

WNYSORC Agenda

- 1 Sign-in
- 2 Intro of Members Present
- 3 Approval / Review of previous Minutes
- 4 Report of Officers a) Sec-treasurer
b) Financial
c) Correspondence (not related to frequency pairs)
d) Chairperson –response to form letters.
- 5 Committee Reports a) Frequency Study b) Technical Com. c) PR Report
- 6) Break...
- 7) Old / Unfinished Business

OB1 – Purge letter sent out to ve3gad 145.390 (to be allotted to Collingwood if successful)
VE3GAD RTS so purged April 26, 2004
OB2 – 443.975 ve3tto mailed April 29 regarding status for Hamilton Repeater?
OB3 – Elmira ARC ve3erc/ve3fef trustee, letters to Carl and Club...
OB4 – Sent inquiry to Gene McDonald, ve3gbt Wiarton repeater 146.895?
OB5 – Bob Purvis still looking for 2mtr pair for Wiarton Fire Hall. (see NB4)
OB6 – Artsci Repeater Directory.
OB7 – sent letter to Graham at RAC for bandplan discussion re: Echolink

7b) Cross - Coordination requests.

Cross Cord from outside WNYSORC

442.400 – KE3EI Tionesta, PA, Sept 25/04

Cross Cord from WNYSORC to outside areas

147.225 - N2MPE Rochester, May 14/04
146.985 - N2MPE Rochester, May 14/04
224.140 - WA2BTW Orchard Park, Aug 3/04
53.090 - W2SIX Wethersfield Reoordination due to relocation- Aug 3/04

New Requests;

NR1 – va3uv Ramesh 2 mtr pair for Mississauga for Echolink? No WAY!
NR2 – va3src Steve Canney va3sc wants uhf pair for Burlington?
NR3 – Bob McKinlay ve3giv –Hamilton uhf pair 442.225 (ve3rdm)ok'ed June 22/04
(waiting for confirmation of setup)
NR4 – N2WUT William Busch requesting 70cm pair for N. Tonawanda Sept 1/04

Denied;

Kd2wa - 147.045 co-channel VA3SUP in Whitby,
51 miles away. With lake enhancement, 38 miles of which is over water,
reducing the effective distance to 32 miles

Kd2wa - 444.275 co-channel VE3QSB in Moonstone is 102 miles away.
With lake enhancement (42 miles) this reduces the effective distance

to 81 miles. 444.275 co-channel W2RCX in Bethany is only 28 miles away.

KB2TRQ – 444.775 co-channel N2ISQ in Limestone, NY
Is only 59 miles away, co-channel VA3ATL in Toronto is 62 miles away.
With lake enhancement (32 miles), reduces the effective distance
to 46 miles.

kb2trq 443.800 denied because of short spacing K1NXG in Ogden, NY., 67 miles to co-channel repeater VE3QG in Oshawa, ON. 38 miles of that is over water, making the effective separation only 48 miles. June 19/04

Coordination

442.350 for ve3xxl in Elgin County under ve3tli?

443.800 for Oshawa April 27/04

442.725 for Grassie April 27/04

145.490 for Paris ve3knw

Renewals/Changes:

Ve3www – University of Waterloo (Ed Spike ve3tck) has three repeaters to renew.

Response sent April 29, 2004

442.225 – proposed for Hamilton to Bob VE3GIV

146.955 W2SEX – Changes sent 08/13/04

Relinquished:

VA3BNI 442.325 King City (not on the regular posted sheet)

Purge notices sent:

145.390 - ve3gad Cannington (letter returned to sender?)

146.895 – ve3rae request for information June 5, Mailed May 3, 2004(repeater moved)?

145.270 WA2DQL - Orleans County ARC

145.330 N2EHY

146.850 K2IWY

146.880 KE2PW

146.895 K2UOB

147.135 N2EWJ

147.195 N2KZB

442.725 N2OTC

443.275 K1NXG

443.800 K1NXG

443.800 N2QLS

443.975 KN2M

444.875 N2KG

224.140 WB2VCR

224.540 WA2HBO in Dayton, NY – May 8/04

Sent May 4, 2004 with June 5 deadline.

146.715 VE3NYC repeater moved from coordinated location to Carlisle??

146.730 VE3XTX repeater moved from coordinated location

146.925 VE3SME no updates since 1995 Status??

224.520 VE3NDB Repeater never on the air

442.550 VE3USH repeater moved from coordinated location to Scarborough.(refuses to send in form?)

442.975 VE3RUF repeater never on?? Also only a Basic License holder

444.675 VE3GAS Silent Key?? verify this??

TFMCS six repeaters in Toronto area? July 14, 2004 received coordination forms & chg

ULR Network five repeaters in Toronto region on UHF?

SORT Network of four repeaters in London Region?

Chatham Kent Group – seven repeaters?

LARC – London ARC –several (three?) repeaters

443.650 VE3NOR May 25/04

147.045 VA3BNI/ve3bni May 29, 3004 – mail returned?

147.045 VA3WAT/ve3mmn May 29, 2004
147.075 VE3HNR/ve3fcz June 1, 2004
147.075 VE3VHH/ve3vhh June 1, 2004 – returned info June 21
443.200 VE3OAK/va3ec June 2, 2004
147.330/53.130 VA3BAL COARC June 5, 2004 – request for submissions
444.975 VE3RAP July 27/04 to ve3fjg Orangeville (RTS Sept 1)
442.400 VE3WWW October 31/04 Waterloo –hasn't submitted since 1994?
147.180 VE3MOT off the air? Requested by another Amateur for use, Oct 1/04

Purged:

145.390 - ve3gad has no current address to contact him Cannington pair purged.
444.025 in Ripley Purge Notice effective May 4, 2004 sent to KB2OUX for failure to put a repeater on the air.
442.975 VE3RUF in effect May 5/04 – only has basic qualifications contrary to RIC3
444.675 VE3GAS in effect May 5/04 – no address, silent key?
224.140 TX / 222.540 RX WB2VCR Orchard Park, NY
53.250 ve3src Proton Station – never put on the air. Confirmed 27/05/04 via email. Steve Canney VA3SC
147.045, 442.225, 442.325 va3bni - letter returned no info since 1993!
444.975 VE3RAP Orangeville. (ve3bni may have taken it over?)

Interference Complaints:

IC1 - 147.045 - ve3pwr a Martin Paul Maduro, # 34 Manning Cresent, Newmarket Ontario L3Y 6H4
147.370 - interfering with Pickering ve3spa. Repeater in question is somewhere near Newmarket area? Filed - LYLE VA3DXE
IC3 - 444.550 wa2hyk. From VE3HJ Andrew
IC4 – 444.925

8) **New Business**

NB1 - Sent letter to VA3BAL repeater group 147.330 Central Ontario ARC. April 23, 2004 requesting coordination of pair.
NB2 – Letter sent to IC/FCC regarding improper use of SNPF pair on Lewiston NY.
NB3 – sent two letters to TFMCS – no response from either?(Mail July with ten forms)
NB4 – ve3txt complaint.
NB5 – VE3OKR 442.450 interference complaint Rick Harrison, VA3NV - VE3YRH
*NB6 – KD2Wa request we revisit the distance issue for higher frequencies. He suggests that a blanket 100 miles is ok for 2 mtr but what about higher frequencies? Should distance factor into a shorter distance?
NB7 – VE3INY Bob Purvis multiple requests

9) **For the good of the Organization**

- a) IRLP is becoming a popular and much used form of communication gobbling up spectrum. Should we as a group suggest a set of simplex frequencies in the upper section of the band such as other Councils have adopted?

10) **Adjournment...**