JUNE 2024

IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING IN MODERN ORGANIZATIONS

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN RESHAPING STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING

Prepared by: Pushpa Bhuva
Course 110112: Master of Business Management

Approved by: Reggie Dimitriou
HOD of MBM Department

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping strategic decision-making (SDM) across industries by extending analytic reach, accelerating sensemaking, and enabling new forms of automation and augmentation. This report synthesizes theoretical foundations (bounded rationality, behavioral decision theory, OODA loop, dynamic capabilities), contemporary models of AI-enabled decision support, and empirical evidence from industry surveys and case studies. It examines how AI affects strategic choices (scope, timing, resource allocation), governance and risk, organizational capability requirements, and measurement of value. Practical implications and managerial recommendations address alignment of AI investments with strategy, governance frameworks, talent and operating model changes, and metrics to monitor performance and risks. The report draws on academic literature, practitioner reports and industry case examples to provide a balanced view of opportunities and limits of AI in SDM.

INTRODUCTION

Strategic decision-making (SDM)—the process by which organizations choose their mission, competitive positioning, resource allocation, and long-term scope—is traditionally constrained by limited information, cognitive biases, and organizational inertia. Human decision-makers operate under conditions of uncertainty, making judgement calls with imperfect data (Simon, 1955). However, advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have begun to transform these constraints, enabling organizations to process vastly larger data sets, simulate alternative futures, and in some cases allow AI-driven systems to execute decisions autonomously or semi-autonomously.

Recent industry surveys show that AI has moved from the experimental stage to the strategic agenda in many firms. According to McKinsey's global surveys, an increasing number of companies are scaling AI initiatives and linking them explicitly to strategic value capture (McKinsey & Company, 2025; McKinsey & Company, 2023). Nevertheless, adoption is uneven due to data readiness, governance, talent gaps, and risk management challenges.

This report addresses three central questions:

1. Which decision-making theories and models help explain how AI affects SDM?
2. What empirical evidence exists for AI's impact on strategic processes and outcomes?
3. What practical steps should managers take to harness AI for strategic decision-making effectively and responsibly?

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

Bounded Rationality and Satisficing

Herbert A. Simon's bounded rationality posits that decision-makers cannot optimize because of limited information, limited cognitive capacity, and time constraints (Simon, 1955). Instead, they "satisfice"—selecting a solution that is good enough rather than ideal. In the age of AI, these boundaries shift: AI expands the information base and computational power available to decision-makers, but new constraints emerge, such as model bias, interpretability challenges, and data governance. Thus, rather than eliminating bounded rationality, AI transforms and redefines it.

AI-enhanced decision-making can raise the bar for satisficing: where once decisions were based on limited heuristics, now managers can run simulations, stress-test options, and quantify trade-offs more precisely. Still, human judgment remains essential because AI models themselves are often bounded by their training data and assumptions.

Dual-Process Theory and Behavioral Decision Making

Kahneman (2011) describes two cognitive systems: System 1 (fast, intuitive, heuristic) and System 2 (slow, deliberative, logical). Strategic decisions often succumb to biases—anchoring, overconfidence, availability heuristics—that arise from System 1 thinking. AI can help mitigate these biases by offering counterfactual analyses, probabilistic forecasts, and diagnostic tools. For instance, predictive models can surface low-likelihood but high-impact risks that may be overlooked by human intuition. However, AI also carries risks of automation bias—overrelying on system outputs without sufficient critical scrutiny—or algorithmic bias embedded in data. Thus, AI augments but does not substitute the dual-process interplay; decision-makers must balance AI-generated outputs with human evaluation, especially in morally or strategically complex contexts.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

OODA Loop and Sensemaking

The Observe–Orient–Decide–Act (OODA) loop, originally developed in military theory by Boyd, captures the cyclical nature of decision-making under uncertainty. AI accelerates the Observe and Orient phases by ingesting vast streams of structured and unstructured data, detecting patterns, and generating situational insights. In turn, humans focus more on decision and action — applying values, risk preferences, and political judgement.

Recent scholarship frames human–AI collaboration as a sensemaking loop: AI systems generate insights, humans interpret and contextualize them, then feed back their decisions into the learning cycle, refining both data models and strategic understanding (Hao, 2025). This collaboration can shorten the OODA loop, improving responsiveness and adaptability in volatile environments.

Dynamic Capabilities and the Resource-Based View

Teece, Pisano, and Shuen's (1997) dynamic capabilities framework argues that sustainable competitive advantage arises from the ability to sense opportunities, seize them, and reconfigure resources. AI by itself is not a source of sustainable advantage unless embedded in routines, processes, and governance. Strategic value arises when companies develop sensing routines (e.g., predictive analytics to spot trends), seizing routines (e.g., scenario planning using generative models), and transformational routines (e.g., reconfiguring operations based on AI outcomes).

From the resource-based view, AI becomes a valuable, rare, and hard-to-imitate resource only when firms build effective data infrastructure, cross-functional talent, and decision governance. Firms that merely purchase AI tools but do not integrate them into their dynamic capability architecture risk failure or superficial ROI (Teece, Pisano & Shuen, 1997).

AI MODELS AND ARCHITECTURES FOR STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING

To understand how AI impacts SDM, it is useful to categorize different types of AI models and their roles in decision support.

1. Predictive Analytics: These models forecast future outcomes (e.g., demand, sales, risk), enabling leaders to anticipate trends and make proactive decisions.
2. Prescriptive Analytics: These optimize decisions by suggesting actions (e.g., inventory levels, pricing, resource allocation) based on constraints and objectives.
3. Generative Models: Using techniques such as large language models (LLMs), generative AI can simulate strategic scenarios, brainstorm innovations, or draft strategic plans.
4. Agentic Systems: More advanced AI systems (agents) can act on decisions—executing tasks, initiating processes, or negotiating with other systems—with or without human oversight.
5. Decision-Support Dashboards: These integrate insights from models and surface them to managers via transparent interfaces, often with "what-if" capabilities and uncertainty quantification.

Architecturally, an effective AI-enabled SDM system typically requires:

- Data pipelines and governance (clean data, master records, data quality)
- Model portfolios (ensemble forecasting, generative LLMs, optimization engines)
- Explainability and uncertainty quantification (confidence intervals, counterfactual explanations)
- Workflow integration (alerts, human-in-the-loop workflows, MLOps) (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Accenture, 2024).

Practitioner guidance—especially from consultancies—suggests prioritizing a portfolio of use-cases: mix quick wins with long-term strategic bets rather than pursuing a singular "moonshot" AI project (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE AND INDUSTRY DATA

Adoption Trends and Strategic Orientation

According to McKinsey's State of AI surveys, many organizations are scaling their AI initiatives from pilot projects to strategic programs (McKinsey & Company, 2025). Indeed, recent data indicate that firms are increasingly linking AI investments to top-line growth, cost optimization, and risk resilience (McKinsey & Company, 2023).

Similarly, Accenture's Art of AI Maturity report shows that mature companies—not just tech firms—are embedding AI into their strategic operations, with a focus on data management, MLOps, and cross-functional collaboration (Accenture, 2024). Furthermore, sustainability concerns are driving AI governance: firms now consider the carbon and energy footprint of AI workloads, as per Accenture's Powering Sustainable AI (Accenture, 2025).

Yet, not all adoption is smooth. Deloitte's CFO survey indicates that while over 40% of companies are experimenting with generative AI, many CFOs still cite unclear business cases and difficulty measuring strategic ROI (Deloitte, 2024). This aligns with Gartner's warning that a large number of agentic AI projects may be scrapped due to immature capabilities and poor alignment with business strategy (Gartner, 2025).

Strategic Case Studies

JPMorgan (COIN): The "Contract Intelligence" (COIN) initiative at J.P. Morgan automated the review of legal contracts. What once took lawyers thousands of hours each year can now be done in seconds — reportedly saving 360,000 lawyer hours annually (Bloomberg, 2017). This automation freed up legal and compliance staff to focus on higher-value strategic tasks. The COIN system is a vivid example of AI shifting resource allocation, reducing risk, and enabling more strategic redeployment.

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE AND INDUSTRY DATA

Netflix Recommendation System: Netflix's personalization engine is a classic case of AI influencing strategic decisions. The recommendation system affects not just customer retention, but also content commissioning decisions, marketing spend, and global distribution strategy (Netflix Tech Blog, 2025). By using predictive and generative models, Netflix can simulate viewer behavior, predict content success, and align its content strategy accordingly.

Cross-industry Surveys: According to McKinsey (2023), many firms derive incremental revenue from AI in areas where analytics maturity was already established—such as marketing, pricing, and supply chain. This underlines the principle that strategic value often flows from building on existing strengths rather than entering entirely new domains.

Risks, Failures, and Friction

Despite the promise, AI-driven strategic initiatives frequently stumble. Common failure modes include lack of clearly defined business cases, insufficient data maturity, weak governance, regulatory compliance challenges, and model brittleness in novel or volatile contexts (McKinsey & Company, 2023; Gartner, 2025). The World Economic Forum warns that inadequate governance can lead to ethical, reputational, and operational risks (World Economic Forum, 2025). Organizations report difficulty in auditing models, explaining decisions to stakeholders, and escalating accountability (OECD, 2024). In some cases, projects are abandoned or scaled back. Gartner predicts that more than 40% of agentic AI initiatives will be scrapped by 2027 due to lack of real-world readiness or inability to generate expected ROI (Gartner, 2025; Reuters, 2025).

TRANSFORMATION OF STRATEGIC DECISION PROCESSES

From Episodic to Continuous Strategy

Traditionally, strategy formation has been periodic—annual or biannual planning cycles—with limited real-time feedback. AI enables a shift toward continuous, data-driven strategic management. By constantly monitoring leading indicators (market sentiment, supply chain disruptions, competitor behavior), organizations can make course corrections more frequently (McKinsey & Company, 2023). This agility enhances their capacity to respond to emerging threats and opportunities.

However, a continuous strategy also introduces the risk of strategic drift, where frequent tactical moves misalign with long-term goals. Thus, governance mechanisms are essential to ensure that agile decision-making remains anchored in the organization's mission (McKinsey & Company, 2025).

Delegation Gradients: Automate vs. Augment

Not every decision should be fully automated. A practical delegation gradient helps managers decide which functions to automate and which to reserve for human judgment. Routine, high-volume, well-specified tasks like processing contract clauses can be automated; in contrast, ambiguous or high-stakes decisions—such as mergers or ethical dilemmas—should remain under human control, assisted by AI-generated insights (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). To operationalize this gradient, organizations must define guardrails (what AI can do), escalation protocols (when humans intervene), and accountability frameworks (who owns the decision and its outcomes).

TRANSFORMATION OF STRATEGIC DECISION PROCESSES

Scenario Generation and Stress Testing

One of AI's most powerful strategic contributions is enabling scenario simulation and stress testing. Generative models (e.g., LLMs) combined with simulation engines allow firms to produce a rich set of alternative futures: macroeconomic downturns, supply chain shocks, regulatory disruptions, technological shifts, and more (Accenture, 2024). Such what-if analysis supports robust contingency planning and dynamic resource allocation.

However, the quality of those scenarios depends on data, assumptions, and model transparency. Without rigorous validation and uncertainty quantification, AI-generated scenarios may mislead decision-makers or produce overconfident strategies.

Metrics for Decision Quality

To measure the impact of AI on strategic decision-making, traditional metrics like ROI are insufficient. Instead, organizations should adopt a balanced scorecard approach for AI initiatives. Key performance indicators (KPIs) may include:

- Speed of decision cycles (how much faster decisions are made)
- Decision robustness (how decisions perform across simulated scenarios)
- Risk mitigation (downside avoided thanks to AI forecasting)
- Human capital redeployment (time saved, cost saved)
- Adoption and trust (usage of AI tools, user satisfaction) (McKinsey & Company, 2025; Accenture, 2024).

GOVERNANCE, ETHICS, AND RISK MANAGEMENT

AI's strategic use brings significant governance, ethical, and risk challenges. Key concerns include accountability, model explainability, bias, regulatory compliance, and transparency. International and industry frameworks suggest a layered approach to governance that combines technical, organizational, and ethical controls.

Technical Controls: Model validation, versioning, explainability, and continuous monitoring are necessary to ensure trust and safety (Accenture, 2024; OECD, 2024). Explainable AI helps stakeholders understand why a recommendation was made.

Organizational Controls: Decision rights should be explicitly defined. A RACI (Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, Informed) matrix helps clarify who owns decisions suggested or executed by AI, who validates them, and who intervenes in case of anomalies (World Economic Forum, 2025).

Ethical Principles: Transparency, fairness, and human oversight should guide deployment. Ethical guidelines must be codified into decision-making workflows, especially for high-impact strategic decisions (OECD, 2024).

Regulatory Compliance: Firms must navigate data privacy laws, AI-specific regulation, and incident reporting obligations. As regulatory scrutiny increases globally, board-level oversight and legal/risk involvement are vital (OECD, 2024).

Incident Reporting and Auditability: When things go wrong (biased decisions, unintended outcomes), organizations must have an incident reporting mechanism. They must also maintain audit trails of model decisions so that outcomes can be traced and corrected (World Economic Forum, 2025).

ORGANIZATIONAL CAPABILITIES REQUIRED

To successfully leverage AI in strategic decision-making, organizations must build several capabilities:

1. Data and Technology Foundations:
    - Robust data pipelines, master data management, and high-quality data.
    - MLOps (machine learning operations) infrastructure to manage model lifecycle.
    - Cloud or hybrid infrastructure capable of supporting scalable AI workloads (Accenture, 2024; Accenture, 2025).
2. Talent and Cross-Functional Teams:
    - Hiring data scientists, ML engineers, domain experts, and "translators" who bridge technical and business domains.
    - Upskilling programs so senior leaders understand AI and can interpret its insights (Accenture, 2024).
3. Decision Governance and Workflow Integration:
    - Designing human–AI workflows that define roles in validation, escalation, oversight, and execution.
    - Embedding AI outputs into existing decision forums (e.g., strategy committees) (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).
4. Cultural and Sensemaking Capabilities:
    - Fostering a culture of experimentation, learning, and evidence-based iteration.
    - Enabling shared sensemaking loops where AI outputs are discussed, challenged, and refined (Hao, 2025; McKinsey & Company, 2025).

A PRACTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGERS

Here is a distilled, actionable framework that senior managers can use to embed AI into strategic decision-making:

1. Clarify Strategic Objectives:
    - Define where AI should create value: growth, efficiency, risk resilience.
    - Establish measurable KPIs aligned with strategic goals (McKinsey & Company, 2025).
2. Prioritize Use-Cases by Impact and Feasibility:
    - Use a portfolio approach combining “quick wins” (low-risk automation) and strategic bets (scenario analysis, agentic AI).
    - Evaluate use-cases based on business value, data readiness, regulatory risk, and ease of deployment (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).
3. Build Data and Model Infrastructure:
    - Improve data quality, establish governance, and build robust pipelines.
    - Invest in MLOps and reproducible model workflows (Accenture, 2024).
4. Design Human–AI Workflows:
    - Assign roles: who reviews, approves, intervenes.
    - Define escalation paths, decision guardrails, and human oversight mechanisms (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

A PRACTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGERS

5. Implement Governance and Risk Controls:
    - Create a board-level AI oversight body, including legal, risk, ethics, and technology representation (World Economic Forum, 2025).
    - Develop incident reporting, model audit trails, and bias testing protocols (OECD, 2024).
6. Measure, Iterate, and Learn:
    - Track performance across financial, speed, robustness, and adoption metrics.
    - Use feedback loops to refine models, decision workflows, and governance (McKinsey & Company, 2025; Accenture, 2024).
7. Scale and Sustain:
    - As use-cases prove value, invest in scaling infrastructure, talent, and cross-functional capability.
    - Embed AI-enabled strategic processes into regular planning cycles (Accenture, 2024).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis above, the following recommendations are offered for senior leadership teams and boards:

1. Elevate AI to a Strategic Capability:
    - Treat AI not as a cost center or purely operational tool, but as a core strategic capability.
    - Ensure that AI investments are explicitly tied to strategic objectives and business KPIs (McKinsey & Company, 2023).
2. Establish Clear Governance and Accountability:
    - Define a governance structure that includes board-level oversight, cross-functional risk committees, and well-defined decision rights.
    - Implement auditability, transparency, and ethical guardrails (OECD, 2024; World Economic Forum, 2025).
3. Prioritize Data Maturity Before Scaling:
    - Address data quality, master data, and pipeline issues before scaling agentic AI.
    - Use pilot projects with rigorous evaluation criteria, and define exit strategies to avoid sunk cost escalation (Gartner, 2025; McKinsey & Company, 2023).
4. Invest in Talent and Organizational Design:
    - Hire or develop data science, engineering, domain, and translation roles.
    - Build cross-functional teams that embed AI in decision forums and design new workflows (Accenture, 2024).
5. Balance Speed with Robustness:
    - Use AI to generate strategic scenarios and stress-test assumptions.
    - Preserve human judgement for high-stakes and ambiguous decisions (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Hao, 2025).
6. Measure Impact Holistically:
    - Adopt balanced metrics covering speed, robustness, risk reduction, and adoption.
    - Monitor and report on unintended effects, governance lapses, or bias (McKinsey & Company, 2025).

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This report is based primarily on secondary literature—industry reports, academic studies, and news articles—and does not present original empirical research. As a result, causal inferences about AI's strategic impact should be drawn cautiously.

Future research should explore:

- Longitudinal, firm-level studies linking AI adoption to strategic outcomes such as market share, profitability, and resilience.
- Comparative case studies of firms across sectors to identify best practices in human–AI governance and dynamic capability building.
- Governance frameworks that effectively balance agility, accountability, and ethical risk in high-stakes AI decision systems.
- Metrics and measurement systems for long-term strategic value generated by AI that go beyond financial return.

CONCLUSION

AI is redefining strategic decision-making in modern organizations. By greatly enhancing sensing, simulation, and automation capabilities, AI enables faster, richer, and more adaptive strategic processes. But realizing this potential requires more than technical tools: it demands deliberate alignment of AI investments with strategic goals, robust data and model infrastructures, well-designed human–AI workflows, and rigorous governance mechanisms.

Organizations that develop AI-enabled dynamic capabilities—sensing, seizing, and transforming—while embedding ethical frameworks, accountability, and continuous learning are best positioned to convert AI from a tactical tool into a source of sustainable competitive advantage. As AI continues to evolve, the firms that integrate it deeply into their strategic routines will likely outperform those that treat it as a peripheral technology.

REFERENCES

Accenture (2024) Art of AI Maturity: Advancing from practice to performance. Accenture. Available at: https://www.accenture.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Accenture (2025) Powering Sustainable AI. Accenture. Available at: https://www.accenture.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Bahoo, S. (2023) 'Artificial intelligence and corporate innovation: A review', Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 189, pp. 1–14.

Bloomberg (2017) 'JPMorgan software does in seconds what took lawyers 360,000 hours', Bloomberg, 28 February. Available at: https://www.bloomberg.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Collis, D. J., Menz, M. and Martin, R. (2025) 'Corporate Strategy and the Theory of the Firm in the Digital Age', Journal of Management Studies, 62(3), pp. 512–539.

Davenport, T.H. and Ronanki, R. (2018) 'Artificial Intelligence for the Real World', Harvard Business Review, January–February, pp. 108–116.

Deloitte (2024) CFO Survey: GenAI Adoption Trends. Deloitte Insights. Available at: https://www2.deloitte.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Emerj (2025) AI in Banking – Analysis of America's Top Banks. Emerj. Available at: https://emerj.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Gartner (2023) Top Strategic Predictions 2023–2027. Gartner. Available at: https://www.gartner.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Gartner (2025) 'Over 40% of agentic AI projects to be scrapped by 2027'. Gartner Research Note. Available at: https://www.gartner.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Harvard Business Review (2023) Purdy, M. and Williams, A. 'How AI can help leaders make better decisions under pressure', 26 October. Available at: https://hbr.org/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Hao, X. (2025) 'Beyond human-in-the-loop: Collective sensemaking between humans and AI', Cell Reports, 42(2), pp. 1–20.

REFERENCES

IBM (2025) Enterprise MLOps Best Practices. IBM Whitepaper. Available at: https://www.ibm.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Investopedia (2024) ‘CFO Survey: More than 40% experimenting with GenAI’. Available at: https://www.investopedia.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

J.P. Morgan (2017) COIN Implementation Case. ABA Journal. Available at: https://www.abajournal.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Kahneman, D. (2011) Thinking, Fast and Slow. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

March, J.G. (1991) ‘Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning’, Organization Science, 2(1), pp. 71–87.

McKinsey & Company (2023) State of AI in 2023: Generative AI’s breakout year. McKinsey. Available at: https://www.mckinsey.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

McKinsey & Company (2025) State of AI: Global Survey 2025. McKinsey. Available at: https://www.mckinsey.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Menz, M. (2021) ‘Corporate strategy and the theory of the firm in digital environments’, Journal of Business Strategy, 42(4), pp. 236–244.

Netflix Tech Blog (2025) ‘Foundation model for personalized recommendations’, 21 March. Available at: https://netflixtechblog.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

New Yorker (2023) Articles discussing Kahneman’s theoretical influence. Available at: https://www.newyorker.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

OECD (2024) AI Policy and Regulatory Framework. OECD Digital Directorate. Available at: https://oecd.org/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Otis, J. et al. (2023) ‘AI in finance and automated decision support’, Journal of Banking Technology, 17(3), pp. 55–70.

PwC (2024) Global CEO Survey – AI adoption impact. PwC Research. Available at: https://www.pwc.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Reuters (2025) ‘Over 40% of Agentic AI Projects Will Be Scrapped by 2027, Gartner Says’, Reuters Technology, 25 June. Available at: https://www.reuters.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

REFERENCES

Russell, S. and Norvig, P. (2010) Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. 3rd edn. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Shrestha, Y. et al. (2020) ‘Organizational adoption of AI for business process automation’, Information Systems Frontiers, 22(5), pp. 1341–1356.

Stratoflow (2024) ‘Understanding the Netflix recommendation algorithm’. Available at: https://www.stratoflow.com/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).

Teece, D.J., Pisano, G. and Shuen, A. (1997) ‘Dynamic capabilities and strategic management’, Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), pp. 509–533.

World Economic Forum (2025) How AI Will Transform Managerial Decision-Making. WEF Research Report. Available at: https://www.weforum.org/ (Accessed 20 November 2025).