

This is a message from We The People to the Police Officers, Police Chiefs, Police Training Departments, Directors of Police, Mayors and Governors in every county and every city in every State throughout the United States of America.

There are people who do not, cannot and will not wear a face mask or face cover over their vital air ways. No Citizen, Resident or Inhabitant of these United States of America can be mandated, required and forced to wear a face mask, face cover, face shield or any other device or apparatus on their face, head or body. Neither can they be mandated, required and forced to receive a vaccination or any other form of intrusion into their body against their will. Such is un-American and a blatant violation of the United States Constitution and Bill of Rights. Moreover, it is a violation of Federal "Civil Rights" particularly and especially as such pertains to religious beliefs and practices and physical health conditions as covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title III, which covers and protects the mental, psycho-emotional, physical and genetic conditions and disabilities of any given person. Furthermore, all privately owned and operated businesses that cater to the public, as well as public buildings and facilities, have been designated, classified and defined by Federal Law as "places of public accommodation" that are prohibited from discriminating against the people in any way. They cannot "screen out" or "tend to screen out" any person for any reason.

Taking a person's Federal "Civil Rights" away from them is not a trivial matter. There are exceptions that apply to being denied equal access and enjoyment to "full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations" provided by businesses that have been designated, classified and defined by the federal government as "places of public accommodation." However, based upon the wording and sound reasoning of the Law, any exception must be a "direct threat"... not an "indirect threat" with a strong "probability" ... not "possibility"... that an injury "will actually occur"... not "might" occur. Such must be reasonably established in order for there to be an exception that deprives a person of their equal access and enjoyment of the "full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations" provided by a "place of public accommodation." There is nothing in the exceptions clause that justifies stripping people of their Federal "Civil Rights" based upon fantastical ideas of "Evil Spirits" or "Invisible Enemies." This is why we have due process of law. You cannot deprive a Citizen, Resident or Inhabitant of the USA of their Federal "Civil Rights" simply by making an

unverified, unverifiable, unfounded assumption that they “might” be a carrier of some alleged deadly contagious disease.

There is nothing in the exceptions clause that provides for people to be denied their Federal "Civil Rights" based upon whimsical, fantastical, unsubstantiated and unfounded presumptions, assumptions or allegations. In the USA, people's Federal "Civil Rights" come first. If presumptions, assumptions or allegations are made in an attempt to strip the people of those rights due process must come first before those rights can be taken away. If you take those rights away from the people without due process you have violated the law. You have violated the Federal "Civil Rights" of American citizens.

The aforementioned reality and reasoning not only serves to protect our Rights in this “Land of the Free and home of the brave,” but it also proffers the following reality: The Concept Of "Viruses" Must Go To Court And Be Put On Trial

The reason the concept of “viruses” needs to go to court and be put on trial in front of the American people – live on all public broadcasts - is because there are a multitude of scientists, doctors and health practitioners – including PhDs from MIT - who say there are no such things as “viruses.” They have never been proven to exist. At the same time, we have politicians using the concept of “viruses” to strip the American people of their Freedoms, Liberties and Rights. Clearly, this is a potentially world changing matter that MUST go to court for all to see - like "the O.J. trial." The fact that the concept of a "virus" as an "invisible enemy" is a convenient manipulation tool, demands that "viruses" go to trial. The fact that they can conveniently call someone an "asymptomatic carrier" of an alleged deadly disease without being affected by it themselves also demands that "viruses" go to trial.

It has already been established under Law by way of the United States Constitution and Bill of Rights that people cannot be mandated, required and forced against their will to wear a face mask or face cover. They can be encouraged to do so, but not mandated, required and forced to do so.

It has been established under law that Federal "Civil Rights" provide additional definitive reasons and laws beyond just the United States Constitution, Bill of Rights and God-given inalienable rights as to why people cannot be mandated,

required and forced to wear a face mask or face cover. Religious reasons and/or health reasons, which may include the mental, psycho-emotional, physical and/or genetic aspects of any given individuals makeup, condition(s) and disability(ies) provide for exemptions and relief for millions of Americans to not wear a face mask or face cover.

Since the federal government has designated, classified and defined businesses that cater to and serve the public at large, whether privately owned or corporate, as "places of public accommodation" wherein all citizens, residents and inhabitants of the USA are entitled to the same "full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations" – and since there shall be no discrimination against the people in any way whatsoever... particularly and especially when such pertains to age, race, gender, religious beliefs and practices and mental, psycho-emotional, physical and/or genetic makeup, condition(s) and disability(ies) – our police officers, all of whom are sworn to uphold Federal Laws, must assist We The People if a "place of public accommodation" endeavors to "screen out" any class of person covered under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title III, or for religious reasons.

If a person does not wear a face mask or face cover, then they do not wear a face mask or face cover. You cannot deny them equal access and enjoyment to the facilities, goods and services provided within any "place of public accommodation" any more than you can deny a Jewish person such equal access and enjoyment because they are Jewish, or deny a Negro person such equal access and enjoyment because they are Black. A "place of public accommodation" cannot discriminate against any person for any reason, particularly and especially based upon their own unique individual makeup and condition. Otherwise, there would be no reason for businesses that cater to and serve the public to have been designated, classified and defined by the federal government as "places of public accommodation." All people are entitled to the same equal access and enjoyment of the facilities, goods and services provided by "places of public accommodation."

Police officers in counties and cities throughout the USA need to be trained accordingly.

Any objection to this reasonable, common sense, law-based reality will ignite a firestorm of litigation in the federal courts that will result not only in discrimination lawsuits, but also intense investigations into the veracity and legitimacy of any "health risk" claims that have been used to violate Federal Law, deprive the citizens of their "Civil Rights" and strip the American people of their Freedoms and Liberties. Every Governor and every Mayor who issued mandates and orders that deprived the people of their lawful rights to carry on with their business in "places of public accommodation" will be required to prove not only that the alleged "health risk" actually exists, but what steps they took to investigate, verify and confirm the initial assertions and accusations that such 'health risk' was genuine. The Governors and Mayors have as much of an obligation and duty to protect the people against false claims initiated by a strategic hoax in order to protect their "Civil Liberties" and "Civil Rights" as they do to protect them against any actual and real "health risk." What steps did the Mayor take to authenticate and verify the claims of an alleged "pandemic"? Or did he neglect to take any such action and just took other people's word for it? The same questions may be asked of every Governor and every Mayor throughout the USA. There are a great many questions that can and will be asked in a court of law concerning this issue, should this issue go to court.

When one considers the fact and reality that there are numerous, scientists, doctors and health practitioners - including PHDs from MIT - who have declared the "Coronavirus Global Pandemic" to be a strategically orchestrated HOAX, one can only image what fun it will be to require proof of the alleged "pandemic" in a courtroom. It is a scientific fact that no alleged and so-called "coronavirus" has ever been isolated and proven to exist. There is also a tremendous amount of scientific evidence and testimony that exposes and utterly obliterates the "coronavirus" testing scam. If this issue goes to court the perpetrators of the "Coronavirus Global Pandemic" hoax will have to prove "viruses" exist (they will not be able to). They will have to prove "viral contagion" exists (they will not be able to). They will have to prove citizens who were denied their Federal "Civil Rights" were actual carriers and/or transmitters of an alleged so-called "virus" that cannot be proven to exist.

Governors and Mayors will have to prove what steps they took to authenticate and verify the claims of a "Coronavirus Global Pandemic" before they began

making decisions and issuing mandates that violate Federal Law and stripped the American people of their Federal "Civil Rights."

Indeed, a firestorm of litigation will flood the Federal Courts should this issue be made to go there. However, at this point, we are simply asking you to uphold Federal Law and ensure that people's Federal "Civil Rights" to receive the same equal access and enjoyment of facilities, goods and services provided within "places of public accommodation" are not denied them – whether they wear a face mask or not.

I have read and studied all of ADA, Title III, along with a great deal of case law relative to it. It is not my intention or desire to belabor this issue with case law and legal arguments. It is simply to bring to your attention the obvious, common sense, law-based application of its existence and purpose. As a courtesy, below I am providing the general overview of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title III, and U.S.C. 12181, which is consistent with our message to you.

The Federal Laws below are the ones that will be the most germane and beneficial to the training of your police officers. We kindly and respectfully urge and encourage you to train all your police officers in accordance with these Federal Laws. This will help to prevent them from having to be taken away from more important calls that may be life or death related. It will at least minimize the amount of time they will have to spend at any such call. All they will have to do is instruct the business that they must allow the same and equal access and enjoyment to all of their facilities, goods and services: regardless of whether a person is wearing a face mask or not. Certainly, the businesses will comply with the police officer's directives. I have already seen that in action.

ADA, Title III, 36.105 (1) - (12) - Defines "Place of Public Accommodation"

Place of public accommodation means a facility operated by a private entity whose operations affect commerce and fall within at least one of the following categories – (1) Place of lodging, (i) An inn, hotel, or motel. (2) A restaurant, bar, or other establishment serving food or drink; (3) A motion picture house, theater, concert hall, stadium, or other place of exhibition or entertainment; (4) An auditorium, convention center, lecture hall, or other place of public gathering; (5) A bakery, grocery store, clothing store, hardware store, shopping center, or other

sales or rental establishment; (6) A laundromat, dry-cleaner, bank, barber shop, beauty shop, travel service, shoe repair service, funeral parlor, gas station, office of an accountant or lawyer, pharmacy, insurance office, professional office of a health care provider, hospital, or other service establishment; (7) A terminal, depot, or other station used for specified public transportation; (8) A museum, library, gallery, or other place of public display or collection; (9) A park, zoo, amusement park, or other place of recreation; (10) A nursery, elementary, secondary, undergraduate, or postgraduate private school, or other place of education; (11) A day care center, senior citizen center, homeless shelter, food bank, adoption agency, or other social service center establishment; and (12) A gymnasium, health spa, bowling alley, golf course, or other place of exercise or recreation.

ADA, Title III, 36.201 - Prohibition of discrimination for disability

(a) *Prohibition of discrimination.* No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any private entity who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. (b) Landlord and tenant responsibilities. Both the landlord who owns the building that houses a place of public accommodation and the tenant who owns or operates the place of public accommodation are public accommodations subject to the requirements of this part.

A reading directly from the Americans with Disabilities Act, title III

“Liability is established under title III of the ADA only when an individual proves that a public accommodation discriminated on the basis of disability within the meaning of title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. 12181–12189.”

Now, a reading directly from U.S.C. 42 12181–12189

III. Prohibited Conduct & Defenses

A. Analysis Under the ADA

1. ***Establishing Liability Under the ADA***

The general nondiscrimination mandate of ADA Title III provides:

No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. - 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a); 28 C.F.R. § 36.201.11

The statute also offers a more detailed construction of this general nondiscrimination mandate. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b). Prohibited conduct includes **denial of participation**, provision of unequal benefit, and provision of separate benefits (unless necessary **to ensure equal opportunity**). 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A); 28 C.F.R. § 36.202. Public accommodations **cannot use any selection criteria that “screen out or tend to screen out” an individual with a disability or any class of individuals with a disability**. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(i); 28 C.F.R. § 36.301(a).

The issue of being required to wear a face mask is not the primary problem or concern the American people are having. More and more people are learning and becoming aware of the fact and reality that within the United States of America nobody can be forced against their will to wear a face mask, face cover, face shield or any other device or apparatus over their vital air ways and/or upon their face, head or body. They can be asked and/or encouraged to do so, but NOT mandated, required and forced to do so. The problem and concern of the day is the fact that many businesses that have been designated, classified and defined by the federal government as “places of public accommodation” that “cannot discriminate in any way against any person” are unaware of and/or blatantly ignoring, disregarding and disrespecting the existence of that Federal Law. For this cause the governors, mayors, police directors and police chiefs throughout the USA need to... MUST... inform and train all their police officers accordingly.

Are police officers throughout the USA not sworn to protect the United States Constitution and other Federal Laws across this great nation? Indeed, they are!

I will add... there is no state or local municipal law, mandate, rule, regulation, ordinance or requirement that **CAN** supersede and override any Federal Law.

Federal laws always take precedence - especially when they concern the “Civil Rights” of Americans.

We demand for the governors, mayors, police directors, police chiefs and police training officers throughout the USA to train all their police officers accordingly – and to inform and advise all “places of public accommodation” accordingly.

If any American Citizen, Resident, Inhabitant does not wear a face mask, face cover or face shield for religious reasons and/or for health condition(s) or disability(ies), which may include mental, psycho-emotional, physical and/or genetic reasons – *and which do not have to be disclosed to any other person or entity* - they may enter into any “place of public accommodation” without being discriminated against – without being singled out – without receiving disparate treatment – without being treated differently than any other person.

Please train all police offices throughout the USA accordingly.

Thank You!

We The People