

Meadowwood Golf Course (20-0245-01)

Most Improved Golfer Report

for Revision Dates 3/1/2019 - 11/15/2019

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Starting</u>		<u>Ending</u>		<u>Factor</u>
		<u>HDCP</u>	<u>Diff</u>	<u>HDCP</u>	<u>Diff</u>	
1	Stocker, Zachary T	+2.2	-23.0	+4.0	-42.7	1.225
2	Sonderman, Ed L.	23.6	246.5	18.0	188.3	1.187
3	Ames, Bill	12.5	130.7	8.8	91.8	1.178
4	Bafus, Grant	10.0	104.6	6.7	70.2	1.176
5	Carter, Scott	19.4	202.8	15.1	157.4	1.159
6	Fisher, Adam C	12.1	126.9	8.8	92.7	1.159
7	Kissinger, Bob O	16.8	175.7	12.9	134.8	1.157
8	Scott, Zac J	22.1	230.8	17.9	187.2	1.140
9	Clark, Brian W	10.3	108.1	7.9	83.1	1.121
10	Amann, Alyssa M	13.8R	143.8	11.1	115.7	1.117
11	Frislie, Jerry	23.5	245.1	20.3	211.5	1.099
12	Dodson, Blain D.	8.5	89.1	7.0	73.2	1.079
13	Vanlandingham, Rick	10.0	104.8	8.6	90.3	1.068
14	Freeland, Tom F	24.5	255.6	22.2	231.3	1.067
15	McMaster, Rande J	14.1R	146.9	12.5	130.5	1.065
16	Libey, Patrick J	10.4	108.4	9.1	94.9	1.062
17	Romans, Jeff	12.7	133.2	11.3	118.4	1.060
18	Koppa, Jeff	0.7	7.9	0.0	-1.0	1.058
19	Halttunen, Paul	14.8	154.2	13.4	140.5	1.055
20	Frank, Joseph M	13.4	139.6	12.2	128.1	1.050
21	Goodman, Brian K	10.5	109.6	9.5	99.4	1.047
22	Allen, Randy	7.7R	80.3	6.9R	71.9	1.042
23	Walker, Ben R	17.8	185.5	16.6	173.9	1.042
24	Ladiser, Craig	12.1	126.9	11.2	117.6	1.039
25	Stacy, Tyson J	15.3	159.4	14.3	149.0	1.038
26	Walker, Donald J	7.1	74.9	6.4	67.1	1.038
27	Crollard, Doug R	15.8	165.0	14.8	155.1	1.037
28	Sparrow, Brian T.	11.8	123.3	11.0	115.1	1.035
29	Holmes, Chuck	9.2	96.4	8.5	88.8	1.034
30	Levan, Scott R.	18.3	191.1	17.3	180.4	1.034
31	Poole, Joe C.	9.1	95.1	8.4	88.4	1.034
32	Dallas, Gordon B	0.6	7.1	0.2	2.4	1.033
33	Hague, Richard J	10.1	105.8	9.4	98.6	1.033
34	Skelton, Ray B	21.7	226.1	20.7	215.7	1.031
35	Windhorst, Tracy L	23.7	247.1	22.7	236.9	1.029
36	Laws, Clint	2.7	28.5	2.3	24.0	1.028
37	Maas, Jason M.	7.5	78.2	7.0	73.1	1.026
38	Klosterman, Jim	10.0	104.9	9.5	99.4	1.023
39	Tabish, Ed G	16.4	171.1	15.8	165.2	1.022

Meadowwood Golf Course (20-0245-01)

Most Improved Golfer Report for Revision Dates 3/1/2019 - 11/15/2019

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Starting</u> <u>HDCP</u>	<u>Diff</u>	<u>Ending</u> <u>HDCP</u>	<u>Diff</u>	<u>Factor</u>
40	Desrosiers, Mark E	12.1	126.1	11.6	121.2	1.021
41	Nolen, Randy L	7.8	81.3	7.4	78.0	1.021
42	Kerwien, Scott J	6.0	63.4	5.7	59.5	1.017
43	Aronson, Mark	26.3	274.9	25.9	270.4	1.011
44	Vogel, Kevin J.	26.9	281.2	26.5	276.6	1.010
45	Dhaenens, Scott M.	11.9	124.0	11.7	122.2	1.008
46	Wolvington, Bradley J	16.8	175.0	16.6	173.7	1.007
47	Poldervart, Steve	14.5	151.6	14.4	150.9	1.004

Most Improved Golfer Computation

The USGA recommended method for determining a club's most improved player at the end of a season or year is as follows:

Add 12* to the player's Handicap Index at the start of the season. This is value A.

Add 12 to the player's Handicap Index at the end of the season. This is value B.

Divide value A by value B, calculating to three decimal places. This is the improvement factor. The player with the highest improvement factor should receive the most improved player award.

Example:

Starting Handicap Index: 22.6

Ending Handicap Index: 17.4

Value A: $22.6 + 12 = 34.6$

Value B: $17.4 + 12 = 29.4$

A / B: $34.6 / 29.4 = 1.177$

Improvement Factor: 1.177

Note: Add 6* instead of 12 in the case of a nine-hole Handicap Index (N).

*The numbers 6 and 12 have been determined by the USGA as equitable in gauging the improvement of players encompassing the entire spectrum of handicaps. For example, it is relatively the same improvement factor to go from a 20.0 to a 10.0 Handicap Index (improvement factor of 1.454) as it is to go from a 5.0 to a scratch, or zero Handicap Index (improvement factor of 1.416), yet the change in Handicap Index is "10" (20 - 10) versus "5" (5 - 0) strokes. The numbers of 6 and 12 take into consideration the level of a player's improvement rather than the net change in Handicap Index.

Please note: This ranking is for guidance only and should be carefully reviewed by the handicap committee and modified if necessary. There are many special situations which cannot be taken into account by the formula alone, especially if the club joined GHIN for the first time this year. The committee always has final authority in selecting their most improved player.