

SECTION VI

AIDS and Sex

1

An Update on the AIDS Scam

This is a second printing of the book, **THE GREAT AIDS HOAX**. A lot has transpired in the past year since we submitted the book for its first printing.

The article which comprised this chapter was rather impertinent to the subject, hence we have discontinued it and inserted here some articles that reveal dramatically just how the hoax continues to be perpetrated, and, equally, how fallacious is the "evidence" adduced.

Most of us realize that a widespread disease called syphilis (named after the poet who, in 1539, described the results of treatment of the first two stages of syphilis with mercury and bismuth). The latter two stages, tertiary syphilis and quaternary syphilis (neurosyphilis) are today called AIDS and AIDS dementia. Primary syphilis is today called herpes genitalis and the second stage AIDS-Related Complex or ARC. Of course syphilis is in a big grab bag of other affections that are included under the AIDS banner.

Perhaps, in trying to account for the so-called dormancy of a so-called Human Immunodeficiency Virus, the medical establishment has committed one of the biggest frauds of all. You decide for yourself.

2

Discovering Where The Aids Virus Hides

The above headline appeared in the July 21, 1989 issue of **USA TODAY**. This article is an inquiry into:

Does a Virus Hide and thus stay "Many Years in the Dormant Stage?"

This article is not printed because we're concerned with the

phony virus called HIV. This article is published to expose how monstrous are the lies printed in the name of science and how an unsophisticated reading public is sold anything "done in the name of science."

Significant quotations from the article are as follows:

"The hide-out used by the AIDS virus to lie dormant in the body for years before causing symptoms has been found by a team of scientists from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and Georgetown University."

The study was published in the prestigious magazine, SCIENCE, for July 21, 1989.

Where does the perfidious AIDS virus (HIV) hide? According to the article in SCIENCE:

"The AIDS virus uses the immune system's chief infection fighting cells—T-4 lymphocytes—as its Trojan Horse or reservoir. Most AIDS researchers suspected that was true, but this study proves it."

This quotation from USA TODAY reveals a blatant stratagem to underpin some of the "loose ends" of really wild claims made in behalf of AIDS.

As lies go, this is perhaps one of the biggest and easily shown up lies of all! If you know a little physiology the fly in the ointment on this claim stands out like a sore thumb! As the average American does not know physiology, he or she is likely to accept this impossible statement as another great scientific finding.

Why is this "finding" impossible?

Because T-4 lymphocytes have an average life span of just a few weeks! Any physiology text treating the subject tells us so. White blood cells are perhaps the shortest living cells in the human body, some living only one to four hours!

So how can a virus or anything else lie dormant for years in an organism that has a life span of only a few days or weeks?

This is but a further fabrication in support of the monstrous fraud called AIDS that has been perpetrated upon us.

3 Scientist Maintains Virus Not the Cause of AIDS

Dr. Peter Duesberg, a molecular biologist from the University of California (UC), Berkeley, gave a talk to about one hundred medical educators at the UC Medical Center in San Diego on November 4, 1988.

In his talk he cited that one virus could not cause the 20-odd diseases now called AIDS. He also pointed out that the medical system had done a flip-flop on the issue of antibodies. The medical system claims that antibodies are a sign that one will die within two years of AIDS, but it's really a sign that the body has successfully dealt with the virus presumed to be the cause.

Dr. Duesberg was taken to task and berated by an M.D. at the meeting. The M.D. angrily denounced Dr. Duesberg. He bade Dr. Duesberg to go home and stop giving these talks and inexcusable distortions.

Dr. Spector has a vested interest in AIDS. He is the director of the UCSD research program funded by the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases. [Nothing riles the system and its beneficiaries so much as simple truths which threaten their racket's destruction.]

4 Did Chimpanzees Prove Useless As Guinea Pigs in AIDS?

In the November 1988 issue of *Discover* magazine an article stated that chimps were useless as guinea pigs in AIDS research. The reason: No matter how much they were injected with the "virus" they never developed any symptoms.

As the chimps body chemistry is practically identical to ours, what does this prove?

Well, it proves for one thing that Dr. Peter Duesberg, the noted microbiologist at the University of California, Berkeley, was right. He stated that the so-called HIV or "AIDS virus" not only did not cause what is called AIDS but could not cause it. He offered to be injected with the virus.

The truth is that what is called a virus is dead organic debris from cellular death and subsequent break-up. This material is easily managed by the body and is mostly recycled. Some 300 billion cells die daily and perhaps ten quadrillion mitochondria, all having genomes (called viruses), are involved.

So why didn't the chimps develop AIDS? Very simply because "viruses" cause nothing. Being dead organic debris, these genomes called viruses are incapable of any action whatsoever, either hostile or friendly. The body providently recycles them for their valuable nutrients.

AIDS is caused by immunosuppressive drugs like antibiotics, chemotherapy, Prednisone and a raft of others. Even such substances as cocaine, poppers, marijuana, alcohol, nicotine and other poisons that get into the body fluids are "immunosuppressive" because, in apprehending them for expulsion, the white blood cells which comprise "the immune system" are themselves destroyed.

If these experiments prove anything, it does not prove that chimps are useless but, rather, that viruses do not cause diseases or anything else!

5 Did Sex Avoidance Lower the Incidence of AIDS in Homosexuals?

June E. Osborn, M.D., dean of the School of Public Health at the University of Michigan and a member of the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences, has served as a vaccine observer and advisor to the U.S. Public Health Service

for the past 15 years.

Recently Dr. Osborn cautioned that, should a vaccine for AIDS put in an appearance, it will not do much to stem the tide of the AIDS epidemic. But, in making that statement, she made an admission that shows the AIDS campaign is really coming unglued.

Dr. Osborn said, "The remarkable recent drop in infection rates among homosexual men in San Francisco and New York proves the power of behavioral avoidance."

This would lead us to believe that the homosexuals in these two cities, which have the largest homosexual populations in the U.S., have suddenly dropped off drastically in their sexual activities. Nothing could be further from the truth! Life Science researchers as well as other reports bespeak a different picture.

What Really Causes AIDS

The word has gotten around the gay community that the following practices placed them at high risk (anything said to be a risk is actually a cause) for developing immunodeficiency: Poppers which may be either amyl nitrite or isobutyl nitrite (nearly 100% of the gays with AIDS used them); intravenous drug injections primarily of heroin (even government reports say that 70% of AIDS sufferers have been intravenous drug users); cocaine, marijuana, antibiotics, barbiturates, LSD, amphetamines, Quaaludes and, of all things, cytotoxic drugs such as AZT prescribed by physicians.

Many Gays Now Leading a Healthier Lifestyle

What the gays are more and more avoiding are the drugs, not sex. And those who get off drugs, even those administered as medicine, are not dying of AIDS either! The word has gotten around to discontinue the drugs, all drugs, to improve the diet, to exercise and to lead a healthier lifestyle. Not even three tenths of 1% of the homosexuals in this country have been mortally affected. Does that sound like a raging epidemic to you?

The establishment is still trying to churn up hysteria about a campaign that is going awry. The gays are continuing their sexual practices--their sexual behavior remains substantially unchanged. The discontinuance of the deadly drug habits that actually

caused the problems broadly referred to as AIDS is responsible for the great decline in AIDS suffers among homosexuals.

This also points up another significant fact: Diseases are not transmissible any more than health is transmissible. This is a slap in the face to those who would like to believe that disease, suffering and death are the badge of iniquity that must be borne for homosexual practices.

6

Is AIDS Sexually Transmissible?

Is AIDS contagious? Is it sexually transmissible?

Apparently not! The big hullabaloo about transmissibility is coming apart!

In the January 1, 1988 issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association* there appears a report of a study which involved 80 known carriers of the AIDS virus who had spouses. After hundreds of sexual relationships between each couple, only 12 spouses were found to be "infected."

The whole concept of contagion is based upon transmissibility of causative agents in some manner. The so-called AIDS virus has already been declared nontransmissible by all the "established" standards of viral transmissibility as in colds, flu and other supposed viral diseases through aerial transmission, common use of articles, foods, etc.

Chances of Catching AIDS Almost Nonexistent

The above cited research does not refer to AIDS, actually, for not one case of the syndrome was involved. All that was involved was the positive viral criteria which were said to cause AIDS. As pointed out elsewhere, even as a positive virally infected subject, chances of developing AIDS is only one in 600!

Koch's postulates say that, if an agency is causative of a disease, it must always be present during the disease (the so-called AIDS virus is not present in over 50% of what are declared to be AIDS cases) and, likewise, if it is a causative agency, its presence must always cause the disease. As it is said to be in one and a half to

two million people, it is obvious that it does not cause the disease.

As you can see, some simple rules of science show the viral concept of AIDS causation to be nothing but a sham.

Herpes Genitalis Not Sexually Transmissible Either!

This reminds me of another "sexually transmissible" disease called herpes genitalis. I appeared before a group of 75 individuals who had "social diseases" in Houston, Texas. I asked how many had herpes genitalis. Every hand went up. I asked how many were married and again, virtually every hand went up, a shock for those who want to put the herpes genitalis badge of iniquity on swingers.

Then I asked how many had spouses with herpes genitalis. Two hands went up. When asked who gave it to who, it developed that the two were married to each other and had met in this very group.

The leader of the group then made a remark that went for all the herpes genitalis sufferers who were married: "I've had herpes genitalis for more than five years and I just can't give it to my wife."

Diseases Are Specific to an Organism

Diseases are specific to an organism and are a biological process of that organism. Diseases cannot be transmitted to another person any more than health can be transmitted to another person. What species of thinking says that only the bad is positive and that good is not?

If a hundred people were lined up, given an emetic, and 100 people vomited, we might say that vomiting is very contagious. But all we have demonstrated is that like organisms respond similarly to like causes.

In the case of AIDS, the causes are undeniably immunosuppressive drugs and/or poisons.

The idea of contagion originated in the days when, very frankly, people subscribed to what we now call voodooism. Can't we just leave it there?

7

Think About It, America!

A book has recently appeared on the scene entitled *Maximum Immunity* and has been authored by Michael A. Weiner, Ph.D.

While the material Dr. Weiner uses is quite standard, it does not become him as a critical and knowledgeable writer to include the establishment lines as if they were gospel!

For instance, he lists active homosexuals as being at high risk for AIDS. The high-risk first entry is said to be: "exposure and reexposure to many semens, which are known to suppress immunity."

When this disease was focused almost exclusively at homosexuals, I knew it was an establishment line. But doesn't anyone think?

The sigmoid colon is where this exposure takes place in per anum acts which are supposed to be predominant by many multiples over per os (by mouth) with homosexuals. It is my understanding that the "immune" system consists of white blood cells that circulate with the blood and fluids, not in the anus and mouth. How can there be immunosuppression there?

If there is not and, indeed, that is impossible, then how does semen get into the bloodstream and fluids?

Through the anus? Dr. Weiner has to be kidding. According to my physiology textbooks and common knowledge, that is the place things come out, not get absorbed, except: water, ions and extraordinary and highly abnormal poisons such as caffeine, cocaine, mustard oil, caustic substances, etc. While semen consists of sperm cells and their carrier fluid, sperms are colossi compared to bacteria which teem in the colon and are themselves barred from absorption!

Through the mouth? Again you must be kidding. Secretions into the mouth are usually spit out but, if swallowed, it gets acted upon by the digestive tract. Under digestive processes the whole character of these substances are changed to amino acids, mineral salts, vitamins and glucose. So pray, tell me how extraneous substances can destroy exclusively internal faculties?

8 Do Reused Needles Cause AIDS Transmission in IV Users?

When you sift through all the fallout from AIDS authorities, you begin to ascertain where the truth is.

Dr. Don C. Des Jarlais, the coordinator for AIDS Research at the New York State Division of Substance Abuse Service, has added his bit to the AIDS deception.

He notes that heterosexual IV drug users are now the second largest group of persons nationwide to have developed AIDS—this even in view that CDC's releases have consistently noted that 70% of all AIDS cases were IV drug users! As AIDS sufferers are nearly 100% drug users, IV and otherwise, that is obviously the largest group. Dr. Jarlais' jaundiced eye, of course, breaks down the figures according to sexual practices, that is, homosexual and heterosexual, as if that were the criteria for determining the cause of AIDS.

Dr. Jarlais says that homosexuals have reacted responsibly to the AIDS crisis by changing their sexual habits. That is not true! Homosexual males still perform their acts with men although not as promiscuously as heretofore, as if that had anything to do with AIDS. What gays have really done is to get off drugs more and more, especially poppers and intravenous heroin. And they have begun to avoid physicians and hospitals like a plague! The gays are telling each other the truth as they know it, for they have each other's interest at heart rather than the bottom line of pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, researchers, bureaucrats and medical practitioners. That the gays have found the answers is evidenced by a drastic reduction amongst them of AIDS cases and deaths in 1987 as compared with 1986.

Actually the concocted birth of AIDS as a homosexual's disease has a heavy influence on his thinking: AIDS was contrived with a view to destroying the gay rights movement. It was introduced as a highly contagious sexually transmitted disease for the purpose of alienating homosexuals from the mainstream.

Barking Up the Wrong Tree

Now comes Dr. Jarlais and tells us how to save heterosexuals from the dangers of sharing needles in IV drug use with the obvious implication that the AIDS virus is thus transmitted. He tells us clean needles are the answer. His and other outreach services of the states of New York and New Jersey as well as of the city of San Francisco are teaching IV drug users how to sterilize their needles with bleach.

Why Drugs Are Carefully Omitted As a Cause of AIDS

The one thing that is noticeably omitted in all the releases and palaver about IV use are the effects of the drugs injected, as if that were of no consequence or irrelevant when, in fact, that is the crux of the matter! A little organic matter incidentally transferred from person to person by needle has little effect upon an organism. Alien protein effects are the only significant ones. An organism handles alien tissue with dispatch by dissolving and ejecting it.

The "experts" are not telling us that it is the drugs that damage the body's defensive faculties, the white blood cell components (miscalled the immune system). Like computers, they only spew the rote learning with which they have been programmed.

The drug-pushing establishment does not want any unfavorable reflection upon their commodities. The odium for "bad" or "illegal" drugs could carry over to "legal" drugs.

The ravages that drugs wreak upon the body's white blood cells are presented elsewhere in this volume.

SECTION VII

Promoting a Successful Panic

1

Let's Prevent a Major AIDS Plague!

Let's Err on the Side of Caution

There have been millions of people persuaded that AIDS is for real and that a deadly plague is in the offing that will doom most of us.

The plea is to throttle the gays and drug addicts who are spreading this horrible disease. And, of course, to force anybody who tests positive for the AIDS viruses or antibodies to undergo treatment which, today, means deadly cytotoxic drugs that can cause AIDS all by themselves!

Our constitutional rights that proclaim and guarantee everyone to be secure and inviolate in their person would be trampled under the iron boot of mandatory testing and treatments. While this is admitted to be legally wrong, it is argued that it is better to err on the side of caution than risk a major plague.

Because what is called AIDS is really a creation of the Centers for Disease Control and cohorts to cover at least a dozen old diseases for, first, the destruction of the gay rights movement and, later, the promotion of drug, research and medical interests, the spreading of scare propoganda is part of the scheme. And those who have swallowed the hoax whole are plumping for repressive measures despite the fact that the "epidemic" is a dud. In 1987 less died of this collection of maladies than in 1986.

What those who advocate dictatorial methods seem to forget is that they usually fall victims to their own devices!

2 Are You Safe From AIDS?

I ran across an ad in a tabloid newspaper stating: "AIDS is a death sentence with no appeals. The press has not told us the truth about AIDS. Deliberate lies have been told the American people about this deadly killer." A book, *AIDS—You Just Think You're Safe*, is being marketed with this scare statement.

"This book may well be your means of survival," says the ad. It says the press has deliberately told us lies to cover up the all-encompassing threat of this deadly killer.

How is that for putting someone into a panic even greater than the nation's media has succeeded in doing? The only lies the press has been guilty of all involve deliberately promoting a ragtag collection of old diseases under the banner of AIDS. The press is guilty of carrying off the AIDS hoax for powers with vested interests in drugs and research which really thrive upon the invention and promotion of new diseases. The media is doing its duty by its cartel mates in the drug and medical industries when they ballyhoo their scams.

This advertising is as big a lie as it deems our press to be. Just how deadly is a disease that has, in seven years, affected only three tenths of 1% of the gays, 100% of whom are admitted heavy drug users, and only one in 300,000 of the heterosexual population, again most of whom were confirmed heavy drug users?

Obviously the pusher of these books has a vested interest in selling the books quite aside from personal persuasion. This has led to the gross misrepresentation and implication that there is an imminent AIDS plague and that it may affect you if you do not buy the book and take the survival steps which it enunciates.

Neither you nor anyone else will suffer what is called AIDS, or any of the many diseases lumped under this acronym, unless you cause it! Causes are so poisoning yourself with drugs that the white blood cells which constitute your "immune" system are substantially destroyed. Of course there are other causes, but they all involve poisoning.

Fear not. Live healthfully and worry not. Understand what vested interests are up to. Do your part to enlighten your fellow beings to their marketing tactics.

3

Soon Everybody Will Have AIDS!

The AIDS campaign has at times shown signs of coming to an end. When the big hoax needs bolstering, the Centers for Disease Control, among others, have propped up their promotion by new hoopla, especially by ominous implications that anyone can suffer this disease. One of their gambits is to widen the guidelines by which more victims can be ensnared with a diagnosis of AIDS.

Now you need no longer test positive for the presumed AIDS virus or antibodies. You will be diagnosed under new guidelines as having AIDS if you exhibit symptoms that accompany AIDS. That constitutes quite a long list which, of course, includes pneumonia, certain types of fevers, diarrheas, lethargy, weight loss, delirium, dementia and a lot of conditions not spelled out in the publicity release.

CDC is edging in on making AIDS a rampant disease. All this is done, of course, for the greater glory of the bottom line of the pharmaceutical cartel, research organizations, involved government agencies and the rest of the medical establishment.

CDC has redefined the guidelines for AIDS statistics so that any physician's diagnosis is given credence. Further, the emaciation typical of tuberculosis or dementia may now be included as an AIDS statistic.

By these methods the CDC can issue AIDS statistics considerably above what they presently are. They can self-fulfill their predictions of a steadily escalating disease. They will have no more 1987s where AIDS deaths were actually less than the previous year!

Why should CDC do this in behalf of the drug cartel?

Of course the Centers for Disease Control have always taken up the cudgels for the pharmaceutical industry in promoting diseases and, thereby, the drugs that the industry produces. When one finds practically all the top officials of government agencies such as CDC, FDA, NIH, NCI, etc., staffed by personnel from the drug industries and the medical establishment, one must be suspicious as to whose interests these agencies serve. Obviously their bread is buttered by the industries that sponsor them. And

It becomes very plain that these agencies are really government-supported auxiliaries of the medical/pharmaceutical establishment.

Now comes the CDC with this ploy to enlarge the base for a disease which they introduced by fraudulent means in the first place.

The gays upon which the CDC heavily relied for AIDS statistics are declining in numbers of AIDS cases! The gays are cleaning up their acts. They are eating more wholesome foods. More of them are discontinuing their drug habits, especially amyl nitrite, isobutyl nitrite (poppers), marijuana, heroin, etc. Poppers, which the gays used for their sex-crazed highs, has declined in usage, especially since amyl nitrite has become a controlled substance.

(Drugs, not some dead organic matter called a virus, causes what is called AIDS. The proof of this comes, on the one hand, from the decreasing number of AIDS cases among gays when the prognosticators would have us believe the number of AIDS cases are multiplying geometrically, and, on the other from researches that show HIV, said to be the cause, does not cause anything and cannot cause anything.

We have been sold a bill of goods about the some ten million gay males in the U.S.A. AIDS was introduced as a terrible new disease that was an onerous badge of iniquity for unnatural sexual behavior. The suffering visited upon sexual deviants was righteous wrath for their transgressions.

Now that the prognostications are not materializing, CDC is desperately beefing up their baby. During the past seven years not even 30,000 have died of the AIDS label. That is about the number who die in two years from sleeping pills.

When you realize there are ten million gays in the U.S.A. and, in seven years, only a small fraction of 1% have been affected, there is really not much concern for homosexuals and far less for heterosexuals—235 million nondrug-using people stand a chance of about one in 300,000 of suffering from the disease in the next seven years!

Be wise to the stratagems to get you roped into the AIDS arena. Be aware that they are merely commercially inspired moves to increase business for the medical establishment, especially the drug industry which is really behind the horrendous AIDS fraud.

4

Making AIDS a Bigger and Bigger Epidemic

Ever since introducing AIDS, the Centers for Disease Control have been issuing ever broader guidelines for the diagnosis of AIDS.

Just about any lymph system affection is now diagnosed under the AIDS umbrella. Almost any lung problem may now be diagnosed as AIDS. Almost any tuberculous condition is now listed as an AIDS statistic.

Can you imagine an old-time disease called scrofula (now called tuberculous lymphadenitis) being included as an AIDS statistic?

× Today cases that formerly would be called Hodgkin's disease are more likely to receive the AIDS label.

Why should continually broadening guidelines ensnaring ever greater numbers of AIDS victims be introduced?

Elementary, my dear Watson! The name of the game is money. It is impossible to fill the maw of an ever-more rapacious appetite for money.

When you have scrofula, you do not worry very much. It is an old disease of many centuries in the medical literature. But if you are told you have AIDS, with the fear and dread built up about it, you go in for heroic treatments. You submit to chemotherapy, x-rays and a whole host of costly therapies that will, most likely, make you a death statistic. Certainly, you will be a cripple for life.

Would you be a victim of AIDS or a victim of a calloused and highly exploitative system that has an infinitely greater regard for its bottom line than for human well-being?

When treated for scrofula, you might spend hundreds or a few thousand dollars. But, if you are diagnosed as having AIDS, you might be stampeded into modalities that might run into one hundred thousand dollars!

Hygienic institutions in America are serving cases of "AIDS" who refused to go the medical route. They would have formerly

been diagnosed as scrofulous, tuberculous or Hodgkin's disease sufferers. It was routine to put these sufferers on a fast and have them make a "remarkable" recovery.

Today Hygienic institutions are still getting these cases well despite the medical change to a most terrifying diagnosis.

Why not start living healthfully? You can live so well that you will never suffer a problem, not even so much as a cold, much less a lymph, lung or white blood cell deficiency problem.

Why go to physicians who will, in the confidence game in which they have been trained, stampede you into some costly medical corral? Why be congratulated upon the good sense in coming as if, had you waited a day more, you would be on your death bed? Why become frightened into an expensive round of tests and treatments that will exhaust your pocketbook and bankrupt you of your health? Remember, physicians are not at all timid about laying heavy trips on you under grave implications of imminent death or a disabling condition. Take heed!

5

Everyone Should Be Tested for AIDS!

With the announcement by the *Wall Street Journal* that a new and more reliable test for the AIDS virus (or antibodies to it) has been developed, we are urged to get tests for AIDS lest the virus quietly multiplies and ravages our bodies—the virus can damage our bodies for up to three years before it becomes detectable by current methods of testing.

This gives you a three-year headstart, for it is said that it takes the virus three to ten years to develop AIDS and kill you.

AZT is suggested as a way of defending ourselves against this killer disease. And we have got to do this, for AIDS is no longer just a disease of homosexuals!

Do You Fall for This Kind of Hype?

This scare propaganda is designed to stampede Americans into the medical/drug corral. For instance, if you are found positive, you will be urged to get into treatments, most likely, of course, with AZT. AZT is a cytotoxic drug which, according to the physician's bible, *The Merck Manual*, will cause, among other things, immunodeficiency disease which is now called AIDS!

Further, if you undergo the medical round, it will be a big nightmare in your life! Leave the drug/medical/hospital/research/bureaucratic interests to their devices. You do not have to fall prey to their warnings and blandishments.

6

Nursing the AIDS Baby Along

As I write this there is being conducted in Stockholm, Sweden, the Fourth International Conference on AIDS. As might be expected, there are a lot of fireworks in the air to keep the AIDS baby healthy and thriving.

Since 1985, AIDS sufferers have more than tripled. But that is largely due to the enlargement of the diagnostic guidelines. Anyone having AIDS Related Complex, roughly the equivalent of stage two of syphilis, is classified as an AIDS statistic. Further, certain types of tuberculosis have been added to the AIDS umbrella.

For instance, the ominous threat hung on the American people at the Stockholm conference goes like this: Dr. James Curran says that the number of Americans originally estimated to have the AIDS virus was probably exaggerated. But about that number now have the AIDS virus and the virus is spreading more rapidly than ever. Dr. James Curran was one of the original conspirators and orchestrators of the AIDS panic.

Now comes his sidekick and fellow conspirator at the Centers for Disease Control, Dr. Harold Jaffe with his report to the conference. He said that of the one to one and a half million Americans infected with the virus almost all will get the disease even if they presently appear healthy. There is a time bomb ticking in them and it is going to go off!

Then Dr. Jaffe purports to show, per the researches of Dr. George Rutherford of the San Francisco Department of Public Health, that 48% of the people infected in 1978 to 1980 have suffered AIDS. And a colleague of Dr. Rutherford, Dr. George Lemp, said that people carry the AIDS virus for an average of 11 years before getting sick.

Another report presented at the Stockholm conference from Dr. Robert Redfield of the Walter Reed Institute of Research in Washington who conducted AIDS research shows that more than 75% of those who had the virus developed AIDS.

But, for U.S. home consumption, the Centers for Disease Control gave a different version. As reported in the *Daily News* of New York City on Friday, June 3, 1988, the AIDS virus was 99% fatal within an average time of 7.8 years after infection.

That's pretty strong stuff when we recall that the so-called AIDS virus was purportedly discovered only in 1984. AIDS as such was not even around in 1978-80. Of course they have the original blood samples to work from. But if that means anything, then the blood frozen back in the early 40s which has been thawed and tested positive for AIDS means something too!

Of course Dr. Curran and Dr. Jaffe are bolstering their baby. All the syphilitics of the second, third and fourth stages are now classified as AIDS victims. They and their cohorts are taking this concocted disease as far down the financial road as they can—the country has already spent untold billions and it is estimated the new AIDS industry will gross about \$15 billion in 1988. That is quite a hefty take.

The Big AIDS Boom

The AIDS "epidemic" is spawning a boom! Not only has it unleashed a boom in drug, medical and hospital businesses, but it has infected so-called health food businesses as well. xx

Perhaps the most spectacular harbinger of what the AIDS panic is expected to accomplish for drug manufacturers was the recent increase in the market value of the stock of Bristol-Meyers by over a billion dollars in less than a week after it became known they were entering the AIDS vaccine sweepstakes.

Medical researchers and laboratories are now realizing over two billion dollars of additional business per year as fallout from the AIDS hysteria. The demand for monkeys and other animals upon which to conduct experiments is also enjoying a boom. Budgets of government agencies, if they are involved in AIDS research, monitoring and promotion, have increased dramatically, especially that of the Centers for Disease Control. This has brought added prestige, income and power to bureaucrats in these agencies.

State and city governments have added personnel to help cope with the "problems" created by the AIDS scare manufactured by the press, TV, radio and other media. As I write this, the state of Illinois has just passed some stringent legislation that not only takes on gestapo-like traits, but is bound to make Illinois state and city payrolls grow rapidly.

Certain rubber goods manufacturers, those producing condoms, have seen their business skyrocket out of sight! +

AIDS Decreasing Among Gays!

As the gays learn more and more that drugs which they had used so much (like butyl nitrite, amyl nitrite, uppers, downers, marijuana, Quaaludes, other recreational drugs, antibiotics and other immunosuppressive prescription drugs) are the real causes of their AIDS problems, they are cleaning up their acts!

As a result there are less cases of AIDS among gays. The increases today are among heavy drug users, regardless of their sexual preferences.

AIDS Causes Boom in "Health Food" Industry

Perhaps the unlikeliest beneficiaries of the drug establishment's promotion of the AIDS scare are imaginative entrepreneurs in the "health" business. A recent issue of *Let's Live* magazine carried such articles as "Immunity" by Dr. Arnold Fox; "Your Immune System and Addictions—Any Link" by Dr. John Yacenda; "AIDS: Egg Lecithin Offers New Hope" by Beverly DesChaux and "Feeding Your Immune System" by Dr. Arnold Pike.

Following this trend are ever new products to protect and enhance your "immune" system. Advertised in this same issue were products called "Advanced Immune Defense System" featuring a conglomeration of herbs and minerals which, if anything, strain the body's defensive faculties in expelling its normal wastes as well as the toxic components absorbed from the herbs and inorganic minerals.

Then there is another line of vitamins advertised as "Immunlife." It is supposed to "aid your immune system." Another product is called "Immun-N-Sure." It is a catchall concoction of vitamins, herbs, condiments and such unlikely things as wheatgrass, propolis, spirulina, myrrh, licorice and osha. How this mishmash combines to help the body become "immune" is not explained.

But the ads that take the cake are those of products advertised on the same pages as articles that promote their use. For instance, "Egg Lecithin Offers New Hope" is accompanied by an ad for EGGSACT. The article on "Feeding Your Immune System" is adjacent to an ad for "Maximum Immune Support" which contain Japanese "super nutrients."

The fallout from the AIDS scam is evident.

Now clearly an AIDS-fearing population that believes in immunizers will give more favorable consideration to products that enhance "immunity."

Of course the "health food" crowd's incidental good fortune is chicken feed compared to the billions that promoters of AIDS expect to reap.

Dr. Jonas Salk Gets Into the Act Too!

As a last note it is fitting that Dr. Jonas Salk has entered the AIDS vaccine sweepstakes too! After all, he was a winner in the polio vaccine game, a hoax that our populace bought with the same credulity as they are giving to the AIDS scam. Never mind that the vaccine he developed was quickly withdrawn because it was, in its day, more disastrous than the later scam called the "swine flu farce." The drug cartel does not unmake their heroes when the public does not ask serious questions.

And that is just the point. Learn to think for yourself. Ask critical questions. Do not take the establishment's word for anything! If you let others do your thinking for you, remember that it is invariably in their favor!

8

Mothers with AIDS Antibodies to Be Treated During Pregnancy

“Scientists” plan to test the drug, AZT (azidothymidine) on pregnant women who have the so-called AIDS antibodies in the hope of preventing infection of their unborn babies.

According to the Washington Post Service of October 4, 1988, in an article by Susan Okie, women who test positive for the antibodies to what has been called the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) will be treated with the deadly drug, AZT.

Ms. Okie says: “Administering such a powerful drug during pregnancy, risking possible side effects in mother and fetus, is an unprecedented break with the medical tradition that holds drugs are to be avoided in pregnant women.”

When you have a drug system with a ghoulish rapacity that cares not about human well-being but puts its bottom line above everything, this is what you will get.

Babies born of mothers treated with AZT are bound to have serious defects. Twenty percent of babies born now have serious defects, very little of which is directly attributable to drugs medically administered—evidently the profession learned its lessons with thalidomide and accutane. But they have not yet reckoned with the overzealous quest for profits of an inhuman drug cartel member. Human health takes a back seat.

The “possible side effects” should have read “absolutely certain poison effects.” All poisons are always 100% poisonous and always occasion poison effects. And among the foremost effects in pregnant women, whether the poisons be tobacco, alcohol, thalidomide, accutane or AZT, are fetal developments that are impaired in one or several ways.

Those “scientists” should more properly be called calloused salesmen working in behalf of the drug cartel that are pushing their drugs regardless of the lives they destroy. Their bread and butter come from the largesse of drug companies. Doing their master’s

bidding is far more important in their scale of values than the welfare of babies and mothers.

With the pretext that they are out to keep "viruses" from spreading, they are actually drug peddling. For AZT itself is an immunosuppressive drug! It causes the very problem it is supposed to protect against.

So-called viruses of any description do not cause disease and, concerning AIDS, Dr. Peter Duesberg, a prestigious microbiologist and researcher at the University of California, Berkeley has said: "HIV viruses do not cause AIDS and cannot cause AIDS."

The predatory drug monster is seeking pregnant women who test positive for the antibodies to the so-called AIDS virus. Never mind that their previous rationale about antibodies is that they make the body immune. So pregnant women will be persuaded to undergo a round of AZT treatments to prevent the mother's "viruses" from reaching the baby. As if they could prevent it or even if "preventing" it is important. The only sure thing in the equation is the damage the highly toxic AZT will cause for the mothers and the babies.

9

Is Pushing Drugs for AIDS Scientific?

Where is the science when a science magazine is involved in pushing drugs? Is it scientific to hold that people can be poisoned into health with drugs?

In *Science News* of August 8, 1987, there is a headline as follows: "AIDS Vaccine: Research on Target." Obviously the article praises "the remarkable progress" researchers are making to come up with a vaccine which will protect us from "the AIDS virus."

The vaccine, of course, according to the script, is made for the purpose of exorcising the so-called AIDS virus. Not that the virus causes what is called AIDS in the first place nor, in any event, that the vaccine will destroy a genome (called virus) that, by medical evidence, never was alive in the first place!

The whole ballyhoo in articles of this nature is that the development of a vaccine will overcome AIDS. Since the panic and the hysteria are communications media products, that will be an easy thing to do. God giveth and God taketh away.

If a similar fuss was raised about sleeping pills which claims more than twice as many lives, the sleeping pill business would have long since been dead. But the idea is to build a new market in the drug business, not destroy an existing one.

If the polio scenario is any guide, coincident with the introduction of the vaccine, there will be new diagnostic guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta. What is now called AIDS and AIDS Related Complex will again be called by their old designations, like lymphocytopenia, SCID, agammaglobulinemia, leukocytopenia, and a whole list of other penias, lymph adenopathy, syphilis, toxic dementia and others that have been invoked under the AIDS label.

Of course the AIDS vaccine, like the polio vaccine, will effectively "control AIDS." But, in accord with the scheme, it must be administered periodically from now to kingdom come. For, after all, the name of the game—the panic and all—is to market

drugs. Megabucks is what disease panics, hysterias and drugs are all about.

The value of the stock of the company that comes to market with an "AIDS vaccine" will skyrocket. We know that because, merely upon the announcement that it was in the AIDS vaccine sweepstakes, Bristol-Myers' stock went up over one billion dollars within a week.

So instead of being scientific in its publishing, *Science News* is but a sucker or cartel mate in the diabolical AIDS scam.

Why Do You Call AIDS a Hoax?

SECTION VIII

AIDS Lies and Dirty Tricks

I Why Do You Call AIDS a Hoax?

“You’re calling our doctors, government and newsmen liars and schemers!”

The word hoax means deception, fraud, sham, swindle, rip-off, flimflam and yet other appellations. Long before you finish this book, you will see ample incontrovertible evidence that AIDS is all these descriptions combined! There was and is a diabolical conspiracy to put this one over on Americans as well as the people of other countries for the greater glory of the bottom line of drug companies, research interests and self-aggrandizing bureaucrats who orchestrated the plot to begin with. Physicians, per se, are dupes and tools in the swindle. They are manipulated and controlled like a herd of sheep, though, to be sure, there are some M.D.s in on the conspiracy—the ones in control positions in government and pharmaceutical concerns.

The originating agency of record in the matter of AIDS is the Centers for Disease Control.

The first major hoax I am personally familiar with is the polio hoax. This “disease” was introduced in the late forties with the combining into a single package diseases that were before known as infantile paralysis, aseptic meningitis, viral encephalitis and other meningeal affections. The disease was “terminated” as polio, for practical purposes on May 12, 1955, with the introduction of the Salk vaccine when the CDC introduced new guidelines for the diagnosis of polio, the same ones as hitherto existed for infantile paralysis only. The other diseases were again diagnosed as before their inclusion under the catchall scam palmed off as polio. Polio was thus “wiped out.”

This swindle worked just as it was intended! Vaccinal interests sold billions of dollars of polio vaccine afterwards and continue to do so though the vaccine they placed their bets on, the Salk vaccine, was quickly withdrawn for it was more disastrous than the subsequent swine flu fiasco.

When an agency of government or a group of conspirators "create" a new disease and they prevail upon cartel mates (the press and communications media) to present their scheme in the infamous tradition of the Hitlerian big-lie technique, it is, indeed, a hoax—a most horrible one!

✧ The Centers for Disease Control have promoted several hoaxes. Among them were polio (a big success for the promoters), swine flu (which did not fly because it was exposed by the nonestablishment press as a scam), Legionnaire's disease (which was good for research interests, but the public never bought it) and herpes genitalis (which bowed out to a greater sham, AIDS).

And the system is not above exploiting very real diseases too! In the late sixties and early seventies they had us all thinking we were going to die of cancer (and your chances here are several times greater than getting involved in AIDS) merely for the privilege of getting an indefinite annual billion dollars appropriated for cancer research to declare a massive war on cancer and come up with a cure. Now, seventeen years later and about 150,000 more cancer deaths per year, there is no real pretense of coming up with a "cure" anymore, but the billion per annum continues to be shelled out by the taxpayers.

The biggest part of the lie is in pretending that AIDS is a new disease. It is neither new nor unique! First described in the medical literature in 1539, the end pathology called AIDS was parallel to what was then and has been since called tertiary and quaternary syphilis. The first stages of syphilis are the same as herpes genitalis and the secondary stages roughly tantamount to ARC or AIDS-related complex. Incidentally, whatever happened to syphilis? It used to be one of our most awesome and feared diseases!

There are many other diseases lumped under the label of AIDS! And new ones are being added including certain types of tuberculosis! Some of the previous designations now called AIDS are:

Agammaglobulinemia, agranulocytosis, alymphocytosis, aplastic anemia, Di George syndrome, granulocytopenia, Kaposi's sarcoma, leukocytopenia, lymphocytopenia, neutropenia, pancytopenia, splenic deficiency syndrome, thrombocytopenia, thymic alymphoplasia and toxoplasmosis.

And acronyms for the disease are not in short supply. Since 1952 various ones have been used such as CID for combined immunodeficiency disease, CIDS for combined immunodeficiency syndrome, SCID for severe combined immunodeficiency, GRID for gay related immunodeficiency and finally, AIDS for Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.

Further, the use of the word acquired is not new in the literature. You see the term was employed in the medical literature from the beginning in this order: If you were born with it, you had congenital immunodeficiency disease and, if you got it after birth, it was said to be acquired. Which is by no stretch of the imagination to say that it is contagious as represented by CDC and the press. You see, there is not much monetary mileage to be gotten out of a disease unless it is virulent, deadly, contagious and of threatening epidemic proportions.

The term "immune deficiency" itself has been in every *Merck Manual* since 1952. (*The Merck Manual* is one of the "bibles" of the medical profession.)

When a list of long-known diseases are lumped together and presented under the umbrella of a frightening new disease, in behalf of bureaucratic, research and drug interests, don't you think it should be called a hoax?

2 Things Equal to the Same Thing Are the Same Thing

In geometry we learn axioms and postulates. One of them says that: "Things equal to the same thing are equal to each other." That is a self-evident truth.

In physiology there are also axioms and postulates, though not spelled out as are the ones in geometry.

Isn't it painful to read description after description and see picture after picture of "viruses" and their makeup in microbiology and virology texts and find out, by some sheer coincidence, the makeup of genomes of mitochondria are the same?

Isn't it disconcerting to learn that "viruses" are only genomes and nothing but? And then be told they perform malevolent acts like destroy our "immune" system?

Isn't it disconcerting to learn that AIDS causes brain damage and dementia? And, upon researching the subject, find that the identical symptoms were at one time called neurosyphilis, toxic dementia and neuropathy?

We want to acquaint you with a new axiom that applies in the world of physiology in this age of misrepresentation: Things equal to and like the same thing are the same thing!

In this circumstance that means the medical system has imposed upon us a scapegoat, called it a virus, and settled upon genomes from the cellular debris of dead cells as the culprits in disease.

It means the medical system is likely to discontinue one disease and reintroduce it with much fanfare, as a deadly epidemic.

3

Centers for Disease Control's Bag of Tricks

How do you promote "a major epidemic" while seeming to be a disinterested statistician?

How do you lay the groundwork for a panic while cultivating the image of a noninvolved party?

One propaganda tactic is to seem to oppose the very objective you seek to achieve! And, of course you clandestinely take all the steps necessary to achieve your aims. The tactic is to seem uninvolved in inciting the goals that are set.

Dr. James Curran of the Centers for Disease Control seems to have employed these tactics par excellence!

There were a multitude of interests who wanted to "sock it to the gay rights movement" and their opportunity appeared when so many homosexuals were being affected by what is now called AIDS. An acronym, GRID, was devised (standing for Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease). Dr. Curran, perhaps because he had in mind a disease that could be enlarged to the whole population, disapproved of GRID and settled on AIDS. Then he took steps that suggest he was directing the new acronym against the homosexuals just as if it had been GRID!

The fine hand of malice aforethought is detectable in the use of the letter "A" for acquired in the AIDS acronym, especially when it was introduced as meaning contagious. Before CDC's deployment of the term, in the medical literature it meant only that the immunodeficiency was developed after birth, thus being acquired rather than being congenital.

The tactics employed by CDC in developing and promoting the paper epidemic called AIDS would have won Hitler's admiration. His big lie technique has been immensely improved upon in America. I do not believe young Dr. Curran had much propaganda expertise; I think he had plenty of guidance from the old pros at perpetrating and perpetuating a hoax of this magnitude.

4

Why We Call AIDS a Conspiracy

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) is the primary agency responsible for originating, perpetrating and perpetuating one of the most villainous and evil conspiracies ever concocted!

- (1) Officials of CDC deliberately and callously introduced in fearful and frightful terms, as a new disease with the acronym AIDS, a collection of immunodeficiency diseases that had long been in the medical literature!
- (2) Officials of CDC knew all the time that the pattern of symptoms they elected to introduce as AIDS was drug-caused and had previously been called certain stages of syphilis, Kaposi's syndrome, lymphocytopenia, pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and numerous other diseases. This was the import of numerous statements made by Dr. Harold Jaffe and Dr. James Curran originally together in the Center for Prevention Services, the Sexually Transmitted Diseases division. Now they head a division under the Center for Infectious Diseases dealing specifically with AIDS.
- (3) They knew that 100% of the homosexuals suffering from what they elected to call AIDS (Kaposi's sarcoma, lymphocytopenia, "opportunistic infections," pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and late-stage syphilis) were on the drugs amyl and/or butyl nitrite. They knew these drugs were classed as immunosuppressant drugs sold out from under regulation as "deodorants." They knew that a member of the pharmaceutical combine, Burroughs-Wellcome, produced these drugs, among others and they knew that other drugs were often involved too.
- (4) They knew from the beginning of the conspiracy that the pattern of symptoms they chose to publicize under the catch-all acronym AIDS was being called GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease) for the purpose of throttling and derailling the gay rights movement. They elected to go with the acronym AIDS, for it had implications that could apply to the

general population as well as accomplish objectives of destroying the gay rights movement.

- (5) They discontinued mentioning early on that 100% of sufferers of the pattern of symptoms they chose to call AIDS were on recreational drugs or medically administered immunosuppressive drugs such as antibiotics, corticosteroids (prednisone, ACTH), alien blood products, and numerous other immunodestructive drugs. In fact, they did not usually point their fingers at any of the several immunosuppressive drugs the homosexuals were taking except heroin which was administered intravenously.
- (6) They knew that numerous cases of the affection they labelled as AIDS previously existed in the U.S. among the heterosexual white and black communities of drug addicts. But they chose to introduce AIDS in a most horrendous fashion, citing Haitian homosexual heroin addicts, taking care to emphasize the deadly and highly contagious character of this "baffling" new disease. They characterized the disease as having originated in Haiti when they knew better. It originated in CDC!
- (7) From the beginning Dr. Jaffe and Dr. Curran knew that no virus was involved in AIDS. They knew it was a drug-caused problem. They knew it was not contagious, being peculiar to those who committed acts of self-depredation with drugs. They knew that the disease they labelled AIDS existed among people with kidney transplants who received immunosuppressive drugs, among those who suffered sexual diseases who had received massive doses of antibiotics, and among those who, having tumors, had received X-rays and chemotherapy (all immunosuppressive).
- (8) They angled their propaganda from the beginning of the conspiracy to hint that a virus or some single infectious agency caused the disease when they knew it was caused by immunosuppressive drugs and products.
- (9) Dr. Robert Gallo purportedly discovered the causative virus when, in fact, the so-called virus he "discovered" as HTLV (human T-cell lymphotropic virus) was really a dusting off of a "virus" he and his colleagues at the National Cancer

Institute had introduced in 1980 as HTLV (human T-cell leukemia virus).

Yet, with this backdrop, officials at CDC chose to serve their own bureaucratic interest, research interests and, most of all, their pharmaceutical masters in staking out new markets for them.

They devised the acronym AIDS knowing that the disease was not contagious nor transmitted. They did this with malice and evil intentions aforethought!

Yes, AIDS is the product of a conspiracy. The evidence and the actions bespeak this and only this.

5

AIDS Conspirators Complain People Don't Take Them Seriously Anymore!

Under the headline of "Experts Fret Over Apathy About AIDS" we are treated to a release by the Centers for Disease Control lamenting that the populace is not taking "the AIDS epidemic" very seriously anymore. In the Monday, March 7, 1988, newspapers, Dr. Harold W. Jaffe, chief of the AIDS epidemiology, said the public has gone from fear to indifference.

This state of matters was characterized as frustrating to the "experts" such as Dr. Jaffe and Surgeon General C. Everett Koop. General indifference was condemned as dangerous. Dr. Jaffe said that it seems the pendulum has swung from "lock up your daughter" to "everyone is safe."

Cosmopolitan magazine was lambasted for publishing an article showing that chances of getting AIDS were so small that it is practically unlikely that any woman would ever suffer it. This is, of course, consonant with the fact that almost all sufferers have been males who dosed heavily on drugs of various kinds prior to the conditions called AIDS.

This "crying" on the part of the promoters of this concocted disease is evidence that their campaign to maintain the panic and hysteria is in a gear too low for them, or else the campaign is coming unglued.

After all, how many people do you know personally that have suffered AIDS? Most of us know of none! This "rampant" disease is almost always happening to someone outside our circle of acquaintances.

This release attempts to shore up the sagging fortunes of the AIDS hoax by citing the researches of Masters and Johnsons. Their research purports to show that "swingers" had a 5 to 7% chance of contracting the AIDS virus versus practically nil for a single partner control group.

This is supposed to make us fearful. When we learn that only a fraction of 1% of those “infected” with the virus ever develop the symptoms lumped together as AIDS, and these are drug users, indeed there is little cause to be concerned.

Humans may be stampeded like cattle into the medical/drug corral—it is done so often—but the business of living and enjoying life takes over, especially when the conjured nightmare seems only a baseless apparition.

6

The Methodology Whereby You Are Ruled and Held in Subjection

How You Are Brainwashed, Manipulated, Ripped Off and Despoiled

Perhaps you are familiar with some of the tactics employed by the economically powerful to rule the economically weak.

First, of course, is to set up instruments that reflect the economic power on the political plane. This consists in largely subsidizing and buying "comers" and candidates of promise in advance of their rise to power. All of them are aided as long as they are of value to the powerful.

The governments are thus in the pocket of the powerful and, in effect, operate for and in behalf of the economically powerful.

Illusions and delusions are fostered on a grand scale. For instance, the delusion of self-government is advanced when, in fact, the election process is a choice between candidates who are, in advance, in the same pocket.

Triviality is cultivated so as to direct people's energies away from the nerve centers of power.

In Roman times this was "bread and circuses." Today there are a multiplicity of "bread-and-circuses" acts to distract us. Among these bread-and-circus acts are wars, foreign problems, meaningless entertainment and numerous other distractions.

The technique for taking control is this: Engineered problems are given great moment by the communications media with an importance attached to them that really is only fiction.

Techniques employed may be called thesis, antithesis and synthesis. Rapacious economic interests are unconscionable in their pursuit of power and economic aggrandizement, trampling humans and human rights in the process.

In thesis there is the creation of a problem that is said to be dire and earth-shaking in its consequences.

— In antithesis there is the generation of fear, panic and hysteria concerning the problem by what we call the Hitlerian “Big Lie Technique.”

In the final stage, antithesis, a “solution” is found which a preconditioned populace embraces with relief and indulgence.

The Hitlerian Big Lie Technique consists in telling a lie big enough, often enough and long enough to have the populace accept it as if it were gospel truth.

By these techniques our people are as rigidly manipulated and controlled as if they were ruled by thought control police and dictatorial powers. When “Big Brother” was postulated in George Orwell’s *1984*, big brother was already here!

Yes, AIDS Was Really a Conspiracy

At the time Dr. James Curran and other CDC officials of the Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) division were gathering data on what was then called GRID, Dr. Curran said: "Forty of the first 200 cases were linked by sexual contact." He reckoned this was a proportion too high to be coincidental.

This, of course, gave substance to the scapegoat mentality the medical and drug establishment have studiously nurtured for more than a century. What makes this 20% link so suspicious was the fact that 100% of all homosexuals coming down with AIDS were heavy users of poppers (amyl nitrite and isobutyl nitrite) and many other immunosuppressive drugs including antibiotics. About two thirds of AIDS sufferers were also intravenous drug users. Dr. Curran knew this and acknowledged it in several of his statements, some of which are printed herein.

But, as a knowledgeable official of CDC, Dr. Curran knew that many other affections they were calling symptoms of a mysterious new disease to be called AIDS were also symptoms in the medical literature under such appellations as immunodeficiency diseases—about 15 in number—plus syphilis, neurosyphilis and so on.

In the STD division of CDC, he had to know all about syphilis. He had to know that neurosyphilis, neuropathy, toxic dementia and the dementia of what was to be called AIDS were all substantially the same thing.

Dr. Curran and his CDC cohorts as well as the media and the medical establishment knew that many were recovering from the symptoms of AIDS under alternative methods of care. They knew that alternative approaches discontinued the deleterious drug habits of the sufferer as part of the program of recovery. Further, Dr. Curran and those involved in foisting this hoax on the American people knew that alternative care practitioners did not administer immunodestructive drugs such as chemotherapy, AZT and others which physicians prescribed with disastrous results. They knew

that the 100% death rate from AIDS was a blatant lie and knew that recovery was possible under gentle methods of care.

Yet, to this day, they continue to proclaim AIDS as being 99% deadly and that it is a rapidly spreading disease when, in fact, homosexuals are experiencing less deaths from immunodeficiency because they are discontinuing drugs and other medical modalities.

As a responsible and knowledgeable official of CDC's STD division, Dr. Curran had to know that the lymphadenopathy of syphilis was not different from the same affection listed as a symptom of AIDS.

All the evidence is that this scheme called AIDS was carefully concocted, planned and worked out in detail to achieve the plausibility, fear, hysteria and panic that have come to pass.

8

Were Government “Scientists” Really Baffled by AIDS?

Do you remember the blaring headlines about AIDS in the 1981-1983 period of its infancy?

Do you remember headlines that went like this: “New Disease Baffles Medical Community;” “Scientists Surprised by Virulent New Disease;” “Enigmatic New Disease Hits Homosexual Men;” “Mysterious Malady Spreads Among Homosexuals;” “Highly Virulent New Disease Threatens Plague;” and “Strange New Ailment Strikes Gay Community”?

Do you recall how AIDS was characterized as a sexually transmitted disease of unknown cause? How, in screaming headlines, bisexuals were said to be spreading this terrible and little understood malady to the heterosexual community?

Did it not seem odd to you how the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) could, in good conscience, pass out press releases that described AIDS as a baffling new disease of unknown cause, yet had the temerity to say it was sexually transmitted?

How could they, in advance of introducing the disease, devise an acronym that specified the disease as contagious?

Obviously this bespeaks malice aforethought. Especially is this evident when we recall that they rejected the acronym GRID. GRID, meaning Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease, was tailor made to destroy the gay rights movement. Obviously Dr. Curran (head of CDC) and his superiors had bigger things in mind.

The CDC professed ignorance, yet gave a very positive acronym that could only be appended *after* profound study and research had established that the disease was, indeed, contagious. Only after a very thorough study and a positive determination had been made could such an acronym be appended.

That this acronym could be used coincident with the introduction of the disease could come only from prior deliberations; in short, a conspiracy is evident. That it was deliberate and well planned in advance is the fact that they lumped together some

diseases that were obscure, yet present in the medical literature all the way back to 1539. The bafflement pretense set the stage not only for panic and hysteria, but also hero stuff a la Jonas Salk.

The bafflement pretense was phony all the way through. CDC and fellow conspirators knew what they were up to and what their targets were. All one has to do to see that the whole thing is concocted is to look up immunodeficiency diseases in *The Merck Manual* issued in 1982 and before.

Medical dictionaries and other literature abound with the term lymphocytopenia which was the actual disease embellished upon in setting up the AIDS conspiracy.

— Pretending to be baffled when they actually are not makes it self-evident a big conspiracy was afoot in the CDC.

The Right of Might

In America and many other countries, an all-powerful, multi-trillion-dollar cartel controls about all there is to control that is of significance. In this country that cartel owns and/or controls virtually all the newspapers and magazines, all the TV and radio media, owns the chemical and drug industries, controls our governments, both state and federal, controls the medical/hospital system and, I repeat, about everything else that assumes economic/political importance.

Principal always overrides principle. Hence it has been aptly said that might makes right.

The system can put anything over on us it wishes! It has the power and the resources to make us believe anything it wants us to believe to better exploit us for its greater power and profit.

In the manufactured AIDS panic, all the cartel members coalesced to fool and scare our populace until it was in a frenzy of fear. All this was done so that a few more billion dollars would accrue to the medical/drug/hospital/research/bureaucratic interests.

The scam has been successfully pulled off, unlike the swine flu farce, and, indeed, billions of dollars have flowed into the coffers of those interests. In addition, much power and "prestige" has been conferred upon those instrumental in perpetrating and perpetuating such a monstrous hoax.

The technique employed has been called the Hitlerian Big Lie Technique. This technique says: "If you tell a lie big enough, tell it often enough and tell it long enough, people will accept it as gospel truth."

We see this as being precisely the case with the deliberate concoction of AIDS from a complex of symptoms called by more than 20 other disease designations.

10

Is AIDS a Major Public Health Problem?

Billed as the most serious epidemic to confront modern medicine in the 20th century, AIDS is now called "Public Enemy Number One" by the president.

The surgeon-general has mailed every household in the U.S. a booklet called "Understanding AIDS." Obviously this booklet is designed to get us worked up about AIDS and to induce us to undertake "responsible behavior."

In the nation's press AIDS is treated as "Public Enemy Number One." Government agencies, pharmaceutical interests and research organizations have, indeed, made it an epidemic—the biggest paper tiger in the history of disease promotion.

Would you call 250% as many deaths from sleeping pills an epidemic? Would you call 7,000% more dying from cancer an epidemic? Or would you call 15,000% more dying from cardiovascular problems an epidemic?

The real epidemic is one of publicity. The epidemic of over-publicizing AIDS is calculated to create markets for new drugs, additional funds to the government agencies involved and research interests who love gravy trains.

11 If He Isn't Dying of AIDS, Then What is He Dying Of?

Recently in the *Wall Street Journal*, there was a story of a man dying of AIDS. My question is: "If AIDS is a phony disease, if he isn't dying of AIDS, then what is he dying of?"

—Charles Snell
Providence, RI

RESPONSE: I have had dozens of queries in this vein, especially as regarding Rock Hudson and Liberace whose deaths were credited to AIDS.

Apparently it does not occur to our citizenry that whatever caused so-called AIDS in the dying may well be causing their deaths too. We stand in awe of those who invoke the aura of science. They seem totally dependent upon the latest publicity releases from our "laboratories" for their information and opinions.

And what do you think happens to sufferers who get a diagnosis of AIDS? Could extraordinarily heroic drugging by chemotherapy, itself consisting of immunosuppressive drugs, have anything to do with their dying?

As a rule, AIDS sufferers submit themselves to physicians who commit them to hospitals. There they undergo rounds of immunosuppressive drugs which further destroy their immune system and add to the toxic loads which the sufferers are already under.

When chemicals (they are actually drugs and poisons) are injected into the bloodstream or absorbed from the intestinal tract or lungs, the white blood cells, like suicide squadrons, begin surrounding and engulfing the chemicals for removal from the body. In the process of doing this, the white blood cells die, victims of the very poisons they are removing. As a result, these bouts with chemicals, whether recreational drugs or medically administered drugs, impair the body's ability to create new white blood cells as fast as they are destroyed. The chemicals accumulate along with uneliminated body wastes. The body, threatened with being overwhelmed by these deadly substances, initiates emergency

detoxification crises which may manifest as fevers, diarrheas, pneumonias or any number of other illnesses. Under continued drug use or chemotherapy, the sufferer goes on downhill until death.

Almost all those suffering what is called AIDS have heavily indulged immunosuppressive recreational drugs such as poppers (isobutyl nitrite or amyl nitrite), uppers, downers, marijuana and a host of others, or have been heavily immunosuppressed as with hemophiliacs.

In cancer, more than 50% of those diagnosed die under medical care. Under Hygienic care more than 95% survive! In so-called AIDS, nearly 100% die under medical care. None have died under Hygienic care! All have recovered. For, when the poisoning stops, the body cleanses itself and rebuilds normal faculties and functions to the extent of residual potential.

AIDS? I assure it has been around a long time. In 1539 medical literature first describes tertiary and quaternary syphilis. The symptoms are the same as that described today as AIDS. It is instructive to note that syphilis was described in the Grecian medical literature and the Bible. Only the first two stages were described. However, after the introduction of mercury and bismuth, now known as immunosuppressive, as treatments of choice for syphilis, stages three and four put in their appearance! Up until recently, what is called AIDS was diagnosed as tertiary or quaternary syphilis. In 1868 Moritz Kaposi Kohn described what has since been known as Kaposi's sarcoma, recognized as part of the AIDS syndrome.

Yes, indeed, what are AIDS sufferers dying of?

New York Times and *Reader's Digest* Tell Us What We Must Do About AIDS

On May 28, 1987, the *New York Times* printed an article telling us what we *must* do about AIDS. The September 1987 issue of *Reader's Digest* reprinted a synopsis of the article under the title: "AIDS: What Must Be Done Now."

Calling AIDS a peril and plague such as never been before visited upon our nation, A. M. Rosenthal, who authored the article, presumed to tell us what we absolutely must do.

The article, in effect, calls for the renouncement of extramarital sex in the lives of Americans. For the purposes of this article, I am not concerned with that. I am concerned with the fear and the lies perpetrated and perpetuated by such influential publications.

First, Mr. Rosenthal gives credence to the figure of 1,500,000 Americans having the so-called AIDS virus and he says that eventually, all of them will die. From another section of this book you will learn that this number *does not* have the virus. Instead we learn they have what is presumed to be an antibody to the so-called HIV which, also well-established herein, is not the cause of the problem in the first place. And we also learn that antibodies are evidence of immunity to a disease, not a predisposition to it.

By invoking medical vaccinal rationales, a vaccine can do no more than cause the formation of antibodies which 1,500,000 people already have. By vaccinal reasoning, these 1,500,000 people are the safest ones around with respect to AIDS.

As to a peril and a plague, why not call sleeping pills a peril and a plague? Twice as many people are dying of them as are dying of so-called AIDS!

When you realize that AIDS is, a big farce invented and fostered, firstly, to destroy the gay rights movement and, secondly, to stake out huge new economic reserves for our pharmaceutical and chemical industries, then you begin to perceive the truth. The

sleeping pill business is safely in hand. The drug promoters want to develop new business. Of course they are seconded by power-hungry bureaucrats, opportunistic researchers and institutions, and a medical system that stands to benefit greatly.

Ironically, the article opens with, "We all know the truth, every one of us." The truth is that almost no one, including Mr. Rosenthal, knows the truth even though practically all of us feel we have the absolute unimpeachable truth. When he puts it in this manner, this implies that your worst fears are true. As most of us are given to believing the worst scenario portrayed, this carries us along with the theme: AIDS is with us and will destroy us.

The sexual warnings are a deceptive ploy: the originators of the scheme well know they are promoting a fraud. Under the guise of cautioning Americans on their sexual practices, this article left-handedly turns the screws so that fear and panic are all the more heightened.

What must be done about AIDS now? You should inform yourself about the atrocious plot to foist a bunch of old diseases on us under the guise of a new label for the greater financial glory of its beneficiaries. And, of course, do not be taken in by its fears or ensnaring webs.

13

Why Do Hemophiliacs Come Down With AIDS?

Our medical establishment, its representatives and flunkies studiously avoid mentioning any drug or medical procedure as causative of human problems.

Consider this! Statistics show that sufferers from iatrogenic (physician-caused) diseases admitted to hospitals number about five million per year and about 200,000 of these admissions die. Thus 10% of all annual deaths are caused by physicians. But the deaths caused by physicians are actually much greater than this! When they go on strike, death rates drop as much as 60%! Of course drugs and physicians are not listed as causes in death statistics. Deaths are attributed to the disease for which they were treated.

Do you think they list deaths from so-called AIDS as being caused by chemotherapy and the raft of other heroic drugging measures undertaken? Not on your life!

When you pick up a physician's desk reference and start looking at some of the iatrogenic diseases caused by drugs—they are euphemistically called side effects—you would think, and correctly so, that all drugs have toxic effects.

Hemophiliacs are transfused with new blood frequently. Blood transfusions are fraught with problems in themselves. First, the so-called immune system starts destroying the transfused hemoglobin and white cells wholesale. The liver likewise gets heavily involved in their destruction to the point that it can become inflamed, that is, suffer hepatitis. The body will not tolerate alien proteins. They are treated like poisons. Alien proteins in themselves are immunodestructive.

To enable hemophiliacs to clot their blood in the event of bleeding, an extract called Factor VIII is added. This too, is suspected of immunosuppressive action. Additional immunosuppressive drugs are likely to be added, drugs like cyclophosphamide.

Hemophiliacs suffer a multitude of problems. Worse, Factor VIII and immunosuppressive drugs become cumulative to a great

extent in their systems with continuing transfusions. Thus it should be no surprise that they develop what is called AIDS!

The establishment has been very careful to blame what they have dubbed as AIDS on a virus that has been thoroughly discredited as the cause of anything. They will blame anything but the causes—in this case medical procedures in transfusion and the drugs they routinely administer.

The drug cartel and their products must not be implicated or indicted as being causative of diseases or suffering. The image of drugs must always be beneficent and life-saving!

14 A Reader is Suspicious About Our AIDS Expose Campaign

I just finished reading your literature regarding the possible writing of a possible best-seller, and wonder who is creating a fraudulent situation; you, or our major corporations. Clearly, you have provided just enough of the truth to convince many of the unsuspecting, and may indeed receive sufficient funds to create this lie called a book.

Your pamphlet eliciting funds misrepresents several important points: principally, medical science has moving pictures of a virus injecting its genetic material into a live cell, and that same cell subsequently reproducing this same virus's destructive genetic material. So, your principal argument is already full of holes. While I might agree that the federal government may ultimately cause the whole population to undergo AIDS testing (knowing that the tests are incredibly inaccurate), I agree that it really ought to be done.

In the first place you have overlooked the Biblical implications of the AIDS problem. God's word has always said that homosexuality is abominable to Him. Our word "sodomy" has its derivation from the city of Sodom that God destroyed for its free attitudes towards homosexuality. Should we expect Him to change His word now? I think not.

Secondly, you have created the idea that AIDS is a "different" disease. A friend of mine recently died of AIDS and it was unlike any disease that I have seen, and I've seen a number of them at work. Do not presume that AIDS is the same as any other disease that's been renamed. It is, and shall remain, a disease peculiar to the people that continue to violate God's word.

Finally, I believe that the concept of AIDS antibodies is relatively accurate, not unlike the example you've given of polio. I do, however, believe that any blood used for transfusions that is either infected with, or has AIDS antibodies should be given exclusively to those already diagnosed as having the disease. If, as you have

stated, the AIDS antibodies present in AIDS “carriers” is indeed an actual immunity, then that blood should be given to those people who actually have the virus working in their bodies so as to help their bodies develop the same immunity. After all, this is the same process by which we are vaccinated against for many other diseases.

So, if your thesis is correct, and I obviously do not believe it is, then the whole population should be exposed to this disease in order to prevent a total infection of the entire population from occurring. Rather, I believe that God has a purpose in allowing this disease to exist, which is to wipe out the very people that He has always said He detests.

So, who am I to believe, God, or you? Clearly, I would prefer to believe God. If it is in God’s will that your proposed book be written, if for no other reason than to continue to deceive the people who will ultimately fall from this disease, then it will be written. I do not, however, believe that it will be written.

Clearly, I am not including any financial help in this letter because I do not believe in the purpose of your book. I really hope that you read and understand this letter.

—Lloyd M. Jeffords
New York, NY

RESPONSE: That you should wonder about my possible fraudulence—in the face of what I labelled irrefutable evidence, without even investigating that evidence—ill becomes you. This destroys any credence in you immediately, for it reveals that you are not a responsible or a sophisticated thinker. It reveals you to be a person more in love with what you want to be rather than what might be.

What you cite as medical science’s moving pictures of a virus “injecting” itself into a living cell is an outright fraud for those who know physiology. I saw Dr. Robert Gallo’s photos of what was said to be an AIDS virus injecting itself into a live cell. The virus was a round bit of dead material doing nothing whatever. What we saw was a cell in the process of creating an impromptu mouth and enveloping the supposed AIDS virus. The cell performed *all* the action. From the beginning to the end the so-called virus was without action. This process is well described

as phagocytosis in any standard physiology or cytology text. That you should give this credence bespeaks your station.

Inasmuch as dead organic material of this nature cannot possibly cause disease (no matter how you figure it, it is the body's own waste material!) or anything else, what is called a virus cannot be a cause. The drug establishment propagandizes us about viruses, blaming diseases upon them with the clear implication that their drugs will kill off these viruses, thus making us well. A three-year-old's instincts are more to be trusted than someone peddling their product. The pharmaceutical, medical and junk food industries try to blame AIDS and other diseases on anything but toxic materials which are the real causes. The average American poisons himself from 20 to 40 times a day with recreational drugs like tobacco, coffee, alcohol, soft drinks, cooked foods, fried foods, condiments and a whole host of materials unfit for human consumption. Only good air, pure water and raw foods of our biological adaptation should ever enter our bodies. Everything else is pathogenic.

AIDS testing is a fraud. First, antibodies which they test for should by their own logic mean the positive testing subject is immune. Second, 50% of those who have what is called AIDS do not have the so-called HIV or AIDS virus. As offered up in the circular you are responding to, the most prestigious medical reference book, *The Merck Manual*, says that immunodeficiency diseases are caused by drugs, chemicals, immunosuppressants and a host of other etiological substances, chemotherapy, for instance.

You try to lay on me the stance of the medical establishment. I have not tried to say that AIDS is a different disease—it is a new name for a whole complex of deficiency diseases named in our circular. Did you not catch on that Kaposi, over one hundred years ago, described a condition now called AIDS?

Have you not become aware that AIDS is a successor name to GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease) that was aimed squarely at the gay rights movement? Did you not know that this appellation, GRID, was withdrawn by Centers for Disease Control because, at that time, the gays had a strong lobby? Did you not know that CDC came back with the acronym AIDS and hung it on the gays anyway? Have you not caught on that it

accomplished what GRID was meant to do, that is, destroy the gay rights movement? Have you not caught on that the drug industry seized upon this opportunity and, with the abettance of its fellow cartel members in the media, hung the disease on the heterosexual population too?

God's word has nothing to do with AIDS being a sin of iniquity as you would have me believe. AIDS is, indeed, the wages of transgression, that of violating our biological integrity. Drugs and other poisons are the causes, something our pharmaceutical establishment does not want us to know.

About 10% of our population are homosexual. Most do not arise from antecedent homosexuals! And most homosexuals are the only one in their family that way! This is to say that the condition is caused by something in our lifestyle—that it is not an inherited trait. So, if God intended gays to be wiped out, he must wipe out the causes, not the homosexuals! Homosexual practices beget no progeny. Further, if only about 5,000 gays are dying of AIDS per year, it will take about 5,000 years to kill them all off. Would you lay upon God the accusation of not being effective?

I have documentary evidence that AIDS is a hoax perpetrated and perpetuated by the CDC, other government agencies and a phalanx of pharmaceutical companies who stand to profit heavily from this big promotion.

Do you not believe it a duty incumbent upon us who have evidential knowledge to share it with those who do not know?

15 The AIDS Campaign Strikes a Rich Mother Lode!

Talk about hitting pure gold! The Centers for Disease Control have been laboring hard and long to make their AIDS campaign of fear, hysteria and panic go over big with the American population so that they will really go in big for drugs that will, purportedly, cure the problem.

Now they are hinting around at making 22 million more Americans at high risk for AIDS!

Dr. Scott Holmberg of the Centers for Disease Control has found that those with herpes genitalis are two to three times more likely to get the AIDS virus!

Herpes genitalis is the successor disease to the first stage of syphilis when CDC changed disease fashions. Spirochetes, a form of bacteria called *treponeme pallida*, were left out in the cold as causing the papillae and lesions of the genital area and herpes virus was pressed into service as the cause—it offered better mileage as people are far more scared of inscrutable “viruses” than common bacteria. Of course herpes virus is the same that is said to be responsible for cold sores, mouth sores, shingles and a long list of other ulcers or lesions.

Herpes genitalis has receded into the dim background since AIDS has taken over the spotlight. Now, with this entree, it appears they are dusting off this in-limbo disease to give AIDS more oomph in the marketplace and especially for drug/research/medical/hospital/bureaucratic interests.

Yes, it is true that those who already suffer an affection are greater candidates for what is called AIDS. You see, most herpes genitalis sufferers are on acyclovir, a highly immunosuppressive drug (highly destructive of white blood cells). And, of course, they are on the poison habits which begot the genital lesions as extraordinary outlets in the first place.

Further, all acute diseases are symptoms of a body whose cup runneth over with toxic substances which the regular defensive faculties, the white blood cell complement, cannot cope with. The

body thus resorts to extraordinary elimination through outlets other than its regular ones to cope with the impairing toxins.

Leave it to those fellows at CDC. They have the world in a dither now and they intend to fan the flames until a cauldron of fear, panic and despair are generated.

Rather than get enmeshed in these games, keep in mind that healthful practices *always* produce health.

16 The AIDS Campaign is Showing Signs of Weakness

As a model in how to promote a complex of old diseases as a new and fearsome one, the AIDS campaign is unexcelled—even the polio hoax never reached the heights of success the AIDS campaign has.

Vaccines? Their success is assured in advance and they are coming! They will generate as much for the bottom line of pharmaceutical firms in perpetuum as the polio vaccine.

AIDS business is already booming as the polio campaign never did. Huge government grants to power-crazed bureaucracies, researchers and, indirectly, the drug companies, have been good for the pharmaceutical and medical trades.

But there are a few chinks here and there showing in the hoax's armor. Not that the establishment TV, press and other media have not been discreet—it is just that the truth pops up here and there.

Do you remember back to 1976 when the biggest scam ever exposed and publicly discredited occurred? This was the swine flu farce.

Do you remember J. Anthony Morris? He is the FDA member who was fired for exposing the government/drug industry conspiracy and scam. The whole thing was a lie and had nothing to do with any possible epidemic. That was a concerted attempt to foist a new vaccine on the populace which could have generated a lot of revenue for drug companies for an indefinite time. However, Dr. Morris shot it down.

Well Dr. Morris recently labelled the panic created over the AIDS furor just another scam, something I have pointed out in print since 1982. And Dr. Anthony Morris is armed with bundles of credentials and evidence.

Dr. Morris has had the gall to point out that the "new" virus sprung on us by Dr. Gallo is not new at all. He pointed out that frozen blood from more than 50 years ago had the same virus in it that is said to cause AIDS!

Then there is Dr. Peter Duesberg, the famed viral researcher from the University of California, Berkeley, who says that the so-called AIDS virus, now referred to as HIV, does not cause AIDS and cannot cause AIDS! Of course the press is not telling us about this. Dr. Duesberg even challenged Dr. Gallo to administer this virus to him to prove his point. Dr. Gallo did not accept the challenge. Unfortunately, the National Cancer Institute to which Dr. Gallo belongs made the rare mistake of giving a research grant to an honest man.

Despite the mighty power of the cartel that brainwashes, manipulates, rips off and despoils us, the truth sometimes gets through.

As our press, radio, TV and other media are under the control of the same rapacious group that controls the drug/hospital/medical establishment, you can expect little if any splits or chinks in the front they present to the public. Our government and educational institutions are subject to the same nefarious cartel which controls the press and the drug/medical complex.

Unfortunately, most of our populace are not aware that they are being brainwashed, manipulated, ripped off and despoiled. But many are honest and some who have awareness are not about to keep quiet. Dr. Morris is one of the more vocal scientists who does not hesitate to speak out.

The Origin of AIDS

Articles galore appear conjecturing upon the origin of what is called AIDS. Among the many causes posed are these:

- The viruses were created by the Biological Warfare labs in Fort Detrick, Maryland.
- The viruses came from simians (green monkeys). Africans acquired the disease from monkeys and passed it on to Haitians who spread it to Americans.
- AIDS started in Africa because of the tremendous number of smallpox vaccinations there. Even the (in)famous Dr. Gallo told a European "scientific" conference that one of the side effects of smallpox vaccinations was AIDS! He will not say this for publication in America for, after all, he is claiming credit for discovering the so-called AIDS virus.
- The origin of AIDS was originally laid on homosexual Haitians. The Centers for Disease Control has apologized to Haiti for this misrepresentation.
- The AIDS epidemic has been attributed to a homosexual jet steward, Gaeten Dugas, who spread it among many other gays in the U.S. and Canada in 1979.

In most of the above citations viruses are blamed as cause and their transmission was blamed on homosexual acts. Now the propaganda line is that anyone can become infected with the virus by any kind of sex act, contaminated blood or anything else that gets HIV into our body fluids. The fact that we blame a virus for a disease causes us to suspect something, for if there is a hoax greater than the AIDS hoax, it is the virus hoax. Likewise the notion that people can pass along their diseases dates from barbarian times when evil spirits, demons and spells were blamed for maladies.

The Real Beginning of AIDS

While the diseases lumped together under the catchall term AIDS have been in the medical literature for nearly 450 years, the real beginning of AIDS was the coining of the acronym and its introduction by the Centers for Disease Control back in 1982. The disease

came full blown with a name when introduced! That gives the whole show away.

Actually, the disease was introduced in a short-lived attempt to introduce it as GRID—Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease. Centers for Disease Control never went for that—they had not wanted to use that acronym in the first place. AIDS was almost called ACIDS, patterned after the name right out of *The Merck Manual* (called SCID or Severe Combined Immunodeficiency). As Acquired Combined ImmunoDeficiency Syndrome it would have been fearsome. But Dr. James Curran, who heads CDC, did not go for that one. Finally ACIDS was accepted minus the “C.”

AIDS, as you can see, was a concocted name for a set of disease complexes that have been around for a long time!

18 *Los Angeles Times* Seeks to Help AIDS Sufferers

The November 28, 1986, issue of the *Los Angeles Times*, "Metro" section editorial pages, carries pleas concerning an AIDS project to help those who have the disease.

The report stated the project sought foodstuffs, among other things, for the "victims."

With pride the project reports that it has received supplies of surplus government flour, powdered milk, cheese and rice. And, through these pleas, lets the public know that it is seeking the following foods:

bottled juices, canned tuna, breakfast cereal, peanut butter, canned fruit, chunky soups, ketchup, mayonnaise, macaroni and cheese, beef stew, tomato sauce, coffee and tea, and nutritional supplements.

To anyone versed in nutrition it sounds as if they are out to put AIDS sufferers into real trouble! Modern farmers would not feed this glop to their pigs!

That anyone should eat this at all in whatever kind of health is unthinkable! Not only does it fail to furnish needed nutrients, but it is loaded with toxic matters directly and results in toxic bacterial decomposition by-products indirectly. Anyone eating this cooked and otherwise toxic junk would suffer crisis after crisis of elimination called disease—even opportunistic infection!

In short, this type of food would help kill those suffering the disease called AIDS rather than help them recover. Better that the AIDS sufferers have no food at all than this! At least, on a water diet, the body will recover from AIDS in almost all cases!