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| A-30 | Architectural Plans for Building A consisting of two sheets prepared by Mitchell \& Hugeback Architects, Inc., dated 8/16/21, last revised 10/1/21 | * |
| A-31 | Architectural Plans for Building B consisting of two sheets prepared by Mitchell \& Hugeback Architects, Inc., dated 8/16/21, last revised 10/1/21 | * |
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B-22 Freehold Soil Conservation District

* review revisions, dated 8/25/21
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 138 & & 140 \\
\hline 1 & CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Let's get & 1 & THE INTERPRETER: Ms. Casper? \\
\hline 2 & opening statement moving forward, Eileen. & 2 & MEMBER CASPER: Here. \\
\hline 3 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Planning & 3 & THE INTERPRETER: Mr. Cristiano? \\
\hline 4 & board meeting, October 21st, 2021. I hereby declare & 4 & MEMBER CRISTIANO: Here. \\
\hline 5 & this meeting of the Howell Township Planning Board & 5 & THE INTERPRETER: And Chairman \\
\hline 6 & to be open. Adequate notice having been given & 6 & Tannenhaus? \\
\hline 7 & pursuant to the New Jersey Open Public Meeting Act & 7 & CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Here. \\
\hline 8 & in the following manner: & 8 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: You have a \\
\hline 9 & First, on January 8th, 2021, a copy of & 9 & quorum. \\
\hline 10 & said notice was mailed to the Asbury Park Press and & 10 & CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. \\
\hline 11 & the Tri-town news. & 11 & Okay, could everyone raise for the Pledge of \\
\hline 12 & Second, on January 8th, 2021, a copy of & 12 & Allegiance and a moment of silence for all of our \\
\hline 13 & said notice was hand-delivered to the clerk of the & 13 & first-responders and those both serving here and \\
\hline 14 & Township of Howell. & 14 & abroad. Chief? \\
\hline 15 & Third, on January 8th, 2021, said & 15 & (Pledge of Allegiance.) \\
\hline 16 & notice was posted in the office of the planning & 16 & CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. \\
\hline 17 & board and on the bulletin board in the Howell & 17 & (The board proceeds with agenda items.) \\
\hline 18 & Township Municipal Building, 4567 Route 9, Howell & 18 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: This is Mr. \\
\hline 19 & Township, New Jersey. & 19 & Pape's case. \\
\hline 20 & Members of the public will have a & 20 & ATTORNEY PAPE: Good evening. \\
\hline 21 & chance to ask questions and comment on applications & 21 & CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr Pape. \\
\hline 22 & once the Chairman opens the hearing up for members & 22 & ATTORNEY PAPE: Good evening, Mr. \\
\hline 23 & of the public. If you wish to ask questions or & 23 & Chairman, board members and board professionals. \\
\hline 24 & comment on an application, you will need to use the & 24 & Kenneth Pape on behalf of your applicant, AAFFHW \\
\hline 25 & Raise Your Hand feature, and we'll bring you into & 25 & Property, LLC. \\
\hline & 139 & & 141 \\
\hline 1 & the meeting one at a time. You will need to have & 1 & And Mr. Chair and board members, this \\
\hline 2 & audio and video capability. You will be sworn in & 2 & application was started at the September 2nd, 2021, \\
\hline 3 & and you will need to provide your name and address. & 3 & meeting. That evening we had considerable \\
\hline 4 & For anyone calling in, you can raise or & 4 & opportunity to present testimony to you. Patrick \\
\hline 5 & lower your hand by dialing *9 and you can mute or & 5 & Lynam, the design engineer, took us through the \\
\hline 6 & unmute yourself doing *6. & 6 & entire process. Dr. Raymond Walker, the \\
\hline 7 & This meeting is being videotaped for & 7 & environmental scientist working on the project with \\
\hline 8 & possible future broadcast on Howell Township TV 77. & 8 & us testified and so did Sean Naeger, our architect. \\
\hline 9 & CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, & 9 & There were questions and concerns \\
\hline 10 & Eileen. Roll-call, please. & 10 & brought to our attention by the board and the board \\
\hline 11 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. & 11 & professionals and we -- we recorded those and we \\
\hline 12 & Boisvert? & 12 & went back as a team and -- and worked on those \\
\hline 13 & MEMBER BOISVERT: Here. & 13 & revisions. We had also made a commitment to have \\
\hline 14 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Dorato? & 14 & our plans -- revised plans submitted early so that \\
\hline 15 & MEMBER DORATO: Here. & 15 & your professionals would have an opportunity to \\
\hline 16 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Huszar? & 16 & review and write reports. \\
\hline 17 & MEMBER HUSZAR: Here. & 17 & And I'm also pleased to advise you \\
\hline 18 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Chief & 18 & that, in addition to getting the plans and reports \\
\hline 19 & Kudrick? & 19 & in early and getting a response back from your \\
\hline 20 & CHIEF KUDRICK: Here. & 20 & staff, we have had the opportunity to review their \\
\hline 21 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Leggio & 21 & reports and this evening we're prepared to be \\
\hline 22 & has been excused. Mr. Nicastro has been excused. & 22 & responsive to -- to those. \\
\hline 23 & Councilwoman Richmond has been excused. & 23 & I intend this evening, with the Chair's \\
\hline 24 & PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Seaman? & 24 & permission, to re-call Patrick Lynam. Heq0,93ent \\
\hline 25 & MEMBER SEAMAN: Here. & & a brief outline of the revisions that he \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
memorialized as his responsibility, things that were changed on the plans.

I also intend to re-call Dr. Walker.
There are a couple of environmental questions for Dr. Walker that I think we can answer more completely.

Sean Naeger made some minor revisions to the architectural plans. Although you may recall that his plans were well received by your professionals at the last meeting, there were a couple of tweaks that he did to be responsive.

With me, also, this evening is Dan Disario and Joseph Fishinger. When we reach their scheduled slots I'll ask if there's any -- if there's a need for further traffic testimony. I think you're going to hear considerable traffic design elements from Patrick as he presents the revisions to the plan.

So with your permission, Mr. Chairman, I would like to recall Mr. Lynam, who was qualified as an engineer, and I remind him that he is under oath.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Please proceed.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Lynam, just
state your name for the record again before you
P. Lynam, P.E.
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begin.
PATRICK LYNAM: Yes. Patrick Lynam.
P-A-T-R-I-C-K, Lynam, L-Y-N-A-M.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, go ahead.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Lynam, if you could, I think probably the best thing is to just do a brief overview of the revisions that you've made or added to the plan, and then we'll go through each of them more specifically.
A. Okay. Should I have control to show exhibits?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: You can.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: These are
exhibits that were previously submitted to the board?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes, everything was submitted, Counsel.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So Eileen has premarked them. Ken, do you have the list of
exhibits?
ATTORNEY PAPE: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So just as
Mr. Lynam puts them up, if you could just tell us which exhibit it is.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I'm looking.
THE WITNESS: Eileen, are you going to give me permission?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: I don't
need to. You can just go ahead.
THE WITNESS: All right. All right.
Can everyone see my screen? Excellent. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. I'm looking on the updated exhibit list and looking for -- if you could read the title block?
A. Yes. This is a new exhibit that has been updated since the last hearing.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: A-33.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: You're
welcome.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. With A-33 in full view of the board and public, if you could just first do an overview of
P. Lynam, P.E.
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the revisions that are on this plan.
A. Okay. So based on the hearing we had previously and the board professionals' comments we had updated the plans. We updated the circulation based on comments by the board engineer. We have removed a guard shack that was at the northeast -- northwest corner of Building A. We included additional details regarding the fire tank, which is south of Building B. We also prepared an alternative lighting plan. We also included electric vehicle charging stations, as well as additional changes to the architectural plans, and as well as minor updates based on the technical comments.
Q. There's one other point, I think we discussed this at the last one, if you could just emphasize, there was a request that we widen Howell Road in front of our client's property. Has that full road widening, as requested by the board and staff, been incorporated into the plan?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY PAPE: A little out of order, just because the charging stations cama \(994^{4}\) during -during the prologue of tonight's hearing.

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}

Mr. Chair and board members, we are aware of the pending Bill S3223 and that bill, as we understand it, requires that there be a finite number of charging stations on just about every project coming in the future. And then there's also provisions for more charging stations in the future.
We -- as part of this, our client is prepared to voluntarily meet the goals of that bill.

The first goal is to have charging stations now, and the second goal is to have more in the future as their need increases.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And, Patrick, if you could confirm that there are charging stations shown and that there's conduit for future charging stations if that statute is --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Pape, just before you get to that --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- there's other portions of that bill as well. I just want to make sure -- so you will -- you will -- you're
stipulating that the applicant will comply with providing the requisite number of charging stations.

Are you asking -- you're going to
P. Lynam, P.E.
retain the total, the same total number of parking spaces? You're not seeking to adjust the number of parking spaces?

ATTORNEY PAPE: No. No. Not at all.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. All right, thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: It just -- there's a
lot about this application that is green
initiatives. That one became available to us and we incorporated it into the plan.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. All right, thank you.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. So, Patrick, a little out of order but if you could describe the revisions that you've made to the plan to the on-site circulation.
A. Sure. So I just want to confirm that -- there is some clarity that we need to provide, that we are -- we have removed the left-turn lane from Howell Road. And it's our intention to restrict trucks from entering Howell Road as Howell Road has a weight restriction.

We do have wayfinding signage internal to the site directing trucks out the Okerson Road driveway. And we will agree to add further signage

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}


at the driveway restricting trucks from entering the Howell Road driveway. So I just wanted to clarify that right off the bat.

As far as the internal circulation there is some overlap with some of the trucks traveling in either direction along the internal circulation lanes.

We have increased radii in a lot of areas to accommodate the trucks circulating without interfering with each other. The one major change is at the northern intersection -- internal intersection between Buildings \(A\) and \(B\). We had split that into a sweeping right-turn lane and then a direct left-turn lane. And that was to accommodate the trucks traveling in either of those directions.

So that was the -- the only significant change. The others are minor radii changes to accommodate on-site circulation.

There was two areas that were further identified by the board engineer at the rear corners of each of the buildings, with some overlap traveling around the corners of the buildings and we -- we designed those to accommodate trucks leaving. And in order to, you know, preserve buffers we're --

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}
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we're looking to modify the internal radii and -and we're looking to work with your board engineer, if it's acceptable to the board, to come up with a solution to address those concerns at those locations.
Q. It's my understanding there's two-way traffic around those buildings?
A. Yes.
Q. And we have a landscaping plan designed to be consistent with the ordinance and especially consistent with Ms. Spero and what we're talking about is preserving the two-way traffic there and working on some modifications to the interior radii.

So we will not in any way compromise or touch the landscaping?
A. That's correct.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And we're asking
for -- this came up in the staff -- the staff report when we met with your professionals last week. We remain very comfortable -- Patrick, help me here -but we remain very comfortable with -- that we can address those comments of Ms. Neumann without touching that landscaping.

\section*{THE WITNESS: Correct.a095}
Q. Okay. If you would, I would like to

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}
talk briefly about lighting, because that was a discussion point when we were before the board the last time. And one of the -- the board may recall that we were having some difficulty complying with the ordinance and having a plan that we felt was safe.

And it's my understanding, Patrick, that you've created two plans: One lighting plan 100 percent consistent with the ordinance, and one which, maybe it is your opinion that is superior, but would require some limited relief?
A. That is correct.
Q. I'm going to ask if you could present both to the board.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And I'll say to the board members, we look to you for guidance. We can do either of these plans; 100 percent compliant or the one that we think might be even better.
Q. So, Patrick, if you would?
A. Yes. I'm bringing up the lighting plan that is within the Site Plan set, which is the compliant lighting plan. And as you can see we're providing the lighting levels in accordance with the ordinance, which requires a minimum of .3 foot-candles within the areas to be lighted, and

> P. Lynam, P.E.
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then a maximum of half-a-foot-candle for all the areas that have been lighted, so -- which is -which is compliant, you could see in the table here.

We also prepared an alternative lighting plan, which was brought up here. And we had shifted poles to be closer together to increase the minimum foot-candle within all the areas to be lighted, the travel ways, the walk -- sidewalks, parking areas and so forth. So we increased the minimum from .3 to .5 within those areas. However, it did result in an increase in the average to .9.

So that would be -- that's obviously greater than half-a-foot-candle, but it's still below one foot-candle. We do feel that this plan is superior as it does provide higher lighting levels within the critical areas of the project and also meets IES standards.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair and board members and board professionals, we are comfortable with either but would look to you for your guidance as to which you find to be the superior plan. And whichever you choose, is the one that we would then move forward with.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. We talked about the -- the electric

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}
vehicle charging station. Just -- if you could just identify where they're shown on the plan and how many are proposed for the first go around?
A. Sure. Just going to bring up the dimension plan of the site plan set.

So we positioned the electrical vehicle charging stations closer to the offices in the center of the parking fields on both buildings so it can be accessible from all the offices in one central location.

As you can see for Building B we have the charging station at this location. And then we will make additional conduit, anti-conduit along these bays of parking, provide additional need-ready electric vehicle charging stations.

Similarly on Building A, we have a charging station at this location for these vehicles. And that's in between the two -- the northern office and the center office. And we did provide another charging station between the middle office and the southern office for these vehicles, so they can share those charging stations.

And again, these will be -- have additional anti-conduit to allow for future need-ready electrical vehicle charging stations.

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}
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Q. And additional charging stations is contemplated in the statute and for that reason the conduit is proposed.

Mr. Lynam, if you could confirm on the record that we continue to have a greater number of parking stalls than the ordinance requires and there's no loss of parking as a result of this accommodation?
A. That is correct.
Q. There was also questions of the fire tank location and height. If you could identify for all where it is located and if you could identify how it is proposed to be painted and how it is proposed to be filtered with planting material?
A. Yes. I am going to bring up a new exhibit that we prepared. I'm not sure which one this is.
Q. This is --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mrs. Rubano, could you help us with the number? This is the tank. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. I think it's 30 .
A. It's called the Water Tank Sight Line

Exhibit. We had prepared this basePa096 comments that we received from the Board at the last hearing.

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}

You can see where the circle is, where my hand is, and that is just south of Building \(B\), in between the basin, the proposed wet pond and Building \(B\), and close to the -- in between the driveway to Howell Road and the internal driveway.

So that circle is the location. You can see here the dark dashed line representing where the sight line profile is taken, which is at the bottom of the page of the exhibit. The tank is proposed to be 25 -foot tall, as you can see here. And the diameter is wide enough to accommodate the 250,000-gallon fire tank that is proposed. And it's -- and it would be painted in order to match the architecture so it would blend into the buildings in the back. So that was the intention.

Also you could see here in the exhibit, the darker trees or landscaping, is the trees at the -- the planting height, and then you could see the lighter trees, that is the mature height of the trees after ten years.
Q. So the goal -- the water tower is visible. The goal was to have it not stand out but the goal was filter its view and not necessarily to block its view from the traveling public.
A. That is correct.
P. Lynam, P.E.
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Q. So I think -- I think, Mr. Lynam, that is the summary of the changes that we -- have been made to the plan. Did we miss anything?
A. No.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: At least in my
notes -- I don't know if the professionals want to weigh in -- but I have in my notes outdoor storage.

ATTORNEY PAPE: No outdoor storage.
There are -- as you can see on the plan, there are trailers that could -- at loading docks but there is no trailer storage on this plan and there's no outdoor storage whatsoever.

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Chairman, I have
just three questions for the engineer.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Do we want to get you sworn in?

Mr. Cucchiaro, will you swear in our professional?

BOARD ENGINEER: I think I was previously sworn.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: We'll just swear
you in again. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

BOARD ENGINEER: I do.

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead. BOARD ENGINEER: So, Mr. Lynam, first I just want to confirm that the public sanitary sewer service will be provided to the site?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
BOARD ENGINEER: And then two things.
I had the opportunity to follow up with the in-house engineering department and they would like to request in addition to the sidewalk and the widening, that curbing be provided along Howell Road. Are you agreeable to that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD ENGINEER: And given that the truck traffic is going to be filtering to Okerson Road, we would ask that the roadway be milled and overlaid.

THE WITNESS: Okay. ATTORNEY PAPE: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: We agree to that. Are we talking about along the property frontage? Correct.

BOARD ENGINEER: I think they would like to see it go the length. I understand that your obligation would just be your frontage.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah, we can go
P. Lynam, P.E.
further, Ms. Neumann.
Okerson. What we would ask is this, first, Mr. Chair, board members, Ms. Neumann did alert us earlier that this was a request of the town. I had the opportunity to review it with our client and with Mr. Lynam. We are prepared to pave Okerson Road. We are prepared to mill it and to do a two-inch overlay.

We would like to do it at the end of construction so that the road is in good shape when the project is finished. And a portion of Okerson Road is not in Howell. A portion is in another municipality. So we would be talking about milling and repave -- resurfacing all of Okerson Road that's in Howell.

We're not deferring the jurisdiction. We're not, you know, but obviously we're not looking to limit it to our frontage. All of Okerson that is in Howell will be milled and resurfaced with a two-inch overlay.

BOARD ENGINEER: And that would just be my request, echoing the engineering department's requirements, and I'm happy to hear that the applicant is agreeable to that.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We are. And Mr.

Chairman and members of the board, the goal of this applicant has been to earn the approval by earning this board's approval.

We -- when that was presented to us, we saw the benefits, we talked about them internally, and we confirmed that we can do it. So we're pleased to do it.

BOARD ENGINEER: Beyond that, Mr. Chairman, I think I said three questions, I just want to also confirm on the record, Mr. Lynam, you've reviewed our technical engineering review and you agree to those comments?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD ENGINEER: With that, Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further at this time.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Laura, if I could, you asked if we're bringing sanitary sewer. We're bringing sanitary sewer and potable water supply, both of those are being brought to the site a substantial distance.

BOARD ENGINEER: Thank you for clarifying.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
Mr. Chairman, when -- when ready, we'll recall Dr. Walker.
P. Lynam, P.E.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Let's get you an answer on the lighting. How about that?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Terrific.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Do our professionals want to weigh in on the two plans that they have presented.

BOARD ENGINEER: So, Mr. Chairman, Shari is not here tonight. She's at a conference.

I had the opportunity to speak with her and our sense is that the alternative -- alternate lighting plan, although it requires a waiver and that's because it exceeds the minimum of .3 and provides just over the average of .5 , that plan would provide -- the alternate plan provides a minimum of .5 and an average of .8 foot-candles, and Shari felt that, given the use of the property, that was appropriate.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. I, personally, have no objections.

Does anybody else on the board have any comments about that?

MEMBER SEAMAN: I was just going to ask with the, you know, slightly higher average, does it have any effect in terms of spillage or anything to adjacent properties, but probably not.

\section*{P. Lynam, P.E.}

BOARD ENGINEER: That is correct. But
I would ask the applicant's engineer on the record to confirm that there is no light spillage.

THE WITNESS: Correct. There is no light spillage. There are -- you know, there is some light spillage but it's not significant, crosses all on public right-of-ways where we want to concentrate light at intersections.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Mr. Pape, you got your answer.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You're welcome.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And I have a -- I am working from my notes and I have to make an apology to the board. A moment ago I said we're bringing in potable water supply. And I'm looking at my notes, what we agreed to do is to create a firefighting water supply and that was the tank.

Extending the water line was not -- it was not part of the application. So I apologize. It's to create -- we will create a firefighting water supply to satisfy Chief Lewis and the township requirements, but it did not involve extending the line. And I apologize, that's my mistake from my notes.
P. Lynam, P.E.
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I have a question for our professionals. I have been trying to search for it on our township codes but I am unable to find it.

If my memory serves me right that there was some ordinances, maybe a couple of years ago, that were put in place about these types of buildings and the location of the loading docks in relationship to being able to view them from a highway or roadway. Am I remembering that right, that these loading docks being able to be seen from Route 33 may not be in compliance with that ordinance?

BOARD PLANNER: Go ahead.
BOARD ENGINEER: I was going to defer
to Jen. I think the only provision I can think of
off the top of my head is that we don't permit loading in a front yard.

BOARD PLANNER: Yes. I don't think it has to be -- I don't think it's likely to be seen. I think it's physically in the front yard.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: If you remember, Mr. Chairman, we actually did have that issue with a recent warehouse application where they 0,98 ask for relief from that requirement.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Do we think we -- do we think that this -- the way the angle is for the one warehouse towards Route 33 meets that requirement or is it just skewed enough that it doesn't?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I guess the question is, is it a front yard? I think that's, you know, the way our ordinance is written, that's the primary question.

So I would defer, you know, to Laura and Jen, but I think that's the beginning question; is that a front yard.

BOARD PLANNER: I did not find the loading configuration to require relief. My review of it was that it complied with that, that it was not located in the front yard.

Laura, do you disagree?
BOARD ENGINEER: No, I agree. We have that the property only has frontage on Howell Road or Okerson Road --

BOARD PLANNER: Yes.
BOARD ENGINEER: -- and that light at
Michael Curtin Lane, so there technically is no frontage on 33, and that would act -- deferring to Jen, but as a side lot line.
P. Lynam, P.E.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, what is the -- what's the definition, if you guys know, of what a front yard is?

BOARD PLANNER: The property has to physically front on the roadway.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I didn't know. I mean, different towns have different definitions.

BOARD PLANNER: Yeah. No. It has to physically front on the roadway, not just facing the roadway. It has to physically front on it.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I don't want to interrupt this dialogue between the board and its professionals but I can point out that the area of concern, Mr. Chairman, is also very heavily landscaped. That's a -- it's a farm buffer landscaping requirement that we are in full compliance with.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I have no further questions.

Does anybody else on the board have questions for this witness?

Seeing none, Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. We
intentionally did not revisit all of the testimony
that you heard at the last meeting but the summary was that we had designed without variances and your reports supported that. We had gone to your fire chief and shade tree officials and your Environmental Commission and we're very pleased that all the reports that were provided indicated that there were no outstanding concerns from those.

Dr. Walker, Dr. Raymond Walker, is our environmental consultant. He, too, was sworn and his credentials as an environmental scientist made part of the record. I remind Dr. Walker that he is under oath.

Counsel, do you wish for him to make any statements before he starts his testimony?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just to state his -- state and spell his name again.

RAYMOND WALKER: Raymond Walker, \(R-A-Y-M-O-N-D, W-A-L-K-E-R\).

ATTORNEY PAPE: Dr. Walker talked about the environmental concerns on the site. They were fairly minimal. We talked about the cemetery that was adjacent to our site. And there was some soil testing that was done and anomalies had been found on that cemetery.

I know there were a couple of
R. Walker, PhD
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additional questions that the board had about those. We asked that Dr. Walker do further research and interview the individual who had actually tested that area.

EXAMINATION

\section*{BY ATTORNEY PAPE:}
Q. I'm going to ask if, Dr. Walker, if you could address those concerns?
A. Sure. So a question was raised by a member of the board regarding the GPR anomalies that were found along the outside perimeter of the Jerseyville Cemetery and whether or not those anomalies could represent some type of environmental concern, such as an underground storage tank or buried debris.

So I checked with our GPR expert and he did prepare a report on his findings. And in the report he indicates that those anomalies have the same dimensions as a trench or -- or a grave. And they're approximately 3.5 to 6.5 feet deep.

In addition, the GPR analysis did not reveal the presence of any metal or Rof 999 material in those anomalies. And that based on a

\section*{R. Walker, PhD}

1 review also of historic aerial photography there were no buildings in those areas which would normally be associated with an underground tank or there's no evidence of any type of garbage disposal, at least as far back as 1931.

So he's -- he's 100 percent sure that those anomalies that are outside of the actual graveyard limits, represent old graves or graves that may have been excavated and moved back into the graveyard if they found out they were off the property. He can't say which is which, but he's 100 percent sure that those anomalies represent graveyards activities or graves.
Q. I know that was the question that was posed to you. There was a question posed at the last meeting that has already been answered and that was, what precautions were built into the design so that these graves that are on the common border of our client's property and the cemetery, what design criteria was built in to make certain that we do not disturb any of those graves?
A. If you look at the design plan, you'll see that the development plan is set back from those anomalies by -- I mean, Patrick can confirm the distance -- but I think it's around about 10 feet or
R. Walker, PhD
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more from any of those anomalies that were found outside of the actual graveyard property limits.

PATRICK LYNAM: That is correct.
ATTORNEY PAPE: That was on the record
the last time.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And, Dr. Walker, I know that there was also some questions about the implementation of the RAO, the Response Action Outcome letter that is required when environmental cleanup is necessary. And in the reports that we provided we identified that there is some limited environmental cleanup required.

If you could just speak to your communications with the LSRP and how that will be addressed?
A. Sure. I testified last time that there were two areas in the farm field, one in the northeast corner and one in the central part of the state where he had some minor elevations of arsenic. The state standard for arsenic is around 19 parts per million. We found levels around 21 to 39 parts per million. And then for dieldrin the DEP limits are . 034 parts per million and we found levels of .034 to .038 parts per million.


R. Walker, PhD
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project who is responsible for remediating those areas. And as I had testified last time, there could be a couple of different options, one could be a blending, one could be a removal or one could be a capping of those areas. And the LSRP will be preparing a remedial action outcome as to how the best way to remediate those areas will be, obviously submitted to DEP for review and approval.

That remedial action -- or that remedial action outcome activity will occur during construction and it will be implemented, again, in accordance with DEP standards.
Q. And that implementation of the soil remediation during construction for an industrial building is not an uncommon occurrence, Dr. Walker?
A. No. It's usually because -- it's usually incorporated as part of that construction activity. When they're going to be disturbing the earth they'll decide whether to remove or excavate or whether or not they feel it's better to just cap the site with maybe the foundation of the building.

That will have to be reviewed and approved by DEP. And once it is, that will be implemented as part of the construction activities.

\section*{R. Walker, PhD}

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, members of the board, the commitment of your applicant to the board and to the township is that there will be a licensed site remediation professional, an LSRP, part of the team from the very beginning of the development through its conclusion. And that the applicant will stipulate that no occupancy, no Certificate of Occupancy, temporary or otherwise, will be requested until the RAO has been issued and filed with the DEP.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Dr. Walker, I think those were the two open items that the board had posed to you?
A. Yes, I believe those were the only two.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I have nothing further of Dr. Walker. And he is available to the board and the board's professionals at this time, if you wish.

BOARD PLANNER: I don't have any
questions, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Neumann? Pa100
BOARD ENGINEER: I do not have any

\section*{R. Walker, PhD}
questions either.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, I have
it in my notes that the anomaly that occurred at the property line would be deed-restricted. Does that need to be restated again?

ATTORNEY PAPE: It's on the record.
We will -- we'll restate it. That 10 -foot swath around our property will have a permanent deed restriction, yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Does anybody else from the Board have any questions?

ATTORNEY PAPE: There was a question, I think, that went to me to answer. We were talking about what the potential uses of the building were and we had indicated that we would be in strict compliance with those that are permitted uses in the SED zone. And amongst those uses there's -- there's warehouse, there's distribution -- these are the ones that are listed. There's warehouse, there's distribution, there's packaging and there's manufacturing. But manufacturing isn't an overbroad, there's specific definitions of what -what type of manufacturing in the actual table and also in the notes.
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And what we would stipulate to, Mr. Chair, is we are not looking to do anything that is not expressly permitted in the SED zone. And the definitions that are in the township's ordinance we agree that those are the definitions that are to be followed for the interpretation of your ordinance.

But primarily we envision that this is warehousing and distribution. And there may be some packaging where large packages are opened and put into smaller packages before being distributed.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I just want to
make clear that in -- I think we discussed it before, in your view -- in the applicant's view of warehouse, that does not include a fulfilment center?

ATTORNEY PAPE: No, it's not a
fulfilment center. This is not a fulfilment building. Thank you.

My -- Sean Naeger is the architect. He made some minor revisions to the plan. I am going to ask if Mr. Naeger can be recalled.

I will remind Mr. Naeger that he is
under oath and I will remind the board that he was qualified as an architect as his area of expertise.

SEAN NAEGER: I want to make sure

\section*{S. Naeger, RA}
everybody can see the screen.
ATTORNEY PAPE: So, Mrs. Rubano, could
you -- the screen that Mr. Naeger has just put up, if you could tell us which one we're looking at.

Is this A -- is this Building \(A, M r\).
Naeger?
SEAN NAEGER: Yes, it is.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And, Mr. Naeger, can you just please restate your name for us?

SEAN NAEGER: First name is Sean, S-E-A-N, last name is Naeger, N-A-E-G-E-R.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: So I think this is A-30, Mrs. Rubano?

EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. So if you could, Mr. Naeger, if you could just point out the embellishments to this building -- to these buildings that you have added in response to the staff.
A. Certainly. One of the board members had a request that the drawings use vision-obscuring glass above the canopy, so we have accommodated

\section*{S. Naeger, RA}
that. And the intent of that was so, you know, from -- at night they won't see any construction implements like wires and ductwork and things of that nature.

We also added mechanical louvers.
Those mechanical louvers will not be taking the place of the glass windows. The mechanical louvers are -- will always occur above the man doors in these recessed areas.

The -- there was a request in our various meetings with Ms. Beahm that we add a water table course at the base of the building per her Plan Review Comments. And you can now see that we have this water table course that runs the perimeter of the building.

All of these revisions to -- to this exhibit and to the other drawings were reviewed with Ms. Beahm during the phone conversation on September 15th of 2021.

Those were the only comments that I had record of. Open to any questions.

ATTORNEY PAPE: That is our direct presentation from Mr. Naeger, Mr. Chairman, and he's now available.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Do any of our
professionals have any comments on the changes or the location of the louvers?

BOARD PLANNER: No, sir. I think the representations made to the board is consistent with the conversations that we have had prior to the meeting.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fair enough. Thank you.

Anybody from the Board have comments?
Hearing none, Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: I'll defer, Mr.
Chairman, to you at this point. Patrick did a description of the on-site circulation, the parking, the loading docks. He took us through the revisions that were made that were responsive to the board. He also described the widening of the road, the changing of the driveways, the driveway signage and also the paving and curbing that was requested.

From our perspective, it was a fairly thorough presentation of the traffic elements of the plan but we do have Dan Disario and Joseph Fishinger who are a part of the team, if the board wishes to hear from them. I defer to you on that as to whether the testimony that you've heard adequately addresses those issues.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I'll just ask my professionals if they have any questions or -- or other further comments, or do they feel that the application's complete?

BOARD PLANNER: I have nothing.
BOARD ENGINEER: Yeah, I have nothing
further as well.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You guys are okay with the circulation plan, the size of the tanks, all that stuff?

BOARD ENGINEER: That's correct. Mr. Chairman, as was indicated there were changes and we wanted to clarify, as Mr. Lynam had testified to, that the left-turn lane had been removed from Howell Road and that appropriate signage was going to be put up. That -- that was steering a lot of our traffic comments that were in our technical report.

And we understand that they would like
to maintain the two-way on-site circulation and don't want to have infringements into the buffer, so I'm happy to work with them to ensure that that works as well.

So I have nothing further.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And if I understand the revised layout, Ms. Neumann, it looks
like they -- they widened the roads -- roadways towards the back of the property, which allows the trucks more turning radius; is that -- is that -- my understanding is -- am I reading the plans right?

BOARD ENGINEER: Yeah, on the plans, yes. We had a little bit of concerns regarding conflicting movements, but given how the trucks will be circulating through the site and the provisions that trucks would not be exiting out of Howell Road and only going out to Okerson, I am satisfied.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you very much. Appreciate that.

Does anybody else from the Board have any comments?

If not, we'll open it up to the public.
Mr. Cucchiaro?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, is
this -- is this for questions and comments?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: For the public?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Well, I think -well, let's ask Mr. Pape.

Mr. Pape, have you concluded -- this concludes your testimony, should we open it to the public?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, that is the applicant's direct presentation. We remain available for further questions of the board and the board professionals, but we do rest on our direct presentation.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: So we'll open it for questions and comments.

Mrs. Rubano, do you want to unmute yourself and tell the public how they can go about raising their hand and unmuting themselves accordingly, and that we will give the customary minute, minute and a half for people to raise their hand.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Okay. At this time the Chairman has opened up the hearing for members of the public. Anyone wishing to comment or ask questions regarding this application please use the Raise Your Hand feature. We will bring you into the meeting one at a time. We'll give everyone a minute in between just to get their hand raised.

If you're calling in, you need to do *9 to raise or lower your hand, and *6 to mute or unmute yourself. And we do have a caller with their hand raised.

Pa102
AJC, can you turn your camera on and
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unmute yourself, please?
ALBERT CESTERO: Okay, now you can see me?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Yes, we can.
ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. Thank you for allowing me to participate.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Sir, sir, just before you get started, let me swear you in.

Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

ALBERT CESTERO: So help me God. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. And if you could just state and spell your name and give us your address.

ALBERT CESTERO: My name is Albert J.
Cestero, Jr. I live at 3 Howell Road, Freehold, New Jersey 07728.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Cestero, how do you spell your last name?

ALBERT CESTERO: C-E-S-T-E-R-O. I am at --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead, sir.
ALBERT CESTERO: I live at 3 Howell
Road. And this project will be in my backyard.
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I have a couple of concerns. One of them is, in the description it describes this as a one-story warehouse. It's supposed to be 45 feet tall. To me that's four and a half stories because I always considered most people -- most engineers consider ten feet a story. If you live in a building in New York City that's eight floors, it's eight stories, 80 feet high, whatever. So the description to me doesn't seem valid in -- in the writings.

I have lived on this property for 38 years. I'm a business owner in Howell for 44 years. I started my shop when I was 23 years old and I'm 67 years old now. The Jerseyville section of Howell Township has had a quaintness about it.

I'm not opposed to progress. My wife and I both went to bat for the swim club across the street from us when they were turned down at their prior locations, I believe off -- off of Merrick
Road or whatever. We also went to bat for the baseball fields. They're family oriented.

If you leave my shop -- I'm in Howell Minipark, I've been there for 44 years, I start my 45th year December 10th -- and you turn left and you head towards our property -- you know, you'll pass

The Cabin on the left-hand side, you'll pass the -I'm directly across from the Fire Academy -- you'll pass the Bobcat dealer, the new Car Tech auto auction. I'm in the area a long time so I know a lot of what there used to be and everything. But everything has been nicely done.

When you get to the traffic light on Fairfield Road and the former 2R Cycle shop, now it's a pool place, on the left-hand side is The Grange, it looks like you could be in Maine or Vermont. You continue on Route 33 and you'll hit Saker's Industrial Park. It's been there forever. It used to be an Allis Chalmers dealership. That's how old I am. And across the street, the other industrial parks, the Wawa on Okerson Road, everything has been done nicely and in concert with the lay of the land and the area. However, this seems to be gargantuan. It seems to be a monstrosity.

And I did a little research and today, Port Authority has 223 loading bays, they call them gates, for the buses. I was a little confused because I thought that this project had 68 loading docks for tractor-trailers.

And I -- I re-did it a little bit, I
think, if I'm correct; it's 55 loading docks in Building \(A\) and 28 loading docks in Building \(B\); is that correct.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Patrick, that sounds correct, right?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes, that's correct.
ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. So that makes 83 loading docks. Now, the brand new Port Authority that they -- I don't know if they broke ground on it yet -- that has 160 . They actually downgraded their loading bays -- their gates, from 223 to 160.

Now, I've got to be honest with you, I don't know why anyone in their right minds would be wanting to bring tractor-trailers and a depot to operate 24/7, 365 in this area.

I -- I know that Howell Road is a four-ton road. I have lived on the property for 38 years. Plenty of tractor-trailers drive on it. I have never seen one of them ticketed.

I'm pro police. I was actually citizen of the year, a co-citizen of the year in 2016 for my first response to a motor vehicle accident that happened on my front lawn five minutes after I went to my mailbox. So I'm pro police. I'mPpa103 business. I'm pro progress. I love the area. But
this is way too big a project to be putting in here.
You're talking about 24-hour -- 24-hour a day, seven days a week, and you're talking about tractor-trailers.

Now, we enjoy the children that ride their bicycles from the adjacent developments to the swim club. We hear the banter, the people having fun at the swim club.

The ball fields, the same thing. There's been days when there's cars parallel-parked on both sides of the roads for a quarter of a mile in each direction when they have tournaments and what have you. And I'll be out in my yard and I'll hear the PA system going on and then I'll hear the roar of the crowd. And you know what? It puts a smile on my face. I love it.

I love children. I love families. I love Howell Township and I love the Jerseyville section of Howell Township. Mr. Buck, from Bucks Mills Road, a descendant, grew up -- was born in what's our -- what's a bedroom in our home. Our home dates back to 1863. And we love the area.

This is taking half the size of -- of Port Authority and putting it between two small country roads --

\section*{183}

JACQUELINE CESTERO: 150 feet from our backyard.

ALBERT CESTERO: That doesn't matter because they're allowed to do whatever they do.

So that is my concern. My concern is smelling tractor-trailer -- tractor-trailers idling while they're loading --

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Lights.
ALBERT CESTERO: -- lights --
JACQUELINE CESTERO: Travel.
ALBERT CESTERO: Danger to -- those
kids that -- that are in the Little League and riding their bicycles, that's not going to happen anymore. And it's just a way too big thing to be shoehorned in this area. It won't blend in.

I'm appealing to the planning board here, as a Howell Township resident and lover of this area for my entire life. And a planning board's job is supposed to get something that benefits Howell Township and also blends into the area.

You can put as many trees as you want but you're talking a 45-foot high building. That's tall. The new Port Authority that they plan in New York City is only five stories. And this building
that you're putting up -- you can call it one-story, but it's four and a half stories high. So that's a huge concern for me. And -- and I can't understand -- I'm appalled that -- that the planning board would even think of this.

Now, you talk about ratables, you talk about ratables and bringing money into Howell Township. I did a little research again and Equestra has 483 living -- luxury homes and they start around 400,000 to 600,000. They're seniors. They don't have kids to impact the school systems of Howell Township. Our daughters went to the school system. They have a great school system.

A little bit up the road, Four Seasons, they have 111 luxury homes that they're building and they start at 600,000. That's also over 55 with no impact to the -- to the infrastructure to the town at all, except for the police.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Traffic.
ALBERT CESTERO: And the traffic,
that's fine. That's fine. I'm not -- I'm not opposed to traffic, I have an auto body shop. But I am opposed to making a Port Authority in this small area.

A little bit further up the road at --
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what is it? Regency. Those homes are starting at 715,000 to \(\$ 833,000\). And they have 155 homes logged. So that's a lot of money for Howell Township to get, as far as ratables, and Howell Township doesn't have to put anything out. They don't have to put anything, no garbage, no anything.
We have a great police force and -- but it's
not -- these senior homes that are going in there aren't impacting our school systems -- which by the way, there's a few vacant buildings in Howell Township. Our daughters went to the Griebling School and then the middle school, and there's vacant -- there's vacant buildings, a couple of vacant elementary school buildings.

So I'm begging the planning board to reconsider this. The -- the professional presentation is amazing. It's wonderful. But this is not going to blend into the Jerseyville section of Howell, which was very strongly agricultural and industrial.

There used to be an Indian Motorcycle dealership at the turn of -- in the 1930s, right on the corner where the Italian delicatessen was that's now gone, that the state bought the Paito4

And, like I said, I'm an industrial
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business myself. And -- and putting something in this size, with the operation being \(24 / 7\) with tractor-trailers, and even in the description the professional said it's not going to be an Amazon -which tells me that it looks like it could be an Amazon facility, it's sure going to be big enough to be a fulfilment center -- but it's not going to be. But what difference does it make if the structure is going to be there and the -- and the traffic is going to be there? Which is what I think is crazy.

I believe Okerson Road is also a four-ton road. I could be wrong, but I don't know about that.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Our only driveway...

ALBERT CESTERO: Oh, our frontage is on our back. We have frontage with Howell Road and Okerson Road and --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Cestero? ALBERT CESTERO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Let's get that answer. Mr. Lynam?

ALBERT CESTERO: Go ahead. PATRICK LYNAM: Yes?
ALBERT CESTERO: Is Okerson Road --
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, can you please respond to the weight rating on the road please, Okerson?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I do not have the personal knowledge but working with the team I'm aware that Okerson handles the trucks that we're -we're proposing.

Mr. Lynam, am I correct that it's only Howell Road that has the weight restriction?

PATRICK LYNAM: Actually, I don't -- I don't know for 100 percent sure. I know Howell Road is and I know that Okerson Road does handle all the truck traffic currently.

ALBERT CESTERO: Let me comment on handling the truck traffic.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We'll get that for you,
Mr. --
ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. Because Howell
Road handles truck traffic every day. If I took a video of Howell Road on a daily basis, you're going to see 20, 30 tractor-trailers on Howell Road. And they have never been ticketed. And we have lived on this property for 38 years. Even though it's posted, they don't enforce the law. And I'm not asking them to enforce the law.

But if you're going into a new project where you're going to have tractor-trailers -- that what it's specific for. You're making this project specific to be a Port Authority in Howell Township, in the Jerseyville section of Howell Township.

This is way better suited to someplace like Huntsville, Alabama off of Route 64 or something like that.

We have been in this house for a long time. We have an old house. It's small. But there's people that paid a lot of money for their homes in this area and they're within earshot, they're within smell shot because you know -everybody knows, when you go to New York City and you go to Port Authority, what does it smell like? It smells like diesel because that's what fuels these trucks.

So I'm not, -- you know, I have no animosity towards anyone but I do ask, I mean, I implore the planning board to think about this. Take -- look at the site itself. Look at how this is not going to blend in. The swim club blends in beautifully. The ball field -- if we're going to develop this -- this land, make it something a family oriented or -- or single-family homes.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If I may, on behalf of the applicant and briefly, fully understand and respect the concerns of this family.

This is an application that is 100 percent consistent with the goals of the township as they're set forth in your zoning ordinance and your Master Plan. This is the area that was designated for Special Economic Development -ALBERT CESTERO: I'm aware of that. I have been in this area for --

ATTORNEY PAPE: I didn't interrupt you, sir.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Let him finish. ALBERT CESTERO: I'm aware of that.
JACQUELINE CESTERO: Let him finish.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, the
applicant -- the presentation is an application that is consistent with your zoning ordinance and your Master Plan. It has no variance relief. The building height of 45 feet or less is the definition of building height for the zone.

One-story means that there's one floor, there aren't separate floors in the building --

ALBERT CESTERO: ExclPse 105 Your
photograph shows two floors in the building, in the
```

office --

```

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Hold on, Mr. Chairman.

ALBERT CESTERO: -- but that's okay. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: We have -- we
have to allow Mr. Pape to answer the questions first.

ALBERT CESTERO: Sure.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Then there could be a response.

Go ahead, Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
As the board is aware, the applicant has followed all of the requirements that are in the ordinance and all of the guidance and direction provided by the board members and the staff. We recognize that this is change but it's change that is consistent with the Master Plan goals.

It's an interesting analogy to compare us to a bus depot. Clearly we're not a bus depot. And comparing the number of stalls in a bus depot to the number of loading docks in a warehouse is an interesting analogy. I don't know that it carries very well.

But we stand on the application at
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present. It is one that is consistent with the zoning ordinance and the Master Plan.

ALBERT CESTERO: Could I reply?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes.
ALBERT CESTERO: As far as the analogy
between a bus depot and tractor-trailer loading
docks, tractor-trailers are actually longer than buses. They take up more space. They're both fueled by diesel.

And I used the analogy of Port Authority because it's probably the biggest and busiest bus terminal in the world. And you're trying to turn this area here -- and I understand that you're within all of your rights -- your legal rights. Of course you are. Like I said, I'm a businessman in the area for 44 years and I know about variances and I know about the -- the -- the, you know, the setbacks and the heights and everything like that. That's why I'm not appealing to you. That's why I'm appealing to the planning board to do the right thing for Howell Township.

You know, if it -- if it's about money and developing the land, I just showed you where Howell Township is -- is -- has a cash cow with all of these senior developments. And they're not cheap
homes. They're big-money homes. These people are paying \$15-, \$20,000 property tax per year and they're -- and they're getting a great police force out of it. So I understand that.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Cucchiaro, could you please explain to Mr. Cestero that -- that the planning board has no jurisdiction to -- to weigh an application based upon possible or potential tax income coming into -- we don't have that. We don't have that to weigh.

ALBERT CESTERO: I get that. I get that.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: That's not something we can do --

ALBERT CESTERO: But I keep -- I hear from people -- I hear from people about, "Well, it's a good rateable for Howell Township." Just think about where you're putting it.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, but the point is that -- we understand your point, sir.
It's not something that we can consider. It's not something anyone here has said, you know, on the board, it's a good rateable. So we just -- it's not something that we can continue to listen to.

ALBERT CESTERO: I understand. I 193
understand.
ATTORNEY PAPE: I have the answer on the weight limits. Our --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Let's just wait for -- Mr. Cestero, do you have anything else?

ALBERT CESTERO: I can listen to Mr. Pape. Go ahead.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Howell Road is the
only weight-restricted road. Okerson is not weight-restricted. I got that information from our engineering team.

ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. What about Michael Curtin Lane?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I don't -- I don't think they're going on Michael Curtin Lane.

Can you confirm that, Mr. Pape?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Lynam, if you could maybe show the screen and show our driveways to show that we're not using Michael Curtin Lane.

MR. LYNAM: Sure. So you can see to the north, Michael Curtin Lane is along the northern property line.

ALBERT CESTERO: Rightạ106
PATRICK LYNAM: And our driveway is
exiting onto Okerson, which passes the intersection with Michael Curtin Lane.

ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. Okay. Thank you for showing me that.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Anything else, Mr. Cestero?

JACQUELINE CESTERO: No, but I want to

ALBERT CESTERO: My wife, Jackie wants to talk, if it's okay.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I just need to swear her in first.

ALBERT CESTERO: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: It's Jacqueline Cestero. J-A-C-Q-U-E-L-I-N-E, last name.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead,
ma'am.
JACQUELINE CESTERO: So Albert and me
195
previously mentioned that we've lived here 38 years, happily. And our driveway -- because Howell Road is such a busy road, we use our driveway to the rear of the house because it --

ALBERT CESTERO: Michael Curtin Lane.
JACQUELINE CESTERO: -- it exits onto
Michael Curtin Lane. Because Howell Road is so busy that, you know, with young children we never wanted to enter onto Howell Road if we didn't have to.

So Michael Curtin Lane is where my seven grandchildren ride their bikes, play ball. They're still too little to walk to the ball field or to the swim club or to any of the -- you know, Wawa or anything like that, they're under seven.

So I mean, that's a big concern for me with the quality of life that we have here. We're the only home here. There's a home on either side of us but they're businesses. So we don't have a lot of people behind us to say -- this is -- it's a monstrosity. This place is going to be literally 150 feet from my back door.

ALBERT CESTERO: Can I stop -- can I interrupt you for one second?

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Sure.
ALBERT CESTERO: I have a
question about --
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Actually, the way this works is it's one at a time.

ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. That's fine.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So let's defer to your wife and let her finish.

ALBERT CESTERO: Then I want to come back.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: So it's really -it's literally 150 feet from my back door out my backyard, which is -- obviously, if you're familiar with Howell Road and where our house is, it's only approximately 15 feet off of Howell Road.

We do a lot of living in the backyard. We're going to be dealing with lights all night long, noise --

ALBERT CESTERO: All night long.
JACQUELINE CESTERO: -- all night long, all day long, 365 days a year. The smell is going to be horrendous.

It's going to force -- we've already had our water contaminated by this property. It's going to be forcing us to leave.

I mean, I'm near tears. I'm sick to my stomach because we have been here 38 years, finally
starting to retire, and this is what I'm left with. And I know appealing to you personally isn't supposed to do anything, but it's all that I have.

So please reconsider or think about what this is going to look like and what it's going to do to our quality of life. We're not going to have quality of life. We're just not. I already know just from a few warehouses around, just the lights alone, we've managed to live with that and it's okay. But this is going to be horrendous.

So just reconsider. I'm very disappointed in the Foremans. They've been my neighbors for a long time and I'm just very upset with them.

That's all I have to say.
ALBERT CESTERO: Can I come back now?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes.
ALBERT CESTERO: Thank you. As far as the 24 -hour, seven day a week, 365 day a year, I don't understand if that's in the -- has that changed?

Because the last one that they were developing on Okerson Road and Sea Free Plaza and these other areas here, there were - P स1 107 were restrictions as for what hours of the day they could
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operate on. So has that been changed? Has
the -- has the -- the laws in whatever, SED-3 zone, has that been changed at all?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Pape?
ATTORNEY PAPE: No. They're in the --
the zoning ordinance for the SED zone. There are no hours -- there are no restriction on hours.

ALBERT CESTERO: Okay. So the last times we went before the board, I guess it was just the courtesy of the people that were going for Sea Free Plaza to establish limits on their hours of operation?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I don't know any other application -- an application before the zoning board, there could be restrictions on hours. But an application before the planning board for a use that is expressly permitted in a zone that doesn't have time constraints is --

ALBERT CESTERO: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: -- that's what we
have.
ALBERT CESTERO: Like I said, I have no animosity towards the professionals, the planners. But I'm asking the planning board to -to give it some thought about doing the right thing
for Howell Township.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, Mr. Cestero.

ALBERT CESTERO: I have nothing more to say.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
ALBERT CESTERO: Thank you. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. Have a good night.

Okay, Eileen?
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Is there
anyone else who would like to comment or ask
questions on this application? Please use the Raise Your Hand feature.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: We'll give it
about another 30 seconds, Eileen.
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: No one else is raising their hand?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: I have
someone now. Hold on. Patricia.
Patricia, can you unmute yourself and
start your video, please?
I moved her into the meeting but I don't see her.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I see her in the corner here.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Okay. PATRICIA SCHWECKE: We're in now, right?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: We can hear you. Can you turn your camera on for us so I can swear you in, Trish.

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Like I said, I'm new at this. Maybe that's it; am I on?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: You got it, okay.
One more -- I just need to swear you in first, ma'am.

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Sure.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. If you could just spell your name for us and give us your address?

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Yes. My name is Patricia, P-A-T-R-I-C-I-A, Schwecke, S like in Sam, C-H-W-E-C-K-E. I live at 14 Morden Close -- well,
\[
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my mailing address is Freehold, but it's Howell, and that's Surrey Downs.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Schwecke.

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Okay. This is my
question, I'm only -- I'm a transplant of 50 years
in Bergen County. I'm new down here, just about a year. I just got wind of this tonight. I don't know how far this place -- I know where Howell Road is. My question is, how much would this impact on Elton-Adelphia, the traffic, the increase in traffic?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape? ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure. I think -Patrick, if you could put -- put up on the screen, and then I'm going to ask if you feel capable of opining the distance from our site to -- is it 524 that we're talking about?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes. So just take -just take Howell Road and go -- and go south and you'll run into 524. But it's quite a distance.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: None of the trucks are going that way, are they, Chief?

CHIEF KUDRICK: No. If-a 1 108e
Howell Road is weight-restricted there's not going
to be any type of truck traffic on Howell Road leading out to 524, also known as Elton-Adelphia.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah. So there's no -- there's no proposed truck movements from this site that would go towards 524.

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Like heading towards Route 9? Because, you know, this is a development where most of the people are -- I would say there are some young ones moving in that I've noticed, but most of the people are over 70. And it's getting -- it's a little hairy at rush hour but other than that -- I mean, I'm coming from Bergen County, Paramus, Route 17, this is nothing.

But I'm just concerned about getting in and out of the driveway on Surrey Downs.

CHIEF KUDRICK: Right. So Ms.
Schwecke, I can, as the police chief, I can tell you this, knowing that area -- I grew up actually right by the Adelphia firehouse -- so I know exactly what you're talking about. And my grandmother lived in Surrey Downs so --

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Oh, I love it here. I'm very happy here.

CHIEF KUDRICK: So I foresee all the trucks going down to Okerson. They're going to pick 203
up Halls Mills Road which -- and they're going to proceed south to -- to the new interchange there that they did at 524 and Halls Mills Road, which brings you out onto Edinburgh, which dumps out onto Route 9 so -- or they're just going to traverse onto 33 Bypass or Regular Business 33 from the site.

So I don't see -- I don't see this impacting Surrey Downs whatsoever.

PATRICIA SCHWECKE: But if I could say something off the record, I mean, I lived in Paramus. I don't know if you're familiar with that area but it's very, very congested, many malls, whatever. And I saw this change in Paramus and I just -- I just listened to this couple speak and my heart goes out to them because once that building is in, it's a done deal. And I hope -- I hope that things go the way -- I don't know their names, but I hope things go the way they want them to.

I'm sorry that you're going through this trauma.

That's all I have to say. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Thank you, Ms. Schwecke.

\section*{PATRICIA SCHWECKE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: I have} Anazette Ray. I just let her into the --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Is she on
camera?
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

You have to unmute yourself.
ANAZETTE RAY: Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Please
spell your name for the record and give us your address.

ANAZETTE RAY: Anazette, A-N-A-Z-E-T-T-E, last name is Ray. I live at 130 Lions Court. The mailing address is Freehold but it's Howell. It's over off of Five Points Road by the Wawa.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead.
ANAZETTE RAY: I kind of had a
followup as to Ms. Schwecke's question. Were there any studies as to the impact of traffic on Five
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Points Road, mainly trucks coming off of Route 18 taking Five Points down to Truck Route 33 and trying to get Okerson Road there?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Were there -- well the traffic studies that were performed are part of the record. But this is a permitted use so it wasn't necessary to analyze the capacity of the surrounding roadways. It was necessary for us to identify the traffic movements that came out of the site.

ANAZETTE RAY: Okay. Well, I guess the issue is on Five Points there are numerous bus stops for the kids that attend Howell Middle School North, Adelphia and Ardena. And during the winter the middle school bus comes at about 6:30 a.m. So there are kids out there on Five Points Road in the dark during most of the school year.

And how is that going to -- is that going to be a danger to have all these trucks coming down Five Points to kids that are waiting in the dark for the school bus?

Was there any consideration given to that?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I think that the best way to answer it is to have Joseph Fi51aitge, who is the author of the traffic report, to join us and he
can respond to the -- to the question of Ms. Ray.
So with -- with permission, we'll bring
Mr. Fishinger in, share with you his credentials and have him answer the question.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just let me know
when he -- let me know when he gets here.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Joe, we need you on camera and unmute.

JOSEPH FISHINGER: Good evening, everyone.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I just remind you that you remain under oath and please just state and spell your name for the record again.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I've got to put him under oath.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah. Mr. Fishinger is the author of -- one of the authors of the traffic report. Mr. Fishinger needs to be sworn.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JOSEPH FISHINGER: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
J. Fishinger, P.E., PTOE
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spell your name for the record.
JOSEPH FISHINGER: Sure. It's Joseph
Fishinger, F-I-S-H-I-N-G-E-R. I'm the director of traffic engineering with Bright View Engineering. Qualifications, very quickly, I have a bachelor's degree in civil engineering from Rutgers University, a licensed professional engineer in New Jersey, also certified professional traffic operations engineer, my license is in good standing and I have been qualified as a traffic expert in front of this board before. It's been a few years but I have been in front of you already.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, may he so testify?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes, we'll accept his credentials. Thank you.

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Fishinger, I think one of the most important facts you can share is the weight restrictions on Five Points Road. I think that might address a big part of the question.
A. I am not aware, exactly, if there is a
J. Fishinger, P.E., PTOE
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weight restriction all the way down to Five Points Road. I don't have that information immediately in front of me.
Q. Okay. I'll have it for you in a moment. So if you could respond to the question about the impact of truck movements on Five Points Road?
A. So in our traffic study we did look at the intersection of Route 33 and Howell Road/Five Points Road and without going through the entire traffic study we are only projecting a total of nine vehicles in the morning peak hour and ten vehicles in the evening peak hour to even use Five Points Road. And keep in mind that's total vehicles, not just heavy trucks. We're expecting that during -most of those vehicles will be just be passenger cars from employees coming to and from the site.

So we do not anticipate a high number of trucks on that section of roadway. Most of the trucks that will be coming to and from this facility will be sticking to the state highways where they can -- where the roads are designed for them and they can move -- quite honestly, move the fastest.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay. There is -- there is on -- just this is just for the
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record, Mr. Chair. There is a weight limit of four tons sign that is posted on the property. It is on the Wawa property right at the intersection.

So just worth -- that restriction is in place. If anyone wants to Google, you can see the -- the sign very clearly.

CHIEF KUDRICK: So for the answer to Ms. Ray's inquiry is that tractor-trailers are prohibited on Five Points?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Appears to be with a weight limit of four ton sign.

ANAZETTE RAY: I can tell you, living off of Five Points, there are tractor-trailers every day.

CHIEF KUDRICK: I agree.
ANAZETTE RAY: Almost every hour.
And I think it would be a big safety concern for the kids who are waiting for bus stops in the dark most of the school year with not sufficient lighting too.

ATTORNEY PAPE: The position of the applicant is that the project has been properly designed and that the Master Plan identifies this as where this type of activity is to be constidued. And the applicant is entitled to rely on the
existing roadway system provided that they abide by all of those requirements.

ANAZETTE RAY: And I can tell you, as a construction attorney, there are other considerations besides properly designing such as the impact and safety to the community members that the board can take into consideration when determining whether to approve or deny the application.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. ANAZETTE RAY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, Ms. Ray. Anything -- okay. Can I ask her if you have anything else?

ANAZETTE RAY: No. That's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You got it. Have a good night.

Ms. Rubano, anybody else have their hand raised?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Not right
at the moment. If there's anyone else that has -- hold on. I have Marc Parisi coming in.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Parisi, do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to 211
give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MARC PARISI: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name and give us your address.

MARC PARISI: Marc Parisi, M-A-R-C, P-A-R-I-S-I, 2 Castle Court.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead.
MARC PARISI: Yeah, so I -- I had a question tonight. I've listened to the testimony tonight. The planning board is in the process of redoing -- reexamining their Circulation Element. It's my understanding, the town council has appropriated money to, I believe, the firm that Ms. Beahm works for.

I was just curious as to potential impacts of the Circulation Element that, I believe, if I'm not mistaken, has not been reexamined since the Master Plan was adopted in 1994. Potential -potentially impacts if the Circulation Element comes to conclusions that could, like, that could impact this application. That if they approve this application and then say, four to six months from now the Circulation Element is -- is done and comes to conclusions that maybe changes the designation of
\(\qquad\)
some of these roads in this area, whether or not it might be prudent for this board, who's having their Circulation Element done, to postpone making a decision on this pending the -- the findings of a Circulation Element.

I mean, it would seem as though that if this decision is made then it's going to probably impact the Circulation Element in some way with setbacks, whatnot, the designation of roads. But if this decision is not made, then the Circulation Element would not consider this approval in that element.

Could someone speak to that?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, just
from a purely legal perspective, I just want to make one correction. The planning board has reexamined its Master Plan. It used to be every six years and sometimes less than that. The requirement is every ten years. That element hasn't been amended but it's certainly been reexamined pursuant to the statutory requirements.

In terms of the impact that an amendment would have immediately, it would have no impact on an application because it's not an amendment to the zoning ordinance. So that, you
know, you would -- we would have to wait to see whether any amendment to the Circulation Element would lead to an amendment to the zoning ordinance which would be some time.

In terms of delaying a decision, the Municipal Land Use Law provides a certain amount of time that a board has to make a decision. And it can't get an extension of that unless it is so granted by an applicant. And if you do not make a decision and that time expires the applicant can get an automatic approval.

So that's just sort of the legal background. But, Mr. Pape, do you have anything that you would like to add?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I just want one additional statement, Mr. Cucchiaro. I think that all of your statements were accurate from our perspective. But there's also -- there's the time of filing rule. And the time of filing rule is that the laws that are applicable to any given application are the laws that are in place on the day that the application is properly filed.

There was -- there used to be laws that allowed the towns to change the ord \(\mathbf{P a n c e s}\) while applications were pending, but all of those laws
have been set-aside. So this applicant has the right to rely on laws of the township as they exist today throughout the application.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: That is a true statement.

MARC PARISI: Okay. I just wanted to ask the question.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: No problem. That was a legitimate question.

MARC PARISI: Yeah, no, I guess my only other comment would be, you know, I understand that this property owner and the applicant has a right to develop in accordance with, you know, the laws, that is within their right.

You know, but it was recently that there was another, you know, warehouse application, which I won't mention but I think we all know what it was, and that was challenged in court and I'll paraphrase that, you know, the judge in that decision said, Just because you can build something that big doesn't mean that you should.

And it seems as though this applicant is building something very intense. And, you know, I'm mindful of the people that live in that area and the potential regional impacts. I mean, there's a
reason why our state legislature is taking up, you know, possible bills about regional warehouse impacts. And I just would ask the planning board to consider that in their decision.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just on that, you
know, I did live through that application you are referring to.

MARC PARISI: I know.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I wrote the brief. I argued it. In that case there was also a dispute as to whether variance relief was required and the applicant did not think so, the board did. And the court agreed with the board. There were also several design waivers that were required there that are not required here. So you are correct, the board did win the case. But there were some differences in -- in the facts, you know, that are on the ground. MARC PARISI: Understood. You know, that's fair. That was my comment. And that's all I have tonight. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
MARC PARISI: All right, thank you.

\section*{That's all.} -

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Anybody else?
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: If there's
anyone else that needs to comment on this application or ask questions, please use the Raise Your Hand feature before the Chairman closes the public portion of the hearing.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay, Ms. Rubano, anybody raise their hand?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: I don't see anyone with their hand raised.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. That being said, we will close the public portion of the meeting.

Does anybody from the Board have any comments they'd like to bring up?

Anything further from the public comment? Somebody want to --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, given the opportunity, I would ask for just two minutes to make some summation points.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Fair enough.

ATTORNEY PAPE: With your permission, Mr. Chair, this is an applicant that has shown tremendous respect to the town's requirements and 217
has worked within the four corners of the ordinance. Not only within the four corners but has gone beyond, when asked to go beyond what the ordinance requirements are, without exception, this applicant has said yes.

The lighting is not an issue. You heard that the lighting is not going to be spilling off the property. That's the design. And the town has the ability to make sure of that.

As far as the sound, there are state standards that are also embedded in your ordinance about nighttime sound emissions from the site and daytime sounds. There's no variance relief that is allowed from that at all. So we are obligated to comply with that.

And as -- as your professionals have indicated in their reports and on the record, there's no variance relief requested. And I think the one waiver that we're asking for is the only -was the one that was created by working with the board and the board's professionals on the lighting plan.

For all of those reasons we respectfully ask that this board consideath12 application this evening favorably.
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    Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: The other thing
    I would -- Mr. Pape, I would recommend to provide
absolute certainty that you don't have any light
spillage off the property, I'd like to ask if your
professional could opine that if adding house-side
shields to the lights would help eliminate that
possibility and if that would be something that
would be recommended.
ATTORNEY PAPE: As a guarantee,
Patrick, can you place please on the record?
PATRICK LYNAM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes, that's an
agreement?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.
PATRICK LYNAM: Yes, an agreement.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. So if you
could -- is that done; is that your completion?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Could we just
quickly go through all of the things that the
applicant has agreed to?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: It's all -- it's
all on the record, Mr. Chair, and it will all be in
the resolution.

```
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fair enough.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. If the board doesn't have any further questions I'll entertain a motion.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So just, Mr.
Chair, what the applicant is seeking is Preliminary and Final Site Plan approval with ancillary design waiver relief, so it would either be a motion to approve or to deny that.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: As Mr. Cucchiaro said, I'll entertain a motion, please.

CHIEF KUDRICK: I'm going to make a motion to approve Case Number SP-1085, AAFFHW Property, LLC.

I understand the -- Mr. Cestero. I grew up in a very busy area of Howell, too. I saw it get developed. But as the planning board, this is a permitted use and the applicant satisfied all of the requirements and actually went overboard. It's the first time I've seen an applicant actually agree to pave an entire street. So that's beneficial to the township as well.

And, hopefully, they're a good neighbor to those in that area.
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