1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
2	X
3	DIANE SARE,
4	Index No. Petitioner, 037390/2024
5	against,
6	ROCKLAND COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS
7	Respondent.
8	X July 11, 2025
9	Rockland County Courthouse 1 South Main Street
1 0	New City, New York 10956
1 1	
1 2	
1 3	B E F O R E: HON. RACHEL E. TANGUAY Supreme Court Justice
1 4	
1 5	APPEARANCES:
1 6	AARON FOLDENAUER, ESQ. Attorney for Petitioner
1 7	30 Wall Street, 8th floor New York, New York 10005
1 8	
1 9	THOMAS E. HUMBACH, ESQ.
2 0	Office of the County Attorney 11 New Hempstead Road
2 1	New City, New York 10956
2 2	BY: LARRAINE S. FEIDEN, ESQ.
2 3	REPORTED BY: AMBER MALKIE FINER, R.P.F
2 4	Senior Court Reporter

	Sale v Rockland
1	THE CLERK: Good afternoon, everyone.
2	This is Case Number 10 on the calendar: In the
3	matter of Diane Sare v Rockland County Board of
4	Elections. 037390/2024.
5	Counsel.
6	ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Good afternoon, Your
7	Honor.
8	Aaron Foldenauer - that's A-A-R-O-N,
9	F-O-L-D-E-N-A-U-E-R - 30 Wall Street, 8th Floor, New
1 0	York, New York 10005, for petitioner.
1 1	Good afternoon.
1 2	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
1 3	ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Hello. Good afternoon.
1 4	Larraine Feiden, Assistant Rockland County
1 5	Attorney, for the respondents, Rockland County Board
1 6	of Elections. Standing to go my left is our summer
1 7	associate, Eli Leon Greene.
1 8	THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
1 9	You guys can sit if you'd like.
2 0	All right. So, I brought you in because we
2 1	have this Order to Show Cause that was filed by the
2 2	defense looking for dismissal of the action.
	T wanted to get down a mathem awardited metion

I wanted to set down a rather expedited motion schedule here, briefing schedule, if we could, please.

2 4

8

1 3

1 4

1 5

1 6

1 7

1 8

1 9

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

2 5

# Sare v Rockland

3

And I also had a question, because I know, 1 Ms. Feiden, when we were here on May 22nd, you 2 pointed out that the only surviving petitioner in the case now is Smart Legislation; that the other petitioners had withdrawn from the action. you had indicated at that time that you thought that there might jurisdictional defects now with Smart Legislation being the sole surviving petitioner in this case. And I didn't see any reference to that 9 in the motion. So I didn't know if you guys were 1 0 choosing just to go a different direction with your 1 1 arguments. 1 2

> ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Oh, no, no, no. We did address that in our Memo of Law. And also, yesterday morning - I hope the Court was able to see it - the State Board of Election submitted an affirmation with exhibits basically, you know, briefing that point, which is that a proceeding --

THE COURT: I'm just saying your motion on its face does not request that relief. So the Court can't consider that relief unless you're specifically requesting it in your motion papers.

ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Well, Judge, our motion papers are brought pursuant to CPLR 3211 (a) (7), (a)(10) --

	4
	Sare v Rockland
1	THE COURT: Right.
2	ATTORNEY FEIDEN: 3020(a), 7804 (f), CPLR
3	404 (a), and Election Law 9-208 (4)(a).
4	THE COURT: No, I'm aware. We took a look at
5	all those sections. I mean, I'm just trying to
6	where in the motions papers do you make the
7	reference, the statutory reference to any
8	jurisdictional or standing argument? I didn't see
9	that. I just didn't. I surprised because, when I
1 0	read the papers, I was expecting to see that

ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Yeah, no, no, no. I understand what you're -- I understand the question.

somewhere given what you raised on the record.

We are -- our Motion to Dismiss -- our affirmative defenses did not include standing. That was -- you know, things have changed since the individual petitioners dropped out.

THE COURT: Right.

1 1

1 2

1 3

1 4

1 5

1 6

1 8

1 9

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

2 5

argument in addition to all our -- all of our other arguments. And that was also raised, like I said, in the brief -- in the affirmation that was submitted yesterday by the State Board of Elections.

THE COURT: So, which CPLR section that you're seeking dismissal under relates to the standing or

- jurisdictional issue, because I wasn't able to quite figure it out?
- ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Well -- well, definitely

  failure to state a cause of action. I mean, they

  can't state a cause of action if they're not

  qualified to be a petitioner in a case, under the

  Election Law.
- 8 THE COURT: Right.
- 9 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: And also under Special
  10 Proceeding pursuant to CPLR 78.
- THE COURT: Can you just point that out, where
  that is in your papers? Perhaps I missed it, but I
  didn't see it there.
- 14 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: So, in -- well, in our Order

  15 to Show Cause and also in --
- THE COURT: Yeah, in your supportive documents.
- ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Well, I'm saying in
- Ms. Giblin's affirmation, and in my affirmation, the first line is setting forth those sections.
- THE COURT: No, I understand that you cite the sections, Ms. Feiden. I'm wondering where substantively in your papers you make an argument that Smart legislation is not an appropriate party to bring this litigation, because I didn't see that there.

6

- ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Well, I do say that they -
  the petitioner was not verified.
- THE COURT: Right. That's a completely separate issue.
- 5 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Yes. But also goes to 6 jurisdictional defect, fatal jurisdictional defect.

THE COURT: That's an easily curable defect.

That's not -- that would not be the basis for a

dispositive dismissal from my research on other

cases.

1 1

1 2

1 3

1 4

1 5

1 6

1 7

1 8

1 9

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

2 5

ATTORNEY FEIDEN: No, I understand what you're saying. I'm going to rely on the State's affirmation, because I don't think it's specifically in my affirmation.

THE COURT: Well, the State isn't a party here.

ATTORNEY FEIDEN: I don't want to cut you off, but that's another jurisdictional defect that the State has to be a party.

THE COURT: I know that you raised that, but
I'm saying I can't rely on a non-party's affirmation
to make arguments in connection with your Motion To
Dismiss. So, if you're trying to make that
argument, you would need to re-consider your papers
here, because it's not fleshed out there at all.

ATTORNEY FEIDEN: I understand. And I will

- gladly do that, you know, at Your Honor's request.
- THE COURT: Well, I'm not requesting. I'm
- simply pointing out that you had identified back in
- 4 May that that was going to be the basis of your
- motion. So, when I got the motion, and I was
- reading through it, I didn't see that basis
- articulated or spelled out. So, I was -- I had a
- 8 question about that.
- ATTORNEY FEIDEN: I understand completely. And
- I will flesh it out at your pointing it out.
- THE COURT: Okay. So with that being said, so,
- are you planning on amending your papers then?
- 13 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. So then -- and that's what I
- was getting to, because that's going to bear on our
- setting the schedule, right?
- So, how long will you need to amend your Order
- to Show Cause?
- ATTORNEY FEIDEN: A week.
- THE COURT: Sure. So, we're at the 11th. So,
- can you file that by July 18th?
- 22 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Yes.
- THE COURT: And how long would you need to file
- opposing papers, counsel?
- ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: I'm just looking at a

- calendar, because that puts us in mid-August.
- THE COURT: Well, they're filing July 18th. I
- typically allow about 30 days for opposition papers.
- So, that would put you, yeah, right around -- we do
- submissions on Fridays. So, that would put you at
- August 15th, but I don't know what your
- summer-vacation schedule is. So, if you are going
- 8 to be away during some of those weeks, I can enlarge
- the time a little bit.
- ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Yes, let's enlarge the
- time a little bit.
- THE COURT: How little bit?
- ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Can we say the 29th?
- THE COURT: Sure. The 29th.
- And then is two weeks going to be enough for a
- reply, because replies are usually pretty quick?
- 17 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: Yes.
- THE COURT: So, opposition by the 29th. Do you
- anticipate any cross applications? Probably not,
- 20 right?
- ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: I don't think so.
- THE COURT: I can't imagine, but I just figured
- I'd double check.
- 24 ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Right.
- THE COURT: All right. So if not, then we'll

- have Ms. Feiden submit her reply by September 12th.
- And that will start our 60-day clock for issuing a
- written decision in connection with that motion.
- 4 Okay?
- And depending on the outcome of the motion,
- because obviously if I grant the motion, it's
- dispositive. The case is over. If I don't grant
- the motion, then I'll put a date for you to come
- back to court in the motion. Okay? But discovery
- is not stayed. Continue while all this process
- unfolds.
- 12 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: There are objections to
- discovery, Your Honor --
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: -- that were also set forth
- in the State, who's not a party's affirmation, that
- specifically what's being requested are the actual
- ballots which are protected pursuant to statute and
- can only be released by legislation.
- THE COURT: So, I'm assured you put that all in
- writing to your adversary, right?
- 22 ATTORNEY FEIDEN: I will, yes.
- THE COURT: Yeah. And just assert that. And
- then you can -- if you disagree, then there will
- have to be motion practice on that.

10

1 ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: All right. This is the 2 first I'm hearing of it now.

THE COURT: Okay. She'll put that in writing to you, the specific basis of the objection, or if you're seeking some kind of a protective order, maybe. I'm not sure. But she'll put that in writing to you.

If you can do that, please, within the next two weeks. Upload it to NYSCEF. And that way your adversary will be served. And then let me know if you two are continuing to have disagreement once you receive the letter.

ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: I'm sorry. Her letter's due in two weeks?

THE COURT: Yes, just with respect to any objections to the discovery requests.

ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Okay.

8

9

1 0

1 1

1 2

1 5

1 6

1 7

2 0

2 5

THE COURT: All right. Anything further for today?

ATTORNEY FEIDEN: No, that's it, I think.

21 ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: I don't think so, Your 22 Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Wonderful. Look forward to reading the papers, Everyone. Thank you.

ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Did we have a hearing

11

date schedule or is that --

8

9

1 0

1 1

1 2

1 3

1 4

1 5

1 6

1 7

1 8

1 9

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

2 5

- THE COURT: No, that wouldn't happen until I decide the motion.
- 4 ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: So, that September date 5 we set --

THE COURT: That's not a hearing. That was just a Status Conference to find out the status of discovery. We are nowhere near a hearing on this.

ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: All right. So, is that conference still on, that Status Conference?

THE COURT: No, I'm going to vacate that date actually, because it doesn't make any sense to bring the case back in until I decide the motion papers.

If I need oral argument on the motion papers, I will let you know. If when we read them, if we have questions and we want to have you flesh out your arguments more, we'll upload a notice to you scheduling it just for oral argument on the motion itself. But for right now, we're going to vacate the 22nd of September.

ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: Okay. And if there's an issue with her discovery objections that are to be filed in two weeks, then we let you know?

THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah. Upload a letter to NYSCEF. And if we need to get involved, we'll

1	either have an attorney's-only conference to see if
2	we can resolve the issue. If we can't do it that
3	way, then we'll invite you guys to make additional
4	applications.
5	ATTORNEY FOLDENAUER: May I may approach the
6	court reporter.
7	
8	000
9	
1 0	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
1 1	I, AMBER MALKIE FINER, do hereby certify that
1 2	the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript.
1 3	
1 4	
1 5	He I I
1 6	
1 7	AMBER MALKIE FINER
1 8	Senior Court Reporter
1 9	
2 0	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	