July 29, 2026

A SOC 2 Report Should Build Trust—not Just Check a Box

Fast, automated SOC 2 services may appear attractive, but customers, partners, and procurement teams increasingly expect credible evidence—not simply a report delivered on an aggressive timeline.

For technology and service organizations, a SOC 2 report can be an important sales asset. It helps demonstrate that the organization has established controls to protect customer information and manage risks related to security, availability, processing integrity, confidentiality, and privacy.

But not every SOC 2 examination delivers the same level of assurance.

As demand for SOC 2 reporting has grown, so has the number of technology platforms promising a faster, easier, and less expensive path to obtaining a report. Automation can make evidence collection more efficient, but speed alone does not create trust. A credible SOC 2 examination still requires an independent CPA firm, an appropriately defined scope, professional judgment, meaningful testing, and procedures tailored to the organization's actual systems and risks.

Why SOC 2 Quality Matters to Your Customers

Many organizations begin a SOC 2 initiative because a prospective customer, procurement team, or business partner requires one. That may open the door—but sophisticated buyers often look beyond the report's cover page.

They may evaluate whether:

- The report accurately describes the services and systems they will use.
- The controls address risks relevant to the organization's industry and operating environment.
- The auditor's tests are appropriate for the controls being examined.
- Exceptions are clearly presented and accompanied by meaningful management responses.
- The CPA firm has the experience and capacity to conduct a rigorous examination.
- The report appears tailored to the organization rather than produced from a generic template.

A report that lacks sufficient depth may satisfy an initial checklist while failing a customer's detailed vendor-risk review. In that situation, the organization may face additional questions, prolonged security reviews, delayed purchasing decisions, or even rejection of the report.

Automation Is Valuable—When It Supports a Quality Examination

Technology can improve the SOC 2 experience considerably. Modern platforms can connect with cloud environments, identity systems, ticketing applications, and other business tools to centralize evidence and reduce manual effort.

Automation that can help organizations:

- Collect evidence more consistently.
- Monitor control activities throughout the year.
- Identify missing documentation earlier.
- Reduce repetitive screenshots and spreadsheets.
- Coordinate efficiently with the examination team.
- Maintain stronger visibility into readiness.

However, automation should support—not replace—the auditor's professional judgment.

Evidence appearing in a dashboard does not automatically establish that a control is suitably designed or operating effectively. The auditor must understand the organization's environment, evaluate the relevance and reliability of the evidence, select appropriate samples, investigate exceptions, and determine whether additional procedures are necessary. [SOC Credibility | PDF]

The right question is therefore not, "How quickly can we get a SOC 2 report?"

It is: "Will this examination produce a report that our customers can rely on?"

What a Credible SOC 2 Examination Looks Like

A high-quality examination should begin with a clear understanding of the organization, its commitments to customers, the services it delivers, and the risks that could affect those services.

1. Appropriate scoping

The examination should cover the systems, infrastructure, software, people, procedures, and data relevant to the services customers rely on. An unclear or overly narrow scope can leave important risks outside the report.

2. Experienced, independent examination

The CPA firm should have relevant SOC experience, sufficient resources, and a clear process for maintaining independence. Technology providers may facilitate readiness and evidence collection, but only an eligible independent CPA firm can provide the attestation opinion.

3. Controls aligned with real risks

Controls should reflect how the organization actually operates. They should address relevant risks rather than simply mirror a standard controls library.

4. Meaningful testing

Testing should extend beyond management inquiry. Depending on the control, procedures may include inspection, observation, reperformance, or examination of appropriately selected samples.

5. Constructive findings

Exceptions should not automatically be viewed as failures. Properly evaluated findings can reveal opportunities to strengthen processes, reduce risk, and improve the organization's overall control environment.

6. A report customers can understand

The final report should clearly describe the system, the controls, the auditor's procedures, and the results. Its content should be specific enough to support informed vendor-risk decisions.

Questions to Ask Before Choosing a SOC 2 Provider

Before engaging a provider, organizations should understand both the technology platform and the CPA firm that will perform the examination.

Consider asking:

- Which CPA firm will issue the report?
- How many SOC examinations has the firm completed for organizations like ours?
- Who will perform and supervise the work?
- How will the examination scope be determined?
- What testing methods and sampling procedures will be used?
- How does the firm evaluate evidence collected automatically?
- How does the CPA firm maintain independence from the platform or readiness provider?
- What happens when the examination identifies an exception?
- Will the system description and controls be tailored to our environment?
- Can the firm provide relevant references and information about its peer-review history?

Organizations should also examine claims that appear unrealistically fast, inexpensive, or guaranteed. SOC 2 is an examination—not a certification or a predetermined outcome. A provider should be able to explain its methodology without promising an opinion before the work has been completed.

Turn SOC 2 Into a Sales Advantage

When approached strategically, SOC 2 can deliver value beyond satisfying a contractual requirement.

A credible report can help sales and customer-success teams:

- Respond more confidently to security questionnaires.
- Demonstrate a mature approach to risk management.
- Reduce friction during procurement and vendor review.
- Support conversations with enterprise customers.
- Build confidence in the organization's operational practices.
- Differentiate the business in competitive opportunities.

The strongest sales message is not simply, “We have a SOC 2 report.”

It is: “We invest in independently examined controls because protecting customer information is fundamental to how we operate.”

That message is most persuasive when the report behind it is thorough, relevant, and defensible.

Trust Is the Real Deliverable

A SOC 2 examination should not be treated as a race to produce a document. Its purpose is to provide customers and business partners with credible, independent information about the controls supporting the services they depend on.

Technology can make the process more efficient. Preparation can make it smoother. Neither should come at the expense of independence, professional skepticism, appropriate testing, or a scope that reflects the organization’s actual risks.

Choosing a quality-focused SOC 2 provider may require a greater investment of time and resources, but it can produce something far more valuable than a completed checklist: a report that strengthens customer confidence and supports long-term growth.

Suggested Call to Action

Make your SOC 2 investment work harder for your business. Choose an examination approach that combines efficient technology with experienced professionals, tailored testing, and independent assurance—so your report can withstand customer scrutiny and support your sales process.

Robert Kluba, CISM CISSP ASOCC
Managing Director
Robert.Kluba@AuditOneLLP.com