Case 2:19-cv-02027-WBS-AC Document 49 Filed 04/08/20 Page 1 of 4

Michael Postle 3724 Deerwalk Way Antelope, CA 95843 Telephone: (916) 790-4112 jrstox@yahoo.com

FILED

APR 0 8 2020

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEPUTY CLER

In pro per

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VERONICA BRILL; KASEY LYN MILLS; MARC GOONE; NAVROOP SHERGILL; JASON SCOTT; AZAAN NAGRA; ELI JAMES; PHUONG PHAN; JEFFREY SLUZINKI; HARLAN KARNOFSKY; NATHAN PELKEY; MATT HOLTZCLAW; JON TUROVITZ; ROBERT YOUNG; BLAKE ALEXANDER KRAFT; JAMAN YONN BURTON; MICHAEL ROJAS; HAWNLAY SWEN; THOMAS MORRIS III; PAUL LOPEZ; ROLANDO CAO; BENJAMIN JACKSON; HUNG SAM; COREY CASPERS; ADAM DUONG,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MICHAEL L. POSTLE; KING'S CASINO, LLC D/B/A STONES GAMBLING HALL; JUSTIN F. KURAITIS; JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

No.

2:19-CV-02027-WBS

STATEMENT OF POSITION AND NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF DEFENDANT MICHAEL POSTLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF 38)

Date: May 4, 2020 Time: 1:30 pm

Courtroom: 5, 14th Floor

Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb

Defendant Michael Postle submits this Statement of Position and Notice of Withdrawal regarding his previously filed Motion to Dismiss (ECF 38). As the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has observed, "It is well-settled in our circuit that an 'amended

Defendant Postle's Statement of Position & Notice to Withdraw Motion to Dismiss

complaint' supersedes the original, the latter being threated thereafter as non-existent.'" Ramirez v. County of San Bernadino, 806 F.3d 1002, 1008 (9th Cir. 2015) (citations omitted). As Ramirez states, with the filing of the amended complaint, the earlier complaint "ceased to exist" and a previously-filed motion to dismiss that complaint is "deemed moot." Id.

Accordingly, defendant Postle understands that ECF 38 is moot as a result of Plaintiffs filing a First Amended Complaint (ECF 40) within the time called for the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1). Lest there be any doubt, defendant Postle withdraws his Motion to Dismiss (ECF 38).

Defendant Postle's response to the First Amended Complaint is due April 8, 2020 and Postle presently intends to file a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 8, 2020

Defendant Postle's Statement of Position & Notice to Withdraw Motion to Dismiss

In pro per

PROOF OF SERVICE

2

3

4

1

I, Rose Postle, declare:

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

I am a citizen of the United States and live in the City and County of Sacramento, CA. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my address is 2219 Catherwood Way, Sacramento, CA 95835.

On April 8, 2020, I served the following document(s) described as:

> STATEMENT OF POSITION AND NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF DEFENDANT MICHAEL POSTLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF 38)

BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION: As follows: The papers have been transmitted to a facsimile machine by the person on whom it is served at the facsimile machine telephone number as last given by that person on any document which he or she has filed in the cause and served on the party making the service. The copy of the notice or other paper served by facsimile transmission shall bear a notation of the date and place of transmission and the facsimile telephone number to which transmitted or be accompanied by an unsigned copy of the affidavit or certificate of transmission which shall contain the facsimile telephone number to which the notice of other paper was transmitted to the addressee(s).

BY MAIL: As follows: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, CA, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postage cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: As follows: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for overnight mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with overnight mail on that same day prepaid at San Francisco, CA in the ordinary course of business.

Case 2:19-cv-02027-WBS-AC Document 49 Filed 04/08/20 Page 4 of 4

1	X BY ELECTRONIC MAIL TRANSMISSION: By electronic mail transmission from rosepostle82@gmail.com on April 8,
2	2020, by transmitting a PDF format copy of such document(s) to:
3	
4	Michael Lipman at mllipman@duanemorris.com (Lead Attorney for Defendant King's Casino, LLC);
5	Maurice VerStandig at mac@mbvesq.com
6	(Lead Attorney for Plaintiffs, Pro Hac Vice); and
7	Richard Pachter at richard@pachterlaw.com (Lead Attorney for Defendant Justin Kuraitis).
8	
9	The document(s) was/were transmitted by electronic transmission and such transmission was reported as complete and without error.
11	Executed on _April 8, 2020_, 2020 at Sacramento, CA.
12	
13	
	Rose Postle
14	- Jose & asuce
15	Name: Rose Postle
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Proof of Service