The meeting was called to order by Chairman Paul Boisvert and the opening statement was read by the Board Secretary.

ROLL CALL: Showed the following members were present: Brian Greenfield, Nicholas Huszar, Megan Talente, Brian Tannenhaus, Councilman Fred Gasior, Christopher Mercer and Chairman Paul Boisvert. Joseph Cristiano, Robert Seaman and Matthew Kyle were excused. John Leggio arrived late at 7:35 p.m.

Also in attendance were Austin Mueller, Board Attorney, Laura Neumann, Board Engineer, Jennifer Beahm, Board Planner, Shari Spero, Board Licensed Tree Expert, Kevin Chen, Traffic Engineer and Eileen Rubano, Board Secretary. Board Attorney Ron Cucchiaro arrived at 7:45 p.m.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Mr. Huszar made a motion to approve the minutes from the December 1, 2022 meeting. Motion was seconded by Mr. Tannenhaus and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.

Mr. Tannenhaus made a motion to approve the minutes from the January 20, 2023 Special Meeting. Motion was seconded by Mr. Huszar and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus, Councilman Gasior, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting to approve the minutes.

Mr. Tannenhaus made a motion to approve the minutes from the February 2, 2023 meeting. Motion was seconded by Councilman Gasior and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus, Councilman Gasior, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.

Mr. Tannenhaus made a motion to approve the minutes from the February 16, 2023 meeting. Motion was seconded by Councilman Gasior and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus, Councilman Gasior and Mr. Mercer voting for the motion.

Mr. Huszar made a motion to approve the minutes from the March 2, 2023 meeting. Motion was seconded by Mr. Mercer and carried with Mr. Huszar, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting to approve the minutes.

## VOUCHERS: None

CORRESPONDENCE: There was no correspondence.

## RESOLUTIONS:

a. Review of Ordinance O-23-6

Mr. Mercer made a motion to memorialize the resolution for the review of Ordinance O-23-6. Motion was seconded by Mr. Greenfield and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.
b. Review of Ordinance O-23-7

Mr. Huszar made a motion to memorialize the resolution for the review of Ordinance O-23-7. Motion was seconded by Mr. Greenfield and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.
c. Case No. SP-1085 / AAFFHW Property, LLC - Forman

Mr. Tannenhaus made a motion to memorialize the resolution granting Preliminary and Final Site Plan Approval with Ancillary Variance Relief and Design Waiver Relief to AAFFHW Property, LLC. Motion was seconded by Councilman Gasior and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus, Councilman Gasior, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.

## d. Case No. SP-1102 / AASTHW Property, LLC - Stavola

Mr. Huszar made a motion to memorialize the resolution granting Preliminary and Final Site Plan Approval and Design Waiver Relief to AASTHW Property, LLC. Motion was seconded by Mr. Mercer and carried with Mr. Huszar, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting to memorialize.
e. Case No. SP-1095 / AAFRHW Property, LLC - Frisa

Mr. Greenfield made a motion to memorialize the resolution granting Preliminary and Final Site Plan Approval with Ancillary Variance Relief and Design Waiver Relief for AAFRHW Property, LLC. Motion was seconded by Ms. Talente and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.

## SUBMISSION WAIVERS BEFORE THE BOARD:

a. Case No. SP-1011A-2 / Wen Claire Real Estate, LLC

Mark Aikins, Attorney for the Applicant, appeared and requested waivers. Laura Neumann, Board Engineer stated that the waivers were listed in her review letter dated $3 / 10 / 23$. She said this was an application for an amended site plan, some of the items were submitted with the prior application and the applicant has agreed to provide items 60,20 and 52 so she takes no exception to the granting of the remaining waivers for the purposes of deeming the application complete.

Mr. Tannenhaus made a motion to grant the submission waivers. Motion was seconded by Mr. Huszar and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus, Councilman Gasior, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting to grant the waivers.
b. Case No. SD-3008 / Lesette Cholula

Before this hearing started Mr. Tannenhaus recused himself.
Todd Cohen, Attorney for the Applicant, appeared and requested submission waivers for a minor subdivision application. Ms. Neumann said the waivers were listed in her March 15, 2023 review letter and this is an application for a minor subdivision for two lots, one for the existing home and one for a future home. Given the nature of the application she took no exception to the granting of the waivers for the purposes of deeming the application complete.

Mr. Mercer made a motion to grant the submission waivers to Lesette Cholula. Motion was seconded by Mr. Huszar and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Councilman Gasior, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting to grant the waivers.

## APPLICATIONS BEFORE THE BOARD:

a. Case No. SP-1051 / Cornerstone Calvary Chapel

Salvatore Alfieri, Attorney for the Applicant, appeared and said he is seeking two one-year extensions of time on the Preliminary Site Plan approval that was received in 2019. He said statutorily the approval was good through 2022 and he is now seeking a retroactive one year extension to bring them to 2023 and one final one year extension to bring them to 2024. They home to perfect the preliminary approval and obtain final by June of 2024. The reasons for the delay in finalizing the site plan were caused by the inability to fund raise due to COVID. The will be prepared to go ahead in 2024.

Mr. Tannenhaus made a motion to grant the Two One-Year Extensions of Time for Cornerstone Calvary Chapel. Motion was seconded by Councilman Gasior and carried with Mr. Greenfield, Mr. Huszar, Ms. Talente, Mr. Tannenhaus, Councilman Gasior, Mr. Mercer and Chairman Boisvert voting for the motion.

## b. Case No. SP-1105 / AAVRHW Property, LLC - Victory

## SEE TRANSCRIPT ATTACHED

MASTER PLAN STATUS REPORT: There was no update at this meeting.
Ms. Talente made a motion to adjourn. Motion was seconded by Mr. Greenfield and carried with all Board members voting for the motion.

The meeting adjourned at 10:03 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,


Eileen Rubano
Recording Secretary
NOTE: A CD or DVD of this meeting is available on request.


BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
PAUL BOISVERT, Chairman
FRED GASIOR, Councilman (Recused)
BRIAN GREENFIELD

NICHOLAS HUSZAR, Vice-Chairman
CHRISTOPHER MERCER
ROBERT SEAMAN

MEGAN TALENTE

BRIAN TANNENHAUS (Recused)

BOARD CONSULTANTS AND STAFF PRESENT:

RONALD CUCCHIARO, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney

- Weiner Law Group, LLP

AUSTIN MUELLER, ESQUIRE, Substitute Board Attorney

- Weiner Law Group, LLP

JENNIFER BEAHM, P.P., Board Planner

- Leon S. Avakian, Inc.

LAURA NEUMANN, P.E., BOARD ENGINEER

- CME Associates

KEVIN CHEN, P.E., PTOE, Board Traffic Engineer

- CME Associates

SHARI SPERO, Board Licensed Tree Expert

- CME Associates

EILEEN RUBANO, Administrative Officer, Planning/Zoning

- Township of Howell

STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR, License No. $30 X I 00233100$ -AB Court Reporting, LLC

A P P E A R A N C E S: (Via Videoconference)

HEROLD LAW, P.A.
BY: ROBERT F. SIMON, ESQUIRE
25 Independence Boulevard
Warren, New Jersey 07059-6747
T: (908) 657-2022
F: (908) 647-7721
Email: rsimon@heroldlaw.com
--Counsel for the Applicant, AAVRHW Property, LLC

GASIOROWSKI \& HOLOBINKO
BY: RONALD GASIOROWSKI, ESQUIRE
54 Broad Street
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
T: (732)212-9930
Email: gasiorowskilaw@gmail.com
--Counsel for the Property Owner, Stavola Realty, Co.

LIEBERMAN BLECHER \& SINKEVICH P.C.
BY: STUART J. LIEBERMAN, ESQUIRE
ZOE FERGUSON, ESQUIRE
10 Jefferson Plaza
Suite 400
Princeton, New Jersey 08540
T: (732) 355-1311
F: (732) 355-1310
Email: sjl@liebermanblecher.com
--Counsel for Objectors:
Mirjana Scarselli, Chris Bates, Justin Gumley,
Diane Lindstrom, Frances Santore, Betty Velez,
Edwin and Marie Woolley, Marianne and Robert Wagner


RICHARD MASER, Active Acquisitions

```
    WITNESSES
                                    PAGE
    JEROMIE LANGE
                                    25
            Active Acquisitions, LLC
    TUNG-TO LAM, P.E.41
        Bohler Engineering
    SEAN NAEGER, AIA
        M + H Architects
    KERRY PEHNKE, P.E.
        6 9
        Langan Engineering
    CHRISTINE COFONE, P.P.79
        Cofone Consulting Group, LLC
    MICHAEL MORRIS, LSRP
                                    94
        GZA Geo Environmental
            PUBLIC COMMENT:
        NAME
                ADDRESS
                                    PAGE
NONE.
```

I N D E X

## APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS

## DESCRIPTION

NO.
A-1 Development application Application Checklist

A-2 Application Checklist
A-3 Preliminary and Final Major Site Plan consisting of thirty-four (34) sheets prepared by Bohler Engineering, LLC dated 2/28/22, last revised 3/22/23

A-4
Architectural Rendering consisting of one (1) sheet prepared by Mitchell and Hugeback Architects, Inc., dated 7/25/22

A-5 ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey consisting * of three (3) sheets prepared by Control Point Associates, Inc., dated 2/9/22, last revised 3/1/22

A-6 Architectural Floor Plans and Building Elevations consisting of two (2) sheets prepared by Mitchell and Hugeback Architects, Inc. Dated 3/4/22, last revised 3/22/23

A-7 Narrative Statement of Proposed Operations prepared by Bohler Engineering, LLC dated 8/12/22

A-8 Truck Turning Exhibits consisting of * four (4) sheets prepared by Bohler Engineering, LLC dated 6/15/22, last revised 3/22/23

A-9 Stormwater Management Report prepared * by Bohler Engineering, LLC dated February 2022, last revised March 2023

A-10 Stormwater Management Facilities * Operations and Maintenance Manual prepared by Bohler Engineering, LLC dated February 2022, last revised March 2023



(Continued)

NO.
DESCRIPTION
PAGE

B-15 Shade Tree Commission site plan review * dated 8/17/22

B-16 Fire Bureau site plan review, dated * 8/22/22

B-17 Freehold Soil Conservation District * review revisions, dated 8/24/22

B-18 Board Planner's review letter, dated * 9/9/22

B-19 Board Engineer's review letter, dated * 9/12/22

B-20 Monmouth County Board of Health site * plan review, dated 9/15/22

B-21 Environmental Commission site plan, * review, dated 9/14/22

B-22 Shade Tree Commission site plan * review, dated 9/21/22

B-23 Environmental Commission site plan * review, dated 10/12/22

B-24 Freehold Soil Conservation District * review revisions, dated 9/29/22

B-25 Shade Tree Commission site plan * review, dated 10/19/22

B-26 Building Sub-Code Official's site plan * review, dated 10/20/22

B-27 Monmouth County Board of Health site * plan review, dated $10 / 28 / 22$

B-28 Fire Bureau site plan review, dated * 10/28/22

B-29 Freehold Soil Conservation District * review revisions dated 10/31/22
(Continued)
NO.
DESCRIPTION
PAGE

B-30 Board Planner's review letter, dated * 11/11/22

B-31 Board Engineer's review letter, dated * 11/15/22

B-32 Farmers Advisory Committee site plan * review dated 10/27/22

B-33 Environmental Commission site plan * review dated 11/09/22

B-34 Monmouth County Board of Health site * plan review dated 12/2/22

B-35 Freehold Soil Conservation District Certification letter dated 11/18/22

B-36 Fire Bureau site plan review dated * 3/27/23
(*) Exhibits were premarked prior to commencement.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Howell Township planning board meeting Thursday, April 13, 2023. I hereby declare this meeting of the Howell Township planning board to be open. Adequate notice having been given pursuant to the New Jersey Open Public Meetings Act in the following manner:

First, on January 6th, 2023, a copy of said notice was mailed to the Asbury Park Press and the Star Ledger. Second, on January 6th, 2023, a copy of said notice was hand-delivered to the clerk of the Township of Howell. Third, on January 6th, 2023, said notice was posted in the office of the planning board and on the bulletin board in Howell Township Municipal Building, 4567 Route 9, Howell Township, New Jersey.

Members of the public will have a chance to ask questions and comment on applications once the Chairman opens the hearing up to the members of the public. If you wish to ask questions or comment on an application, you will need to use the Raise Your Hand feature and we will bring you into the meeting one at a time. You will need to have audio and video capability. You will be sworn in and you will need to provide your name and address. For anyone calling in you could press *9
to raise or lower your hand and *6 to mute or unmute yourself.

This meeting is being videotaped for possible future broadcast on Howell Township TV-77.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Eileen, before you do the roll-call, Matt will not be in tonight.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Oh, okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Thank you.
SECRETARY RUBANO: So we're ready for
roll-call?

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Yes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Eileen, I just
got a text from John Leggio, he's trying to get in too.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Okay.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: He says it keeps kicking him out.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Can you tell him to try the link on the website?

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Yes.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Thank you. I'll do
the roll-call in the meantime. If he gets in, I'll let him back.

Mr. Cristiano was excused.
Mr. Greenfield?
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Mr. Huszar?
VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Here.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Mr. Leggio we'll
come back to.
Mr. Seaman, I guess, is excused because
he has no Internet.
Ms. Talente?
MEMBER TALENTE: Yes.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Thank you.
Mr. Tannenhaus?
MEMBER TANNENHAUS: Yes.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Councilman Gasior?
COUNCILMAN GASIOR: Yes.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Mr. Kyle has been
excused. Mr. Mercer?
MEMBER MERCER: Here.
SECRETARY RUBANO: And Chairman
Boisvert?
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Here.
SECRETARY RUBANO: You have a quorum.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Thank you. Please
stand for the Pledge of Allegiance and a moment of
silence for anybody serving here and abroad.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
(Whereupon, the board now continues with the posted agenda.)

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: We're going to
take a break.
MEMBER TANNENHAUS: Before you do that
I have a conflict of interest; I'm going to recuse myself.

Are we going into Executive Session or need to discuss the Master Plan?

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Not that $I$ know of tonight, no.

COUNCILMAN GASIOR: No.
MEMBER TANNENHAUS: All right. Then everyone have a good night.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay, you too.
So let's take a quick five minutes and
I'll be back.
SECRETARY RUBANO: The board will take
a five-minute recess.
(WHEREUPON, a recess is taken.)
(Proceedings resume at 7:40 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: We can give it a couple of minutes. We're waiting for Mr. Cucchiaro, also, before we get started with this.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Mr. Leggio has arrived also. So let the record reflect that he arrived at 7:30.

BOARD PLANNER: Eileen, I think Ron

Gasiorowski is here for this case, as well.
SECRETARY RUBANO: He is. I'll let
him in.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Welcome back, John.

SECRETARY RUBANO: While we're waiting for Ron Cucchiaro, Mr. Simon, do you want to tell me who else to let in?

I have Jeromie Lange. I have Richard
Maser, I have Tung-To Lam, Sean Naeger, Christine Cofone.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yeah.

BOARD PLANNER: Dave Moskowitz?
ATTORNEY SIMON: Kerry Pehnke. Is she
here?
SECRETARY RUBANO: From Langan?
BOARD PLANNER: Yes.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes. I think that's it.

BOARD PLANNER: And Dave Moskowitz, right, you want Dave in? Isn't Dave here, too.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yeah, $I$ don't know if
he has to be a panelist at this point, Jen. We can always bring him in.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Thank you.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you.
SECRETARY RUBANO: And I have -- you
have a court reporter.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes.
SECRETARY RUBANO: I don't know if she
needs to come in or she can stay in the audience?
ATTORNEY SIMON: Yeah, she should
probably come in even if she's not on camera.
I know that stenographic machine
anywhere.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Yes.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceeding.)

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay, before we
get started tonight --
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So the case has been announced?

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: I'm going to announce it right now.

What we have up is Case SP-1105, AAVRHW Property, LLC. Preliminary and Final Major Site Plan approval with design waiver relief.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, Mr. Chairman, $I$ know we have multiple counsel here representing different parties. So as a first order of business $I$ would just like each individual counsel to enter their appearance and give us their clients.

And, Mr. Simon, we'll begin with you. ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,

Mr. Cucchiaro. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen of the board, always good to be here, Rob Simon from Herold Law here on behalf of the applicant.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
Mr. Gasiorowski; are you here?
RON GASIOROWSKI: Yes, I am present.
I represent Stavola Realty, Co., who is the owner of the property. And $I$ would represent to the board initially that our interests are identical to that
of the applicant, and $I$ will be appearing on behalf of my client, as well as urging the board to approve this application.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. And I
believe, Ms. Ferguson, you're representing a group of residents?

SECRETARY RUBANO: We have Stuart Lieberman, actually.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Oh, okay.
Mr. Lieberman, do you want to enter your appearance?

MEMBER LIEBERMAN: Thank you very
much. Good evening, board members. I represent the following people: Mirjana Scarselli, Chris Bates, Justin Gumley, Diane Lindstrom, Frances Santore, Betty Velez, Edwin and Marie Woolley, and Marianne and Robert Wagner.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And all your clients are either residents or property owners in the township?

MEMBER LIEBERMAN: That's my understanding, yes.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Mr. Cucchiaro, I'm sorry I'm interrupting, could we find out from Mr. Lieberman their actual addresses?

MEMBER LIEBERMAN: Well, I don't have that available but I'll have that for you at the next hearing.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, we don't know that there will be a next hearing but, you know, as soon as you can, you know, provide that.

And as you are providing it to
Mr. Simon, if you could also cc Eileen Rubano so that the board has it as part of the record below.

MEMBER LIEBERMAN: That's fine. Thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Now I also wanted to ask if any counsel has any jurisdictional objections or any claims of conflict or interest or other procedural issues that they would like to raise before the hearing begins?

MEMBER LIEBERMAN: This is Lieberman, I don't have any at this time.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. And I presume, Mr. Simon and Mr. Gasiorowski, you're satisfied with the procedure and don't have any objections to any of the board members sitting?

ATTORNEY SIMON: That is correct.
ATTORNEY GASIOROWSKI: That's correct.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, so with
that, now, Mr. Simon, we'll turn it over to you to begin the hearing process.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Actually just
before we start with that, Mr. Chairman, if you would just, $I$ think a brief comment on how you intend to proceed listening to testimony.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Yeah. So how we're going to proceed tonight is the applicant is going to present its entire case to us. When the applicant is finished any board members then will -- will ask our questions, whatever comments we have of the applicant.

Then when we're finished, the residents' attorney will be able to cross-examine the applicant and his professionals. And then, after that, we'll open it up to the public.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
Mr. Lieberman, do you have any expert witnesses that you're intending to present?

MEMBER LIEBERMAN: Not tonight, no.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay. Mr. Simon, floor is yours.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Before you start, just so you realize, there are six eligible members this evening, and that is the six members present, because two recused themselves and three are absent.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes, we are aware. Thank you, though, for that.

SECRETARY RUBANO: You're welcome.
ATTORNEY SIMON: So with that, good evening. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Cucchiaro. We represent the property -- or, sorry, the applicant, this is Block 41, Lot 17, on Victory Road.

The applicant is seeking Preliminary and Final Major Site Plan approval to construct a 203,802 square foot warehouse/office building. I think less than 200,000 square feet is devoted to warehouse, 25 loading dock spaces, 68 trailer parking spaces, and 72 passenger vehicle parking spaces, four of which will be equipped for electric vehicle charging.

The property is a little -- a little less than 20 acres in size, located within the Special Economic Development Zoning District along

Victory Road.
There is vacant land, commercial uses, and, of course, the railroad located west of the site in the ARE-6 zone. The vacant land is located south of the site in the SED zone, owned by I think it's a New Jersey Natural Gas plant. And there's industrial uses located east and north of the site also in the SED zone.

Access to the site is going to be provided, as you will hear further testimony this evening, via two new driveways along Victory Road. There will be a 30 -foot wide circulation aisle provided around the eastern, southern and western sides of the building, with other improvements that we'll get into, including sidewalks, curbing, ADA curb ramps, stormwater management and landscaping and lighting features. Public water main, $\quad$ believe will be extended from the Maxim Southard Road intersection with Victory Road and provide water service, as well as proposing a private septic system to provide sanitary sewer service.

As this board may be aware an application involving this property, as well as the property on the other side of the railroad tracks, was presented to the Board of Adjustment, I believe
last year, for a solar panel ground-mount installation inherently beneficial use, which was statutorily denied by the board last year.

This project fully complies with the use and bulk standards applicable to the SED zone. We believe one design waiver exception is required under Section 188-195A regarding replacement trees to be planted on the site from which trees were removed, if possible. And that requires a waiver to make a contribution to be deposited into the township tree fund due to limited available planting area. And we're going to get into that as well through our testimony.

And we also will be requesting any other relief, whether it's variances, exceptions, design waivers or other relief from this board as may deem necessary or required throughout the course of our presentation.

Of course we've received and reviewed correspondence from the board professionals and we intend to address all comments as well as any other comments raised during the course of the proceeding through the testimony presented.

And in terms of testimony we currently intend to put on the following witnesses this
evening: We have Jeromie Lange, the director of development for Active Acquisitions. Tung-To Lam, with Bohler Engineering, the engineer for the project. Sean Naeger from $M+H$ Architects, the architect on the project. Kerry Pehnke, from Langan Engineering, our traffic and circulation consultants. And Christine Cofone, our professional planner on this project.

And, as stated by the chair, our intention is to have all our witnesses complete their testimony before opening up for questions from the board, public or otherwise.

So with that, and we believe that the application is jurisdictionally complete, we ask that Mr. Lange be sworn in as a witness at this time.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JEROMIE LANGE: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. And,
Mr. Simon, Mr. Lange is being offered as a fact witness, correct, not as an expert witness?

ATTORNEY SIMON: That is correct.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
ATTORNEY SIMON: I would like him, though, Ron, if you don't mind, just have him run through his background, just so the board has -ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Absolutely, yes. J E R O M I E L A N G E, sworn, testifies as follows:

ATTORNEY SIMON: So, Mr. Lange, why don't you proceed.

JEROMIE LANGE: Thank you, Mr. Simon. And good evening, board. Jeromie Lange. I am director of -- excuse me, Director of Development at Active Acquisitions.

I have about 28 years of experience in land development, the majority of which was at Maser Consulting/Colliers Engineering \& Design. And then about the last two and a half years have been in this role as director of development at Active Acquisitions.

I happen to be a licensed professional engineer and a licensed professional planner, but I am not the engineer or planner of record.

But that is my background by training
and licensure.
JEROMIE LANGE: And the applicant --
I'm sorry.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead.
JEROMIE LANGE: So the applicant is an affiliated entity of Active Acquisitions, so in that role, as director of development, I'm openly in charge of this application and the entity that is before you this evening.

So, as Mr. Simon indicated, the project is located on Victory Road.

We're going to bring up Exhibit $A-27$, Richard, if you could do that for us, please.

So here you will see Exhibit $A-27$ in front of you. It's an aerial exhibit. It shows three lots, which we are under contract to purchase; only one of these lots, though, is before you this evening as far as the application. And that is Lot 17; Lots 27 and 28 to the west, while we are purchasing, they are not part of this application. So I just wanted to make that clear.

As far as the location, you can see it's essentially the center of the triangle between the Disanti Concrete, Incorporated site to the north, the New Jersey Natural Gas facility to the
southeast and the south -- to the southwest we have the DS\&DJ Realty industrial site. So we're basically right in the center of that at Lot 17. In addition, to that there are some single-family homes along Victory Road to the east and the west.

In terms of the proposal, we've done a lot of work on the site. You're going to hear some of our experts shortly, but there's a few things I want to touch on.

First, from an environmental standpoint we engaged GZA to look at the site both in terms of a Phase I and Phase II process. They found 6 AOCs or conditions to look at. Three of them they determined there was no further action. A fourth they did some testing for historically applied pesticides and found that they were within acceptable standards, so no further action recommended there. And the final two items have to do with an abandoned tank and some waste and debris around the site. And they are recommending that both of those be removed at the time of construction, and the soils underneath those things be tested to make sure they are within the appropriate DEP standards, and all of that being under the supervision of an LSRP.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Excuse me,
Mr. Chairman, I would like to object to this. This is the nature of expert testimony now. We're hearing about environmental conditions on the property.

Is that person going to testify?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chairman, the applicant can provide an overview, it is not being presented as expert testimony, as a general overview of the project. But to the extent that, you know, we have specific engineering site plan issues, environmental traffic, the applicant's experts will have to testify to that.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Well, I
appreciate that, but if there isn't going to be expert testimony on those issues then $I$ think it should be disregarded. I don't know if there is or not.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Excuse me.
Mr. Chairman, as you appropriately instructed, for the applicant to present their case in its entirety and --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Objections during the process are permissible.

My recommendation, Mr. Chairman, is
that the applicant is permitted to provide an overview, the board will give it its appropriate weight, and it doesn't -- doesn't substitute for expert testimony in the area.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Continue.

JEROMIE LANGE: Thank you.
So on the subject site, Lot 17 , we are proposing a warehouse building. That warehouse will be fully conforming with the SED zoning.

And I will remind the board that based on our time of application we are under the SED zoning as opposed to the SED-1 zoning, where warehouse and distribution are permitted uses. We are fully conforming with those bulk requirements in that zoning and we are proposing a 201, 116-square-foot, plus or minus, warehouse facility of which about 10,000 square feet is office space and about 191,000 square feet would be warehouse space.

As Mr. Simon indicated earlier, there are 72 passenger vehicle parking spaces proposed, 25 loading docks. One correction, there are 35 trailer parking spots. We used to have the trailer parking double loaded, but through the review process, both
with the township's professionals and an outside agency reviewer orders, we have modified the plan over time and actually reduced the trailer parking. So at this point we have 35 trailer parking stalls.

In terms of the use, $I$ just want to expand on that a little bit. Although distribution is a permitted use, this is not a major distribution facility. It's not a fulfillment facility. And it's not a last-mile facility.

While there is no bright line
definition for those uses, I think what's important here is kind of what is the primary versus secondary use. In this facilitate the primary use is proposed to be storage, where distribution would be a secondary use.

In a distribution setting it would be the exact opposite, where distribution would be the primary use and storage would be much more temporal to service the distribution aspect.

For a distribution facility, typically
they want to be closer to the interstate. Here we're fairly far from the turnpike. I think it's roughly 26 miles, if memory serves. We have a setup here on the site that really doesn't lend itself to distribution as well. For instance, distribution
typically wants a higher flow velocity of stored products, so we typically encourage that or operationally allow for that in an efficient manner, you would have cross-docking where here we have single-docking located on the easterly side of the property. So it lacks cross-docking; it isn't supportive of that.
Also this is a relatively small
application, at just over 200,000 square feet. Distribution or major distribution facilities tend to be at a half a million square feet and larger, which this is not.

Also in distribution-centric facilities you need a lot more manpower to move all the product, and we simply don't have the parking here. The parking that is proposed is meeting the ordinance standard for warehousing at one per 5,000 square feet, and that is really appropriate for warehouse use, but really not adequate to support a distribution type of operation.

So all that to say that not only am I
telling you that this is a warehouse and not
distribution, but the site inherently, both the building and the site plan itself, are inherently a warehouse use as opposed to a distribution use.

Let's go to Exhibit A-34, Richard. So the proposed building here is dividable up to four tenants. Those tenants would have more than 5,000 square feet of space and, therefore, consistent with the ordinance requirement, setting that as the minimum for an individual tenant.
While I can't predict for certain
whether or not the operations will actually be 24/7, we are seeking approval for a $24 / 7$ operation. Typically smaller buildings like this, the main activity is during the day and it's generally limited in the evening hours.

In terms -- just to address another ordinance requirements, in terms of flammable and hazardous materials, they would only be incidental, you know, cleaning supplies, things like this; it wouldn't be bulk storage. And to the extent there are any, they would be handled consistent with local and state requirements.

BOARD PLANNER: Jeromie, we don't allow them. So I would recommend, if the board were to act in the affirmative at whatever point, when we get to that point, that there be a condition that it not be allowed.

So incidentals is not -- we don't allow it, so the answer is no.

JEROMIE LANGE: Okay.
The property is located approximately
two miles from the interchange with Route 195, and that is where we see the truck traffic that would access this facility heading to and from. The traffic engineer will be testifying later and going into a lot greater detail on that.

In terms of site circulation, Mr. Simon
had earlier indicated that the circulation aisle is at least 30 feet wide, which is the case. Every place around the site here, the west, south, are 30 feet wide. The truck court is actually significantly wider than that to facilitate the maneuvering of the trucks in and out of the loading dock positions as well as the trailer park positions. And then the final driveway in the northeast is also 30 feet wide.

We understand that it's fully compliant with the township ordinance. There are two different sections of the township ordinance that address driveway width, but the board has found in other applications that Active Acquisitions has been approved, that a 35 -foot driveway does satisfy both
criteria, so that is our understanding and position, that we're fully compliant.

Let's bring up Exhibit A-31. So just to talk about -- let's zoom in on the Victory Road driveways there.

So looking at, first, at the
northeasterly driveway, what is proposed here is the passenger vehicle traffic would be going -- you know, full in and out here to access the parking lot. There are two sub driveways, if you will, that access the main 30-foot --

ATTORNEY SIMON: I'm sorry, Mr. Lange, could you take a half a step back and just identify on this exhibit which section you're specifically referring to.

JEROMIE LANGE: So I think it would be easier -- Richard, can you scroll down here. Let's look at the overall site plan.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes, thank you.

JEROMIE LANGE: Okay, so this gives
you an orientation here of the site. We've got
Victory Road along the top of the sheet or the north end of the site. The railroad, the short-line railroad is to the left or west here on the exhibit. So there is two proposed driveways,
which I'm simply calling the west and east driveways. The west one, or left on this exhibit, is -- serves two purposes, one, it services all the passenger vehicle traffic that is accessing the parking lot located along the west side of the proposed warehouse building. So that would be for both in and out, and that would be full movements.

It also services inbound truck traffic. So we would anticipate trucks coming from 195, coming down Victory Road, essentially heading west, making a left into the site at the westerly driveway, circling around in a counter-clockwise fashion around the warehouse building. That way when they arrive in the truck court, the driver is on the loading dock side of the truck as he's pulling in, which will facilitate them backing into the loading docks.

Now trucks can also enter through the easterly driveway, so for instance, if they were just dropping off an empty trailer and were actually headed to the trailer parking as opposed to the loading dock, they may go in that direction as well. And then certainly smaller trucks that are easier to maneuver could also go into that easterly driveway.

In terms of egress on the easterly
driveway the trucks would be limited to right-hand turns out. So there would be no truck exits on the westerly driveway, and on the easterly driveway they would be right turns only out of the site.

And we intend to enforce that by at the southern end of the truck court, by prohibiting trucks from the exiting movements. So trucks could only enter.

We did show on this exhibit additional truck movements just to indicate that the trucks could pass each other. So if you had a truck that missed the signs or they ignored the signs, whatever it is, it's not that somebody could become stuck, you know, they can circulate around the site in two-way traffic, with two tractor-trailers without any issue or constraints, but the signage and the restrictions would be such that the only truck activity happening on the left side would be the entrance, and that is just so that we can get the correct orientation with the counter-clockwise movement around the warehouse.

In addition to that there are two ramps proposed on the north and south end of the loading docks to facilitate at-grade entrance, so to drive forklifts in or other types of, you know,
deliveries that are at-grade and don't need the depressed ramp or, excuse me, the depressed dock to back up to.

We also have refuse and recycling. There are compactors located next to both those ramps that $I$ just mentioned at the north and south end. And those would be for both refuse and recycling, such as cardboard, stuff like that.

Other recyclables, like bottles and cans, would be in small, rollable cans inside the building, because a warehouse use does not generate a lot of that type of recycling so they can use smaller containers.

So I wanted to kind of finalize with three touch points here. So we can go to Exhibit A-3, which is the site plan set, on the lighting plan.

We have submitted a lighting plan that is fully conforming with the ordinance. However, we are open to, and the board has in the past requested us, to meet the IES standards as opposed to the ordinance standards, which we are willing to do if the board requests same and grants that waiver. Otherwise, we will be going with a fully conforming ordinance based lighting plan that you see here on

Exhibit $A-3$ on Sheets C-703.
In a similar vein, if we go to --
let's go back to A-34, Richard. There's a requirement for landscaping both around the building, between the building and parking areas. That has been determined to apply also to the loading docks. So we do have proposed shrub or really juniper type of landscaping, located along the loading docks.

So again, we're fully compliant with the ordinance. If the board requests us to seek that waiver and is going to grant us that waiver, we would be willing to remove that. But, again, absent such a request, our intent is to fully comply and provide that landscaping.

And then, finally, and Mr. Simon touched on this at the beginning, there is a waiver required for the trees. Again, this is a fully conforming site in terms of the bulk requirements, et cetera, but because it is primarily a wooded site, essentially a fully wooded site, it's not possible to meet the ordinance re-planting requirements on the site; that's just not physically possible, and you know --

ATtORNEY LIEBERMAN: Again, I renew my
objection. This is going beyond fact testimony. ATTORNEY SIMON: Mr. Chairman, I, respectfully, ask that if Mr. Lieberman wants to raise objections to someone, or challenge someone's testimony, he can do it via cross-examination at the appropriate time in the proceeding, the way it's always done.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chairman, people can make objections during the course of a hearing. However, this objection has been made. The Chair has ruled on it.

An objection isn't more or less relevant the more times that you make it. The board understands the objection and has ruled on it; it's part of the record.

JEROMIE LANGE: And just to conclude, then, as the applicant we believe it's impractical to meet this for any meaningful development so - and would constitute some kind of a hardship, we believe it would be a hardship for us to attempt to comply. So we believe that it's completely appropriate to make the contribution as provided for in the ordinance. Our understanding is that contribution would be for $\$ 301,300$, as confirmed in the board professional engineer's letter from Laura

Neumann, of April 10 th of 2023 .
And we would stipulate to making that condition, you know, as a condition of approval should the board see fit. So with that, that concludes my direct testimony.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And, Mr. Lange, Ms. Cofone, the professional planner on the project, is going to get into the proofs with regard to the landscaping waiver/exception, and we also have a traffic circulation expert that is going to talk about the ingress/egress and circulation on the site that you touched upon in an overview context, correct?

JEROMIE LANGE: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Nothing further for Mr. Lange at this time.

With that $I$ would like to ask that our next witness, Tung-To Lam, be sworn.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Ron, you're muted. Can we stop sharing the screen until he's sworn in, please? Thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes, that's what
I was going to ask.
Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board in this matter is
the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
TUNG-TO LAM: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record.

TUNG-TO LAM: First name is Tung-To, $T-U-N-G-T-O$, last name Lam, $L-A-M$.
$T \mathrm{U} N \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{T} O \mathrm{~L} A \mathrm{M}$, sworn, testifies as follows:

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, Mr. Simon, if you could qualify Mr. Lam?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Sure. Mr. Lam, can you please provide your credentials and qualifications to the board, including education, professional licenses and experience testifying in the field of engineering.

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes. I'm a 2004 graduate from Rochester Institute of Technology, with a bachelor of science degree in civil engineering. I am currently a Ph.D. candidate at Rutgers for civil environmental engineer.

I'm a licensed professional in the State of New Jersey.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you have
testified before many planning and zoning boards throughout the State of New Jersey, correct? TUNG-TO LAM: Yes.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you have been qualified as a professional engineering witness at that time?

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And your license
remains in good standing, correct?
TUNG-TO LAM: Yes, sir.
ATTORNEY SIMON: I would ask that you accept his credentials. Thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, before we do that, Mr. Lieberman, would you like to voir dire the witness, or do you have any objections to him being accepted as an expert engineer?

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Sorry, I didn't know my mike was off. I have no objections. And thank you for the courtesy.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: So we'll accept your credentials.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.
Tung-To, you're familiar with the application and the site and the surrounding area? TUNG-TO LAM: Yes.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Why don't you present to the board your engineering evaluation of this project including referencing the plans that you prepared.

TUNG-TO LAM: Sure. For every project we like to start with existing conditions. So, Richard, can you pull up Exhibit A-27.

A-27 is an exhibit prepared by Bohler, it's dated August 15th, 2022. It's titled aerial exhibit. North is the top of the page. We have outlined the property in yellow, only Lot 17 is part of this application. Lots 27 and 28 are just highlighted since they're under common ownership.

The zone boundary is shown in blue, and the lots -- the surrounding lots is shown in black. It's currently a wooded site. Victory Road is their only frontage to the property. And it's surrounded by commercial uses; to your east and to your south is New Jersey Natural Gas. To your west is the railroad, and then to the north is another industrial use.

So generally after our existing conditions we focus in on the proposed condition as part of this project.

Richard, can you pull up Exhibit A-33.
A-33 is the site layout rendering prepared by Bohler again. It's dated April 11th, 2023, and once again north is to the top of the page again. The same aerial background you saw on the prior Exhibit $A-27$ is being used again for this background.

So the green on this exhibit is representing the proposed landscaping for the existing wooded areas. And the tan is the outline of building, and then the gray are the pavement associated for this project.

So for this project it's 19.91 acres in
area. We are required to provide eight and a half foot right-of-way dedication in order for it to achieve the 25 -foot half width.

So when this project is done there will
be an 11-foot wide travel lane along our frontage with a five-foot shoulder on the near side of the curb. The property is located in the SED zone, Special Economic Development.

Currently stated and the surrounding
use was previously discussed. Existing condition is just a wooded lot.

The trees on-site was previously
cleared. It's mostly a secondary growth based on our site visits with Shari at the township. And, a lot of the landscaping trees existing are invasive and not of high quality.

For this application we're proposing a warehouse, 201,116 square foot is the building size. Of that 10,000 square foot of it is an office. We have chopped up in four -- up to four potential tenants. So it will be 2,500 for each office space roughly.

In addition to that we are proposing the parking on the western side of the building. And truck courts on the eastern side of the building.

In addition it will include sidewalks, two new driveway off of Victory Road, and stormwater improvements located throughout the site, and also improvements mostly the water main extension, which is just shy of 5,000 linear feet that we're coordinating with New Jersey American water on.

The trucks expected to arrive on-site will be -- the largest vehicle will be a WB-67,
which is going to be Title 39, New Jersey version, which is slightly smaller than an AASHTO vehicle, which is based on the spacing of the kingpin as a New Jersey restriction, but it's still the largest tractor-trailers that's being visited on the site and that is what we designed the site to, including the driveway, the circulation, and things of that nature.

As part of the stormwater design for this project --

ATTORNEY SIMON: I'm sorry, Tung-To, before you talk about the stormwater, in terms of the types of deliveries to the site, can you just touch upon that very briefly.
TUNG-TO LAM: Yeah, it's really going
to be limited to what the tenant will be -- and then, in addition to that will be FedEx, UPS, and things of that material.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you.
TUNG-TO LAM: And just going back to the stormwater and grading of the site, in order to comply with the current stormwater regs adopted March of last year, the site will require in part just in order to provide the proper separation between the seasonal high groundwater of the site
and provide their appropriate small-scale and large scale BMP located throughout the site. And after construction the site, you know, is being designed in a way to mimic the existing drainage patterns. So the stormwater on this site is being captured with either inlets, trench drains and they're piped to either above ground or underground infiltration basins. There are a total of five basins located on this project; three of it is above ground, which is to your east of the truck court, and then there are two underground for this project. And once again, this stormwater design is fully compliant with the DEP regs and is also in compliance with the township regulations.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you talked about BMP compliance as well?

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes. Like any other projects we have to do for a major development, we have to comply with their geotech testings, including mounding analysis for infiltration basins.

So the analysis has been designed, test pits done. There are over 55 test pits and borings done on this project in four separate occasions, between January of 2022 and all the way as recent as february of 2023 of this year.

And the stormwater features on this project will be privately owned and maintained. And as a condition of approval, the operation maintenance manual will be reviewed by the township and then recorded with the deed.

Jumping over to utility now, the water is being provided to the site via a water main extension. We're coordinating with New Jersey American Water on it. And once again, just shy of 5,000 linear feet of water main extension.

And the circulation for this project will include two driveways, one is located to the east and one located to your west.

The one located to your east is being used exclusively for their truck operation, and then the one located to your west will be used by both their trucks and their passenger vehicles.

We are planning that the truck will enter the site via their easterly -- sorry, westerly driveway, and exit the site via the easterly driveway. And once again their westerly driveway will be used exclusively -- will be used for the passenger vehicle in addition to the trucks, but the easterly driveway will only be used by the trucks.

And as part of this project --

Richard, can you pull up Exhibit A-37-- which is something we do call line-of-sight evaluation; basically we looked at the driveway placements the available line of sights and what is required, and based on our analysis and as shown on this exhibit we comply.

The red is showing their line-of-sight associated with the westerly driveway, which the vehicle exit will make either a left-hand turn or a right-hand turn, so both movements are shown.

And, in addition, we have shown the township site requirements, which are shown in green, which is 35 by 35. And then if you pan to the bottom of the page, which is shown their line-of-sight for their easterly driveway.

So we are expecting the vehicle to only make a right-hand turn, which is why we only have one sight triangle shown, it's shown in blue. And we have signage proposed at this driveway showing no left turns for the trucks.

And, once again, we are showing the township sight triangle in green in this exhibit.

Richard, can you jump back to the main exhibit for the $A-33$, for the site rendering.

So for the site circulation driveway we
worked closely with our traffic consultant --
ATTORNEY SIMON: Tung-To, before you go on onto that, can you just confirm that no sight triangle easements are required in connection with the proposed driveway design.

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you.
TUNG-TO LAM: And as part of any
application we work closely with our traffic consultants in regards to driveways location, placement or circulation aisles, things of that nature.

In addition, we've also worked with Chief Lewis on our vehicle turning.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And there's no issues regarding the turning plan, from what you understand?

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct.
The drive aisle for the site is -- for the parking lot will be 25 feet for the employee, and then the ring road itself on the outside will be 30 feet wide.

The drive aisle for the truck port, which is located east of the building would be 70 feet wide which are consistent with the industry
standards.
And the driveway width, once again, has
been reviewed by Chief Lewis.
There are a total of 25 loading docks being proposed here east of the warehouse and then there are 35 trailer spaces located beyond the truck court.

For this project we're proposing 72 employee parking spaces, which include the proper spacing for ADA stalls and also the EV-ready stalls and those are located to the west of the building. ATTORNEY SIMON: And going back just for a second regarding the trailer parking spaces; there is not going to be any storage proposed in connection with those spaces, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Please proceed.
TUNG-TO LAM: And just once again $I$
would like to remind that the plan is fully consistent with the township ordinance.

Next I would just like to discuss the lighting. As previously mentioned by Jeromie, we submitted two lighting design; one fully in compliance with the township ordinance, but looking at that, it complies with the township but it does
not comply with the IENS requirements, which is the Illuminating Engineering Society recommendations.

And then we have also prepared an alternate lighting plan, which would comply with the IENS standards, but it does not meet all the conditions of the township requirement.

BOARD PLANNER: So you mean IES; not
IENS, IES?
TUNG-TO LAM: IES, yes. Sorry.
BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
TUNG-TO LAM: So in both instances on the lighting design the lights are consistent at 24 feet in height. House-side shields are proposed throughout.

And so we have -- we can go either or. So if the board wants to grant a waiver we would go with the IES requirement, the alternate lighting plan, or we would stick with the township compliant one.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And there will be no spillage of lighting onto any adjacent properties, correct.

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct. No spillage and the light fixtures are fully dark-sky compliant.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Can you address
landscaping, please.
TUNG-TO LAM: Yes. There will be 11.3 acres of wooded area and landscaping area remaining after this development.

We are proposing 1,376 plants in a total of 33 different species; 130 of them being shade trees, 58 being ornamental trees, 270 evergreen trees, 301 deciduous trees, 217 evergreen shrubs, 38 ground covers, 218 perennials, and 107 ornamental grasses. And we have dispersed them throughout the site including the loading area.

There's truck ports. We treated that similar to any parking lot, so we have added landscape islands to break up the mass of those -of the trailer spaces and the loading dock.

In addition, we treated the loading dock, even though it's four feet lower than the finished floor of the building, with also junipers, a row of them to make the rest of the -- the three sides of the building.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And all buffer requirements in the ordinance have been met?

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes, correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And we have increased landscaping in the buffer areas to the maximum that
we reasonably can do so, correct?
A. Correct. There is a residential buffer which borders the railroad side, we've in-filled those with landscapings.

ATTORNEY SIMON: We also would be willing to continue to work with Shari to the extent required, regarding the landscaping plan, correct?

> TUNG-TO LAM: Absolutely, yes.

So, once again, the landscaping is being proposed on all four sides, including the loading side, which is a bit unconventional but we understand that is their strict interpretation of the township ordinance, so we have provided that.

For the tree removal on this project there's 2,114 trees being removed. 384 trees are being proposed as part of their replacement trees. The balance of it will be in a tree fund contribution, which is 301,300 .

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you're aware that, as alluded to by Mr. Lange, that Ms. Cofone is going to address any proofs required in connection with that waiver, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct.
For signage, we have one monument sign
located at the westerly driveway and it's in full
compliance with the township ordinance in both the location and setback.

ATTORNEY SIMON: What about trash and recycling?

TUNG-TO LAM: Trash, we have compactors being located -- right now we're showing two compactors adjacent to the drive-up ramps on the two ends of the buildings, similar to other industrial applications. And will be handled via private hauler.

And we have provided screening for the compactors in both landscaping and physical screening from their drive-up ramp wall so.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And in terms -- we're going to comply with the state and the local noise ordinance as well, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And in terms of outside approvals, can you just go over where this application stands?

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes. We received County Planning Board approval.

We have the Freehold Soil Conservation District certification.

The water main extension is pending
with New Jersey American Water, but, once again, there's a 5,000 linear feet of water main extension along Victory Road.

Sewer will be on-site septic. And the continuation of that review will be pending the outcome of their planning board approval for this project.

In terms of $N J D E P$ we have received an LOI, which identify the wetlands on site, associated wetland with them, if they are isolated or not, things of that nature.

We have applied for General Permits
through the state and we expect to receive those permits shortly. We have gone back and forth on a few rounds of comments, some similar with the township comments, so we're expecting those approvals any day now.

And the Environmental Commission, their last review, comments we have is that they have no comments at this time.

And in regards to your fire official, the last letter we have is that the fire official has no objection to the proposed project.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And, you know, as you talk about in terms of going back and forth with the

DEP, you have also participated in meetings with the board's professional staff and have revised the plans to be consistent with that direction provided by that staff, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct. There's been a few submissions and resubmissions, and even just looking at the township review letter from the engineering, we're up to review letter Number 5 now so.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Right. And, of course, we'll get to that in a second but you'll continue to work with, you know, certainly Ms. Beahm, Ms. Neumann and Ms. Spero to address remaining technical requirements or concerns, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Absolutely.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And with regard to review -- I think it's -- I think you're right, review letter Number 5, engineering review Number 5, I think that's April 10th of 2023 from Ms. Neumann.

Can you just take a moment to go over that just for a second, just to make sure that there's no outstanding comments or anything you want to address with regard to that letter?
TUNG-TO LAM: Skipping ahead, just
going down to the Technical Review comments --
ATTORNEY SIMON: And you're referring,
Tung-To, to the CME Associates letter from Ms. Neumann, dated April 10th, 2023, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes. The technical comments are on Page 7, 8 and 9. We have no objection to that and we'll comply with them as a condition of approval.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Okay.
TUNG-TO LAM: Some housekeeping items, there's a typo on one parking labels and dealing with pavement, so all things we would fully comply with and agree to address.

ATTORNEY SIMON: With regard to, I'm just looking at Page 4 of the April 10 th letter, 3B it says, "Outdoor storage shall be permitted and screened rear yard only. Applicant shall verify if any vehicles will sit overnight in the trailer spaces located in the side yard."

It's your understanding that there will be overnight parking, but that's not going to constitute any outdoor storage, and especially given the fact that there is not going to be any product in any of those trailers stored outside overnight, correct?

TUNG-TO LAM: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Is there any other
comments that you want to address from the
April $10 t h, 2023, ~ C M E$ letter, including the
technical engineering review Number 5 attached to it, that you haven't already touched upon?

TUNG-TO LAM: I believe they're all
covered in the direct. If I've missed any, I'm sure Ms. Neumann will let me know.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Very good. Okay, with that, $I$ have no further questions for Tung-To and ask that Mr. Sean Naeger be sworn.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

SEAN NAEGER: Yes, sir.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
spell your name for the record.
SEAN NAEGER: First name is Sean,
$S-E-A-N$, last name is Naeger, $N-A-E-G-E-R$.

S E A N N A E G E R, A I A, sworn, testifies as follows:

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, if you could qualify Mr. Naeger?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Cucchiaro.
Mr. Naeger, please provide your credentials, including your occupation, affiliation, areas of expertise, and licenses in the field of architecture.

SEAN NAEGER: Certainly. I have a bachelor of architecture from Mississippi State University. Graduated in 1997.

I have been practicing architecture for over 26 years. I'm a project manager with $M+H$ Architects. I have with $M+H$ Architects over 17 years. I'm licensed in the State of New Jersey and am in good standing.

I have been involved with or directly responsible for the design of over 27 million square feet of this project type throughout the country and within State of New Jersey.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you have qualified before planning and zoning boards in the past and have been so qualified as an expert witness in the area of architecture?

SEAN NAEGER: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And your license
remains in good standing?
SEAN NAEGER: Yes, sir.
ATTORNEY SIMON: We ask that
Mr. Naeger be qualified as an expert architectural witness at this time.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Lieberman, we'll afford you the opportunity again to ask any questions, or if you have an objections to the qualifications?

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: That's kind of you. And $I$ have none, thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. All right.
SEAN NAEGER: Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead,
Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: We'll accept your credentials.

SEAN NAEGER: Thank you.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Mr. Naeger, you
prepared the architectural plans for this application, correct?

SEAN NAEGER: Correct, sir.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you're familiar with the property and the subject neighborhood.

SEAN NAEGER: Correct, sir.
ATTORNEY SIMON: So, please, just go over, for the benefit of the board and the public, the proposed plan and project from an architectural perspective, please.

SEAN NAEGER: Certainly.
If we could pull up the architectural rendering, $I$ believe that's A -- I forget exactly which one. If you could scroll?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Do you see it, sean?
SEAN NAEGER: I see it, but that's not the rendering, those are the elevations.

ATTORNEY SIMON: You're talking about the colored rendering?

SEAN NAEGER: Correct, sir.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Yeah. A-4, I
believe.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you, Eileen.
RICHARD MASER: This is A-4, which one
are you looking for?
SECRETARY RUBANO: No, the other one was like -- that should have been $A-6$, that one. So maybe check $A-6$.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Sean, for now maybe, while Richard is looking for the colored rendering, it's a two-page rendering that you're referring to, correct, Sean?

SEAN NAEGER: Yes.
ATTORNEY SIMON: While Richard is looking for that to put up on the screen, do you want to at least get started with what's --

SEAN NAEGER: Certainly. I certainly will.

The proposed building will be a speculative warehouse, as Mr. Lange alluded to earlier, with a 40-foot interior clear height.

The building will be constructed with insulated concrete panels, a steel roof framing, and interior load-bearing steel columns. The entire facility will be protected throughout with an ESFR sprinkler system.

The concrete wall panels, as you can see on the elevation here, are painted three different shades of a neutral color; in this particular case it would be a light gray, a medium gray and a dark gray. The wall panels have reveals. These reveals help to separate the paint colors. The color is applied in blocks to help minimize the
scale of each building's elevation and provide an esthetic rhythm around the perimeter of the building. This treatment is applied to all four elevations of the building.

The dark vertical accents that you see at Item Number 7 on this particular drawing, these -- that's obviously the darkest of the three colors. That has reveals. It has windows. It will have potential louvers within the -- within that particular wall panel.

The wall panels are recessed there at that dark elevation at least three feet. And they typically are slightly shorter than the adjacent panels to provide some relief along the parapet walls of the building.

The elevations include clear-story windows along the dock walls, and this is provided to introduce daylight to frequently occupied interior spaces within the warehouse area. It makes the warehouse more pleasant to work in, bringing natural light in.

The proposed maximum building height does not exceed 45 feet as calculated per the Howell land use ordinances.

As stated earlier, the building has
been designed to accommodate the potential up to four different offices. You would have one at each corner of the building. And then there is the potential for two more or less in dead center of the building. These particular elements are where the offices are intended to go, but the actual office plan will be designed to meet a tenant's needs when the tenant is known.

The entry elements are the large-scale modern design elements and expansive glass areas. The canopy is bold but it helps to designate where people would enter this building and it provides deep shadow lines to signal these points of entry, not only from a parking lot, but also from oncoming traffic coming into the property itself.

All rooftop equipment will be set back. There it is. All rooftop equipment will be set back at least 60 feet from the permitter of the building.

ATTORNEY SIMON: So when you say --

I'm sorry, I'm interrupting you for a second just so the record is clear when you say "there it is," you're referring to --

SEAN NAEGER: I'm sorry.
ATTORNEY SIMON: -- you're referring to
the colored rendering that is -- that is now up on
the screen, correct?
SEAN NAEGER: I am, sir, yes.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Dated July 25 th,
2022, in the upper right-hand corner.
SEAN NAEGER: I am. I am, sir.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Eileen, do we have
clarification as to what exhibit number this is?
SECRETARY RUBANO: I have that as A-4.
And the other sheets that were up earlier $I$ have as A-6.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you very much for that.

SECRETARY RUBANO: You're welcome.
ATTORNEY SIMON: So, Sean, this is --
since it's up right now, and $I$ don't mean to interrupt where you were going, but since it's up here do you want to reference to it?

SEAN NAEGER: Sure. As $I$ was stating earlier the office corner that you see here in this rendering is what is typical of the office corners. I refer to the large expanse of the glass and then the bold entry canopy that helps to designate where people actually enter this building.

You can see here clearly the three-foot offset in the wall panels at those dark verticals.

That all complies with the building zoning ordinances. You also see down the long elevation, but the parapet is not flat; it undulates, it goes up, it goes down, also helping to break up that mass.

As $I$ was stating all rooftop equipment will be held back a minimum of 60 feet from the parapet at the wall of the perimeter of the building. The height of the building parapet will effectively screen all RTUs of the rooftop equipment. The rooftop equipment noise is negligible and will comply with any sound ordinances.

The building itself is not pursuing per se LEED, but $M+H$ Architects always designs their buildings to typical LEED practices. Things like:

The roof structure itself has to be able to support a solar array, solar-power generation. So we automatically add at least five pounds of strength to the -- to the steel to support that, if a tenant decides to put a solar field up on top of the roof.

We also use recycled content of the steel and concrete. We use the low VOC paints and coatings; low VOC adhesives and sealants. Any
future toilet fixtures or sinks that would go into this building as part of a tenant improvement would be low-flow fixtures. As I stated earlier the roof is designed to be solar-ready.

The white roof membrane and light color palette help to reduce the heat island effect. The insulated wall panels and Low-E glass help to reduce the accumulation of heat within the building. The insulated concrete tilt wall panels, as I said earlier, will also assist in that effort.

And all interior and exterior fixtures will be LED fixtures. And there is many more that $I$ could go on with but -- for this testimony, but that sums up the bigger -- the bigger points.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Naeger.
SEAN NAEGER: Yes.
ATTORNEY SIMON: I have no further questions for this witness.

So with that $I$ would like to -- can
somebody stop -- thank you -- sharing the screen.
And we will turn to Kerry Pehnke, please.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this
board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

KERRY PEHNKE: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Can you please state and spell your name for the record.

KERRY PEHNKE: Kerry, $K-E-R-R-Y$,
Pehnke, $\quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{E}$.
$K \quad E \quad R \quad R \quad Y \quad P \quad E \quad H \quad N \quad K \quad E, P . E$.
Sworn, testifies as follows:

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: If you could qualify Ms. Pehnke?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Cucchiaro.

Ms. Pehnke, please provide your qualifications, including, I guess, education, experience, licenses and also your qualifications before planning and zoning boards.

KERRY PEHNKE: Of course. I'm a project engineer with Langan Engineering.

I have a bachelor of science in civil engineering from Rowan University. I'm a registered professional engineer in the state of New Jersey, where $I$ have been practicing for over nine years as
an expert in traffic engineering.
And $I$ have previously testified and qualified before other planning boards in New Jersey.

ATTORNEY SIMON: In the area of
traffic engineering, correct?
KERRY PEHNKE: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And your license
remains in good standing?
KERRY PEHNKE: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: I ask that Ms. Pehnke be duly qualified as a traffic circulation engineer for this project.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
Mr. Lieberman, any questions or objections?
ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: No, sir, I have none. Thank you very much for your courtesy.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: The board will
accept your credentials.
KERRY PEHNKE: Wonderful. Thank you.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Ms. Pehnke, you're
familiar with the application materials and the surrounding area, correct?

KERRY PEHNKE: Yes, I am.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And you have been to the site, correct?

KERRY PEHNKE: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And Langan prepared a traffic statement report, dated April 29th, 2022.

KERRY PEHNKE: Correct. That was the revision date.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And can you, please, provide your review and conclusions of this project from a traffic circulation perspective.

KERRY PEHNKE: Of course. I just want to say, you know, good evening to everyone, and thank you for your time. I'm hoping not to take up too much of it, but I do want to take you through our traffic statement which, as Mr. Simon said, was dated April 29th.

So we prepared that traffic statement in accordance with industry standards and methodologies. You know, $I$ have been to the site and its surrounding area to observe existing traffic conditions in the study area. We collected traffic volume data as well as observations in April 2022. We then utilized the individual intersection peak hour volumes to establish a conservative existing
traffic volume condition of the area.
We prepared design year, no-build
traffic volumes to provide representative traffic conditions in the study area using the NJDOT published growth rates.

The site will consist of an approximate 200,000 square foot warehouse building, which is considered on the smaller size for a warehouse. Really, anything less than 450,000 square feet is usually considered a small warehouse.

Access to the site -- if we could pull up Exhibit A-34 for reference, please. Thank you very much.

So access to the site is proposed to be provided by two driveways along Victory Road. I first want to -- I guess the eastern driveway, which is that truck court side of the site, so the east driveway is going to be utilized for access to the site by trucks. We're also proposing, as stated previously, to restrict the left exiting movement for trucks at this location.

Trucks will be also limited to only exiting the site at the eastern driveway. This is because all truck traffic is expected to distribute to and from the east on Victory Road, oriented
towards that Interstate 195 interchange.
As also was stated previously, at the south end of the truck court we're proposing to post a sign for "No Trucks Beyond This Point" which will restrict the egress trucks from circulating the building and exiting at the western driveway. So internal signage is going to direct trucks to that eastern driveway.

Additionally, the eastern driveway is proposed to be signed Trucks Only for access. So it's not meant to be used by passenger cars.

Going to the second driveway, the western driveway, located on the passenger car parking side of the building. It's going to be utilized by both trucks and passenger cars, but trucks, as $I$ touched on previously, will not be permitted to exit the site from this driveway. They will, however, be able to enter the site at this location. That's so the trucks can better maneuver properly into the loading docks circulating the building from that side.

And just to be clear all passenger cars
will have full-movement access at the western
driveway. So the only movement restriction is at the eastern driveway restricting trucks from going
left out. So all trucks would have to make a right turn out to and from the east.

This is, again, as $I$ said, to direct trucks to and from the interchange, which is the ideal route to access the regional roadway network.

The driveway designs and widths will allow the design vehicles to enter and exit the site safely and efficiently. The 30-foot main aisle widths meet the applicable ordinance requirements.

I also just want to touch quickly again on the sight distances, since we're talking about site access.

Can we pull up A-37? Awesome. Thanks.
So the sight distances for each
driveway location for the applicable movements are adequate and do meet AASHTO standards for the stopping sight distance requirements, which is shown on the exhibit in front of you properly.

Now can we switch back to A-34.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And, Kerry, I'm
sorry, there is no site easements that are required as part of this project, correct?

KERRY PEHNKE: Correct, there's no site easements.

We prepared traffic projections for the
proposed project using nationally recognized procedures and data published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers; it's commonly referred to as ITE. We use the publication Trip Generation, the $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition.

So this publication, and all its editions, are the authority of source used by our traffic engineering profession to estimate Trip Generation for the various land uses.

KERRY PEHNKE: The proposed warehouse is estimated to generate 51 total trips; that's 34 entering, and 17 exiting during the weekday morning peak hour. And then 52 total trips; that is 12 entering and 40 exiting during the weekday evening peak hour.

Many entities, including the New Jersey Department of Transportation, consider any land uses that, you know, generate less than 100 peak-hour trips as, you know, not having a significant impact to, you know, adjacent roadway networks. Therefore, you know, we do not expect this proposed warehouse to significantly alter any of the traffic operations in the area, specifically the study area that we looked at in the traffic statement.

```
                                Moreover, talking about truck
```

generation, we estimated four entering and nine exiting in the weekday morning peak hour, and then six entering and six exiting in the weekday evening peak hour.

And as $I$ said previously, you know, all
that truck traffic is going to go to and from the east towards the interchange using Lakewood-Farmingdale Road.

Additionally, the majority of the passenger cars will also be oriented to and from the east on Victory Road. Therefore, considering the trip generation numbers and estimates, you know, the proposed warehouse is not going to add a significant amount of new traffic to area intersections. And we expect the intersections to operate at similar levels of service, you know, as they do in the no-build and in current conditions.

As part of the traffic statement we also prepared no-build and proposed-build condition traffic analysis of the project study area. To be conservative in our analysis, we actually took the two driveways and analyzed it as a single driveway location and found that it operated at good levels of service.

Can we bring up Exhibit $A-35$, please.

Discussing some of the off-site intersections, so conditionally, as part of our analyses and observations in the field of the existing roadway conditions, you know, we're going to work with the county to actually provide widening at Lakewood-Farmingdale road where it intersects with Victory Road.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yeah, so, Kerry, just because we're bringing up another exhibit, this is exhibit what, I'm sorry?

KERRY PEHNKE: A-35.
ATTORNEY SIMON: So A-35 has the title block truck turning concept plan and it shows the intersection of Victory Road and Lakewood-Farmingdale Road, correct?

KERRY PEHNKE: That's correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And Lakewood-Farmingdale
Road is a county road, correct?
KERRY PEHNKE: Yes. And that's
located to the east of the site.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Okay, so go on. I just wanted to have you establish a foundation for the exhibit.

KERRY PEHNKE: Yeah, thank you.
Appreciate that.

So, you know, we're going to work with the county at this intersection to improve the geometry here. We're going to widen or are proposing to widening that southbound right-turn radius, which as a result is going to better be able to accommodate truck turns on that movement, which can be seen on this exhibit, and then there is a second page to this exhibit that shows truck-turning movements with the radius widening.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Very good.
KERRY PEHNKE: So just as a conclusion, you know, we've prepared our traffic statement summarizing all our research, analyses, findings, conclusions, the results of which showed we're not expecting any significant alteration to traffic operations during peak hours in our study area.

We also anticipate that the site driveways are going to operate at acceptable levels of service and they are designed to provide adequate access, circulation and proper sight lines.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you believe that the access, circulation, ingress and egress, and the sight lines provide for a safe access to and from the site, correct.

KERRY PEHNKE: Correct, they'll operate
efficiently.

That's actually all I have for you tonight for my direct testimony. So thank you for your time again.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you, Kerry.

So with that we're going to turn to, at least for now, our final witness, Christine Cofone, our professional planner.

CHRISTINE COFONE: Good evening, Mr. Simon, Chairman and members of the board, Christine Cofone.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Good evening, Ms. Cofone.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Can we have

Ms. Cofone sworn in, please.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

CHRISTINE COFONE: Yes, I do.

C H R I S T I N E C O F O N E, P.P.,
sworn, testifies as follows:

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
spell your name for the record.
CHRISTINE COFONE: My name is Christine Cofone, $C-O-F-O-N-E . B u s i n e s s$ address is 125 Half Mile Road, Suite 200, Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 .

I'm testifying this evening as a
licensed professional planner, which $I$ have been practicing as for 28 years in the state of New Jersey, and as such $I$ have been qualified on many occasions before both the Howell Township planning and zoning board, as well in excess of 400 or so other planning and zoning boards throughout the State of New Jersey.

I teach planning and zoning courses for the Rutgers Center for Government Services, and my licenses are current and valid.

ATTORNEY SIMON: I ask that Ms. Cofone be qualified as an expert professional planning witness.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Any questions or objections, Mr. Lieberman?

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: I have none, thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay, with that
being said, we'll accept your credentials.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
CHRISTINE COFONE: Thank you, Chairman.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Ms. Cofone, you're
familiar with the application materials and the surrounding area concerning this project.

CHRISTINE COFONE: Yes, as well as the zoning. This is a conforming application with respect to use and bulk with the requirements of the SED zone.

ATTORNEY SIMON: So that being said, that this is a permitted use with full compliance of all the bulk standards with regard to those applicable to this application, can you provide your expert testimony with regard to any design exceptions or waivers that you have found to be required in connection with this application.

CHRISTINE COFONE: So as you've indicated in your opening -- I'm sorry, I thought you were completed.

ATTORNEY SIMON: I was going to say, you know, if you want to start with the tree removal ordinance --

CHRISTINE COFONE: So as you indicated
in your opening remarks, Mr. Simon -- yes. I'm sorry, I thought you were finished.

As you indicated in your opening remarks, we are actually requesting only one waiver in conjunction with this application.

We can comply with the lighting and landscaping. If directed by the board and their professionals, we can certainly seek those waivers, not variances. However, at this time we are only seeking one waiver in conjunction with our application, which is from section -- the section of the ordinance regarding tree removal.

Now, I would point out to the board that Section 188-195A of your ordinance is actually entitled waivers and that section of the ordinance allows the applicant, upon seeking approval from the board and in conjunction with direction from the board's professionals to not construct the tree replacement on-site -- (technical difficulties)

ATTORNEY SIMON: Christine, you're --
CHRISTINE COFONE: - - but to do it
off-site --
ATTORNEY SIMON: Christine -- I'm sorry, Christine -- Christine, can you hold on a second. Christine, I'm sorry to interrupt you.

CHRISTINE COFONE: Yeah.
ATTORNEY SIMON: But your connection
sort of broke up right there.
CHRISTINE COFONE: Yeah.
ATTORNEY SIMON: So if you can just go
back maybe a couple of sentences? I apologize.
CHRISTINE COFONE: Okay.
ATTORNEY SIMON: You started talking
about the ordinance.
CHRISTINE COFONE: So what $I$ was
reading, did you hear the section where $I$ read into the ordinance --

BOARD PLANNER: Yes.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes.
BOARD PLANNER: It's just you have to correct --

CHRISTINE COFONE: -- Section 185-188?
BOARD PLANNER: Then go from there.
CHRISTINE COFONE: Okay. So as I said
we have been actively working with your professionals and we received a letter, dated 4/10/23, from your board engineer, Ms. Neumann, indicating that the contribution for the replacement trees would be in excess of $\$ 300,000$, which the applicant certainly stipulates to.

This is a wooded site and certainly replacing all those trees on-site while still allowing the site to be developed is impractical, which is exactly the test that the Land Use Law directs you to. Section 40:55D-51 of the Municipal Land Use Law allows the board to grant waivers where they may be reasonable within the general purpose and intent and provisions set forth in the ordinance.

Here in your ordinance in Howell you have a specific mechanism to allow an applicant to request the very waiver that we're requesting for tree replacement. We have been engaged with your professionals. We have correspondence from your engineer indicating what the value of that tree replacement would be, and we intend to comply with that.

So, in my opinion as a professional planner, the waivers are certainly reasonable within the context and carry out the intent of the ordinance and the ordinance specifically allows for the applicant to request a waiver that we're seeking.

So from a planning point of view I would submit to the board that $I$ would believe that
these -- the waiver can certainly be granted within the statutory confines under 40:55D-51 of the Municipal Land Use Law for the grant of a waiver, and that the waiver is reasonable.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you also believe that the contribution is appropriate considering the size and the shape of the subject property in conjunction with the proposal to develop a fully permitted use that fully complies with the bulk standards.

CHRISTINE COFONE: Fully complies with the bulk standards, and recognizing that this is a primarily wooded site and, yes, we would agree with the value that was identified in Ms. Neumann's -(technical interruption) -- 2023 letter. That is, like $I$ said, in excess of $\$ 300,000$, so it would be a substantial contribution.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Very good. And -CHRISTINE COFONE: With that $I$ have nothing further on direct, Mr. Simon, as that is the only waiver we're seeking.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Very good. And it's the only waiver we're seeking and, again, $I$ know we're repeating ourselves, but there are no bulk variances that are required in connection with this
application, correct.
CHRISTINE COFONE: There are no bulk variances and the use as proposed is permitted which is why we are jurisdictionally before the planning board.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you. CHRISTINE COFONE: You're welcome. ATTORNEY SIMON: So with that, ladies and gentlemen of the board, at least for now, subject to any questions that the board may ask, or Mr. Lieberman, or members of the public may ask, that concludes our affirmative case reserving, of course, the right to present any rebuttal evidence, testimony, witnesses as appropriate based on what is requested and any other evidence that may be presented by the public.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay, thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Sure.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just one legal
comment. Just on the last part of the testimony, it is true, just as a matter of fact, there is a dollar amount identified in the CME report.

Laura, I don't think the CME report, however, takes a position, pro or con, on the
waiver, does it?
BOARD ENGINEER: No, that's correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And then just
secondly on that, the dollar amount associated with the waiver, while it may be accurate, the size of a contribution is not a proof, that's just, you know, what they would have to do.

The other testimony that was provided, those are legitimate planning proofs, but the dollar amount, you know -- relief from an ordinance requirement doesn't become better if you give more money.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Right. And just to comment on that, though, the dollar amount, I believe, was calculated in conjunction with the ordinance requirements.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes.
And that's all I had, Mr. Chairman. So if the board has questions.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Thank you. So, yeah, right now I'll open it up to the board, if anybody has any questions or comments of, you know, the applicant.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: I'll go first.
How much of the proposed development is
in wetlands?
ATTORNEY SIMON: So, Mr. Chairman, maybe if the board member who is asking, it's Nick, or anyone else, if they have a question directed to a particular witness, great, or if they don't, we'll try to identify the right witness.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I think that one of the reasons that the Chairman has done it this way is that, you know, when we do it one at a time we run into that problem.

So, I think, the better way to do it, Mr. Chairman, is let's ask the question. And then whichever professional the applicant thinks is the right one to answer it, you know, can answer it.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Right. Right.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Cucchiaro.
So there's a question regarding wetlands. Tung-To, do you want to...

TUNG-TO LAM: Yes. As part of this application we have applied for a General Permit Number 6 through NJDEP to fill . 392 acres of the isolated wetland.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And what's the status of that application, Tung-To?

TUNG-TO LAM: It's gone through several rounds of review. We expect an answer shortly.

Just also, keep in mind, we are also recreating a vernal pool pocket with a drainage area of 23 acres of drainage area, and the vernal pool area will be 7,420 square feet.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Do you want to answer the question, do you want to refer to a particular exhibit just to identify where that is?

Even if you want to look at the overall site plan, just to identify, roughly, where you're referring to.

TUNG-TO LAM: Yeah. Richard, can you pull up A-33, I think it is. There you go.

ATTORNEY SIMON: So which exhibit is up right now?

TUNG-TO LAM: This is Exhibit A-33.
This is the overall site layout rendering prepared by Bohler.

And where we're zooming in right now, this is the proposed vernal pool that we're working with NJDEP on recreating on the property. It is 7,420 square feet. And the existing vernal pool on site is one-third of that size and it is -- I'm
trying to do some math here, I'm sorry. The vernal pool existing is 2,140 square feet.

BOARD PLANNER: So I think the
question was how much wetlands were you disturbing.
TUNG-TO LAM: 2,392 square feet.
BOARD PLANNER: So can you just show us where that is.

> TUNG-TO LAM: Yes, this is the
demolition plan of the site plan package. This is part of --

ATTORNEY SIMON: C201?
TUNG-TO LAM: Yeah, C201.
So small pockets that is directly --
BOARD PLANNER: I'm going to -- I just
want you to show me on the site plan where you're disturbing the wetlands. Like I feel like we're going backwards, backwards, backwards.

The question was how much wetlands was being disturbed, you answered it. Just show me where that is.

TUNG-TO LAM: It's not shown on the site plan; that's why we're trying to pull here the demolition plan.

But approximately, it's where the mouse is, it's at the front of the building, approximately
at the midway point on the short side of the building, that's one pocket of wetland. And then another pocket of wetland is towards the basin area and where the mouse is right now.

Those are the two pockets of wetland. In total they're . 392 acres and they fall under the General Permit Number 6 .

BOARD PLANNER: So, Nick, does that answer your question?

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Yeah, I mean

I'm just going off -- the Department of Environmental Protection has a lot more mapped wetlands on this property. And, I mean, if it was verified with an LOI then...

BOARD PLANNER: They have an LOI. It's part of the package, yeah.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Yeah, okay. BOARD PLANNER: So that's why, I just wanted to make sure that what he's giving you is what your question was.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Good to go.
Thank you.
BOARD PLANNER: All right.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Thanks, Jen. Thanks Nick.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Do you have anything else, Nick?

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Just one other thing, real quick.

I know that concrete place to the north of the proposed facility has had some site history with contamination. Is there any -- was there any investigation during your due diligence period of seeing if that impacted the subject property?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Jeromie, do you want to --

BOARD PLANNER: Mr. Simon, if I could just ask you quickly, there was a Phase I and a Phase II done for the property, correct?

ATTORNEY SIMON: That is correct, yes.
BOARD PLANNER: Was there any further action required through that process?

ATTORNEY SIMON: I believe, and that's why I wanted to have Mr. Lange kind of jump in on that, $I$ believe that the short answer is no, other than the removal of $I$ think there was a vehicle or something, but $I$ think Mr. Lange can speak to it more specifically, you know, during the construction process.
Jeromie...

BOARD ENGINEER: But, Mr. Simon, isn't
that how we started the night was with Mr. Lange?
Do you have an environmentalist who can
speak to the Phase I and the Phase II report, what was found and what is required?

We all heard Mr. Lange earlier this evening. He testified that there was tank and debris that was going to need to be removed at time of construction, and an LSRP would have to be on-site to confirm screening.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Sure. I mean we certainly have I believe Michael Morris is probably listening in. I can have him be elevated as a panel member and provide some testimony, if that is required, of course.

BOARD PLANNER: I mean, I would recommend that, considering Mr. Lange was not sworn in as an expert. You probably should have an expert for that.

ATTORNEY SIMON: That's totally fine. Right. Okay.

Is Mr. Morris there, Eileen?
SECRETARY RUBANO: Moving him in, yeah.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Oh, thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. So
we'll reopen it to direct testimony.
And is he on camera?
BOARD PLANNER: Not yet. Now he is.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Do you
swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Yes.
$M \quad I \quad C \quad H \quad A \quad E \quad L \quad M \quad O R B C I S$
sworn, testifies as follows:

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, please state and spell your name for the record.

MICHAEL MORRIS: Michael Morris
M-O-R-R-I-S. I work for GZA GeoEnvironmental in Fairfield, New Jersey.

I am a Licensed Site Remediation Professional in New Jersey with about 25 years of practicing in New Jersey. I have been accepted as an expert at another boards in New Jersey.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So Mr. Simon, Mr. Morris is being offered as an environmental expert?

ATTORNEY SIMON: That's correct.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Lieberman, do you have any questions or objections?

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: When you say
"environmental expert," I would like to know, is
this gentleman involved in site remediation; is this what he does for a living?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: He's an LSRP.
ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: I'm sorry, I
missed that. He said he's an LSRP?
ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes, he is.
ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: He's certainly capable in testifying about environmental issues, that's fine. Thank you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right, thank you.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And, Mr. Morris, your license is in good standing, correct?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: The board will accept his credentials.

ATTORNEY SIMON: So, Mr. Morris, you
have been present for this hearing and you heard the testimony of the various witnesses, including Mr. Lange, correct?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And you were also just present right now when both Ms. Beahm and Ms. Neumann were asking specifically about Phase I, Phase II, as well as a question about surrounding properties, correct?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Correct.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Can you just briefly provide responses, comprehensive, of course, to those inquiries in terms of your evaluation of the site from an environmental perspective?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Sure. So we did a
Phase I environmental site assessment on the property. As Mr. Lange alluded to earlier, there were some -- so, first off, no recognized environmental conditions identified in the Phase I. But as Mr. Lange alluded to earlier, there were some concerns that warranted additional evaluation, none of which were the surrounding property. We have evaluated that surrounding property. Actually spoke with the LSRP and we understand that there's no VOC impacts on that property, so the LSRP on the adjacent property does no anticipate any impacts to our property.

With respect to on our property, again,
as Mr. Lange alluded to, there was some debris: An abandoned tank or a discarded tank, there were some waste piles and there were historically applied pesticides.

The Phase II investigation did testing of the superficial soil and no impacts related to pesticides were identified. With respect to the remaining concerns, they weren't elevated to recognized environmental conditions, and the plan is to address those during construction or more so the site work and demolition activities.

ATTORNEY SIMON: But there's no open
spills on the property, correct?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Correct, no open spills on the property. Yes, correct.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And you can confirm, as was stated by Mr. Lange during his overview, that any historically applied pesticides were found to be below residential standards, correct?

MICHAEL MORRIS: Correct.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: The dirt piles that you mentioned, were they sampled as well?

MICHAEL MORRIS: We did not -- no, there was no evidence of impacts, they were just more debris piles, soil piles.

So the plan is to evaluate after, again, no underlying surfaces, once they're removed.

ATTORNEY SIMON: I have no further questions for Mr. Morris, subject to any questions by board members.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Morris.
MICHAEL MORRIS: You're welcome.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And just don't go anywhere.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Do you have anything else, Nick?

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: No, I'm good. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Anybody else from the board have any questions, comments.

MEMBER TALENTE: I do. I have a couple traffic questions.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Ms. Pehnke.
KERRY PEHNKE: I'm here.
MEMBER TALENTE: My first is the speed limit on that road is 50 miles an hour. Are we comfortable with tractor-trailers moving at 50 miles an hour on that road?

KERRY PEHNKE: So if that's the posted
speed limit on the road, we would certainly support, if the township wished, to lower the speed limit.

MEMBER TALENTE: Okay. My second question is that road is not -- I travel this road often, there is no striping, there is no anything on that road. Is that something else that would be done with the repaving after construction?

KERRY PEHNKE: Along the project
frontage, if there's any, you know, mill and overlay, you know, that striping would be done. But for the rest of the road, you know, that would have to be something we would have to work with the township on.

BOARD PLANNER: Wait. Megan, just give me a second. Is that something that the applicant would be willing to work with the township on beyond the frontage?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Mr. Lange, do you want to -- he's the applicant's representative. JEROMIE LANGE: Yeah, so Victory Road does have yellow and white striping currently out there, yellow center line striping and white edge striping.

You know, to the extent our construction activities, you know -- you know,
typically along the route to 195 , which is where $I$ would anticipate our construction traffic coming from, would damage the road, certainly we would repair it.

You know, from my last visit out there the road was in, you know, serviceable shape and, you know, I didn't see -- unlike some of the other applications where the roads our projects are on are clearly in need of reservicing, I wouldn't say that.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, I would say though, respectfully, you're not qualified as an expert. So if the road is in serviceable shape and those statements that you made, Ms. Pehnke, is that something that you have observed and agree with?

KERRY PEHNKE: I was out there at the site today, you know, and there's certainly -there's striping on the road.

You know, we don't control the road itself, so, you know, that's kind of the township's jurisdiction.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, I was --

BOARD PLANNER: So my question is --
BOARD PLANNER: Sorry, Ron.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I was just asking --
BOARD PLANNER: Sorry, Ron. Go ahead.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- whether the
statements that Mr. Lange was making, from your professional perspective are accurate.

And your answer is yes.
KERRY PEHNKE: Correct.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, thank you.
BOARD PLANNER: So my question was, if
there are areas of the roadway, as Ms. Talente has brought to the board's attention, that maybe are not as clearly striped as they should be, would the applicant be willing to work with the township beyond the frontage to make the roadway safer?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, what does
that mean, though, "work with" -- I don't understand what that means.

BOARD PLANNER: In terms of the
striping; $I^{\prime} m$ talking in terms of re-striping.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I understand.

But what is it that the applicant is agreeing to do?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Well, I guess the question is what is the ask?

BOARD PLANNER: Right. So my ask is, in the event that there are other areas on the road that are not clearly striped as it should be, which
could create a situation that could be deemed unsafe, would the applicant be willing to work with the township to stripe those areas of the roadway -I'm not saying resurface --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, but when you say "work with the township to stripe those areas," what does that mean?

What is their responsibility?

BOARD PLANNER: To physically stripe it.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Well, that's different.

BOARD PLANNER: Right.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So would you be willing to physically stripe it yourself?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes.

JEROMIE LANGE: Yes.

BOARD PLANNER: Yeah, I mean I'm not talking about the other portion of town, but in the immediate area, if there's areas.

And I would, respectfully, request that you work with Laura's office to make sure because -and, $I$ think, Megan, your concern is that there was a serious accident on this road recently, right?

MEMBER TALENTE: There was.

BOARD PLANNER: And so I think that is what the board is concerned about.

And if there are areas in the immediate vicinity, which $I$ would, Ron, defer to Laura's office to what constitutes immediate, not, you know, not just the frontage but maybe beyond the frontage to a certain distance, if there are areas that are -- the striping is, maybe, not as clear as it should be, would the applicant be willing to stripe those areas?

That's what I'm asking.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. All right.
All right, $I$ think their answer to that question was yes. Also, just as a follow up --

ATTORNEY SIMON: Hey, Ron? Ron, I think to Jen's point, which is the right one, we would just want to have something specifically delineated as to what that area of responsibility entails.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well it would be -- okay, so, you know, it a 30 minutes to 10 o'clock, I don't think we're going to finish before 10 o'clock tonight, so between now and the next meeting, Laura, would you be able to -- and probably, you know, you may have to work in
conjunction with the township engineer as well to identify what the area would be?

BOARD ENGINEER: Yeah, but what I
would like to ask is they have also testified to this extensive water main extension which flows down Victory Road.

JEROMIE LANGE: That's correct. And the township's requirement is to mill and overlay where we trench, but that's going in the other direction.

BOARD ENGINEER: The applicant's obligation, when you're cutting in that many utilities, and it looks like the watermain is going down the center line, $I$ believe, it's full width.

JEROMIE LANGE: Yes, I agree with you. And that's what I'm saying. So I don't think the question is to the west; I think it's to the east is the question, where we don't have any utilities. And that's what I'm saying I would be willing to re-stripe wherever the striping is worn, which I think was really the intent of the question.

BOARD PLANNER: I understand. I
understand. I'm not asking you to stripe the entirety of the town, okay. I just want to be very clear about that.

But $I$ think if there are, you know, within a certain distance, Laura, we can figure that out in terms of that distance what we think, you know, if anything. I'm not 100 percent sure that anything needs to be re-striped, but in the event that it does, I agree, Mr. Simon, that it has to be delineated because $I$ think leaving it open is not in the best interest of anybody.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Right.
BOARD PLANNER: So I think, and I agree with Ron, I think between now and whenever -I, agree, we're not probably going to finish, so between now and the next meeting we probably can delineate that area to make sure that it's agreeable to everybody, if that's okay with you.

ATTORNEY SIMON: That's fine.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I have a
follow-up question with Ms. Talente's comments, as well. I mean the answers were jurisdictional in nature, however, to be more precise and bring it into things that are clearly within this board's jurisdiction, do you, Ms. Pehnke, have an opinion as to the impact that the speed limit has on the safety of ingress and egress for trucks getting in and out of the site?

KERRY PEHNKE: So we had done the sight lines for the AASHTO for the posted speed limit, so we feel that access to the site is sufficient based on the posted speed limit and we're showing the proper adequate sight lines.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And based upon the current striping and condition of the road, does that have any impact on the safety of the ingress and egress?

KERRY PEHNKE: Along the frontage of the road where the access driveway is, the trucks can turn safely in and out.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, thank you.
MEMBER LEGGIO: Ms. Beahm?

BOARD PLANNER: Yes.
MEMBER LEGGIO: I have a question.
BOARD PLANNER: Oh, I couldn't tell
who it was. Go for it.
MEMBER LEGGIO: As far as the striping, though, I understand that is one issue, but going east back to 547, the road being, you know, being narrow and curvy with no shoulders, isn't that a bigger issue with the tractor-trailers than striping at this point?

BOARD PLANNER: So, I mean, I'm going
to defer to Ron, ultimately. I'm going to answer it initially and then $I$ 'm going to ask Ron to weigh in, but as a permitted use off-site traffic is not something that we can consider. And I think that what we do consider is the safety of the ingress and the egress.

I think asking them to modify the striping beyond their frontage is -- is something that they have agreed to do, you know, to a certain extent, but $I$ think at the end of the day it's a permitted use, so our reach, in terms of off-site traffic, is limited.

MEMBER LEGGIO: And as far as, you
know, Ms. Pehnke's own testimony at the 547 intersection, that they're going to work with the county to, you know, maybe widen that a little bit. We know, dealing with past projects, you know, that that could take years dealing with the county, you know, as far as making it a wider turn and coming in on a right-hand turn coming from 195 heading west to the facility. You know, that is not going to happen right away.

BOARD PLANNER: Well, none of the outside agency stuff is usually instantaneous. Usually it takes a while. Which is why after, you
know, after any action is approved by this board and the resolution is memorialized, there's an extensive period of time where an applicant works on, you know, getting resolution compliance, which includes all those outside agency approvals.

So, you know, that's something that they would have to deal with with the county in the event that the board acts in the affirmative. And depending on what the county says or dictates they would have to deal with those issues, demonstrate that they have gotten to a certain level of approval at that level, and then, you know, I agree but they're going to have to deal with that and then that kind of delays construction, but the approval process still moves forward.

So I understand what she's saying, and that's kind of outside of our jurisdiction because it's a county issue, but they're going to have to deal with the county.

And I would venture to guess, whether it's Mr. Simon or Mr. Lange, right -- the attorney or the applicant's rep -- you're committed to going through that process, correct?

ATTORNEY SIMON: Yes.

JEROMIE LANGE: And we work with the
county all the time. I'm not concerned about getting this approved from the county. I believe they'll welcome us making these improvements for them at no cost to them. So while, yes, there's an approval process, $I$ don't think it's one that $I$ think will be ultimately arduous with the county.

But it is, of course, ultimately their intersection, it will be up to them, but we believe that they're going to approve these improvements.

BOARD PLANNER: And, Mr. Leggio, just to go back to you, if this approval is contingent upon -- and I'm not opining that it's going to be approved, I'm just saying in the event that it's approved and it's conditioned upon the representation that these improvements are going to be made with respect to the county and that doesn't happen, then that impacts this approval ultimately, if it's approved.

MEMBER LEGGIO: Just one more question for the applicant's attorney.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Well, wait, wait, wait, Mr. Lange -- I'm sorry, Mr. Leggio, let me just have Mr. Lange just answer that question first before.

JEROMIE LANGE: Yeah, so we understand
that, that this would be a condition of approval and that for reasons $I$ don't foresee right now, but for whatever reason the county decided not to approve that and either approved something else or, you know, basically came to the position that it was adequate as is, we would have to come back to the board and address that and present that information that we learned from the county.

I think it's unlikely but, you know, that would be the process.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And that would be via, you know, some form of modification of condition, but we would go back before the board in either case.

JEROMIE LANGE: Yes.
MEMBER LEGGIO: Mr. Lange, then, you know, just so $I$ understand 100 percent, say after this place is built, if it's approved and it's full operation, okay, the tractor-trailers going east and west every day, back to 547 poses traffic safety problems around the bends with not having shoulders, you know, is the applicant willing to widen, you know, further widen the road then in certain areas, if that would be needed?

I know it's a permitted use as far as
where it's going, but this is a residential road, it's a very winding road, you know, anyone here that lives in Howell that uses it, it's not a very busy road as far as, you know, truck traffic. The concrete plant is pretty much dead. And I know you guys keep bringing up the New Jersey Natural plant as far as being to the southeast of you guys or southwest, but there's no traffic out of there, that exits out on 547 .

So I'm just saying in the future to protect the residents, to protect, you know, the roadways, as far as for safety reasons and for the township, you know, having to fix -- fix, you know, pavement and all that stuff on the backs of the taxpayers, would you guys -- you know, I just don't really understand, you know, from the exit of this warehouse back to 547 not doing some more improvements to the roadway heading to 547 .

Unless that's just an unreasonable question, Ms. Beahm. I don't know.

JEROMIE LANGE: So if I can just jump in on that, since, you know, we're clearly coming back to another hearing, I think it's pretty obvious this application will not be concluded this evening, and since we are already going to have a meeting of
some kind or a field visit of some kind regarding striping, what $I$ would like to do is take the opportunity between this hearing and whatever the subsequent one is, to speak with both the boards professionals as well as the township engineer and kind of review the whole corridor here between the County Road and our site. And we, you know, discuss what, if any, improvements should be made.

Because there's other things, like, for instance, you could put advisory signs up on the curves to slow, you know, the traffic down through the curved areas. Or the governing body could change the whole speed limit of the road.

There are several different things that might be appropriate here. So rather than us all collectively speculating, I think it would be better to have, you know, a professionals' meetings, kind of go through the different possibilities, and then we can come back to the board at the next hearing with a concrete solution that is agreeable.

MEMBER LEGGIO: All right. I just
have one last question for the attorney for the applicant.

As far as the $24-h o u r$ operation, you know, the testimony was that this place is pretty
much just going to be, you know, storage not distribution, based on the size only being 191, you know, thousand square feet, roughly, that's not typically a distribution center or whatever. You know, $I$ know it's not it's a, you know, that kind of site, but you've got your traffic in in the morning, your traffic out at night. You know, what is the purpose of the $24-h o u r ~ o p e r a t i o n ~ i f ~ t h i s ~ i s ~ g o i n g ~ t o ~$ be a minimal site? I just don't get the 24-hour, you know, operation, you know.

And as far as if it's only going to be being used minimally as you guys have stated for storage and, you know, some distribution, you know, your -- why is your applicant spending millions of dollars, you know, to put this place up in a rural road in the back roads of Howell if it's not going to be ultimately, you know, a money-maker?

I know it's not, you know, but it just doesn't make any sense to me. You guys are 29 miles away from the Turnpike, you're roughly 3 and a half, four miles away from 195, and it's just -- I just don't understand, you know, some of the...

JEROMIE LANGE: We're actually only
about two miles from 195.
MEMBER LEGGIO: Okay.

JEROMIE LANGE: So while I understand your comments with regard to the character of Victory Road, we're actually pretty close to the interchange with 195 and the county road, and we think it's an ideal site.

And based on interests we've had on other sites in Howell, you know, I believe there are end-users out there that are generally located, you know, in this region. You know, these are typically not national end-users, they're typically going to be regional end-users.

And Active, one of our niches, is we're willing to sell our buildings and our sites, where a lot of developers that do this kind of work only want to lease. So if you have an operator, you know, or a regional, not a more local -- not necessarily local in town but, you know, local in New Jersey end-user, and they want to own their building, there is not as many choices for them, you know, but we will sell the whole thing. And we will either sell them the approved site, or we will build the building and sell the whole thing turnkey. We've done both.

So, you know, it's kind of a niche market for us. But we're very confident that there
will be interest in an end-user here.
In terms of the traffic, those
end-users like to know they have the freedom to operate the site as is best for their business, whatever business that may be so that may include operations 24 hours a day.

I could tell you, because we do a lot of these, we have over 30 projects at the moment and, you know, we have constructed and sold several now, that, you know, the norm is for the bulk of the work and the activity to happen during the day, especially on these smaller buildings as opposed to a full 24 hours because their operations just aren't that big. But there's no reason operationally the site can't function 24 hours a day.

It is designed to safely, efficiently and, you know, in a proper manner operate 24 hours a day. I just don't anticipate that.

MEMBER LEGGIO: Yeah so -- well, you don't anticipate it but you just stated that you typically sell these places after they're built. So as far as I'm concerned your traffic testimony, your 24-hour testimony, your truck -- everything is pretty irrelevant because if you sell the building and it's going to be maximized, then it's the
township's problem after it gets built and it's sold. You said that yourself, that typically you sell them.

So right now we have testimony that it's only going to be this, it's only going to be that, it's going to be minimal impact to the roadways, minimal impact, you know, for traffic in and out. But after it's built and it's sold it could go to maximum capacity at every level, and then who's stuck, who's stuck, then, the residents --

JEROMIE LANGE: Well, as Ms. Pehnke testified, though, she didn't use some minimal standards. She used the ITE standards. She used the highest warehouse designation.

So her analysis -- and, obviously, Kerry, feel free to jump in -- her analysis is not based on some minimal use. Her analysis is based on the nationally recognized ITE information.

And, Ms. Pehnke, perhaps you can dovetail into that.

KERRY PEHNKE: I can elaborate, yeah.
We used general warehousing actually for this, peak hour, the generator, the highest between the rates and equations, so actually our numbers we used are very conservative, you know,
this size building --
MEMBER LEGGIO: They're
conservative --
KERRY PEHNKE: -- typically have a
high-cube warehouse user which generate much lower traffic, so, you know, it's definitely a very conservative estimate analysis that we provided which encompasses, you know, many types of tenants, the database for ITE, especially for general warehouse, including many throughout the state of New Jersey.

So we feel, you know, this really covers the different types of tenants that can come into this building.

ATTORNEY SIMON: And, Ms. Pehnke, with regard to your use of the word tenancy, based on what Mr. Lange said, your testimony also holds true for an owner/operator of the site, as well, correct.

KERRY PEHNKE: Correct. There's no distinction between.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I also just want to mention just as a matter of law, that whether it's this application or any application, everything could be sold. But the approvals run with the land. So whatever applicant -- or whatever entity
eventually owns the property, they will have to comply with the terms of the resolution, if there's an approval.

ATTORNEY SIMON: We agree.
MEMBER TALENTE: I have one last
traffic question.
Have you guys -- again, my hang-up is
the safety on this road. And that $S$ turn is -- is hard with two cars.

Have we even seen that two
tractor-trailers, one going eastbound and one going westbound, can traverse that turn at the same time? This isn't a straight, flat road.

KERRY PEHNKE: So trucks are allowed to go on this road now, there's no restriction.

MEMBER TALENTE: Correct.
KERRY PEHNKE: So, you know, the condition exists today.

BOARD ENGINEER: But that wasn't the question. You are going to need to put the trucks on the road. Can trucks safely navigate when they're going in opposite directions in that area without a conflict?

KERRY PEHNKE: Not at the high speeds of 50 miles per hour. So they would have to slow
down and have to do it slowly.
BOARD ENGINEER: So your testimony is
two trucks at the current speed of the road cannot safely traverse at the curve?

KERRY PEHNKE: Correct. They would
have to slow down.

MEMBER TALENTE: And what if --
BOARD PLANNER: What happens if they don't slow down? Right? So what happens if they don't slow down?

What happens if they go the speed
limit, what would happen?
JEROMIE LANGE: Kerry, don't you pull that out a little bit.

KERRY PEHNKE: I can't say what's going to happen. I mean, you slow down when you go on a curve. They are going to slow down.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Wait, wait. First, Mr. Lange, did you want to say something?

JEROMIE LANGE: Yeah, I just wanted to ask Kerry, you know, can a truck even -- forget an oncoming, another vehicle on the road.

Can a truck, you know, with the radii of those curves out there, can a truck, you know, safely even negotiate that turn at a full 50 miles
an hour?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, Mr. Simon, really, I mean Mr. Lange can testify but I mean -ATTORNEY SIMON: I was not anticipating that Mr. Lange was going to engage in any questioning, $I$ thought he was ready to make a comment.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So kind of take over for him.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Right, right. Well, I was -- what $I$ was actually going to say, I will ask the question, of course, but, as Mr. Lange was saying, you know, one of the things that we're going to look at between now and the next time we get together, is not only the striping but also that roadway.

And I think Mr. Lange alluded to things, like, you know, like maybe some signage on those curves, excuse me, in terms of maybe a reduced speed limit.

So while I will ask Ms. Pehnke, you know, whether a truck today can navigate going slower around those curves, what $I$ think would be appropriate is that we look at the entirety of that curvature issue, and then come back to the board
with answers to some of these questions.
KERRY PEHNKE: Of course.

MEMBER LEGGIO: I've got a great idea, how about we get, like, six tractor-trailers that are 55-foot long that are going to be navigating this warehouse if it gets approved, and let's put them on that road in both directions and let's see how they navigate that curve and head back to 547 .

But that's just, you know, that's just my suggestion. Because as far as I'm concerned some of this testimony is just word salad because it's not live. You guys, the professionals, go by data and what goes on in other places in the state or you know, truck traffic and car traffic, but it's not live for Victory Road in little Howell Township, on a back road with horse farms, curves, no shoulders. We're worried about ridiculous striping, but then we've got a 50 mile an hour speed limit and 55-foot tractor-trailers going down the road.

You guys need to revisit this a little bit, okay, and come back to us with some solid answers, okay, because a lot of residents are concerned. This is not a main road.

BOARD PLANNER: Mr. Leggio, so I'm just going to jump in and, respectfully, I
understand your concerns. The applicant has absolutely no control over the speed limit. That's a township issue.

MEMBER LEGGIO: I understand.
BOARD PLANNER: If you're not happy about the speed limit, then you need to focus that attention towards the governing body.

However, at the end of the day, the safety -- like this is off-site traffic, which I understand is a concern, but it's a permitted use. So, like, there's limits to what we can require off-site for a permitted use.

So at the end of the day I think the applicant, Mr. Simon, you've heard the concern specifically about the $S$ curve, about two trucks navigating that safely, and that's something that you guys are going to look into before the next meeting but $I$ just -- you know, $I$ take exception to, Mr. Leggio, your assertion that striping is irrelevant. Striping is also important. And so if you don't care about it, that's fantastic --

MEMBER LEGGIO: It's not that $I$ don't,
Ms. Beahm, it's not a major concern.
BOARD PLANNER: -- but respectfully - -
for you, it's not a concern for you.

MEMBER LEGGIO: No, I'm talking about the overall scope of the road to be used.

BOARD PLANNER: For you. It's not a concern for you.

MEMBER LEGGIO: Let's talk about the trucks first, and then we'll talk about the striping.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Hold on.
Everybody hold on. We speak one at a time. There is a court reporter here. We can disagree with each other but we're go to disagree one at a time and we're going to let other people speak before we interrupt them.

So, Ms. Beahm, finish --

BOARD PLANNER: So what I'm saying is --
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- and then, Mr.

Leggio, you can respond.
BOARD PLANNER: Thank so.
So what I'm saying is that while some may not care so much about the striping, I think the striping is important.

It may not be of the utmost importance, but it is important. So that's something that we're going to look into between now and the next meeting.
With respect to other issues
associated with that roadway and the geometry of the road and the safety of it, I think that the applicant has heard the concern. Mr. Simon, I don't mean to speak for you -- and that you're going to look into that prior to coming back; is that correct?

ATTORNEY SIMON: We will
definitely -- and, I think, Ms. Beahm, you hit the nail on the head in that, you know, while certainly off-site traffic is not an appropriate consideration for a fully conforming permitted use project, certainly, just like in any application, we want to hear what the board members have to say and certainly take that into consideration in terms of, you know, what we would like to maybe propose in connection with the project.

So I think you're correct and we just want an opportunity to go out on-site and then talk to this board's professionals about these issues.

MEMBER TALENTE: Thank you both.
MEMBER LEGGIO: Ms. Beahm, I apologize for talking over you, okay. I respect your opinions and your professionalism, okay.

I'm just concerned with the flow -- a lot of things are of a concern, and they should have
been addressed by the applicant before coming, you know, to this -- to these meetings and, you know, proposing a massive project like this without all this already being thought out and maybe, you know, put forward. That's all, I'm saying. That's all I'm concerned about.

BOARD PLANNER: I understand.
100 percent.

MEMBER LEGGIO: You know, we drive these roads every day because we live on this side of town, yeah, you know, Victory Road is actually becoming a little bit of a cut-through because 547 has become a little hectic going south, or east, whatever direction it is.

So I'm just concerned with the $S$ curve and then heading over the tracks and heading towards Maxim-Southard, okay.

I think the applicant did a good job as far as the right hand turns, coming out of the warehouse diverting everything back to 547. That was a big deal for me when $I$ first saw this application, so that's a -- that was good that they already did that. And we'll address everything at the next meeting so. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Anybody else on
the board have any questions or comments?
MEMBER GREENFIELD: I have a traffic question; $I$ know nobody wants to hear more about traffic.

When you're coming down Victory Road, has anybody thought about people making a right hand turn onto Lakewood-Farmingdale Road? Is it even possible without going into the other lane, or are we just assuming everybody is going towards 195?

KERRY PEHNKE: In our traffic study we had assigned all trucks going to and from the Interstate 195 , which is the regional roadway network. We don't anticipate any to turn right there.

So passenger cars can make that right turn, trucks are going to be on the left there, and then will come right onto Victory Road, which is why we're looking at doing that widening with the county.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: So you're telling me a truck's never going to go right onto that road from Victory?

KERRY PEHNKE: It's not anticipated with this site that they would want to go right.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well, they may not
want to, but that's going towards Lakewood, which is a pretty busy area. So I would assume some trucks would want to go down there, depending on what this warehouse is being used for, right?

BOARD PLANNER: I mean you don't have a tenant, so how can you say no trucks are going to go that way?

KERRY PEHNKE: It would be seldom, if ever, if that would happen.

BOARD PLANNER: I mean, I guess, it depends on who the tenant is.

KERRY PEHNKE: The trucks are going to be looking to access the regional roadway network, which is Interstate 195. So the majority, if not all, are going to be heading that way.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, but if they
did want to go left could they do it without going --

KERRY PEHNKE: I mean, they could do it today.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay, but can your --
ATTORNEY SIMON: Wait, wait, wait.
BOARD PLANNER: Can your trucks turn
right without going into the opposing lane?
I think that is the question, correct?
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes.

BOARD PLANNER: So I get trucks can do it today, but that's not the question.

Can a truck, based on your professional opinion, make that movement without going into opposing traffic; yes or no?

KERRY PEHNKE: We can look into that and run a truck template for that.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
ATTORNEY SIMON: And, again, understanding that that's off-site and we've talked about that but we'll look into that.

BOARD PLANNER: I understand. But I'm just saying, like, just in terms of the question, it would be helpful to just answer the question.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Understood.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, just on that, when we're talking about off-site and on-site, let me -- I don't know if this is the same question or not, but to make it clearer in my head, if a truck is entering this site and a truck is exiting this site simultaneously, is that a movement that can be accommodated without the trucks going into opposing lanes?

KERRY PEHNKE: The site is -- the site access is designed to accommodate the truck
movements so that they don't overlap.

> ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.

MEMBER TALENTE: Ron, I'm going to
piggyback on that.
But even if they don't overlap, if there's another car coming opposite direction, will a truck be able to pull in and out without going into the other lane of Victory Road is my question? KERRY PEHNKE: Yes.

MEMBER TALENTE: Okay.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And just, we were talking about templates, is that something that you are testifying to tonight, or are those templates that appear on your plans that have been or can be confirmed by our traffic engineer?

KERRY PEHNKE: They were shown on the exhibit earlier in the site civil testimony, so they are on the plans.

BOARD ENGINEER: Wait, they're shown on the plans or they're shown on the exhibit that was presented?

KERRY PEHNKE: They're shown on both. CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Okay, anybody else have any questions?
All right. Seeing that there's none,

Ron, it's 9:57. We're done?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yeah, I think
understanding that, you know, there was a
possibility that this would not be completed
tonight, Mr. Chairman, you and I spoke, you know, we can carry this to our meeting next week.

We're out of sequence this month due to holidays, so we have a meeting this week and next week.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Excuse me, would you just indicate what the night is next week and the time, please?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: It would be Thursday the 20 th.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Thursday the $20 t h$
at 7:00 p.m., correct?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, I'm just -we haven't made the final decision, but that's what we're looking at.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Ron, that already has Monmouth Commerce carried to it.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, I know it does, but this is an application that is nearly finished, we have to finish applications. And Monmouth Commerce has itself asked to be carried
numerous times and they're their own reason why they're not finished yet.

ATTORNEY SIMON: That would be acceptable to the applicant to carry the meeting.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Lieberman, that date is fine with you? You muted yourself.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Sorry about that. You would think after a few years I would have figured this out. But then you would have known me if you thought that.

I think it's okay. I'm having problems with one of my -- I'm having some scheduling issues, but I think it's okay. Can I just ask Zoe -- Zoe is my associate.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Sure.
ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Zoe, can you look at the calendar, to indulge everybody, if you could give me a second.

ATTORNEY FERGUSON: It looks fine -you know what, I'm not sure actually, there could be something.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: Okay. Well I don't know what's --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, we'll carry it for now and, you know, we'll deal with any
problems as they arise.
ATTORNEY SIMON: Mr. Cucchiaro, so --
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Gasiorowski,
this is the most quiet you have ever been in a meeting $I$ have been in.

Do you have any problems for next week?
ATTORNEY GASIOROWSKI: I will make myself available. I do have a request.

At the beginning of this hearing, at the beginning of this hearing counsel for the objectors noted specific individuals who he represents. At that time he identified them and did not give their addresses.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, he agreed to provide their address. So we'll get you that address between now and the next meeting -- we'll get those addresses.

RON GASIOROWSKI: The reason for that is that when my client's being cross-examined he should be able to respond in the context of who the objectors are and where they are with proximity to this site.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: That's fine, and, like I said, he has agreed to provide that information.

ATTORNEY FERGUSON: I can tell you
almost every single one of the clients lives on Victory Road.

ATTORNEY GASIOROWSKI: I don't care, that addresses --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Everybody, we're not here to argue about it. The issue was raised. It was a legitimate issue.

Mr. Lieberman did not object to providing the information. It will be provided, it will be provided in enough time before the next meeting to afford the applicant all its constitutional rights and to make any objections that it wishes, or to tailor responses or questions accordingly.

ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: For the record, it's a standard request and $I$ would never turn it down. And $I$ will provide it, and $I$ will provide it in very rapid order.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you,
Mr. Lieberman.
ATTORNEY LIEBERMAN: You're very
welcome.
SECRETARY RUBANO: Mr. Simon, would you grant the board an extension of time on this,
please?
ATTORNEY SIMON: You read my mind,
Eileen. Yes, so the applicant will extend the time through, let's say, April 30th of 2023.

SECRETARY RUBANO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Give me one
moment. Eileen, what is the formal name of the applicant.

SECRETARY RUBANO: It is AAVRHW

Property, LLC.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And the
application number?
SECRETARY RUBANO: SP-1105.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So the
application of $A A--$ hold on, $I$ wrote it down but $I$ need my glasses -- of AAVRHW Property, LLC, SP-1105 be carried to the board's April 20th, 2023, meeting. It's a virtual meeting which begins at 7:00 o'clock.

The instructions on how to access the meeting are available on the township's website. All documents associated with the application are available either online or at the office of the planning department for physical inspection.

There will be no further notice to
property owners. It's important, if you received a letter regarding tonight's hearing, you will not receive another one. There are no further notices going out to property owners.

ATTORNEY SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

MEMBER TALENTE: So moved.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: All in favor?
BOARD MEMBERS IN UNISON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BOISVERT: See you guys next week. Good night, everybody.
(Whereupon, the application was adjourned and the meeting concluded.)
(Time noted, 10:03 p.m.)
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| $\text { DS\&DJ }{ }_{[1]}-27: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EILEEN }[1]-2: 20 \\ & \text { either }[9]-18: 19, \\ & 47: 6,47: 7,49: 9, \\ & 52: 15,110: 4, \\ & 110: 14,114: 21, \\ & \text { 134:23 } \\ & \text { elaborate }[1]-116: 21 \\ & \text { electric }[1]-21: 21 \\ & \text { elements }[3]-65: 5, \\ & 65: 9,65: 10 \end{aligned}$ | engineering ${ }_{[12]}$ -28:11, 41:18, 41:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { estimates }[1]-76: 12 \\ & \text { et }[1]-38: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $30: 7$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV }_{[1]}-51: 10 \\ & \text { EV-ready }[1]-51: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| duly [2] - 70:12, 136:7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:6, 43:6, 57:8, } \\ & 57: 19,59: 5,69: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | evaluate [1] - 98:1 <br> evaluated [1] - 96:20 |
| 28:23, 32:12, 39:9 |  | Engineers [1] - 75:3 <br> Engineer's [4]-8:15, |  |
| 75:12, 75:14, 78:16 |  |  | evaluated [1] - 96:20 evaluation [4] - 43:6, |
| 92:8, 92:23, 97 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Engineer's }[4]-8: 15, \\ 8: 21,9: 9,10: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { 49:2, 96:10, } 96: 18$ |
| 97:17, 115:11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entails }[1]-103: 19 \\ & \text { enter }[9]-17: 13, \end{aligned}$ | Evaluation [1] - 6:5 evening [18]-17:17, |
|  | ```elevated [2] - 93:13, 97:8``` | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 10,35: 18,36: 8 \\ & 48: 19,65: 12,66: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 21:5, 21:11, } \\ & \text { 22:11, 24:1, 25:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { easement [2] - } 7: 8 \text {, } \\ & 7: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { elevation }[4]-63: 20 \\ 64: 1,64: 12,67: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 73:18, 74:7 <br> entering [5] - 75:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:9, 26:18, 32:13, } \\ & 71: 13,75: 14,76: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| easements [3] - 50: | Elevations [1] - 5:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 14,76: 1,76: 3, \\ & 128: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | elevations [3]-62:14 |  | 71:13, 75:14, 76:3, 79:9, 79:12, 80:5, 93:7, 111:24 |
| easier [2]-34:17 |  | entertain [1] - 135:7 <br> entire [2] - 20:10, | event [4]-101:24, 105:5, 108:8, 109:13 |
| east [19]-22:7, | eligible $[1]-21: 4$ Email $\left.{ }^{2}\right]-3: 5$, | entire $[2]-20: 10$, $105: 5,108: 8,109: 13$ <br> $63: 16$  <br> eventually $[1]-118: 1$  |  |
| 1, 43:22, 47 | email [1]-3:10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { entirety }[3]-28: 21 \\ 104: 24,120: 24 \end{gathered}$ | evergreen [2] - 53:8 |
| 13, 48:14, 50:24 | employee 41 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { evidence }[3]-86: 13, \\ & 86: 15,97: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 51:5, 72:17, 72:25, | 6:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 104:24, } 120: 24 \\ \text { entities }[1]-75: 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 74:2, 76:7, 76:11, | empty [1] - 35:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entitled }[1]-82: 15 \\ & \text { entity }[3]-26: 6,26: 8 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | exact [1] - 30:17 |
| 104:1 | encompasses |  | exactly [2] - 62:10, 84:4 <br> examination [1]-39:5 |
| :13 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entity }[3]-26: 6,26: 8 \text {, } \\ & 117: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| eastbound | $\text { end }[14]-34: 23 \text {, }$ | entrance [2]-36:19, $36: 24$ | examination [1] - 39:5 <br> examine [1] - 20:15 |
| 118:11 |  | entry [3]-65:9, 65:13, | examined ${ }_{[1]}-132: 19$ |
| easterly [9]-3 | 114:8 | 66:22 | exceed [1] - 64:23 |
| 9, 35:24, 35:2 | 10, 114: | Environmental [13] -4:12, 6:7, 6:8, 6:20, | exception [2]-23:6, |
| , 48:19, 48 | 114:18, 115: |  | 122:18 |
| 24, 49:15 | 5:3, 122:8, 122:13 | 7:22, 7:23, 8:9, 8:22,$9: 12,9: 15,10: 8,$ | exceptions [2] -23:15, 81:17 |
| eastern [7]-22:13 | en |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16,72: 16,72: 2 \\ & 8,73: 9,73: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | 9:12, 9:15, 10:8, $56: 18,91: 12$ | excess [3]-80:10, |
|  |  | environmental [11] - | 83:24, 85:16 |
| $6: 9,6: 11$ | $14: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 10,28: 4,28: 12, \\ & 41: 23,94: 25,95: 5, \end{aligned}$ | exclusively [2] -$48: 15,48: 22$ |
| Economic [2]-2 | ends [1] - 55: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:13, 96:11, } 96: 13, \\ & 96: 16,97: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| edge [1]-99:22 |  | environmentalist ${ }_{[1]}$ - | excuse [6]-25:14, 28:1, 28:19, 37:2, |
| Edition [1] - 75:5 | engage ${ }_{[1]}$ - 120.5 | 93:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { excused }[3]-13: 1, \\ 13: 8,13: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| editions [1] - 75:7 | engaged [2]-27.1 | equations [1] - 116:24 <br> equipment [5] - 65:16, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { education [2]-41:16, } \\ & 69: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { engineer }[14]-24: 3$ |  | Executive ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:12 |
| Edwin [2] - 3:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 23,25: 24,33: 8, \\ & 41: 23,42: 17,69: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $65: 17,67: 6,67: 11$ | Exhibit [15] - 6:16, <br> 7:16, 26:12, 26:14, |
|  | 70.12, 83:22, | ESFR ${ }_{[1]}$ - 63:17 <br> especially [3]-58:22, | 32:1, 34:3, 37:15, |
| t [1] - 6 | 104:1, 112:5, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 1,43: 11,44: 4, \\ & 4: 9,49: 1,72: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| effectively [1]-67 | 129:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { especially }[3]-58: 22, \\ 115: 12,117: 9 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| efficient $\left.{ }^{11}\right]-31:$ | ENGINEER [8] - 2 | ESQUIRE [6] - 2:11, | $76: 25,89: 18$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { efficiently }[3]-74: 8, \\ 79: 1,115: 16 \end{gathered}$ | 87:2, 93:1, 104: | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 13,3: 3,3: 8,3: 14, \\ & 3: 14 \end{aligned}$ | exhibit [22]-26:15, |
| effort [1] - 68:10 | 29:19 | essentially [3] - 26:23, | 36:9, 43:12, 43:14, |
| egress [6]-35:25, | Engineer [2] - 2:1 | establish [2] - 71:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 11,49: 5,49: 22, \\ & 49: 24,66: 7.74: 18 . \end{aligned}$ |
| , 105 |  |  |  |
| 106:9, 107:6 | engineer's ${ }_{[1]}$ - 39 | (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 9,77: 10,77: 23, \\ & 78: 7,78: 8,89: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| eight ${ }_{[1]}-44: 17$ | Engineering [16] - |  |  |


| 89:16, 129:17, | Facilities [1] - 5:23 | 130:18 | 119:21 | $13: 16,14: 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exhibits [3] - 5:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ility }[10]-26: 25, \\ & : 18,30: 8,30: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Final }[3] \\ 21: 16 \end{gathered}$ |  | GASIOROWSKI $[7]$ - |
| 7:25, 10:19 | 30:20, 33:7, 63:17 | FINAL [1] - 1:9 | formal [1] - 134:8 | $3: 8,3: 8,17: 22,$ |
| EXHIBITS [2] - 5:1, | 92:6, 107:21 | finalize [1] - 37:14 | forth [4]-56:14, | 19:24, 132:7, |
| 8 | fact $[4]-24: 23,39: 1$ | finally [1] - 38:16 | 56:25, 84:8, 136:12 | 2:18, 133:4 |
| existing [11] - 43:10, | 58:23, 86:22 | financially ${ }_{[1]}$ - 136:17 | forward [2]-108:15, | Gasiorowski [4] |
| 44:1, 44:13, 45:1, | Fairfield [1] - 94:18 | findings [1] - 78:13 | 25 | 15:9, 17:21, 19:20, |
| 45:6, 47:4, 71:21, | fairly [1] - 30:22 | fine [7] - 19:10, 93:20, | foundation [1] - 77:22 | 132:3 |
| 71:25, 77:3, 89:24, | fall [1] - 91:6 | 95:14, 105:16, | Foundation [1] - 6:23 | gasiorowskilaw@ |
| 90:2 | familiar [4]-43:2 | 6, 131:19 | four [13]-5:7, 5:19, | com[1]-3:10 |
| Existing [1] - 7:5 <br> exists [1] - 118:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:1, 70:24, 81:6 } \\ & \text { family }[1]-27: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 132: 23 \\ \text { finish }[4]-103 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 21,32: 3,45: 11, \\ & 47: 23,53: 17,54: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { General }[4]-8: 7, \\ & 56: 12,88: 21,91: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| exit [5] - 48:20, 49:9, | fantastic [1]-122 | 105:12, 123:1 | 64:3, 65:2, 76: | general $[4]-28:$ |
| 73:17, 74:7, 111:16 | $\operatorname{far}_{[15]}-26: 18,26: 22$, | 130:24 | 113:21 | 84:7, 116:22, 117:9 |
| exiting [0] - 36:7, <br> 72:20, 72:23, 73:6, | $30: 22,106: 19$ | finished [6] - 20:11, <br> 20:14 53:18, 82:2, | fourth [1]-27:14 <br> framing [1] - 63:15 | generally [3] - 32:12, <br> 44:1, 114:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 72: 20,72: 23,73: 6, \\ & 75: 12,75: 14,76: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:13, 107:19, } \\ & \text { 110:25, 111:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 14,53: 18,82: 2, \\ & 130: 24,131: 2 \end{aligned}$ | framing $[1]$ - 63:15 <br> Frances [2]-3:19, | 44:1, 114:8 <br> generate [4]-37:11, |
| 76:3, 128:20 | 111:7, 111:12 | fire [2] - 56:21, 56:22 | 18: | 75:11, 75:18, 117:5 |
| exits [2]-36:2, 111:9 | 112:24, 113:11, | Fire [5]-8:12, $8: 19$, | FRED [1] - 2:3 | Generation [2] - 75:4, |
| expand [1] - 30:6 | 115:22, 121:10, | 9:5, 9:23, 10:12 | free [1]-116:16 | 75:9 |
| expanse [1] - 66:21 | 125:1 | first [13]-11:7, 17:11, | freedom [1] - 115:3 | generation [3]-67:19, |
| expansive ${ }_{[1]}-65: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farmers }[3]-8: 5, \\ & 8: 16,10: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $27: 10,34: 6,59: 20,$ 72:16, 87:24, 96:15. | Freehold [7] - 7:20, | $76: 1,76: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{expect}[4]-56: 13, \\ & 75: 21,76: 15,89: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 8:16, 10:6 <br> Farmingdal | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 16,87: 24,96: 15, \\ & 98: 21,109: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 3,9: 6,9: 17,9: 24, \\ & 10: 11,55: 23 \end{aligned}$ | generator [1] - 116:23 <br> gentleman [1] - 95:6 |
| expected [2] - 45:24, | 76:8, 77:6, 77:14 | 9:18, 123: | frequently [1] - 64:18 | gentlemen [2] - 17:17, |
| 72:2 | 77:17, 126:7 | 25:21 | Freshwater [2] -6:18, | 86 |
| expecting [3]-49:16, | farms [1] - 121:16 | First [1]-41:6 | 8:7 | Geo [1] - 4:12 |
| 56:16, 78:15 | fashion [1] - 35:13 | fit [1] - 40:4 | front [3]-26:1 | GeoEnvironmental |
| experience [3] - | favor [1]-135:10 | five [5] - 14:20, 14:23, | 74:18, 90:25 | [1] - 94:17 |
| 25:16, 41:17, 69:18 | feature [1]-11:21 | 4:22, 47:8, 67:19 | frontage [9]-43:2 | geometry [2] - 78:3, |
| expert[19]-20:19, | features [2]-22:17 | five-foot [1] - 44:2 | 44:21, 99:9, 99:17, | 124:1 |
| 24:24, 28:3, 28:9, | 48:1 | five-minute [1] - 14:23 | :12, 103:6 | geotech [1] - 47:19 |
| 28:16, 29:4, 40:10, | February [3] - 5:22 | fix [2] - 111:13 | 106:10, 107:8 | given [2] - 11:5, 58:22 |
| 42:17, 60:23, 61:5, | 5:25, 47:25 | fixtures [5]-52:24 | fulfillment [1] - 30:8 | glass [3] - 65:10, |
| 70:1, 80:17, 81:16, | FedEx [1] - 46:17 | 8:1, 68:3, 68:11 | full [9]-34:9, 35:7, | 66:21, 68:7 |
| 93:18, 94:22, 94:25, | feet [30]-7:13, 21:18, | 68:12 | 54:25, 73:23, 81:13, | glasses [1] - 134:17 |
| 95:5, 100:12 | 9:18, 29:19, $31: 9$ | flammable [1] - 32:15 | 104:14, 110:18 | governing [2] - |
| Expert [1] - 2:19 | 31:11, 31:18, 32:4 | flat [2] - 67:3, 118:13 | 115:13, 119:25 | 112:12, 122:7 |
| expertise ${ }_{[1]}$-60:7 | 33:12, 33:14, 33:19, | floor [2] - 20:25, 53:18 | full-movement [1] | Government [1] - |
| experts [2]-27:8, | 45:22, 48:10, 50:20, | Floor [1]-5:14 | 73:23 | 80:14 |
| 28:12 | 50:22, 50:25, 52:13, | flow [3]-31:1, 68:3 | fully [20]-23:4, 29:10, | grade [2] - 36:24, 37:1 |
| extend [1] - 134:3 | 3:17, 56:2, 60:19, | 24: | 29:15, 33:20, 34:2 | grading [1] - 46:21 |
| extended [1]-22:18 | 4:12, 64:23, 65:18, | flows [1]-104:5 | 7:19, 37:24, 38:10, | graduate [1] - 41:19 |
| extension [7] - 45:21, | 7:7, 72:9, 89:7, | focus [2]-44:2, | 88:14, 38:18, 38:21, | $\text { Graduated }[1]-60: 11$ |
| 48:8, 48:10, 55:25, | 9:24, 90:2, 90:5 | follow [2] - 103:14 | 7:13, 51:19, 51:23, | grant [5] - 38:12, |
| 56:2, 104:5, 133:25 | 113:3 | $105$ | 2:24, 58:12, 85:8, | $52: 16,84: 6,85: 3,$ |
| extensive [2] - 104:5, | FERGUSON $[3]$ - $3: 14$, | follow-up [1] - 105:18 | $85: 9,85: 11,124: 11$ | 133:25 |
| 108:2 | 131:19, 133:1 | following [3]-11:6, | function [1] - 115:15 | granted [1] - 85:1 |
| extent [5] - 28:10, | Ferguson [1] - 18:5 | 18:14, 23:25 | fund [2]-23:11, 54:17 | grants [1] - 37:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 18,54: 6,99: 24, \\ & 107: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { few }[4]-27: 8,56: 15 \text {, } \\ & 57: 6,131: 8 \end{aligned}$ | follows [6] - 25:8 | FURTHER [2] - 136:9, 136:13 | grasses [1] - 53:10 |
| exterior ${ }_{[1]}$ - 68:11 | field [5] - 41:18, 60:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 10,59: 24,69: 1 \\ & 79: 23,94: 12 \end{aligned}$ | future $[3]-12: 4,68: 1$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gray }[4]-44: 14,63: 22, \\ & 63: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 67:21, 77:3, 112:1 | foot $[7]-21: 17,44: 18$, | 10 | great ${ }_{[2]}$ - 88:5, 121:3 |
| F | figure [1] - 105:2 | 44:22, 45:9, 45:10, |  | greater [1] - 33:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { facilitate }[4]-30: 13, \\ & 33: 15,35: 16,36: 24 \\ & \text { facilities }[2]-31: 10, \\ & 31: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { figured }_{[1]}-131: \\ & \text { fill }[1]-88: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $66: 24,72: 7$ | G | $\begin{gathered} \text { green }[3]-44: 11, \\ 49: 13,49: 22 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\text { filled }[1]-54: 4$ | foregoing [1] - 136:9 | Gas [3]-22:6, 26:25, | Greenfield [1]-13:2 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { final }[6]-7: 19,8: 13, \\ 27: 18,33: 18,79: 7, \end{gathered}$ | foresee $[1]$ - 110:2 <br> forget $[2]-62: 10$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:23 } \\ & \text { GASIOR }_{[3]}-2: 3, \end{aligned}$ | GREENFIELD [7] - |



|  |  | 112:1, 112:6, <br> 112:17, 113:5, <br> 114:14, 114:24, <br> 120:8 <br> kingpin $[1]-46: 3$ <br> known $[2]-65: 8$, <br> 131:9 <br> Kyle $[1]-13: 17$ <br> L <br> L-A-M $[1]-41: 7$ <br> labels $[1]-58: 11$ <br> lacks $[1]-31: 6$ <br> ladies $[2]-17: 17,86: 8$ <br> Lakewood $[6]-76: 8$, <br> $77: 6,77: 14,77: 17$, <br> 126:7, 127:1 <br> Lakewood- <br> Farmingdale $[5]-$ <br> $76: 8,77: 6,77: 14$, <br> $77: 17,126: 7$ <br> Lam $[6]-15: 18,24: 2$, <br> $40: 18,41: 7,41: 13$, <br> $41: 14$ <br> LAM $[42]-4: 5,41: 3$, <br> $41: 6,41: 19,42: 4$, <br> $42: 8,42: 11,43: 4$, <br> $43: 9,46: 15,46: 20$, <br> $47: 17,50: 6,50: 8$, <br> $50: 18,51: 16,51: 18$, <br> $52: 9,52: 11,52: 23$, <br> $53: 2,53: 23,54: 8$, <br> $54: 23,55: 5,55: 17$, <br> $55: 21,57: 5,57: 16$, <br> $57: 25,58: 5,58: 10$, <br> $59: 1,59: 7,88: 20$, <br> $89: 1,89: 14,89: 18$, <br> $90: 5,90: 8,90: 12$, <br> 90:21 <br> land $[7]-22: 2,22: 4$, <br> $25: 17,64: 24,75: 9$, <br> $75: 17,117: 24$ <br> Land $[4]-5: 11,84: 4$, <br> $84: 6,85: 3$ <br> landscape $[1]-53: 14$ <br> landscaping $[14]-$ <br> $22: 16,38: 4,38: 8$, <br> $38: 15,40: 9,44: 12$, <br> $45: 6,53: 1,53: 3$, <br> $53: 25,54: 7,54: 9$, <br> $55: 12,82: 7$ <br> landscapings $[1]-$ <br> $54: 4$ <br> lane $[4]-44: 21,126: 8$, <br> $127: 23,129: 8$ <br> lanes $[1]-128: 23$ <br> Langan $[6]-4: 9,6: 6$, <br> $15: 24,24: 5,69: 21$, <br> $71: 5$ |  | LIEBERMAN [25] 3:13, 3:14, 18:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| 59:8, 95:10 | 131:6 | networks [1] - 75:20 | 43:14, 43:24, 44:7, | offered [2]-24:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi [1] - 60:10 |  | NEUMANN ${ }_{[1]}-2: 16$ | 92:5 | 94:2 |
| Mitchell [2] - 5:9, 5:15 | N | Neumann [7] - 40:1, | northeast [1] - 33:19 | office [10] - 11:12 |
| modification [1] - 110:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-A-E-G-E-R }[1] \text { - } \\ & 59: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 59:9, 83:22, 96:4 <br> Neumann's [1]-85: | northeaste $34: 7$ <br> Notary [1] - | 65:6, 66:19, 66:20, |
| 110:12 ${ }_{\text {modified }}[1]$ - 30:2 | Naeger [9]-15:18, | neutral [1] - 63:21 | noted [2] - 132:11, | 134:23 |
| modify [1] - 107:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 4,59: 12,59: 21, \\ & 60: 2,60: 5,61: 5 \end{aligned}$ | never [2] - 126:21, 133:17 | 135:18 | Officer [1]-2:20 |
| $57: 21,115: 8,134: 8$ | 61:22, 68:16 | NEW [2]-1:2, 1:24 | 40:15, 41:1, 59:15, | official [2] - 56:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { money [2] - 87:12, } \\ & 113: 17 \end{aligned}$ | NAEGER [20]-4:7, 59:17, 59:20, $60: 9$, | New [31] - 3:4, 3:9, 3:16, 11:5, 11:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 1,79: 18,85: 20 \\ & 94: 8,136: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 22 \\ & \text { Official }[1]-8: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| money-maker [1] - 113:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 25,61: 3,61: 14, \\ & 61: 19,61: 25,62: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 6,26: 25,41: 25, \\ & 42: 3,43: 23,45: 23, \end{aligned}$ | Notice ${ }_{[1]}$ - 8:7 <br> notice [5] - 11:4, 11:8, | Official's [1] - 9:20 <br> offset [1] - 66:25 |
| Monmouth [9]-6:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:8, 62:13, 62:17, } \\ & \text { 63:5, 63:9, 65:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $46: 1,46: 4,48: 8,$ | 11:10, 11:12, 134:25 | often [1] - 99:5 |
| 7:18, 8:13, 8:24, 9:11, 9:21, 10:9, 130:21, 130:25 | 66:2, 66:5, 66:18, 68:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 1,60: 15,60: 20 \\ & \text { 69:24, 70:4, 75:16, } \\ & \text { 80:4, 80:7, 80:12, } \end{aligned}$ | notices [1] - 135:3 <br> number [2]-66:7, <br> 134:13 | on-site [8] - 45:3, 45:24, 56:4, 82:19, 84:2, 93:10, 124:18, |
| MONMOUTH [1] - 1:2 month [1] - 130:7 | nail [1]-124:9 <br> name [12] - 11:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 18,94: 20,94: 21, \\ & 94: 22,111: 6, \end{aligned}$ | Number $[7]-57: 8$, 57:19, 59:5, 64:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:17 } \\ & \text { once }[11]-11: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| monument [1] - 54:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 5,41: 6,41: 7, \\ & 59: 19,59: 20,59: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 114:18, 117:11, | 88:22, 91:7 | 44:7, 47:12, 48:9, |
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