

In the matter of Marcio Sousa Sales vs. Antonio de Andrade

FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
**IN THE CIRCUIT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND  
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**MARCIO SOUSA SALES,**

**Plaintiff,**

**CASE NO: 50-2025-CA-000969-XXA-MB**

**vs.**

**ANTONIO DE ANDRADE,**


**Defendant,**


\_\_\_\_\_/

**FLORIDA JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION**

1110 Thomasville Road

Tallahassee, FL 32303

 Email: [contact@floridajqc.com](mailto:contact@floridajqc.com)

 Phone: (850) 488-1581

---

**FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

**Judge: [Full Name] Scott**

**Court: 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County**


**Case: Marcio Sousa Sales v. Antonio de Andrade 50-2025-CA-000969-XXA-MB**

**Filed by:**

**Marcio Sousa Sales (Pro Se Litigant)**

 Email: [info@legalhelp4y.com](mailto:info@legalhelp4y.com)

 Address: 160 W Camino Real # 102 Boca Raton, Florida 33432

 Phone: (786) 588-1202

FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

This complaint is being filed against **Judge Reid P Scott** for **serious judicial misconduct, denial of due process, and improper favoritism toward a licensed attorney**, resulting in constitutional violations and significant prejudice against a pro se litigant.

---

## **II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

Plaintiff Marcio Sousa sales was named as the Defendant in a lawsuit filed by Antonio de Andrade under Case 50-2025-CA-000969-XXXXA-MB. The lawsuit was **wrongfully filed against Marcio Sousa Sales personally**, despite the fact that he had **no legal ownership, involvement, or connection** with LLC that provided the disputed services. The actual party involved was his adult son and his legally formed LLC company, yet **Mr. Sales was personally sued** in error. Worse, his son was tried. This clear **failure to name the proper party** was ignored by Judge Scott, despite my repeated filings raising this issue.

---

## **III. SUMMARY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

### **1. No Rulings Issued on 10 plus Motions Filed by Pro Se Litigant**

Throughout the case, Mr. Sales filed at least **twelve (10) motions**, including:

- Motions to strike improper § 57.105 filings,

FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

- Objections to unlawful hearing scheduling,
- Motions for reconsideration, dismissal, or clarification.

**Judge Scott did not rule on a single motion. Not one.** This constitutes a direct violation of **Canon 3B(8)** of the Florida Code of Judicial Conduct, which mandates that a judge must dispose of matters "**promptly, efficiently, and fairly.**"

---

## **2. False Statement on the Record – Judicial Misrepresentation**

On the date of dismissal (**May 15, 2024**), Judge Scott stated that he had **“reviewed all documents on the case.”**

This was a **false statement**, since:

- **No motion by the pro se litigant had been ruled on, and**
- **Several of them were critical procedural motions** raising jurisdiction, bias, and improper hearing conduct.

This misrepresentation on the record appears to be an intentional attempt to **cover up judicial neglect** and shield the licensed attorney from scrutiny.

---

## **3. Unlawful Dismissal Based on Factual Misconduct**

Judge Scott dismissed the case **without prejudice** based on the statement that Marcio Sousa sales, pro se "failed to appear" at a hearing. However:

- **No court order was ever issued granting the hearing;**

FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

- **No notice of hearing was formally served upon pro se Plaintiff;**
- The Plaintiff had already filed a **formal objection** to the hearing being scheduled by the attorney in violation of due process;
- The hearing was set **unilaterally**, and Mr. Sales was never properly advised or allowed to participate.

This dismissal violated Mr. Marcio Sales **constitutional right to be heard** and directly contradicts **Rule 1.090(d), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure** (requiring notice and fair opportunity to respond before action is taken).

---

#### **4. Systemic Bias Against a Pro Se Litigant and Favoritism Toward a Licensed Attorney**

Judge Scott's conduct reflects a **pattern of systemic bias**:

- Ignoring all motions of the pro se defendant;
- Refusing to address clear legal errors (wrong party sued, mistaken identity);
- Allowing a licensed attorney to manipulate court hearings while evading judicial scrutiny;
- Dismissing the case without a hearing that was never lawfully noticed;
- Making false claims about reviewing the record.

These actions show **not just neglect, but a judicial cover-up to protect a fellow member of the Bar.**

---

#### **5. Ongoing Damage, Retaliation, and Abuse of the Judicial System**

Since that wrongful dismissal:

- I have filed a **new lawsuit properly** under Case No. **50-2025-CA-005676**;

FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

- Mr. Sales have filed **two separate appeals**, including DCA Case Nos. **4D2025-1600** and **4D2024-3229**;
- The same opposing attorney has **attempted to schedule improper sanctions hearings** in the closed case — and **Judge Scott has taken no action to stop it**;
- I have incurred additional costs, delay, and stress fighting abuse of process enabled by the court itself.

This judicial passivity and favoritism undermine the **integrity of the court system** and must be formally investigated.

---

#### IV. REQUESTED ACTION BY THE JQC

Mr. Marcio Sales respectfully request that the JQC:

- **Investigate Judge Scott's failure to rule on motions** in this matter;
- Review the **false statements made on the record** regarding having reviewed filings;
- Examine the court's **failure to provide hearing notice and opportunity to be heard**;
- Determine whether **judicial bias or preferential conduct** occurred in favor of licensed attorneys;
- Take any corrective, disciplinary, or public accountability measures necessary.

Mr. Sale also intend to file supporting documentation including **filings, court audio, transcripts, and docket history** proving these failures.

---

FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

**V. PUBLIC INTEREST**

This matter has been raised publicly via **press releases, legal blogs, and social media**, and is drawing attention as an example of how **Florida courts often fail to protect pro se litigants** and instead shield misconduct by officers of the court.

This is not just about one case — it is about restoring **public confidence in fairness and due process**.

---

Respectfully Submitted,

Marcio Sousa Sales  
22187 Aquila Street  
Boca Raton, FL 33528  
(561) 909-8184