1	Marcio Sousa Sales			
2	Plaintiff, Pro Se 160 W Camino Real, 102			
3	Boca Raton, FL 33432 Phone Number: (561) 770-8909			
4	Email Address: <u>info@legalhelp4y.com</u>			
5				
6				
7	IN THE CIRCUIT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUTY, FLORIDA			
8	FUR PALM BEACH COUTY, FLORIDA			
9) Case No.: 50-2025-CA-000969-XXXA-MB		
10				
11	MADCIO COUSA SALES			
12	MARCIO SOUSA SALES,			
13	Plaintiff(s),	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE		
14		ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION		
15	vs.	OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE ON FILED		
16		MOTIONS		
17	ANTONIO DE ANDRADE,))		
18	Defendant(s).))		
19)		
20				
21				
22	COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, Marcio Sousa Sales, pro se, and respectfully moves this			
23	Honorable Court to vacate its Order dismissing the above-captioned matter, and in			
24	support thereof states the following:			
25 26				
26 27				
27				
20	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE ON FILED MOTIONS			

I. <u>PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND</u>

Plaintiff initiated this action following a small claims case in which he was wrongly
sued in lieu of his son's LLC and where a judgment was entered against the wrong
individual.

Plaintiff timely filed multiple motions, including a comprehensive Second Amended
 Complaint, an objection to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, and a written objection to
 Defendant's attempts to schedule a hearing.

These motions remain fully briefed and legally ripe for ruling but were never addressed
on the record prior to the May 14, 2025 hearing.

Defendant's counsel, despite knowing that the case involves pro se litigant rights and
 an active appellate case, continued efforts to obtain dismissal via procedural tactics,
 bypassing the need for judicial engagement with the merits.

Plaintiff is a working-class litigant employed in the transportation sector, and at the
 time of the hearing was out of state attending a funeral and unable to appear due to
 active employment and financial limitations.

Plaintiff had previously filed a Notice of Objection to the Hearing, which was ignored.

28

26

1

2

3

4

5

1		
2	II. LEGAL ARGUMENTS	
3		
4		
5	A. Dismissal Without Ruling on Pending Motions Violates Due Process	
6 7	The Court dismissed this matter without addressing motions that were fully briefed and	
8	submitted in good faith. Goldome Credit Corp. v. Edwards, 644 So. 2d 57 (Fla. 4th	
9	<u>DCA 1994</u> : It is reversible error to dismiss a case while ignoring pending substantive	
10 11	motions. Moakley v. Smallwood, 826 So. 2d 221 (Fla. 2002): Courts must maintain	
12	the integrity of their proceedings and not permit dismissal while procedural issues	
13	remain unresolved.	
14		
15		
	B. The Existence of a Pending Appeal Requires Judicial Restraint	
17	The original small claims judgment that gave rise to this case is under appeal. This case	
18 19	is collateral and complementary to that action.	
20	Florida Power & Light Co. v. Glazer, 671 So. 2d 211 (Fla. 3d DCA 1996): Trial courts	
21	should avoid actions that interfere with appellate jurisdiction or frustrate its resolution.	
22 23	State v. Spradley, 985 So. 2d 1247 (Fla. 2008): Independent actions are permitted to	
24	proceed even while appeals are pending if based on fraud or fundamental error.	
25		
26		
27		
28	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE ON FILED MOTIONS	

1	C. Dismissal Without Merit Ruling Violates Art. I, § 21, Fla. Constitution	
2	Access to the courts must not be denied by technicalities or judicial oversight,	
3	especially for pro se litigants. Houston v. Caldwell, 359 So. 2d 858 (Fla. 1978):	
5	Dismissal is a drastic remedy and should only occur when absolutely necessary.	
6	Logue v. Book, 297 So. 3d 605 (Fla. 3d DCA 2020): When the law is on the side of	
7 8	the plaintiff, the Court must allow a hearing on the merits.	
9		
10	D. Plaintiff Is Entitled to Limited Assistance as a Pro Se Litigant	
11 12	Plaintiff, a non-native English speaker, has received procedural and translation	
13	assistance from a non-attorney. This is entirely permitted and does not constitute	
14	unauthorized practice of law. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972): Courts must	
15 16	liberally construe pro se filings.	
17	Jacobsen v. Filler, 790 F.2d 1362 (9th Cir. 1986): Limited clerical or translation	
18 19	assistance does not constitute representation.	
20	Florida Bar v. Brumbaugh, 355 So. 2d 1186 (Fla. 1978): Clerical or form-completion	
21	help does not violate legal ethics if it does not cross into legal advice.	
22 23	E. Sanctions and Tactics Used by Defendant's Counsel Should Be Rejected	
24	Counsel for Defendant is repeatedly trying to gain a procedural advantage, ignore	
25	constitutional violations, and misuse §57.105 as a threat.	
26		
27		
28	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE ON FILED MOTIONS	

Rosenberg v. Gaballa, 1 So. 3d 1149 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009): Improper use of §57.105 1 2 against pro se litigants may itself be sanctionable. Stockslager v. Stockslager, 275 So. 3 3d 819 (Fla. 5th DCA 2019): The law protects against tactics that intimidate pro se 4 litigants or misuse procedure to avoid judicial review. Topps v. State, 865 So. 2d 1253 5 6 (Fla. 2004): The doctrine of finality must give way when constitutional rights are 7 threatened or violated. 8 9 10 **III. PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court: 12 13 Vacate the Order of Dismissal entered on May 14, 2025; 14 Reinstate this case immediately; 15 Stay any further proceedings until the appeal is resolved; 16 17 Rule on all pending motions on their merits; 18 Clarify that Plaintiff's limited procedural assistance was lawful and appropriate; 19 Reject any attempt by Defendant to suppress valid claims through procedural abuse; 20 21 Award costs and fees against Defendant's counsel for bad faith under Moakley and Fla. 22 Stat. §57.105(3); 23 Grant any such further relief as justice and due process require. 24 25 26 27 28 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE **ON FILED MOTIONS**

1			
2	Marcio Sousa Sales		
3	Plaintiff, Pro Se 160 W Camino Real, 102		
4	Boca Raton, FL 33432		
5	Phone Number: (561) 770-8909 Email Address: info@legalhelp4y.com		
6 7			
8	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
9	I hereby certify that on this May 14, 2025, I filed the PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO		
10	VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND		
11	REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING		
12	APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE ON FILED MOTIONS with the Clerk of the		
13			
14	Court using the United States Certified Postal service system, which will send		
15	notification of such thing to the following defendant indicated below.		
16 17	Additionally, I certify that I served a copy of the foregoing document via E-mail to		
18	Seth R Keller attorney for Andrade.		
19			
20	Marcio Sousa Sales		
21	Plaintiff, Pro Se 160 W Camino Real, 102 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Phone Number: (561) 770-8909 Email Address: info@legalhelp4y.com		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REINSTATE CASE DUE TO VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, PENDING APPEAL, AND FAILURE TO RULE ON FILED MOTIONS		