[External Message] Ghost writer for you John?

Dave Kimble <jndkimble@wavecable.com>

Fri 7/21/2023 8:14 AM

To:Berg, John <berg@skschools.org>;

This message came from outside the school district. Think before clicking any links!

I guess others must feel the same way. So can you demonstrate ethical behavior and state if you do, or do not know Scott Brown from Southworth?

From: Scott Brown <scottesplace@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 1:22:15 PM
To: Daily, Jeff; david.nelson@kitsapsun.com; espy@sksschools.org; Berg, John; Pickard, Brian; Wilson, Jeffrey; Winter, Tim; tnezler@skschools.org
Subject: [External Message] School Board Meting, July 19, 2023

This message came from outside the school district. Think before clicking any links!

Dear Mr. Daily;

As voting citizen and tax payer of Kitsap County, I was shocked and appalled at your behavior at yesterdays school board meeting; specifically your outburst toward Ms. Espy. This outburst was unprofessional, disrespectful, and unbecoming a school board member. It was apparent to me and others in attendance that you were unprepared, uninformed, and combative which is neither helpful nor productive toward the mission of educating our children. Upon further research of your tenure as a South Kitsap School Board member, it is clear that this behavior is not uncommon and is noted in the public record. I encourage you to reconsider your approach to public service and specifically ask that you apologize to Ms. Espy, <u>PUBLICALY</u>. Moving forward, I and other participants in the SKS board meetings will be carefully watching for any further breaches of decorum.

I would also like to question your affiliation with the organization Citizens Supporting South Kitsap School District. It would appear to me as conflict of interest with your duties as a school board member. While I would concur with you that fiscal responsibility and discipline in the utilization of resources is essential and part of your duties, active participation and mentioning of said organization at a board meeting is inappropriate.

I thank you for your attention in these matters and look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Scott E Brown Southworth, Kitsap County, WA

CC: David Nelson, Editor, Kitsap Sun Kate Espy, School Board John Berg, School Board Brian Pickard, School Board Jeffery Wilson, School Board Tim Winter, Superintendent Anna Tenzler, Executive Assistant

Sent from Mail for Windows

From: Berg, John [mailto:berg@skschools.org]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2023 8:09 AM
To: Wilson, Jeffrey
Cc: jndkimble@wavecable.com
Subject: Re: [External Message] Still at your peril - and now the boards peril

I welcome complaints from Mr. Kimble. If he doesn't like what I am doing, I must be doing something right.

From: Dave Kimble <jndkimble@wavecable.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 7:38:54 AM
To: Wilson, Jeffrey
Cc: Berg, John; Pickard, Brian; Daily, Jeff; espyk@skschools.org; Winter, Tim
Subject: [External Message] Still at your peril - and now the boards peril

This message came from outside the school district. Think before clicking any links!

July 20, 2023

Greeting Mr. Wilson ~~

I am sure the attorney we pay to give the board advice will urge caution to tread carefully in how the board presents support for the Nov. Bond. Scheduled and on the agenda seems essential if your pursue this. Don't make it a hasty and last minute thought.

Tantamount to the process is this requirement of allowing equal time for the public to give express their opposition, and that doesn't mean exclusion of all others (in person or using Zoom) unless they register to speak. Maybe ask Mr. Berg about inclusion and all his great claims of making public comments so much easier? Or, maybe even consider holding yet another hearing. Still plenty of time.

Since you were not seen at the PO City Council meeting referenced during last nights meeting (SKSD Board) you might consider asking the City Council why they opted out of endorsing or not endorsing SKFR levy. Might I also suggest you have your paid attorney contact the City Council attorney and inquire as to why the action the Council will take is to now codify their decision to not endorse or oppose future bonds and levies. You might ask what was meant in the statement of concern during that meeting regarding the

potential for 3rd party harm should they endorse or even oppose future ballot measures. There is reason the have opted not to put their collection council thumb on the scale. I doubt you have considered that. Consider how our community with its long history of rejection of bond might react to your duplicative resolution scheme. Do you really want to further agitate those sitting on the fence over this Bond?

And about PDC:

As a footnote to your belief that you always win. You might consider that PDC rules not of ethics or misrepresentations by board members, in fact they wanted nothing to do with such claims such as those I incorporated into my complaint against you. The case manager I was in contact with expressed to me why their was a delay in any decision to charge, or not charge you. He told me that there was significant disagreement among PDC Staff when they were reviewing my complaint on whether to charge you or not. I would expect the tie breaker was a supervisor that just did not want to go after a school board this time.

But perhaps of most importance is that the PDC decision not to prosecute the multiple other allegations (with evidence) that I made against you was strictly because those matters are 'not in their lane' in that case. That is why thinking that PDC gave you an 'all clear' on the other elements in my complaint is just not a tacitly true. If you think you have done nothing wrong, think again. To be sure you get my drift, it is just plain and simple -PDC did not adjudicate the many elements in my complaint against you only because 'those things'' ARE NOT IN THEIR LANE!. And what does that mean? Again, you have not been not tacitly 'cleared' of the multiple claims I made against you embedded in that PDC complaint. It's all a matter of record now, very replete with evidence of falsehoods, misreps, out right lies about all the 'good' work you claims was made in prep. for trotting out the ballot measure.

Regards, Dave Kimble

Virus-free.<u>www.avg.com</u>