#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  Marco Antonio Bruno da Silva; Rogerio Chaves Scotton				DEFENDANTS Logos Aviation Inc, Nicolas Kyriakopoulos Alexander Kyriakopoulos, Aerovision USA LLC, Marke Daniels					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)					
160 W Camino Real # 102 Boca Raton, Florida 3343				rttorneys (ij kno	wiij				
	info@legalhelp4y.co	•	_						
			ш сг	PIZENCIIID OE	DDIN	CIDAL DADTIES			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	PICTION (Place an "X" in (	One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Or		NCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box for		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTF X 1	DEF  Incorporated or Proof Business In T		<b>PTF X</b> 4	DEF X 4
u.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	_ 2	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A		5	5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	<b>X</b> 3	3 Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUI		ly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALT		ck here for: Nature of S BANKRUPTCY		scription R STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability  Overpayment ot Defaulted  D		Y	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking		:
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen			y			28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights			
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans					F	830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	450 Commerce 460 Deportation × 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment						840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	Injury 385 Property Damage		71	710 Fair Labor Standards Act		Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer		
190 Other Contract			720 Labor/Management			SOCIAL SECURITY Protection A			
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise			74	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act		861 HIA (1395ff) 490 Cable/S 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securit			odities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	75	I Family and Medical Leave Act		863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	Excha 890 Other	ange Statutory A	Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Other Labor Litigation		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricu	ultural Acts	3
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee	$\Box^{79}$	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		FEDERAL TAX SUITS		onmental M om of Infor	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		•		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General				or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admir	ation nistrative Pi	rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 535 Death Penalty Employment Other:		146	IMMIGRATION  462 Naturalization Application		26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe		5 Other Immigration	111011		950 Consti	itutionality	
	Other  448 Education	560 Civil Detainee -		Actions			State S	Statutes	
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"									
	ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened And	nsferred other Dis ecify)	strict Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -
	18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and	tute under which you ard § 1964(c), based on pr							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	use:		<u> </u>		n of racketeering activity, ir	ncluding wire fr	aud, mail	fraud, a
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DI	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 445,000.00 JURY DEMAND: ×Yes No					
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE William P	Dimitroule	as		DOCKET NUMBER 0:2	25-cv-61019		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	F RECORD					
May 22, 2025		Belaval, Edgar							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	Е	MAG. JUI	OGE		

In the matter of DA SILVA vs. LOGOS AVIATION, INC COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA BROWARD DIVISION

MARCOS ANTONIO DA SILVA and ROGERIO CHAVES SCOTTON,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO:

V.

LOGOS AVIATION, INC., a Florida corporation;
AEROVISION USA LLC, a Florida limited liability company;
MARK TODD DANIELS, an individual.
NICHOLAS KYRIAKOPOULOS, an individual;
ALEXANDER KYRIAKOPOULOS, an individual;
THE NICHOLAS KYRIAKOPOULOS FAMILY TRUST,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD,
CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT,
FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION,
FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,
ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED
RELIEF

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a civil action brought under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt

Organizations Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. §§1961–1968, the Florida Civil Theft

Statute, the Florida Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act ("FUFTA"), the Florida

Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act ("FDUTPA"), and Florida common law.

Plaintiffs were induced to wire \$735,000 in deposits for the purported purchase of

an aircraft Defendants represented as complete and airworthy. In truth, the aircraft

was unairworthy, missing engines, and unfit for sale.

When Plaintiffs demanded the return of their money, Defendants refused. Instead,

Defendants escalated by issuing threats through WhatsApp, including threats of false

reports to DHS/ICE to brand Plaintiffs as "terrorists." Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff Da

Silva was summoned to a second interview at the U.S. Embassy in Rio, consistent

with retaliatory reports being lodged.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Defendants' conduct was not an isolated business dispute but part of a pattern of

racketeering activity spanning multiple victims, shell corporations, and fraudulent

transfers, as confirmed by numerous lawsuits in Florida state and federal courts.

Plaintiffs seek damages, treble damages under RICO and Civil Theft, equitable relief

to freeze and recover assets, appointment of a receiver, and referral to federal

prosecutors for criminal investigation.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331 (federal question)

and 18 U.S.C. §1964(c) (civil RICO).

This Court has diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1332 because Plaintiffs are

citizens of Florida and Brazil, Defendants are Florida residents and entities, and the

amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 18 U.S.C. §1965 because Defendants

reside in this District, conduct substantial business here, and the acts and injuries

complained of occurred here.

III. PARTIES

Plaintiff Rogerio Chaves Scotton is a natural person, with office in Boca Raton,

Florida. He personally contributed half of the \$735,000 deposit, depleting his life

savings, and continues to suffer financial devastation.

Plaintiff Marcos Antonio Da Silva is a natural person residing in Brazil. He

transmitted the \$735,000 via multiple wire transfers and was directly targeted with

retaliatory false reports.

Defendant Logos Aviation, Inc. is a Florida corporation with its principal place of

business at Fort Lauderdale Executive Airport (FXE). According to Sunbiz records,

Logos is owned by the Nicholas Kyriakopoulos Family Trust, with Alexander

Kyriakopoulos as CEO and Nikolaos Kyriakopoulos as Chairman.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Defendant AeroVision USA LLC is a Florida limited liability company affiliated

with Logos Aviation, sharing ownership, management, and accounts.

Defendant Mark Daniels is an individual residing in Florida who held himself out as

CEO of Logos Aviation. He personally communicated fraudulent representations

and threats to Plaintiffs.

Defendant Nicholas Kyriakopoulos is a Florida resident and principal of the Family

Trust, exercising ownership and control over Logos Aviation.

Defendant Alexander Kyriakopoulos is a Florida resident, CEO of Logos Aviation,

and direct participant in the enterprise's fraudulent conduct.

Defendant Nicholas Kyriakopoulos Family Trust is a Florida trust through which

Logos Aviation is owned and controlled.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

### IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

#### 1. The Fraudulent Aircraft Deal

In early 2023, Defendants marketed a business jet valued at approximately \$2.8 million, representing that it was complete, airworthy, and available for immediate delivery.

Relying on Defendants' representations, Plaintiffs wired \$735,000 in multiple transfers (half from Scotton's personal savings).

Plaintiffs later discovered the aircraft was not airworthy, was missing engines, and could not lawfully be delivered.

Plaintiffs demanded return of their funds. Defendants refused, instead concealing the money and continuing the scheme.

#### 2 Retaliation and Intimidation

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

After Plaintiffs pressed for repayment, Scotton created a WhatsApp group in order

to peacefully communicate with Nicholas from Logo Aviation and Mark Daniels

regarding the return of the funds. Instead of addressing the repayment, Defendants

began mocking Plaintiffs and making fun of them. Ultimately, Mark Daniels

escalated the harassment by contacting Homeland Security and other agencies,

falsely reporting Plaintiffs as "terrorists" in an effort to intimidate and retaliate

against them.

Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff Da Silva received notice from the U.S. Embassy in Rio

de Janeiro for a second immigration interview — consistent with retaliatory false

reports.

3. <u>The Enterprise Structure</u>

The fraudulent scheme was carried out through an enterprise consisting of:

Logos Aviation, Inc. (corporate shell for contracts, deposits);

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

AeroVision USA LLC (parallel shell for accounts and transfers);

The Family Trust (ownership and financial control);

Daniels (CEO role, contract, communications, threats);

Nicholas and Alexander Kyriakopoulos (directors, managers, owners).

This structure satisfies the association-in-fact enterprise definition of 18 U.S.C. §1961(4): a group of persons associated together for the common purpose of

# 4. Pattern of Similar Conduct

defrauding aircraft purchasers.

Defendants' scheme against Plaintiffs is part of a broader pattern:

**SWCAM Aircraft LLC v. Logos Aviation, Inc.**, Case No. 0:25-cv-61019-WPD (S.D.

Fla.) — fraud in aircraft transactions;

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

SL Falcon LLC v. Logos Aviation, Inc. (Broward County replevin, 2023);

Ameriship Corp. v. Logos Aviation Services, Inc. and Nick/Elizabeth

Kyriakopoulos (Palm Beach County, 2023).

These lawsuits confirm both closed-ended continuity (repeated schemes over years)

and open-ended continuity (threat of ongoing misconduct), satisfying RICO's

pattern requirement.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I — VIOLATION OF RICO, 18 U.S.C. §1962(c)

Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully

set forth herein.

Section 1962(c) makes it unlawful for any person employed by or associated with

an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce to conduct or participate in the conduct

of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

To plead a claim under §1962(c), Plaintiffs must show: (1) conduct, (2) of an

enterprise, (3) through a pattern, (4) of racketeering activity, (5) causing injury to

Plaintiffs' business or property. Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrex Co., 473 U.S. 479, 496-

*97 (1985)*.

**Conduct** 

Defendants each participated in the operation and management of the fraudulent

enterprise. Plaintiffs were introduced to Nicholas of Logos Aviation through a

Brazilian intermediary who had known him for many years.

Logos Aviation then presented Daniels as having an aircraft for sale. Daniels

transmitted the purchase contract to Plaintiffs, which they executed, and both

Daniels and Logos Aviation required a \$735,000 down payment as a deposit toward

the transaction. Plaintiffs wired the funds to Logos Aviation's designated account,

held through the Family Trust or related entities, thereby enabling Defendants to

exercise ownership and control over the proceeds. Logos Aviation and AeroVision

functioned as the corporate shells and financial conduits that facilitated the

fraudulent scheme.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

This conduct satisfies the "operation or management" test under **Reves v. Ernst &** 

Young, 507 U.S. 170, 179 (1993).

This conduct satisfies the "operation or management" test under Reves v. Ernst &

**Young, 507 U.S. 170, 179 (1993)**.

**Enterprise** 

The enterprise consisted of Logos Aviation, AeroVision, the Family Trust, and

individual Defendants, associated together for the common purpose of fraudulently

soliciting aircraft deposits.

An association-in-fact enterprise requires only "a purpose, relationships among

those associated, and longevity." Boyle v. United States, 556 U.S. 938, 946 (2009).

Those elements are satisfied here.

**Pattern of Racketeering Activity** 

Defendants committed multiple predicate acts:

Wire fraud (18 U.S.C. §1343): \$735,000 in wires induced by false pretenses.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Mail fraud (18 U.S.C. §1341): contracts and invoices containing false statements sent via FedEx/USPS.

Witness tampering/retaliation (18 U.S.C. §§1512–1513): WhatsApp threats and Embassy consequences.

Interstate transport of stolen property (18 U.S.C. §2314): fraudulent transfer of funds across jurisdictions.

These acts are related and continuous. Relatedness is shown by their common fraudulent purpose; continuity by the repetition in multiple lawsuits (SWCAM, SL Falcon, Ameriship). See *H.J. Inc. v. Northwestern Bell Tel. Co.*, 492 U.S. 229, 242 (1989).

#### **Injury**

Plaintiffs suffered injury to their property directly caused by Defendants' racketeering: loss of \$735,000, additional costs exceeding \$80,000, and financial ruin of Plaintiff Scotton.

Proximate causation is satisfied under <u>Holmes v. SIPC, 503 U.S. 258, 268 (1992)</u>.

Conclusion: Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. §1962(c). Plaintiffs are entitled to

treble damages, costs, fees, and equitable relief under 18 U.S.C. §1964(c).

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

COUNT II — RICO CONSPIRACY, 18 U.S.C. §1962(d)

Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully

set forth herein.

Section 1962(d) makes it unlawful to conspire to violate subsections (a), (b), or (c)

of §1962.

A RICO conspiracy requires only an agreement that a conspirator would commit acts

of racketeering. A defendant need not commit predicate acts himself. Salinas v.

United States, 522 U.S. 52, 65 (1997).

The Eleventh Circuit requires allegations that defendants "agreed to the overall

objective of the conspiracy" even if they did not know all details. Jackson v.

BellSouth Telecomms., 372 F.3d 1250, 1269 (11th Cir. 2004).

Here, Defendants agreed to solicit large deposits through false pretenses,

misappropriate funds, and deter repayment efforts through threats and concealment.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST,

ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Daniels acted as the face of the scheme; Logos and AeroVision provided the shell

entities; Nicholas and Alexander directed ownership and management; the Family

Trust concealed and transferred funds.

Each Defendant knew the general objectives: to fraudulently acquire funds and

prevent recovery.

The conspiracy is evidenced by Defendants' coordinated threats, concealment of

ownership, and repetition of similar schemes against others.

Plaintiffs suffered loss of \$735,000, additional expenses, and reputational harm as a

direct result.

Defendants are jointly and severally liable for treble damages, costs, and attorneys'

fees under 18 U.S.C. §1964(c).

COUNT III — FRAUD / FRAUDULENT INDUCEMENT (Florida

Common Law)

Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully

set forth herein.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Under Florida law, fraud requires: (1) a false statement of material fact; (2) knowledge of falsity; (3) intent to induce reliance; (4) reliance; (5) damages. <u>Butler</u> v. Yusem, 44 So. 3d 102, 105 (Fla. 2010).

Defendants made false statements, including:

The aircraft was complete and airworthy;

Photos and videos accurately reflected its condition;

Deposits were secure and refundable;

Defendants had lawful authority to sell.

Defendants knew these were false, given the aircraft's missing engines, concealed ownership, and refusal to refund.

Defendants intended Plaintiffs to rely on these falsehoods, and Plaintiffs did rely, wiring \$735,000.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

Florida courts permit fraud claims alongside contract claims where fraud is extrinsic to the contract. Allen v. Stephan Co., 784 So. 2d 456, 457 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000). Here, the fraud induced the contract itself.

Plaintiffs suffered \$735,000 in losses, \$80,000 in consequential expenses, and financial ruin.

Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages, plus interest

Respectfully Submitted,

MARCÓ ANTONIO DA SILVA 160W Camino Real # 102

Boca Raton, florida 33432

info@legalhelp4you.org

(561) 878-9001

ROGERIO CHAVES SCOTTON

160 W Camino Real # 102

Boca Raton, Florida 33432

rogerioscotton50@gmail.com

(561) 878-9001

# In the matter of DA SILVA vs. LOGOS AVIATION, INC COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF RICO, FRAUD, CIVIL THEFT, CONVERSION, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, FDUTPA, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, ACCOUNTING, RECEIVERSHIP, AND RELATED RELIEF

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 15 day of September 2025, I filed the foregoing Complaint with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via U.S. Postal Service, certificate mail and E-mail to the following:

Logos Aviation, Inc. c/o Registered Agent 12164 NW 34TH STREET SUNRISE, FL 33323

AeroVision USA LLC c/o Registered Agent Mark Daniels 1460 PARK LANE S, JUPTER, FLORIDA 33458

Nicholas Kyriakopoulos 5900 NW 24th Way Hangar B FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33309

Alexander Kyriakopoulos 5900 NW 24th Way Hangar B FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33309

Nicholas Kyriakopoulos Family Trust 12164 NW 34TH STREET SUNRISE, FL 33323

> ROGERIO CHAVES SCOTTON 1797 GOLF CIRCLE DRIVE 204 MARGATE, FLORIDA 33432