# True Sustainability with Low Embodied Energy

#### Background

**Embodied energy** is the energy required to produce or make the things humans use or rely on. **Sustainability** is the ability to sustain life and future generations of life without diminishing the natural capital on which all life depends. **True sustainability** assures that the approach to obtaining sustainability does not itself lead to non-sustainability.

Reducing Greenhouse Gases (GHG) in order to prevent or mitigate Climate Change is a primary goal of humanity in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. We will face a CO2 Budget. We will face a Cost Budget. We face a post Industrial Age. **How do we get to Zero Net Carbon?** 



Making Things is what the Industrial Age does. Producing the materials takes energy, and contributes most to embodied energy.

0.830-1.950 KWh/kg
5.55-6.95 KWh/kg
5.55-13.9 KWh/kg
63.9-65.3 KWh/kg
63-95 KWh/kg
17.2-31.95 KWh/kg
2,108-2,154 KWh/kg

The planned renewable (clean) energy infrastructure will require energy to make, consuming about 20% of the CO2 Budget of about 1000 Bt CO2. We globally emit 40 Bt CO2 per year, 31% from Making Things.

### Approach

A **Practical Scenario** is defined, with significant buildup in **6 Development Areas:** Solar PV, Wind, Electric Vehicles, Efficiency, Energy Storage, and Buildings, achieving significant build up by 2050, including a 30% solar electricity grid.

A second build up in 2050-2100 achieves a 100% renewable energy electric grid and all EVs.



\* Development Areas: Solar PV, Wind, EVs, Efficiency, Energy Storage, and Buildings NOTE: Agriculture, Forestry, and Aviation, being socio-political in nature, and with potential for adopting renewable fuelse, sosisting no carbon options, and localization of activities to reduce emissions, follow a different path to Zero Net Carbon, with progress somewhat dependent on accomplishments in the other (Top Down/ Bottom Ub) categories.



2/3 of energy consumed is rejected = wasted. CO2 emissions are 3 times larger than necessary. REDUCE/REUSE/RECYCLE (Old Adage New Urgency)

Embodied energy is estimated for each development area and a build up is laid out consistent with established Climate Change goals. The transition to a renewable energy future is essentially a very large construction project, costing tens of trillions of dollars, spent over a period of 80 years.

When embodied energy data is not available, analysis focuses on material content.

#### Analysis

Categories (Energy –related)	Invested Energy (U.S.) CO2 Levelized	Invested Energy (U.S.) thru 2050 CO2 released, tons	U.S. CO2 Reductions through 2050	Global CO2 Reductions through 2050
Solar PV	195 Mt/y	5.85 B	(522Mt/y) 15.7 B	62.8 B
Wind	30 Mt/y	900 M	(490Mt/y) 14.7 B	58.8 B
Electric Vehicles	169 Mt/y	5.07 B	(501Mt/y) 15 B	60 B
Efficiency	236 Mt/y	7.08 B	(507Mt/y) 15.2 B	60.8 B
Energy Storage	16 Mt/y	480 M	0	0
Buildings (1M/Y)	8 Mt/y	240 M	(35Mt/y) 1.0 B	4 B
TOTALS	654 Mt/y	19.6 Bt total (78 Bt Global)	61.6 Bt (U.S.)	246 Bt (Global)

Categories (Energy –related)	Invested Energy (U.S.) CO2 Levelized (2050+)	Invested Energy (U.S.) thru 2100 tons	U.S. CO2 Reductions through 2100	Global CO2 Reductions through 2100
Solar PV	195 Mt/y (390)	25.5 B	(1562 Mt/y) 93.8 B	375 B
Wind	30 Mt/y (60)	3.9 B	(1273 Mt/y) 78.1 B	312 B
Electric Vehicles	169 Mt/y (169)	13.6 B	(1.03) Bt/y51.5 B	206 B
Efficiency	236 Mt/y (0)	7.1 B	(1.13 Bt/y) 56.5 B	226 B
Energy Storage	16 Mt/y (51)	3.1 B	0	0
Buildings (1M/Y)	8 Mt/Y (8)	0.6 B	(70Mt/y) 3.5 B	14 B
TOTALS	654 Mt/y (678)	54 Bt total U.S.	283 Bt (U.S.)	1133 Bt (Global)

216 Bt CO2 emissions to build the infrastructure 1133 Bt CO2 emissions reduced/avoided by 2100 4 Bt CO2/y to maintain the infrastructure 6 Bt CO2/y to Make Things (steel, concrete, etc.) 500 Bt CO2 Budget Gap by 2100, growing since 2050





Utility-scale mounting is material intensive. Residential mounts use lots of concrete.

We must do more with less, as less embodied energy means far less CO2 emissions. The TCS\* G1 is low embodied energy, less material. \*Tensioned Cable System

Reducing the CO2 Budget Gap is the Big Challenge

## Going Forward

The Practical Scenario means building upon the current state of the art, while also pursuing R&D in reliability, energy storage, carbon capture, and hydrogen. The buildup of solar and wind power will eventually need a lot of energy storage. **Carbon capture** presumes use of non-renewable resources, thus, **is not sustainable**.

The long term challenge is definitely in figuring ways to Make Things without reliance on fossil fuels. Avoiding Tipping Points in this age of Climate Change will put an urgency on reckoning with this challenge of embodied energy, as CO2 emissions in the future happen when there is no CO2 Budget left.

Doing more with less to Make Things is a powerful approach to reducing emissions of CO2, as rejected energy (waste) is avoided. CO2 emissions in 2100 will almost entirely come from Making Things.

A design science in the use of tensioned cables for structural applications like mounting solar PV panels continuously moves toward doing more with less, which will allow a less costly transition to **Zero Net Carbon**.

Low embodied energy solutions are suited for transfer to Developing Countries, as well as Developed Countries like the U.S. and China. Low embodied energy infrastructure leads to a juxtaposition of **Jevon's Paradox,** in a good way...incentivizing a push toward lower cost and lower embodied energy solutions, rather than an ironic tendency to consume more natural (and unrenewable) resources.

Content herein is based on a white paper, titled *"True Sustainability With Low Embodied Energy"*, by Jonathan A. Clemens, prepared for the ASES 2021 National Solar Conference. Rev 06/17/2021