

SECTION III

Elements of the AIDS Fraud— Old Diseases Now Called AIDS

1

What Is a Virus?

A virus is an evil spirit! And that is about all that can be said of viruses to anyone who seriously tries to search them out. For what is called a virus is being blamed for more and more of our diseases. Now the move is on to attribute a viral cause to strep throat.

A virus is said to be a minute bit of genetic material about a billionth the size of a cell (per *Guyton's Textbook of Medical Physiology*). A virus is described as a genome surrounded by a capsid (covering) that is usually a double lipid/protein sheath. That seems to describe perfectly the genomes of the body's mitochondria too.

A genome (genetic material) is the pattern for directing the activities of a minute form of life known as a mitochondrion. The genome is endowed with the encoding that helps it create energy for itself and the cell, to create proteins of the kinds needed from amino acids, and yet other functions that maintain genetic integrity, like reproduction, for instance.

But imagine picking up books on microbiology, biology and virology and learning that virii (plural of virus) have these unlikelike characteristics:

1. They have no metabolism. They cannot process foods and have no energy formation. They're only a template or a pattern.
2. They have no faculties for action of any kind, no nervous system, no sensing or decision-making faculties, much less movement and "invasion."
3. They cannot replicate themselves, depending wholly upon "obligate reproduction," that is, reproduction by an alien organism, something unheard of in all biology.

They are merely inert organic material without any life qualities whatsoever. They are never seen to act, and photographs purporting to show them acting are outright frauds, revealing no action whatsoever from the virus. What is usually shown is an ordinary process of phagocytosis (process of cellular ingestion) which occurs countless trillions of times daily in the body, perhaps more than a quadrillion times!

Have you ever heard of one organism actually reproducing another? Not another organism at that! A genome is not an organism, micro or macro. It is to a mitochondrion what a head is to the human body—a control mechanism. As this is contrary to all that we learn in biological science, it smacks of voodooism!

The medical (drug) establishment has taken a bit of mitochondrial debris and imbued it with the qualities of an evil spirit. They badly need a scapegoat for our ills. The success of that ploy is paramount for the merchandising of their drug wares. The fact that acute diseases are body originated and conducted for the limited purposes of cleansing and repairing itself doesn't escape them, but that truth is bad for business. Healthy people are bad for business. And those who alert others to the sham are bad for business.

2

The Great Virus Hoax

How Our Population is Manipulated and Exploited by a Criminally Fostered Hoax

Did you know that you and the rest of your fellow citizens are victims of an ongoing fraudulent scheme on a scale so massive that it makes miniscule the collective criminal scene that we are aware of?

This article does not purport to bare the monstrous rip-offs perpetrated upon us. In a nutshell, we are callously and mercilessly exploited and physically despoiled by a system that involves our subjection to and manipulation by the communications media (TV, radio, newspapers, magazines, etc.), the medical system, the banking system, the nation's production and distribution system and, ultimately, the master control system in this country, the Federal Reserve System, which is privately owned and controlled by the Rockefellers, Rothschilds, Kuhns, Loebs, Lehmans and a few other consorts. This article restricts itself to one facet of this cruel, dehumanizing and murderous fraud. It concerns a "myth" that was devised as a tool to keep us effectively servile and exploited.

Because this "myth" really was deliberately devised to ensnare us, it is more properly called a hoax. This hoax concerns a fictitious entity called a virus.

While I have long intended to do this treatise on the manufactured "data" presented to us as the science of virology, my impetus at this time was occasioned by ten pages of articles on "viruses" and "AIDS" in the November 3, 1986, issue of *Time* magazine.

For those of us who know how to ferret out the bottom line on blockbuster propaganda campaigns like this, the truth is glaringly evident. Likewise, the motives behind them are obvious.

While *Time's* presentation of "viruses" is subordinate to the main thrust of highlighting AIDS, so-called, some incidental purposes are served by *Time's* approach.

A reading of the article best reveals intent by quoting therefrom: "...drugs have been the AIDS patients' greatest objects of hope." "...scientists hope to gain federal approval to conduct gene therapy." Even the cover headline reads: "Viruses, Keys to Life and Death." This thought implant is meant to imply that your welfare depends on how well you fare against our viral enemies. The idea is also implanted that you really don't have to fight this war, that you don't have to get involved in a fight you aren't technologically equipped to wage. You can trust drug researchers and expensive therapies to turn the tide for you.

The great "hopes" they hold forth are really the modalities pharmaceutical houses (who are behind the AIDS and virus hysteria) want to foist upon us. Their game plan, in imbuing us with their mysterious hoaxes, is to promote the sale of vaccines, drugs and other modalities from which they hope to profit handsomely.

Incidental objectives that are furthered in the course of this article prepare the groundwork for hanging the rap for other diseases on "viruses," notably multiple sclerosis, cancer, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis and sore throat. Widening the scope of "viral" diseases broadens yet more the market for potential "anti-viral drugs."

In the last ten years we have seen several diseases formerly attributed to bacteria be transformed into "viral diseases." Most notable among them is the retirement of pallida treponema (spirochetes) from duty as a culprit in syphilis and the invocation of viruses in their stead. Now we have over 20 million Americans who formerly had syphilis that now have herpes genitalis, a disease that hardly anyone knew about as recently as 1978. Soon there will be no more strep throat! This article in *Time* predicts the entry of a virus that will replace streptococcus. It will be a herpes family member.

If pharmaceutical interests have us believing that all diseases are directly or indirectly virally caused, then their drug-peddling business will increase even more. It is more profitable to fight an unseen, mysterious and inscrutable enemy than one so common and so symbiotic as bacteria and fungal forms of life. It is especially profitable when you concoct an enemy to your

liking—to meet your commercial needs. This is an easy matter for legal drug pushers. A sister cartel member, the communications media, readily broadcast and print the drug propaganda, which will whip the public into a frenzy, as in the case of “AIDS.”

Hysterias thus generated usually make vaccines and drugs go over big—billions of dollars worth! Even a loser like the swine flu hoax still generates hundreds of millions of dollars for the drug industry’s coffers.

How and why was the virus hoax concocted?

By 1900 it was scientifically evident that the germ theory of disease causation wouldn’t stand up. Koch’s postulates alone destroyed it for practical purposes, even though vestiges of the bacterial theory of disease causation still survive to this day, as in strep throat.

After pharmaceutical interests effectively took over medical educational institutions in the first decade of this century, there slowly began the process of replacing bacterial culprits with viral culprits.

The word virus meant, at that time, poison, and the word virulent meant poisonous. Today, popular opinion believes the word virus means a submicroscopic entity, and virulent means contagious. It is sort of like the word *quack*. Two hundred years ago quack meant medical practitioner or physician—someone who administered quacksilber (mercury). When peddlers of nostrums (namely, pharmaceutical interests) made physicians their pushers in place of itinerant vaudeville barkers and salesmen, they imbued physicians with the aura of science, even divinity. The odious word *quack* was applied to charlatans, the snake oil peddlers upon whom the very same drug industry had previously relied. (Actually, the snake oil peddlers are still around, only now they are called detail men and sell only to physicians and drugstores.) Physicians were elevated to the status of doctors, no less, a revered world of professors and scientists. Imputing a dignified title to lowly drug therapists worked like magic among the populace. Instead of mere tradesmen, physicians became authorities glorified with an infallible godlike halo.

While the drugging craft today has a considerably tarnished image (there is only about a 40% confidence rate according to the polls), the American press, TV and radio still portray physicians as omniscient beings in health matters—every condition receives the blanket advice: “See your doctor.”

It may appear that the communications media slavishly caters to pharmaceutical interests and their retinue of physicians, hospitals, treatment personnel, etc. Actually, pharmaceutical interests and the media have a common master who orchestrates their acts. Who?

The coordinated campaigns of these respective cartel children to create hoaxes is dictated by the insatiable drive to exploit the marketplace for ever-greater profits. A populace conditioned to swallow medical myths (hoaxes) are presold patrons of the poison-peddling industry.

Likewise, the overall system is sophisticated and diabolical enough to foster and promote consumption of junk foods; toxic recreational drugs (spices, condiments, coffee, tobacco, teas, chocolates, alcoholic beverages, fermented and putrefied foods, nutrient deficient foods such as white sugar); nutrient-deranged foods (canned foods, for instance); outright poisons (fluorides, chlorine, lead, aluminum, preservatives and other additives); and now atomic irradiation of our finest foodstuffs.

The promotions of poisons as medicines and the pushing of junk foods, recreational drugs and other debilitating substances and modalities probably has purposes far more devious than profit: the physical and mental despoliation of our populace, thus reducing them to semi-robotic serfs of low-intellectual abilities.

Our school systems, clearly and securely establishment controlled, strive to reduce our children to unthinking mediocrity—to spineless conformers with the needs of the system. That these devilish ends are being achieved, intentionally or otherwise, is evidenced by frightening declines in our children’s scholastic achievement tests (SAT scores). The effect of mental and physical despoliation exists, whether or not the system’s beneficiaries and progenitors deliberately intend it. An unquestioning and servile populace has always been an objective of ruling classes and dominant interests.

All this may seem far-fetched. And certainly it may seem an overextended prelude to an avowed debunking literary expedition. However, I want your perspective to be realistic in undertaking the consideration of the great virus hoax.

The Supposed Nature of Viruses

According to the popular portrayal of the virus, it is a form of life that parasitizes upon all forms of life including animal, plant and saprophytic (fungi and bacteria).

FACT: What are called viruses are entirely of genetic material and have no characteristics of life other than being of organic material and construction identical to that of the genomes contained within cellular mitochondria. In short, what are called viruses are always dead and incapable of any acts whatsoever. Dead matter may be acted upon but never acts of itself. It is voodooistic to ascribe any activity of any kind to totally dead inanimate matter.

According to the *Time* article, a virus is described as a bizarre creature seemingly designed to inflict woe on humans, animals and plants. Diseases are the result of the malevolent acts of viruses, and the intent of the article is to acquaint us with the tiny creature that causes them.

FACTS: Viral diseases, so-called, are always manifestations of body actions undertaken to detoxify and repair itself. A virus is not a creature, it is a dead bit of genetic material partially or wholly enclosed in a capsid or a double-protein sheath. That this bit of dead material should have actions of any kind is roughly akin to attributing actions to the decapitated head of a dead person.

Only living organisms are capable of acting and reproducing; all units of life have a directing or control mechanism called a head, a nucleus or a genome; and, there is never a head, nucleus or genome without an organism to direct. This indicates the absurdity of this devilish imposition called virus.

3

Do Viruses Cause AIDS?

Anecdotal Evidence, AIDS, Viruses and the Medical Cabal

Hygienists are labeled as kooks by the powers that prevail in this and most other countries. The establishment tars and feathers them at every turn to maintain credence for their pharmaceutical wares in the marketplace.

If we so much as say: "Health is produced only by healthful living," we are reproached on this self-evident statement with a question such as: "Where's your proof?", "What research verifies this?" or "That's strictly anecdotal" (meaning, in effect, that it is mere heresay or pure fabrication).

Truth Eventually and Always Wins Out

Both sides can play this kind of game! Truth, even though crushed to earth in the intellects of humankind, remains the powerful beacon with which to illuminate the darkness that beclouds medical mentalities.

For instance, the whole medical bag, pompously proclaimed to be scientific by nearly everyone, doesn't even have the dubious advantage of being anecdotal! Medical dogmas are imposed upon all of us as science when, in fact, the very bases of their existence are strictly and demonstrably illogical, irrational and contrary to the pertinent evidence! Every invocation of science made in medicine's behalf is for the purpose of the bottom line of those who traffic in drugs and medical modalities. Under the assumed veil of a health-care discipline, so-called medical science is an outright disaster for our people.

When evil forces hold sway, the whole fabric of society becomes permeated with evil.

National Cancer Institute's Entry into AIDS Business Backfires

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) is a distinct part of this monstrous cabal. It is up to its ears in perpetrating the AIDS panic,

along with the Centers for Disease Control, the National Institute of Health and many other government agencies that run interference for the drug establishment.

You'll probably never read about what NCI did, nor the results it achieved when it commissioned Dr. Peter Duesberg of the Department of Molecular Biology and Virus Laboratories of the University of California, Berkeley, to make an exhaustive study of the so-called AIDS virus and its effects on humans.

Under grant number CA39915A-01 from NCI, Dr. Duesberg did research on what are called HIV or human immunodeficiency viruses. Dr. Duesberg did just that, but he bit the hand that fed him! Whoever heard of research being made that did not serve the objectives of those funding the research?

An old saying has it that he who pays the fiddler calls the tune. Dr. Duesberg made the research and published his findings in a duly scientific manner in a report titled "Retroviruses as Carcinogens and Pathogens: Expectations and Reality." Instead of adding fuel to the fire of the AIDS promotion campaign, Dr. Duesberg's researches removed the props from under the shaky structure of viruses causing any disease at all, much less a degenerative disease called AIDS! So what did NCI do with the fiddler's tune? It relegated the report to the closet, where all bad boys belong. Their publicity totally ignores the research and continues to proclaim viruses as causative agents in AIDS.

Self-Evident Truths That Viruses Do Not Cause Disease

Koch's postulates, self-evident propositions, say that if any agency causes a disease, then that agency must always be present during that disease. If it is not present, then that means that it does not cause the disease. On the same order, if an agency causes a disease, then the presence of that agency must always occasion the disease. If the agency is present and the disease does not manifest, then that too means it is not the causative factor in the disease.

In his report, Dr. Duesberg noted that over 50% of those suffering from so-called AIDS did not have what are called human immunodeficiency viruses. That disposes of the claim, in itself, that AIDS is caused by a virus. But even more damning is the

ballyhooed prospect of about one and a half million Americans getting the disease because they are said to have or have had the virus. If the virus caused the disease, we would have one and a half million sufferers from AIDS! As threats, real or implied, are the raw materials from which panics and hysterias are manufactured, medical apologists have invented the excuse of "dormancy" in order that a fancied Damocles sword or time bomb hangs over those who are said to have or have had the virus.

What Viruses Really Are

As viruses, so-called, are but genomes—detached parts of an organic functioning entity that have the same relationship to that entity as a head has to a body—how can they cause anything at all? Dead things cannot of themselves cause anything.

The idea that dead organic matter can kill off our "killer cells," that is, white blood cells, is pure nonsense. Dead organic matter is a piece of cake for the guardians of our blood and fluids. These dispatch living yeast and bacterial organisms with ease. Dead organic materials floating around in our fluids are easily apprehended, engulfed, and with their armamentarium of enzymes, easily digested and recycled. No matter how you regard medical claims, the so-called viruses are not alien invaders or alien proteins and fats as medical propagandists want us to believe, but internally created materials.

The Most "AIDS Virus-Infected" People in the World Haven't One Case of AIDS!

Dr. Duesberg further points out that, in Africa where populations range from 20% to 60% body positive for the so-called AIDS virus, not one case of AIDS has ever occurred.

So what is the big AIDS hysteria about? Why is the establishment so intent on promoting it?

Why the AIDS Hysteria Was Perpetrated

The AIDS promotion, like the swine flu farce and other CDC-orchestrated medical swindles, is one more rip-off called a boondoggle in the case of the CDC and a profit producer for pharmaceutical/research/medical interests.

In 1987 the federal and state governments spent in excess of one billion dollars on AIDS. In 1988 the projected costs will exceed \$10 billion! That is what the AIDS panic is all about—a drive to stampede the American people into the medical/hospital/pharmaceutical corral for big bucks. That is what it's all about, not about saving people from diseases renamed and promoted under the fearsome banner AIDS.

4

Are Viruses Smarter Than Humans?

In the *American Health* magazine January/February 1988 issue there appears an article by Kristin Osterholm entitled: "Influenza Virus: 1,000 Disguises."

In this article, we are assured that the flu virus is continually changing its guises. According to Osterholm, this means that, with each change in the virus, which can happen every year or two, it is necessary to come up with a new vaccine to save our people from a pandemic. Thankfully, modern science has the ability to make new vaccines to meet new viral threats very quickly.

The article reminds us that the flu virus had the upper hand in 1918-19 and killed twenty million people. But now we are able to outwit it with vaccines that can be developed practically immediately, should a new strain suddenly appear.

Osterholm implies that we all should get a flu shot every year just to prevent this clever "little beast" from causing a similar pandemic while assuming one of its numerous disguises.

Do you see how clever are the vaccine makers? They invent little hobgoblins to do battle with in order that they might persuade you to patronize their nefarious wares.

What Flu Really Is

Flu is a body initiated and conducted process of detoxification. Vaccines may so devitalize an individual that he is unable to carry out such a simple body-cleansing process as the flu. The toxins build up within until something worse is developed by the body—like pneumonia, tumors or a chronic problem.

The vaccines themselves are toxic and cause many problems. They solve no problems beyond the bottom line of their promoters.

Healthful Living Builds Healthy, Sickness-Free People!

People who live healthily do not become sick. Healthful living builds sickness-free health. A healthy person does not contaminate

his/her body with toxic fare and thus, has no toxic buildup to resolve.

Viruses, so-called, are dead organic material having no more intelligence than a dead human or any other dead animal. They cannot cause anything.

It is not very smart to be taken in by the clever propaganda the drug establishment conjures up about clever little beasties bent on our destruction.

5

The Great AIDS Hoax

Proof positive that AIDS is not a new disease and that it is caused by drugs and other poisons

Is AIDS a New Disease?

What is now called AIDS is an aggregate of many diseases that have actually existed in medical literature for several decades.

The Merck Manual, literally a physician's bible, and *Dorland's Medical Dictionary* were used in compiling this list of diseases known as "immunodeficiency diseases" *before* AIDS was devised and foisted upon our population. The acronyms for AIDS were as follows:

CID (Combined Immunodeficiency Disease)

CIDS (Combined Immunodeficiency Syndrome)

IDS (Immunodeficiency Syndrome)

SCID (Severe Combined Immunodeficiency)

The following terms were used as appellations for the conditions referred to as AIDS today:

Agammaglobulinemia (means without or low on gammaglobulins or a class of plasma proteins active in antibody activity. This is also called hypogammaglobulinemia or dysgammaglobulinemia.)

Agranulocytosis (a shortage of granulocytes)

Alymphocytosis (a shortage of lymphocytes. Meaning a low lymph cell count with -tosis, meaning, literally, "You got it.")

Di George Syndrome (another term for SCID or AIDS)

Granulocytopenia (insufficient granulocytes)

Kaposi's Sarcoma (tumors and cancer which result from accumulations of carcinogens due to a shortage of white blood cells that would normally apprehend and expel them.

Leukocytopenia (a shortage or poverty of leukocytes)

Lymphocytopenia (a shortage of lymphocytes)

Neutropenia (a shortage of neutrophils, a type of leukocyte)

Pancytopenia (Chediak-Higashi Syndrome, a condition in which there is a sufficiency of all white blood cells but an insufficiency of enzymes called lysosomes which make them viable)

Splenic Deficiency Syndrome (wherein there is a shortage of B lymphocytes due to removal or impairment of the spleen)

Thymic alymphoplasia (a low lymph cell population)

What Causes AIDS?

The disease called AIDS is a complex involving insufficient lymphocytes, leukocytes or other white blood cells which keep the blood pure. These white blood cells apprehend any alien and nonnutrient materials and organisms in the bloodstream, surround them and process them for expulsion from the body.

As the average American indulges in twenty to forty poisoning acts per day (poisons include caffeine, tobacco, soft drinks, alcohol, condiments, preservatives, drugs [prescription, over-the-counter and recreational], toxic foods) the bloodstream is constantly assaulted with poisons. Likewise, the faculties that create these defensive mechanisms (namely the bone marrow and lymph organs and tissues) are constantly under siege from poisons.

When bone marrow and lymphoid tissues and organs are continuously assaulted with poisons, they may lose their ability to create the many types of white blood cells. The result is AIDS.

The pharmaceutical industry has convinced our people that certain types of *viruses* cause the destruction of these defensive faculties. However, the so-called AIDS disease is not new; the causes were laid out in *The Merck Manual* long before we ever heard of AIDS. Under the heading of immunodeficiency diseases *The Merck Manual* lists some of the causes as follows: cytotoxins (any poison at all including alcohol, vinegar, etc., kills off cells), drugs, radiation, antimetabolite therapy, leukemia, malignancies, phagocytotic disorders, chemotaxis, immunosuppressive drugs, hypercatabolism, nephrotic syndrome or protein-losing enteropathy, intestinal lymphangiectasia and others.

Viruses have not taken over as the cause of these deficiencies. The causes listed in *The Merck Manual* have not ceased to exist. (Or, were the medical researchers incorrect in listing the earlier causes rather than looking for a virus?) The causes listed in *The*

Merck Manual have been determined by established research methods.

What causes AIDS? The poisons that we put into our body. Over two-thirds of the AIDS deaths are ascribed to drug abuse anyway, virus or no virus, so we can discount this business about viruses and label it for what it really is: a big hoax foisted upon us by the pharmaceutical industries and their servants.

You May Be in Danger of Compulsory Poisoning

If you are one of the 1½ million Americans said to have been exposed to the AIDS virus, then for the "good of society" you may be rounded up and forced to undergo a course of drugging! The proposed drug is deadly! It is called AZT (azidothymidine). Many AIDS sufferers who first took the drug refused to continue it. They said "No thank you, we'd rather die of AIDS."

You may not have the right to refuse the drugs anymore.

According to articles published in May 1987 by *Los Angeles Times* and *Washington Post* and in many other newspapers, a push is being made to eradicate AIDS just like they eliminated *hoof-and-mouth* disease in cattle. Perhaps you are aware that all cattle exhibiting symptoms were rounded up, driven into a bulldozed pit, then shot and buried. By this new proposal of researchers from Johns Hopkins University Medical School it may be far more preferable to test your population, pinpoint those who have been exposed to the virus, and treat them with an "effective" drug or course of drugs.

The average American does not understand that these AIDS experts and this widespread publicity is just an extension of the fraud called AIDS. It is a scam to peddle drugs to our populace regardless of the dangerous effects of the drugs. Human lives count for naught. The bottom line of the drug establishment counts for everything.

First, the fraud called AIDS was conceived with fraudulent intent. When the CDC first reported AIDS cases, it was already called AIDS. Yet, what they were reporting as AIDS was already in the medical literature as IDS, lymphocytopenia, leukocytopenia, agammaglobulinemia and Kaposi's Sarcoma. The "A" was

deliberately added to an existing disease called IDS as a tool to derail the gay rights movement of the time. (It succeeded in doing that.) Then it was directed against the population at large. This dictatorial draft is now proposed not for the good of the population, but for the bottom line of the progenitors of this gestapo-like measure.

Medical Interests Treat Us As Unthinking Simpletons

Let's consider what is meant by immunity, which is important to our understanding of the AIDS concept. We are told that if we get a vaccine injected into us, or taken orally in some cases that we will develop antibodies to the vaccine antigen such that, when we are invaded by the real thing, we will not get the disease—the body will be immune—the antibodies will quickly destroy the virus.

That rationale has always been used by the medical system to support their vaccination programs. Of course that rationale is an outright scam! Diseases are always body initiated and conducted.

Now we are being told that, in the case of AIDS, the antibodies are not regarded as an immune factor but just the opposite! While the so-called AIDS virus is not in evidence, titers being made of fluids and tissues taken from many people are said to contain antibodies to the AIDS virus.

The fact that those having the AIDS virus antibodies *do not have* the virus should be, by immunization rationales, evidence that those having the antibodies are *immune* to AIDS!

The medical system reaps its reward both ways. In this case, those who have antibodies, instead of being immune, are declared to be carriers of the virus.

The massive mandatory drugging program proposed would create a real boom in the medical/hospital/drug industries. But it would be an outright disaster to our people. Hundreds of thousands of people would be sacrificed to this hoax that has been perpetrated upon us so that a few billion more may be added to the profits of these same rapacious and conscienceless industries who, I want to stress, are a part of the same cartel that owns and/or controls our publishing and broadcast media, our chemical and energy industries and so on.

Now, back to the proposal. You can be sure this publicity was initiated by the cartel and that the "concerns" voiced by Johns Hopkins University Medical School were engineered. You have a leading AIDS researcher, Frank Polk, saying, "The stakes are getting higher every day. It is now reasonable to take healthy people and give them toxic drugs. People have less and less to lose and potentially a life to gain."

Obviously healthy people taking a toxic drug such as AZT can die from it because it is deadly. Certainly these people will experience terrible physical side effects. All toxic substances do have some degree of deadliness. Frank Polk should have said AIDS is a hoax of the drug/medical cartel aided and abetted by its sister media. It is a criminal act to give people, healthy or unhealthy, toxic drugs that are known to result in crippling or death. (Note: All drugs are toxic.)

All this is done in the name of a phony war upon a phony virus! After the proposed drugging is over, the CDC could issue new guidelines for the diagnosis of AIDS as they did with polio, then pompously proclaim that the disease was wiped out. It would again be called by its old names (just as polio was then referred to as viral meningitis or antiseptic meningitis). Of course they would proudly proclaim the big drug campaign to be a success—they would claim that the drugs wiped out AIDS!

It should be evident that Frank Polk and others who express "deep concern" are really shedding crocodile tears. For instance, there are 15,000 deaths per year from sleeping pills, more than have died of AIDS. You don't hear a voice raised about that, though. The drug companies do not want to lose their profits. They would like to develop new money-making vehicles (like AIDS) instead.

"If you run around putting presently healthy people on a toxic drug, you are going to see serious problems," said June Osborn, dean of the public health school at the University of Michigan. But AZT advocates (drug industries) disagree that it would be unethical not to run clinical trials to assess AZT's usefulness.

An outright confession comes from Dr. Donald Abrams, assistant director of the AIDS clinic at San Francisco General Hospital. "We have killed patients who have ARC (AIDS-related

complex) by giving them a toxic drug.” Yes indeed! Most of the deaths of so-called AIDS are from massive chemotherapy and drugs. (You have probably heard that when physicians go on strike and withhold drugs, death rates drop by 25 % to 60%!) When you see the word virus used, automatically substitute the word chemical or drug, and then you’ll have the true cause of death.

The Virus Myth

Let’s use an analogy to further understand the virus rationale being foisted on us. Let’s presume a flea loses its head. (Yes, its head!) For what is called a virus is a genome (genetic material). Genomes are to cellular mitochondria what heads are to fleas or other organisms. Now let’s presume that a flea’s head is about one billionth the size of an elephant—that’s the size relationship (*Guyton’s Textbook of Medical Physiology*) of a virus to a cell.

In presenting the virus rationale we are asked to believe that a flea’s head attaches itself to an elephant, injects itself into the elephant and takes command of the elephant! Mind you the flea head is dead. All so-called viruses (genomes) are dead. None are living. Why? Because to any thinking person, they are a fractionated part of what *was* a living organism. In short, the flea’s head is a deadhead! Yet it can do things like take over an elephant. Upon taking over the elephant, it commands the elephant to reproduce its head—the elephant replicates the head thousands upon thousands of times. When the elephant has reproduced about 20,000 to 30,000 flea heads, it “explodes” and the flea heads are free to seek out other elephants and repeat the process. That’s a lot for a deadhead to do!

Were you observant in the last paragraph? Did you catch on that 20,000 to 30,000 flea heads are only one five hundredth of one percent of the size of an elephant? That’s like saying that if you inject yourself with half an ounce of a substance it will cause so much pressure inside you that you will explode.

This all sounds ridiculous, doesn’t it? Yes, it certainly does! Yet that is precisely the rationale used by so-called medical science. Let’s review that. So-called viruses are nothing more than genomes. (The body, in losing about half a trillion cells a day, also loses about an average of 5,000 mitochondrial genomes per cell, a gross

6 A Look Into the Causes of AIDS Per the Medical Literature

When the grandiose and unethical minds at CDC, in service to their commercial masters, choose the task of creating an epidemic that will yield billions in profits and a lot of other fallout benefits, they seize anything to bolster their "golden baby."

Almost any "opportunistic" infection falls within the reach of AIDS statistics these days. So it is fair to go to the medical dictionaries and medical literature and see how long AIDS has been around and what its former causes were other than HIV, its presently named cause.

Former and present editions of the prestigious medical publication, *The Merck Manual*, have a section on "opportunistic" infections under a heading "Infections in the Compromised Host." Compromised host means a person whose "defense mechanisms," which include white blood cells (the primary defense mechanism component), have been substantially destroyed.

Under etiology (the science of causes or origins) *The Merck Manual* says that opportunistic infections may occur in antimicrobial therapy (antibiotic treatments), irradiation, immunosuppressive or cytotoxic drugs (given in transplants, chemotherapy, etc.) and corticosteroids (prednisone or ACTH).

What do all these entries mean? They mean that AIDS, now so diagnosed because of "opportunistic" infections, is caused by physicians and hospitals! The above causes are all from iatrogenic sources. This frankly says that physicians cause disease, and in this case, what is now called AIDS.

This also means, without question, that drugs and irradiation cause what is called AIDS. Further, while it does not touch upon it, recreational drugs can cause the same condition.

Under another entry, "Other Manifestations of Infection" we find that leukopenia, which allows "opportunistic" infections, is characteristic of "chronic alcoholics."

This is another way of confirming authentically that "the treatment is deadlier than the disease."

Did You Know that Spirochetes Are Normally Found in the Human Mouth?

Well you do remember spirochetes, don't you? Certainly you have heard of syphilis which was said to be caused by spirochetes. The medical diagnosticians have just about dropped this former enemy from their lexicon.

Are you subject to germ phobia? Do you believe germs cause our diseases and illnesses? Especially if that is enlarged to include viruses? If you believe it, it may be difficult to overcome this misconception. We are not in the habit of blaming ourselves and our practices for our problems. Instead we have a profession that delights in telling us that we are the unfortunate victims of a bug going around.

Well, at one time, spirochetes were as awesomely feared as the so-called AIDS virus is today. But syphilis has disappeared for practical purposes. Even though during its heyday, only about 70% of syphiletics tested positive for *treponema pallidum*, it was still ascribed as the cause of syphilis. That is tantamount to blaming a garbage pile on flies even though there are no flies present. As a practical matter, the presence of bacteria, viruses or anything else in sickness and disease is not, per se, proof of their causing anything any more than the presence of flies proves they cause garbage.

Spirochetes come in several different varieties called *treponema*. Most people have spirochetes including the one supposedly causing syphilis. But spirochetes do not cause anything! The sole reason they are anywhere is the presence of food (soil).

The first stage of syphilis is today called herpes genitalis. What they do not tell you is that about 70% of these sufferers still test positive for *treponema pallidum*. The medical system is subject to changing fashions, especially ones that have high income potential. For instance, syphilis of the first stage became herpes genitalis which is treated with far more expensive drugs than syphilis. And the second stage where lymphadenopathy and other

symptoms called the “prodome” of AIDS are involved is now called AIDS-related complex, ARC for short.

Those who have what was once the tertiary and quaternary stages of syphilis are today said to have AIDS. As these stages of syphilis also involved immunosuppressed sufferers, it bears no discernible difference to AIDS though, with many lung, lymph and white blood cell problems now labelled as AIDS, more than just syphiletics are involved in AIDS.

The thrust of this message is: Only healthful living produces health. Healthful living always produces health, even in most people with “incurable” problems. Healthy people never get sick even if they have spirochetes, viruses, etc. All sickness and suffering are caused by toxemia/toxicosis, most of it introduced as recreational or medical drugs, nostrums, cooked foods, condiments, herbs and sundry other toxic substances.

This is to say that which will not produce disease in the healthy does not produce it in the unhealthy either! That which does not produce disease is irrelevant. That which is irrelevant is without significance and of no concern! Therefore, the main purpose of this discussion is to deprogram you of misconceptions and fears you may have.

8

Alcoholics Develop AIDS!

The Merck Manual lays a heavy trip on the evils of alcohol consumption. In fact, the drinking of alcohol may well be likened to slow suicide because of the many deadly and disabling results.

But among the effects of alcohol listed in the manual in various sections are two that are called AIDS!

Alcohol causes leukocytopenia, that is, a marked deficiency of white blood cells, better known as AIDS today.

Alcohol also causes brain damage and a host of other problems including heart problems labelled as cardiomyopathy.

But one of the pathological results of alcoholism is neuropathy, also called neurosyphilis, toxic dementia and, wouldn't you know it, AIDS dementia!

Wasn't it around the early 1500s that syphilis entered the medical literature? Wasn't it about this time that sugar cane growing and cheap rum (alcohol) came into prominence?

This raises the question: Was syphilis, supposedly a sexually transmitted disease, really a disease of body toxicity with alcohol being a prominent toxin?

Alcohol May Reduce Resistance to AIDS

Talk about mealy-mouthed words and wishy-washy language—the magazine *Insight* in its June 6, 1988, issue produced just that on the subject of AIDS.

Saying that evidence has shown that alcohol reduces the immune system's ability to fight off diseases, the article then said that the AIDS virus could more readily invade white blood cells after they were affected by alcohol and relatively little of it.

Alcohol-exposed lymphocytes had 20 to 250 times more viruses than those that were not subjected to alcohol. Further, alcohol-exposed lymphocytes produced less interleukin-2 and soluble immune response suppressor factor.

No matter what credence you do or do not give to the virus factor in the causation of white blood cell shortages, this story, for all that it says, really tells you only one thing: AIDS can also be caused by alcohol.

9

Do Bacteria, Fungus and Viruses Make Us Sick?

What role do microscopic life forms play in the causation of human health and sickness?

—Tony Gragnano, Brevard, NC

RESPONSE: The average human body has about two pounds of bacteria and fungi in its cavities, mostly up and down the intestinal tract. This figures out to quite a few trillion minute organisms. In the healthy human these organisms are symbiotic. In a person who eats foods contrary to his/her biological disposition, usually cooked proteins, the bacterial flora become putrefactive in character and generate lots of poisons which, when absorbed from the intestinal tract, give rise to toxemia/toxicosis which causes all sorts of illnesses and eventually, degenerative diseases.

If you live healthfully, you will appreciate only good health, for microbes will be symbiotic completely. The “bad” bacteria and fungi are with us all the time, but in such a small number in those who live healthfully that, due to lack of nutriment, they do not generate significant amounts of poisons. Putrefactive bacteria are almost totally absent in the raw foodist living off our biologically correct diet of fruits with some vegetables, nuts and seeds.

As causes of disease, neither bacteria nor fungi are basic to the process. Neither are so-called viruses. Even physicians unwittingly admit this.

Diseases are body initiated and conducted for the purpose of extraordinary detoxification and functional restoration. When regular channels of elimination cannot cope with the task of keeping the body clean, then a crisis called sickness is initiated to achieve cleansing and repair.

Physicians will tell you that bacteria, fungi and viruses are the causes of disease—in those who are susceptible! That copout tells you that these agencies do not cause disease but those factors which dispose us to susceptibility do. Which is to say they do not cause disease at all. For what are called pathogenic bacteria, fungi and

virii are always with us! If they caused disease, we would never be free of it.

Of course we must admit that most diseases are not ascribed to microbes. Who blames microbes for cancer? (They're trying to hang it on viruses now.) Who blames plaque in the arteries on microbes? (Over 90% of Americans over the age of four have plaque in the arteries.) Who blames diabetes on microbes? Or arthritis? Or asthma?

In short, relatively few of our diseases are blamed on microbes. But give the medical system time! They'll get around to it! The more microbes postulated as scapegoats, the greater will be the profits of the pharmaceutical/hospital/medical industries who try to "eradicate" them so we can be healthy again.

Bacteria and fungi live on dead organic matter such as cooked foods, not on living substances such as raw foods (it must first oxidize to be microbial soil), and they are innocuous to humans. We have them from birth to death.

So-called viruses are nothing more than the genetic debris from cells (we lose about 300 billion a day, more if we are toxic)—this genetic debris, technically a genome, is called a virus. "Viruses" stem from the few quadrillion of mitochondria that die daily. (Each cell, excepting blood cells, has up to 30,000 or more of these organelles or mitochondria which have a genome. A genome is to a mitochondrion roughly what the head is to the body.) As genomes are dead organic material having no attributes of life (and in no sense are they microbes or organisms) they can cause nothing, despite the medical myths to the contrary.

So it gets back to this: At worst what are called microbes are irrelevant! Health is always produced by healthful living, and diseases are the invariable result when we live unhealthfully. So the worst thing about fearing microbes and disease is the fear itself.

10

Is AIDS Really Syphilis in New Garb?

To ask such a question, at the very least, voices a strong suspicion that this is so. However, there is much more than mere suspicion involved here. Syphilis has been dismantled and presented as other or new diseases. The chancre of syphilis is identical with the papillae/lesions of herpes genitalis. Whether called syphilis or herpes genitalis, *treponema pallidum* is found in about 70% of cases. Likewise, herpes virus, so-called, can be found about 100% of the time whether called syphilis or herpes.

There has never been any scientific proof that either spirochetes or so-called herpes virus have caused anything. Efforts to cause either disease by "infecting" others with the germs and the viruses did not work.

Medical literature says there is a secondary stage of syphilis and lists as being among the symptoms lymphadenopathy, malaise, headache, nausea, fever, anemia, neck stiffness from aseptic meningitis, lethargy, anorexia, weight loss, rashes, and others. Today this precisely describes AIDS-related complex which, for statistical purposes, are listed as AIDS sufferers.

AIDS is said to be ARC plus opportunistic infections, pneumocystis carinii (parasites appear in the lungs), toxoplasmosis (another parasitic condition), Kaposi's sarcoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, candidiasis, thrush, mycobacterial infection (this was called TB), lymphocytopenia and a host of other symptoms.

What is obviously the case is that what was called the tertiary and quaternary stages of syphilis have been included in the disease complex called AIDS. AIDS has become a progressively enlarged catchall term to ensnare ever more customers for the unconscionable drug cartel, more research boondoggles for the rapacious "research" industry, and, of course, increased power and income to the bureaucratic perpetrators and orchestrators of the scam.

Actually, AIDS dementia, neurosyphilis and toxic dementia are all the same thing. All are obviously caused by poisons which cause neuropathy, that is, disease of the brain and nervous system.

Neurosyphilis was first described in the medical literature in 1539, just a short time after mercury and bismuth became treatments of choice for those suffering from the first two stages of syphilis. Also described were symptoms known as tertiary and quaternary stages of syphilis to this day, and are now included under the AIDS banner.

In his book, *AIDS and Syphilis—The Hidden Link*, Harris L. Coulter interviews Joan McKenna who works with AIDS sufferers.

She states that in 1984 she realized that medicinal drugs caused AIDS. Mr. Coulter asked her if she meant that physicians didn't want to be told that drugs suppress the patient's immune system, thus causing AIDS. Her reply was "yes." She also explained that the medical profession is locked into the viral theory of AIDS causation. However, she pointed out that viral causation is the official line and physicians who do not follow that line are in lots of trouble.

Ms. McKenna and a physician, Stephen Caiazza, both support Mr. Coulter's theme that "syphilis went that way," that is, into the AIDS camp. Ms. McKenna says that any physician who does not treat or advocate chemotherapy for AIDS sufferers is likely to be persecuted and prosecuted.

This gives you some inkling of just how the powerful drug/government complex is in enforcing its will upon us. And among the scams they foist upon us are disease fabrications to up the ante on dismantled and re-introduced diseases.

11

How Do You Tell AIDS From Syphilis?

In an article by Raymond A. Smego and others in the *North Carolina Medical Journal* in 1984 (pages 253-254) there is an article entitled: "Secondary Syphilis Masquerading as AIDS in a Young Gay Male."

The physician told of the difficulties of distinguishing between AIDS and syphilis, for they had the same symptomology.

Dr. Stephen Caiazza of New York City who had extensive experience treating syphiletics in New York saw the complaint of syphilis almost disappear. But then he noticed that AIDS sufferers who came to him had the same symptoms as those formerly being diagnosed as syphiletics.

Dr. Caiazza started treating his AIDS cases like syphilis (no AZT, no chemotherapy), and they invariably got better, while those who had AIDS and went to conventional physicians and took chemotherapy invariably died!

Dr. Caiazza says that AIDS is a ploy to get syphiletics onto AZT and other expensive drugs as contrasted with the inexpensive drugs syphiletics were treated with.

Let us keep in mind that things that look like the same thing and are equal to the same thing in physiology are the same thing!

12 AIDS, The AIDS Virus, Dr. Gallo, Dr. Duesberg, and Koch's Postulates

Koch's Immortal Postulates Altered by Unthinking Medical Mind

Should we concern ourselves with postulates? Medical men do, and they pose as being bound by them.

A postulate is a self-evident truth—a statement or proposition that bears inherent evidence of its truth.

I first became acquainted with Koch's postulates while in high school. Recently, I was reading them again as reproduced by Dr. Peter Duesberg, the famous cancer/virology researcher who pulled the rug from under Gallo's so-called HIV virus as the cause of AIDS. Dr. Duesberg is perhaps one of the foremost cancer and viral researchers in this country. His findings irrevocably say that the retrovirus called HIV not only does not cause AIDS but *cannot* cause AIDS.

As an adjunct to his presentation, he printed Koch's postulates as altered by some unthinking medical mind. Koch's postulates say:

To establish a microorganism as a cause of disease:

1. It must be found in all cases of the disease.
2. It must be isolated from the host and grown in a pure culture.
3. It must reproduce the original disease when introduced into an unaffected organism, and...
4. It must be found present in the experimental host so infected.

In short, the presence of the causative agency must always occasion the disease.

The Word "Susceptible" Has Been Added to Koch's Postulates

When I learned the postulates, the word susceptible was not in them. The medical system has interpolated this word into Koch's postulates as quoted by Dr. Duesberg. When anyone quotes the

postulates with the word susceptible in them, they are not quoting Koch and, the use of the word susceptible destroys the proposition anyway!

The word susceptible was obviously interpolated to explain away the fact that experimental hosts rarely, in fact, almost never, come down with the disease the blamed agency was said to cause. The swabbing of noses with the nasal excrement of cold sufferers did not cause colds in the 1965 National Institute of Health cold experiments in Bethesda, Maryland.

A cause, of course, is always a cause! And it invariably causes what it is supposed to cause! If a blamed causative agency does not cause the disease it is supposed to cause when reintroduced into anyone, then it is not a cause.

The word susceptible means that the criterion which establishes susceptibility is the cause of the disease and not the microorganism or the agency blamed! That is just as clear and self-evident as the postulates themselves. The interpolation destroys the axioms.

I am surprised that someone of Dr. Duesberg's giant mental stature could overlook this.

As it applies to AIDS, the "virus" is found in less than half those suffering from the disease. Its absence is evidence that it does not cause the disease, that obviously something else does! Moreover, from 1½ to 2 million are said to have the virus. Yet the deaths from AIDS are decreasing despite enlarged guidelines for diagnosing it! Which is to say that a "cause" that does not cause is no cause. In the case of AIDS a cause has been imposed upon "science" by a drug/medical establishment that needs scapegoats on which to blame disease.

Dr. Gallo is an opportunist and a pawn of the interests that need fearful scapegoats to blame for our diseases. As Dr. Duesberg's researches show his findings to be without merit, we can dismiss Dr. Gallo as the scientist the press and establishment want us to believe him to be.

Did Dr. Gallo Photograph an AIDS Virus?

In the fall of 1987, newspapers and TV carried photos of an "AIDS virus" invading a cell. Dr. Robert Gallo of the National Cancer Institute was credited with this incredible piece of detective work.

I witnessed this "virus" "injecting" itself into the cell. The virus was a round object in the photo and the cell was, relatively, monstrous. The photos depicted only a part of the cell with attention focused on the so-called virus.

What we saw was the virus, perfectly still and quiescent, being, quite truly and literally, engulfed by the cell. The cell first flattened out. Then it had a dent formed which, in physiology, is called the beginning of invagination. Slowly the so-called virus is surrounded by cellular substance, closed off and disappears. In physiology, as taught practically since the advent of the electronic microscope, this process is called phagocytosis, meaning a process of an eating cell. The impromptu stomach formed by the cell around the "virus" becomes filled with powerful enzymes which easily digest the organic material, breaking it down into amino acids and fatty acids, for recycling by the cell.

The fact that Dr. Gallo has renamed a process that is an ordinary and regular physiological phenomenon and called it an AIDS virus invasion, speaks ill of him. In short, it belies him as an opportunistic fraud—an opportunistic infection of the intellectual world, if you will. The virus injected itself into the cell about as much as a baseball injects itself into a baseball player's glove.

This blatant attempt to fool the people in behalf of commercial interests' efforts to peddle their deadly pharmaceutical wares (that will take on the alias of medicines) marks Dr. Gallo as a willing, if not dedicated, tool of these interests. The notoriety Dr. Gallo seeks is really infamy, and history will so categorize him.

14

Why Those with "AIDS" Suffer More Illnesses

Physicians assure us that "AIDS" sufferers cannot "fight off" disease because of immune deficiency. There is a grain of truth in that, and there are many misconceptions involved.

Most of us think of disease as an entity that invades the body which we must fight off. This is characterized as an invasion of a coordinated mass of bacteria, viruses, fungi, etc., bent upon a course that makes us sick, diseased, etc. In reality, nothing remotely like this occurs.

Disease is a bodily instituted and conducted process of detoxification and repair. When the body attains its objectives, it terminates the processes which we call illness. Were the process of disease an "attack" as is popularly supposed, that which could lay low a healthy person and multiply so prolifically as bacteria do or "viruses" are supposed to, then a much devitalized body could not, in disease, rebuff what it could not do in health. Therefore malevolent forces would invariably devour the victim in true predator fashion.

Those who have "AIDS" suffer more inflammation, liver malfunction, diarrhea, and other problems. That is, those who have less white blood cells than normal, a condition now called AIDS, have more diseases or affections. There is no disputing this.

Why should those with "AIDS" suffer more crises called illness than those who have a sufficiency of white blood cells? The answer is simple. The body goes through extraordinary eliminative crises called sicknesses, illnesses, maladies, or diseases ejecting toxins that would normally have been eliminated by the body's first line of defense, the white blood cells. What the leukocytes, with much reduced numbers, could not do in the regular course, the body has to do as an extraordinary step through the agency of sickness, a condition when the body withdraws its energies and resources from ordinary activities and redirects them to the emergency of expelling poisons that pose dangers.

This delineation of the reason for more affections by those with "AIDS" does not give credence to the hoax at all. The

medical/drug establishment is perpetrating a commercial promotion upon us all. They put "AIDS" sufferers through a regime that is twice as expensive as the "treatment" a cancer sufferer goes through (about \$150,000 for each one they treat!). Further, the average individuals who go through chemotherapy and other modalities usually do not survive the ordeal whether they suffer "AIDS," cancer, or nothing at all.

There is no such thing as an immune system in the first place—what they are calling immune responses are really the body's defensive mechanisms that deal with inadvertently absorbed bacteria, fungi, unusable debris, toxic materials, drugs and other obnoxious substances. These defensive mechanisms are primarily the white blood cells.

Further, there is no such thing as acquiring a "deficiency." What was previously called IDS was either leukocytopenia, lymphocytopenia, agammaglobulinemia, or Kaposi's syndrome. These conditions arose from destruction of the body's white blood cells. The average human can double and triple the white blood cell count within an hour or two from storage and new generation. However, if the lymph organs (spleen, thymus, etc.) are impaired—and they can be impaired by *any* poison—lymphocytopenia (a shortage of lymph-altered white blood cells) results. The same goes for bone-marrow impairment where red blood cells and white blood cells are created.

The body's ability to create white blood cells is so extensive throughout its bone marrow and many lymph organs, glands, and tissues that a shortage of white blood cells is hard to bring about. Even the tonsils, which physicians are fond of cutting out, create lymphocytes.

Despite such a wealth of blood/tissue cleansing white blood cells, many Americans so intoxicate their bodies with drugs, alcohol, processed foods, soft drinks, condiments, cooked foods, protein breakdown by-products, etc., that they lose the ability to create adequate white blood cells.

When an organism does not have enough prime line defenses, among which are white blood cells, then it must resort to extraordinary detoxification steps, such as inflammation and sicknesses.

15 Does the Body Fight Disease?

AIDS is said to impair the body's ability to fight disease due to loss of white blood cells, our first line of defense. Does the body really fight disease or does it create the disease? Exactly what carries out the actions that constitute disease? Are these actions fighting or repairing processes?

There is so much muddled thinking on the subject by medical and other practitioners that writers and laymen do no better than follow their tortured thinking and expressions. Most of us think that disease is something that invaded our body which the body must try to fight off with, of course, the help of a physician who has the weapons to rout the invading enemy.

Victor Gong, M.D., the author of a book called *AIDS: Facts and Issues*, says that the loss of white blood cells leaves an individual vulnerable to illnesses that a healthy "immune" system might overcome. What Dr. Gong is saying is that, because of loss of defenses, all sorts of diseases can successfully invade the body.

Let's try this one out for size. And of course, this is my position exactly.

The body's defensive faculties, particularly the white blood cell complement, keeps the blood and fluids as free as possible of contaminants. Contaminants may be:

- (a) Body wastes. For instance the body loses and replaces from 300 to 800 billion cells each day, depending primarily upon the practices of the individual. If nerve and other energies including those of the white blood cells are not equal to the tasks of recycling and eliminating these wastes, they become a serious problem.
- (b) Unusable cooked food debris. Cooking progressively deranges a food from whole food to ashes. To the extent deranged, the food becomes deficient of what was deranged. In turn the "ashes" which are created from the beginning are toxic. This is evident when the body's "immune" system (leukocytes) double and triple in number after the ingestion of a cooked meal. Failure of the "immune" system leaves this debris to accumulate.

- (c) Toxic substances that the body inadvertently absorbs from the intestinal tract. These are usually microbial degradation of cooked or oxidized foodstuffs in the intestinal tract. They take the form of fermentative substances like alcohol, lactic acid, acetic acid and other by-products such as methane gas. But far worse are the poisons resulting from bacterial degradation of heated proteins. These may be ptomaines, leukomaines, mercaptans, hydrogen sulphide, ammonias, indols, skatols, methane gas and an extensive list of other bacterial by-products.
- (d) Recreational, prescribed, and over-the-counter drugs. Directly injected into the veins, sniffed and absorbed through the lungs or taken orally and absorbed through the intestinal villi, most drugs are very immunosuppressive because, in fact, they poison white blood cells and thus destroy them.
- (e) Microbial life itself, which includes parasites, bacteria, fungi and yeast. (This does not include viruses, so-called, inasmuch as there is not one quality of life about these inert genomes. Moreover, they are among the body's wastes. Given sufficient nerve energy, the body readily deals with its own wastes.) These microbial organisms are absorbed from body cavities, primarily the intestinal tract. All are ordinarily dealt with appropriately by the white blood cells.

When the white blood cells are faced with more of these morbid substances than they can handle, the result is poison accumulation to the point body integrity is threatened. In the event of body saturation with morbid matters, the body institutes a crisis of extraordinary detoxification and cleansing which manifests as various symptomologies, named according to the locations where the body has elected to concentrate the morbid matters or the sites of extraordinary ejection. Invariably it is described as an "itis," meaning it is an inflammation or fever. Fevers are intensified body processes to accelerate elimination and restore normal function.

The more loaded the body is with toxins, the more white blood cells the body marshalls to the bloodstream and fluids. The white blood cell count doubles, triples and even quadruples in attempting to cope with the load! Many Americans so load their system with toxic substances, the "immune" system's ability to

eliminate is far exceeded. In that event the body must initiate detoxification processes, which are extraordinary ways of body cleansing, to rid itself of toxin saturation lest it succumb to toxicosis. The extraordinary steps the body takes are called diseases or a disease complex.

But another factor must enter into our reckoning! When those poisons are so toxic as to be "immunosuppressive," as is the case with:

1. Antibiotics,
2. Prednisone or other steroids,
3. Factor VIII given with blood transfusion to prevent rejection,
4. Medically administered drugs called immunosuppressants,
5. Chemotherapy drugs,
6. Drugs like poppers (amyl and butyl nitrites), uppers, downers, Quaaludes, cocaine, heroin and marijuana,

the white blood cells are poisoned to death by the very drugs they seek to apprehend and expel. When white blood cells are greatly reduced in number, even the poisons generated from the conventional diet alone cannot be dealt with. Thus the body enters into a state of chronic severe crises of extraordinary detoxification called illnesses. This is the disease complex called AIDS.

So you see that other body faculties must do, through processes called sickness or disease, the detoxification which the white blood cells would have regularly done had their number been sufficient.

This also makes clearly evident that diseases are not "entities" lying in wait to launch an attack against an unsuspecting enemy. If that were so, then diseases would be another form of biological life which, of course, is absurd. Only living things are entities that act purposively.

And microorganisms such as parasites, bacteria and fungi do not have communication systems, coordinators, directors or generals, nor the intelligence with which to act in concert or direct a war against anyone or anything! They all act individually and proliferate only when there is an increase in their soil or food.

Were diseases as represented, then once the proliferating "beasties" had laid a victim low, their geometrically increasing

numbers would steadily increase the “devouring” and cease only when they had exhausted their food which would not be before “they had picked the bones clean.” If a healthy body is truly overwhelmed by attackers, which is what sicknesses are said to be, there would be no recovery! That which is conquered in nature stays conquered and exits the scene.

When diseases are said to be “limited,” this really means the affection is a body-detoxification process, and the process is terminated by the body when its cleansing objectives are reached. This acknowledges that the body is in control of itself and not at the mercy of hordes of microbes or some mysterious disease “entity.”

Remember, the only “entity” in disease is the body which deliberately, intelligently and purposively conducts processes to free itself of encumbering toxic materials.

16

Truths About AIDS Surface Slowly

When the first diagnoses of AIDS were made in 1981 of homosexual heroin addicts of Haitian origin, it was clear this was phony. You see contagion is a myth—a medical appropriation of ancient misconceptions.

When a disease comes in as a *new* disease about which little or nothing is professed to be known and it is already labelled *acquired*, then you can be sure it has been contrived. When it was introduced as a disease of homosexuals, the more aware ones said: "This is a weapon aimed at the gay rights movement which was then showing all the promise for gays that the Equal Rights Amendment promised for women."

IDS Entered Medical Literature in 1952!

IDS was in the medical literature long before it had an "A" before it. The acronym "AIDS" came in 1981/82. CDC was urged to introduce the disease as GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease). But CDC had bigger things in mind. Why be limited to only 10% of the population as possible customers for the big new drug markets bound to develop around this "new" disease?

IDS has had many acronyms and disease designations. It has been called by at least a dozen other names. (See "What Really Causes AIDS" for 17 such listings.)

AIDS Taken Over by Drug Establishment

Findings here and there are destroying the claims made for this contrived disease even though billions have been spent in publicizing it. The panic has generated an atmosphere where a knight in shining armor, a vaccine that is, is welcomed. Thus, the hype with which it was launched about its being a contagious disease was strictly in preparation for acceptance of drugs and vaccines as godsend when they inevitably appear.

Pinpointing AIDS As Just Another Farce

An article in the April 1987 issue of *Penthouse* by Gary Null shows that AIDS is a political/economic disease. Cited are the findings of Dr. Anthony Morris who blew the whistle on the swine flu farce. Dr. Morris demonstrated that blood frozen fifty years ago had the same configurations (so-called antibodies) as are today said to be evidence of the AIDS virus.

An example of the specious grounds of the disease being virally caused is evidence produced in court and won by two women who developed AIDS in the Silicon Valley of California. They proved that their disease resulted from exposure to chemicals they breathed. The chemicals their work exposed them to destroyed their white blood cells, thus resulting in all the affections symptomatic of what is called AIDS.

Another example of medically created AIDS is the administration of cytotoxic drugs as in chemotherapy or immunosuppressive drugs. Immunosuppressive drugs destroy white blood cells wholesale so they will not attack transplanted alien organs or transfused blood.

In short, AIDS is really a drug-caused disease rather than a disease begotten by so-called viruses.

Confirmation that AIDS Is a Drug-Caused Disease

There was an article in the April 23, 1987, issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine* entitled "Types of Renal Disease in the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome." The gist of the article is that a goodly number of AIDS sufferers also suffered nephrotoxic injury, that is, injury to the kidneys by poisons. Of course poisons are all cytotoxic, that is, deadly to cells. Especially deadly are amyl nitrite and isobutyl nitrite popularly known as poppers, a favorite drug of choice among homosexual males.

That which destroys white blood cells also injures the liver and kidneys which must deal with deadly substances too. The liver and kidneys must expel poisons from the system.

If you fear the condition called AIDS, fear not. Always keep in mind that it is a disease of drug users (yes, even from

prescription and over-the-counter drugs!), especially drug addicts. Almost all deaths attributed to AIDS are confirmed drug users.

Perhaps you can see that the AIDS panic has been manufactured to beget general hysteria. Perhaps you can see it has been foisted upon us by drug and related interests that stand to make a killing from it. This book should open your eyes sufficiently that you will not be stampeded into the medical/drug corral.

Marijuana Promotes AIDS

According to the researches of Eliezer Huberman of Argonne National Laboratory, marijuana users are reducing their immune system's capacity to keep the body detoxified.

Monocytes, a key component of our body's defensive faculties, which also include lymphocytes, leukocytes, granulocytes (eosinophils, neutrophils and basophils) and other components, are critically impaired by THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) in marijuana.

When the body's defensive faculties—called the immune system—are impaired, the body is less able to remove poisons and bacterial and fungal organisms absorbed from the intestinal tract.

Accumulations of Morbid Materials Give Rise to AIDS Symptoms

The lessened ability to cleanse the bloodstream and fluids gives rise to accumulations of morbid materials. These accumulations consist of uneliminated body wastes, ingested poisons and toxic by-products of bacterial and fungal generation from cooked, oxidized and indigestible foods.

In order to keep the body from being overwhelmed, sufferers of deficiencies in crucial defensive components such as monocytes frequently or even chronically undergo extraordinary eliminative crises (called opportunistic infections) such as pneumocystic carinii pneumonia, fevers, diarrheas and other affections characteristic of the immunodeficiency syndrome.

AIDS is Really Drug Caused

While not spelled out in this article, it is further evidence that what is called AIDS is really contributed to or caused by toxic materials, drugs and chemicals.

18

Mercury Observed to Cause AIDS

Back in 1914 Sir William Osler, acknowledged as one of the greatest physicians who ever lived, wrote a book, *Modern Disease*, in which he described a quaternary stage of syphilis that has symptoms identical to those of AIDS.

Dr. Osler observed that only those who were treated with mercury had these symptoms. In those days physicians were not timid about administering mercury in heroic dosages.

Mercury has been prominent as a medicine since the 16th century, about the time that what was called syphilis entered the medical literature and, coincidentally, when physicians began to be called quacks for administering this pernicious poison known in German as quacksilber.

Of course mercury is a highly poisonous substance. But only in degree is it more poisonous than the drugs administered to thousands of people these days.

19

Why Condiments Are Immunosuppressants

Why should vinegar (and the alcohol from which it is derived) be an immunosuppressant? Why should salt, oregano, spices and hundreds of herbs be immunosuppressants?

By using the word immunosuppressant I am employing a popularly used medical term which signifies a substance that destroys our white blood cells. We lose white blood cells all the time. Some of them are naturally short lived, having only a few days of life. Normally they are just as rapidly replaced by body faculties to maintain white blood cell levels at the mean number needed under its circumstances.

When a poison enters the bloodstream, it usually comes from the intestinal tract. It must get from the portal blood into the circulating blood before it can offer the body really serious problems, though poisons pose problems from ingestion to ejection. Poisons can also be injected, breathed and absorbed through skin and mucous membranes.

Poisons entering the liver from the portal blood are immediately set upon by macrophages (a special type of white blood cell whose name means "big eater") of the liver as well as other defensive agencies. Even before poisons get to the liver, white blood cells have begun their suicidal mission of apprehending and removing them.

Bacteria and Fungi Are Relatively Harmless

Bacteria and fungi incidentally absorbed from the intestinal tract into the portal blood are likewise set upon. So effective are the white blood cells and the macrophages in apprehending and destroying bacteria and fungi that if billions of these minute life forms enter the portal blood—and many billions, even trillions, do so daily, especially in our conventional eating populace—scarcely any bacteria or fungi enter the circulating blood. Bacteria and fungi are scavengers that are not toxic in themselves (with rare exceptions) and are powerless against living matter. Organic

materials and microbes are a piece of cake for the white blood cell complement to apprehend, destroy and, if usable, to turn into food.

Inorganic Minerals Are Toxic

Organic or inorganic poisons are not as easy to apprehend. For instance, arsenic, selenium and iodine are needed nutrients. But, in their inorganic form they are highly toxic. Anything that is highly toxic destroys white blood cells.

Our white blood cells are the guardians of the purity of our body fluids and tissues. The moment a poison contacts living substance it forms chemical unions that can cripple or destroy the cell and/or its vital mitochondrial population and/or its protoplasm. White blood cells can be destroyed in the apprehension of both organic and inorganic poisons.

One of the indicators of poisons having been ingested and/or absorbed is the body's propensity for thirst. The body usually takes on copious amounts of water to hold the poisons in a watery suspension away from vital cells. Of course exceptions to this are: arduous labor in great heat, the ingestion of dried foods and no water intake for many hours or a day or more.

Leukocytosis Proves That Cooked Foods Are Toxic

Thus we usually develop intense thirsts when we take on salt, vinegar and other condiments. Because the deranged debris of cooked foods is toxic, thirst manifests after the eating of cooked foods even if they are water sufficient—this means eaten in the raw state, no thirst would have been experienced. Further, tests show that leukocytosis occurs after eating cooked foods, that is, the white blood cell count increases by 100 to 500%!

Anything that causes intense white blood cell activity is also "immunodestructive." When white blood cells are destroyed faster than the body can create them, the body is said to be immunosuppressed.

Of course the body usually gets enough respite from continuous ingestion of poisons to remove them and reproduce sufficient white blood cells thus bringing the defensive complement back up to par. However, when it does not cope with the need, extraordinary

poisons circulate in the bloodstream and saturate the fluids and tissues, thus giving rise to a condition known as toxicosis, popularly miscalled toxemia. In such an event, should vitality be adequate, the process called disease is initiated by the body to expel uneliminated poisons.

Through sickness or disease processes, the body extraordinarily eliminates poisons through organs, the mucous membranes and the skin. For instance, a cold is nothing more than body expulsion of toxins via the respiratory tract.

When the body is severely "immunosuppressed" as with condiments, bacterially and fungally created poisons in the intestinal tract, recreational drugs and medically administered drugs, the condition now called AIDS may well result. The body is rife with fevers, diarrheas, inflammations (as in pneumonia) and other disease processes to free itself of the accumulating and deadly toxic load which may include a lot of its own uneliminated wastes.

When "immunosuppressed," the body needs extra sleep and rest to recuperate its vital energies, to deal with the extra toxicity and to regenerate lost body faculties.

All condiments and spices, especially hot peppers, are toxic. Hot pepper destroys cells wholesale, thus occasioning immediate body distress. All herbs said to be medicinal are likewise poisonous. And most herbs called spices and condiments are mildly to seriously toxic.

Destruction of our blood's defensive faculties is but one more effect of poisoning ourselves with what we put into our bodies via the mouth, lungs and injection.

For vibrant health take heed of the need for exercise, adequate sleep and sunshine, a distress-free environment and situation, and, most of all, the stricture that only three things should ever enter our bodies: good air, pure water, and foods to which we are biologically adapted.

20 The Biggest Hoax of All: The Virus Sham

If there is a more heinous scam than the AIDS hoax, it has to be the virus hoax because about everyone buys it including almost all our scientists, the legitimate ones as well as those who bask under its invocation. In short, it has almost everyone fooled.

The virus has been a good scapegoat for about one hundred years now, ever since it was glaringly evident that bacteria did not cause disease, especially by admission of its popularizer, Louis Pasteur, who said in his later years that the milieu was everything in the cause of disease and the bacteria of no consequence.

This becomes plainly obvious when we find that eaters of cooked foods, especially lots of cooked protein foods, have about two pounds of bacteria up and down their intestinal tract which produce lots of toxic by-products. On the other hand, those who eat raw foods consisting mostly of fruits have just a few ounces of bacteria up and down the intestinal tract, all symbiotic (fermentative) in character and producing vitamins which the host can make use of, notably vitamin B-12.

Or look at it this way. Raw food eaters who eat mostly fruits and observe appropriately the other needs of life (good air, pure water, adequate sleep, vigorous activity, sunshine, etc.) never become sick despite exposure to all kinds of bacteria, fungi (yeasts) and so-called viruses.

If a conventional living person becomes sick, and they often do, fasting can speedily resolve the malady! Now if these so-called causes of disease do, indeed, cause disease, then their presence would cause it in everyone. But, if disease exists and the simple resort to fasting resolves the ailment quickly as it invariably does, then what did bacteria, fungi or so-called viruses have to do with it?

If viruses do not cause disease in those who live healthfully, then they cannot cause it in those who do not live healthfully. The physician counters with "those who live healthfully are not at risk" or "those who live healthfully are not susceptible" or "those who

live healthfully have high resistance.” That copout tells you that whatever places anyone “at risk,” causes “susceptibility” or begets “low resistance” is the *real* cause of disease.

In this light, healthful living always produces health and unhealthful living produces sickness and suffering. That makes viruses irrelevant. And what is irrelevant is insignificant and, indeed, meaningless.

The deliberate erection of so-called viruses (they are actually genomes resulting from cellular breakup on expiration) as scapegoats for disease proceeds from the establishment’s need for scapegoats to justify their pharmaceutical industries whose products presumably exorcise the malevolent little beasts.

21

Is AIDS Agammaglobulinemia?

A severe immunodeficiency disease of infants and children is called agammaglobulinemia which means low to nonexistent gammaglobulins in the blood. Gammaglobulins are specialized proteins which play a role in recognizing nonself materials that are a hazard to cellular well-being.

This condition may be called SCID (Severe Combined Immunodeficiency) as well. But the guidelines these days for diagnosing AIDS means that physicians are more likely to include those two diseases under the sweeping designation of AIDS.

In the past few years I have received phone calls from parents who have had diagnoses of AIDS and agammaglobulinemia in their infants and youngsters. In the case of those with diagnoses of AIDS I have advised them to get second and even third opinions. Many have been rewarded with a secondary diagnosis of agammaglobulinemia rather than the oppressive label of AIDS.

In any event what can be done about the condition in children? Because the problem is usually laid at the door of a genetic defect, physicians are now thinking gene implant therapy. But, regardless of the causes, a healthful regime that involves proper attention to fresh air, sunshine, sleep, and a raw food regime of mostly fruits and vegetables will certainly produce the highest level of health possible. And that is often enough to reverse the problem in cases of noncongenital cases.

Defects in children are rife today, about 20% of all births. About half of these problems are brain and nervous system problems that give rise to mental problems. Normal children are born to normal parents when they live normally. Because very few Americans live normally, defects in children at birth are increasing.