To: Office of Attorney General Daniel Cameron

700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118

Frankfort, Ky 40601

12 March 2022

Dear Attorney General Daniel Cameron:

There is an organization which has no business operating in the United States of America or the State of Kentucky. The sole purpose of this organization is to attack members of the American Bar Association—to disallow attorneys from being able to represent United States citizens on matters with which they do not agree.

“The 65 Project” is financed by domestic and foreign funds, and the mission statement of this organization is published on their website1 is as follows:

“*A Bipartisan effort to protect democracy from abuse of the legal system by holding accountable lawyers who engage in fraudulent and malicious lawsuits to overturn legitimate election results and fuel insurrection.*”

The claims and filings2 of this organization are entirely subjective—a blatant attempt to not only undermine and violate the United States and Kentucky Constitutions, but to malign the personal and professional reputations – and erode the individual liberties of – members of the American and Kentucky Bar Associations. In doing so, their actions are and ought to be an offense to all Kentuckians and Americans.

Who are they to say what constitutes fraudulent and malicious? Who are they to say an election is legitimate or not? Who are they to claim bipartisanship, when their attempted coercion exposes their true position—and it is not on the side of the people of the great State of Kentucky.

The First Amendment of the United States Constitution provides that:

*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*

The Bill of Rights of the Kentucky Constitution provides that:

*SECTION 1 “Rights of life, liberty, worship, pursuit of safety and happiness, free speech, acquiring and protecting property, peaceable assembly, redress of grievances, bearing arms. All men are, by nature, free and equal, and have certain inherent and inalienable rights…”*

*SECTION 4 “All power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority and instituted for their peace, safety, happiness and the protection of property. For the advancement of these ends, they have at all times an inalienable and indefeasible right to alter, reform or abolish their government in such manner as they may deem proper.”*

This attempted intimidation of American Bar Association and violation of the peoples’ First Amendment rights is unconstitutional and unconscionable.

**APPLICABLE STANDARDS AND RULES OF PROFESSIONAL OF CONDUCT**

Rule 1.2 of the American Bar Association’s Model Rules of Professional Conduct (Scope of Representation & Allocation of Authority Between Client & Lawyer) provides that:

 *(b) A lawyer's representation of a client, including representation by appointment, does not constitute an endorsement of the client's political, economic, social or moral views or activities.*

*(d) A lawyer shall not counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent, but a lawyer may discuss the legal consequences of any proposed course of conduct with a client and may counsel or assist a client to make a good faith effort to determine the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law.*

It is the sworn duty of a lawyer to assist their client in determining the meaning or application of the law; it is the law and the interpretation thereof—and not the opinions of “The 65 Project”—which determines what is fraudulent or malicious.

Furthermore, Rule 8.4 provides that it constitutes professional misconduct to:

*(g) engage in conduct that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know is harassment or discrimination on the basis of race, sex, religion, national origin, ethnicity, disability, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status or socioeconomic status in conduct related to the practice of law.*

That a non-profit organization would use foreign interest money to attack people and engage in such tactics should cause considerable distress within the entire legal community, but all lawyers.

For the reasons set forth above, I respectfully request that the Office of the Attorney General investigate and impose appropriate removal on the 65 Project from operating within the State of Kentucky.

Sincerely,

Kentucky Resident

1<https://the65project.com>

2<https://the65project.com/filings/>