? These are all public documents which I also expect to see on your private website by the way. Best check with your legal on this.

The way I see it, SKSD Board has taken every opportunity to be as closed as possible and continue to abuse current covid changes in OPMA to the advantage of the district and the board.

As they say, once a chicken thief, always a chicken thief.



Dave Kimble

From: Berg, John [mailto:berg@skschools.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:50 AM
To: jndkimble@wavecable.com
Cc: Daily, Jeff
Subject: Re: No Public comments on pool contracts

Mr. Kimble:

Thank you for expressing your concerns over the pool funding and public comment at our meetings.

Public Comment at Board meetings is not the only way that the citizens have of communicating their concerns to the members of the School Board. **(This is total B.S and you know it!)** All of the Board members have their email address available on the District's website. Anyone can contact the individual Board members and express their concerns and can arrange for additional communication either in person, by mail, or by phone.

The pool has been an ongoing topic for months at Board meetings.

Director Daily brings it up at most Board meetings. **(I think even this comment is below you Mr. Berg).**

I recall only one public comment addressing the financing of the pool.

If the public were that concerned about the pool and the cost of renovations, we would be hearing from them.

(So you think it is ok to not tell if not asked, really?)

Three Board members could postpone the matter to another meeting at which there is a public comment period.

The constitutional right to petition government for redress of grievances does not extend to public comment at school board meetings. As I have mentioned before, there is no legal obligation for the school board to provide a public comment segment of their meetings. **(Please, no more of your lectures on constitutional rights of the school board)**

John R. Berg

South Kitsap School District
Director Position 2

Email: Berg@SKSchools.org

Voice mail: 206-317-3785

District Website: www.SKSchools.org

Personal Website: www.SKSchools.info

2689 Hoover Ave

Port Orchard, WA 98366

DISCLAIMER: In identifying himself as a member of the SK School Board, this email represents Mr. Berg's personal thoughts and opinions and should not be construed as his speaking for the Board unless specifically stated. This email, and others linked in a chain, may be subject to public disclosure under State Law.

From: Dave Kimble <jndkimble@wavecable.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:17:44 AM

To: Winter, Tim; Wilson, Jeffrey; Berg, John; espyk@skschools.org; Pickard, Brian; Daily, Jeff; Pickard, Brian

Cc: 'Bob Smith'; 'Graham, Jeffrey'

Subject: No Public comments on pool contracts

Howdy Tim,

You're their secretary right? is there a problem asking since by all rights this is a Community Pool that the District is paying for citizens tax dollars? So let me get this right, the board is going to let a \$10M plus contract, the District will pay for it, the community is the taxpayer yet no one in the community has all the particulars or been able comment?

Is it perhaps the District and the Board does not want to hear from their community on this? Just think, maybe the community would be thrilled to spend twice as much as the lowest bid (what with taxes is \$10M or a bit more) and yet the contractor and the community has absolutely no idea regarding what might be just under the bottom of that pool, plumbing wise that is. Let's not forget the half million "overage" on the science labs..

In this case, the pool, I don't think they typical ploy of "ask for forgiveness later" is a good idea. Things go South on this the likelihood of getting full community support for even a levy might be a stretch, and the bond thing as well of course.

I get it that this is the "District Jewel" and the 'entire community wants it, but at what price? No one seems to think having the community involved is a good idea? Matching funds from SCAP is swell. But if ESSER funds are used shame on the District and certainly using those for "pool education" will be challenged. Anyone think about asking Wall and company about their group, South Kitsap Public Education Assoc. for money?

They helped with that 42' luxury sailing yacht (which I want to talk to you about in a phone call soon) yet they are not asked to pony up bucks for this pool?

To me, just me at this time, mind you - it appears the District and the Board does not want to hear from their community about this project and associated costs. Anyone think about waiting for the "maybe" January 29th community meeting to bring this project to the forefront? Is 11 days going to make any real difference?

Also - is Lee Fenton or any of his pals associated with any of the bidders?

Dave Kimble