The meeting was called to order by Chairman Tannenhaus and the opening statement was read by the Administrative Officer.

ROLL CALL: Showed the following members were present: Joseph Cristiano, Paul Dorato, Brian Greenfield, Robert Spanvill, Megan Talente and Chairman Brian Tannenhaus. Councilman John Bonevich was absent and Paul Boisvert, Nicholas Huszar, Robert Nicastro and Robert Seaman were excused.

Also in attendance were Ron Cucchiaro, Board Attorney, Laura Neumann, Board Engineer, Jennifer Beahm, Bell, and the Board Secretary, Eileen Rubano.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: There were no minutes to approve.
VOUCHERS: There were no vouchers.
CORRESPONDENCE: There was no correspondence
RESOLUTIONS: There were no resolutions to memorialize.
SUBMISSION WAIVERS: There were no submission waivers.

## APPLICATIONS BEFORE THE BOARD:

a. Case No. SP-1085 / AAFFHW Property, LLC - (Forman Family)

## SEE ATTACHED TRANSCRIPT

The Board Attorney made an announcement that the application for Case No. SP-1085 AAFFHW Property, LLC would be carried to another special meeting on Tuesday, November 29, 2022 with no further notice.
b. Case No. SP-1095 / AAFRHW Property, LLC - (Frisa)

The Board Attorney made an announcement that the application for Case No. SP-1095 AAFRHW Property, LLC would be carried to a special meeting on Tuesday, November 29, 2022 with no further notice.

Since there was no other business, Ms. Talente made a motion to adjourn. Motion was seconded by Mr. Dorato and carried. Meeting adjourned at 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,


Eileen Rubano
Recording Secretary
NOTE: A CD or DVD of this meeting is available on request.
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## EXHIBITS NOT RETAINED BY REPORTER

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Opening
statement, please.
BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on a second, I just had it and put it away.

Howell Township Planning Board Special Meeting, Tuesday, November 22, 2022. I hereby declare this Special Meeting of the Howell Township Planning Board to be open, adequate notice having been given pursuant to the New Jersey Open Public Meetings Act in the following manner:

First, on November 14, 2022, a copy of said notice was mailed to the Asbury Park Press and the Tri-Town News.

Second, on November 14, 2022, a copy of said notice was hand-delivered to the clerk of the Township of Howell.

Third, on November 14, 2022, said notice was posted in the office of the planning board and on the bulletin board in the Howell Township Municipal Building, 4567 Route 9, Howell Township, New Jersey.

Members of the public will have a chance to ask questions and comment on applications once the Chairman opens the hearing up to the members of the public. If you wish to ask questions
or comment on an application you will need to use the Raise Your Hand feature and we will bring you into the meeting one at a time. You will need to have audio and video capability. You will be sworn in and you will need to provide your name and address. For anyone calling in you can press *9 to raise or lower your hand and *6 to mute or unmute yourself.

This meeting is being videotaped for possible future broadcast on Howell Township TV 77 .

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Pledge of Allegiance, could everybody please - -roll-call?

Roll-call.

BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Boisvert was
excused.
Mr. Cristiano?

MEMBER CRISTIANO: Present.
BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Dorato?

MEMBER DORATO: Here.
BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Greenfield?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Here.
BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Huszar, I have
not heard from. Mr. Nicastro was excused. Mr.

Seaman, I do not see on the meeting. Councilman Bonevich? Mr. Spanvill was excused.

Ms. Talente?
MEMBER TALENTE: Here.
BOARD SECRETARY: And Chairman
Tannenhaus?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Here.
Could everybody please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and a moment of silence for our first responders and for those folks serving both here and abroad.
(Pledge of Allegiance.
(The Planning Board now continues with its agenda.)

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Applications before the board, Case Number SP-1085 AAFFHW Property, LLC, Foreman family.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, are we in?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Board members, board professionals and public, my name is Kenneth Pape. I'm an attorney with Heilbrunn Pape and $I$ have the privilege and responsibility of representing your
applicant this evening.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just before Mr.
Pape continues, $I$ just want to sort of bring the board and the public up to speed with regard to where we are tonight and what the purpose of tonight's meeting is.

The board may recall this applicant had previously appeared before us seeking approval for this particular location. There was a tie vote that night which means that the application was statutorily denied. The applicant, after that, filed an action in lieu of prerogative writ in Superior Court challenging the board's decision.

The parties took that opportunity to have some discussions and the applicant decided to go back and address some of the areas that they believed were of issue with the board and the public, and they revised the plan and you will hear what the revisions are tonight.

So the way that the process works, when there's a settlement of litigation in a land use matter, the settlement doesn't approve a particular plan. What it does is it allows the applicant to
come back and have what is called a Whispering Woods hearing. And that's named after a case, it's called Whispering Woods in Middletown.

So we're here tonight for the
Whispering Woods hearing. So what the applicant is going to do is present the modifications it has made in the plan from the last time it was here. The applicant will then be open to questioning just the way any applicant is from the board members and also professionals will be subject to cross-examination from members of the public. Members of the public will also have an opportunity to testify as to what they think about the application. And at the end the board will take a vote.

The board is not required under the law at the outset to approve it. What it is required to do is hold the hearing in order to elicit the formal testimony from both the applicant as well as other interested parties.

So that is what we are here for
tonight. That is what Mr. Pape will present tonight and we will see, you know, how it progresses. But that's how we got from there to here and the importance of tonight again is understanding what the revisions have been to the plan, listening to
the testimony of both the applicant and the public and then we will take a vote.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: You can continue, Mr. Pape.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Ron, I'm sorry, just so $I$ can clearly understand, so we still vote on this application like normal?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: The vote that you took previously was to have this hearing. It wasn't a vote to approve anything. It was a vote to allow this hearing to progress.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Got it. Thank you. Mr. Pape, please continue.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely. Mr. Chairman, board members, I appreciate Mr. Cucchiaro's opening remarks to the board. So this is an application that was initially presented to the board in the fall before you, in September and October of that year. And then, as indicated, the application was not approved, the vote was a $3-3$ tie which, as Mr. Cucchiaro indicated, is a statutorily a denial. Then the litigation followed. And this past summer
the litigation was resolved in a settlement Agreement that was dated August 10, 2022. It was resolved conditionally. The resolution was based upon a presentation of a plan that was referred to in the Settlement Agreement as Sketch F, July 22, 2022, of the amended plan. What we have this evening is the Sketch $F$ that has been worked into a true site plan for presentation to the board.

The settlement that was entered into on August 10 , 2022, expressly requires that there be a Whispering Woods hearing and as Mr. Cucchiaro indicated this is that Whispering Woods. The settlement includes language in the event the application is not approved or it's approved with unacceptable conditions, then the settlement is set aside and the applicant and the board return to the litigation.

The plans have been amended. And I'm not testifying but by way of a brief summary, the plans have been amended. Building $B$ has been rotated 180 degrees changing the direction of the loading area, a significant change. A sound attenuation wall system has been incorporated into the plan. The building, the truck court is 70 feet in width. The site entrance widths are now 20 feet
off of Howell Road. There have been some modifications to the driveways. The utility area, including the water tank, well house, irrigation house have been reconfigured and moved further from Howell Road. There have been some grading and stormwater management facilities, utilities and landscape modifications to accommodate all of those revisions I just outlined.

The presentation tonight will be made by three professionals on behalf of the applicant. Patrick Lynam is our professional engineer. He remains the design engineer for this project. When we began in September his credentials were placed on the record and he was found -- he was accepted as an expert and before he testifies this evening I will ask Mr. Cucchiaro if he wishes for those to put those credentials again on the record.

Joseph Fishinger is a professional engineer. He is our traffic expert and he has some brief testimony on traffic. And I say "brief" because there's almost no changes from in traffic from what we presented the last time.

Christine Cofone is our professional planner. There are some variances and waivers that are part of this plan and Ms. Cofone will address
them.
As Mr. Cucchiaro has indicated in his opening remarks to you, the application presented tonight is intended to address the revisions to the plans. We are responsible for identifying the revisions to the plan. We do not intend to revisit all of the elements of the plan that remain unchanged. It should be noted that except for the revisions placed on the record tonight, all other commitments and all other representations and the record that was made by the applicant before this board in September and October of 2021 remains commitments of the applicant to the board and to the township.

And with permission, our first witness will be Patrick Lynam. I return to Mr. Cucchiaro. He was sworn at the September hearing, his credentials are on the record. How do you wish for us to proceed in this hearing?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: We'll just re-swear him and re-qualify him for the record. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

```
P. Lynam, P.E.
```

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record.

PATRICK LYNAM: Patrick Lynam,
P-A-T-R-I-C-K, Lynam, L-Y-N-A-M. And I'm a graduate of New Jersey Institute of Technology with a bachelor's in civil engineering. I have 20 years in site civil engineering profession. I have a New Jersey professional engineering license in good standing. And I have previously testified before the Howell Township Planning Board.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. We will accept your credentials.

PATRICK LYNAM: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.

E X A M I N A T I O N

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Lynam, I'm going to ask if you could begin by introducing the exhibit that you intend to rely upon during the testimony?
A. Yes. I am going to share my screen. This is Exhibit A-12, which is the buffer exhibit and it's dated September 1 of 2022. It is the new site layout which includes a colorized version of

## P. Lynam, P.E.

the landscape plan along the buffers, along the farmland buffer to the west and the residential buffer to the east.
Q. Mr. Lynam, will you confirm on the record that this exhibit was provided to the township more than 48 hours before this hearing?
A. Yes, it has.
Q. I'm going to ask if we can do a little bit of fact-building before we go through the revisions. Would you place on the record the lot and block and address of the property?
A. Yes. So the subject site is Block 164 in Lot 5.01. The address is 29 Howell Road and it's located with frontage along Howell Road to the east and Okerson Road and Michal Curtin Lane to the north and Bypass 33 to the south.
Q. The zone district of this property, Mr. Lynam?
A. Yes, the property is located in the special economic development zone district.
Q. And the size of the property, please?
A. Yes, the property is 29.54 acres.
Q. There are two buildings shown on the plan. Would you place the size of those buildings on the record?
P. Lynam, P.E.
A. Yes, Building A to the west is 325,737 square feet and Building B to the east is 98,828 square feet.
Q. I trust that those are the same size buildings that were on the plans when we were before the board in 2021?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. If you could identify the source of potable and firefighting water supply and if you could identify the sanitary sewer that would be incorporated into the plan?
A. Sure. The on-site wells are proposed for potable water and fire water supply. A fire water tank is proposed to provide fire flow and pressure to the fire suppression systems within the building. There are multiple on-site low-pressure pump stations that are proposed for sanitary sewer service, a force main pumping the sanitary sewer is proposed for along 33 -- along Howell Road to Business 33 and then east along Business 33 to Fairfield Road which then it turns south which discharges to a regional pump station on Fairfield Road.
Q. And the tank that is part of the firefighting system, that's the same tank -- I think

## P. Lynam, P.E.

you indicated you moved it further away from the road, but it's the same tank that was part of the system on the original design, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And the testimony in the original hearing that you presented is that the tank would have to have adequate water supply and that that is 25 feet in height. Those remain your statements?
A. Correct.
Q. I think what is significant now is all of what you just shared with the board are elements of the plan that were in place when we were before the board in 2021. Now if you would be so [Zoom audio cut off] the revisions to the plan?
A. Sure. So concentrate along the east side of the development. So a sound attenuation fence was added along the east side, along the buffer line. As mentioned before, Building B, the loading was facing east, now it has been rotated 180 degrees so the loading is now facing west to the interior of the site.

The truck court is now 70 feet to accommodate circulation. The Howell Road entrance area is adjusted to 20 feet to accommodate the updated circulation. There is now a one-way ingress
to the parking for Building $B$ on the south portion of the parking lot. It does maintain two-way access because there is two-way access permitted to the north around the building.

As mentioned before, the utility area located roughly in the center of the site was consolidated because we're using low-pressure pump stations and that afforded us the opportunity to shift the tank in closer to the interior site, further away from Howell Road. To accommodate all these changes there is also updates to the grading, the stormwater management facilities, utilities, landscaping and other ancillary site improvements to accommodate those primary changes.
Q. That sound attenuation wall system that you just referenced, what is the proposed height of that attenuation wall?
A. Yes, the proposed height is 15 feet on top of the retaining wall.
Q. And if you could identify the location and the modifications that you have made to the retaining walls on the site?
A. Yes, so the retaining wall is at the residential buffer line at 50 foot and the attenuation wall is on top of it. And in order to
accommodate the changes, the wall did not change in height at all.
Q. And I trust that your direction of stormwater flow has not changed?
A. Correct.
Q. Can you confirm that there are no open spaces in the sound attenuation and/or the retaining walls?
A. That is confirmed.
Q. $\quad$ Qan you confirm that variance relief may be required for this design, the sound wall is greater than 4 feet in height and accordingly some variance relief will be required to include it in the plan?
A. That is correct.
Q. And if you could confirm that the sound attenuation wall's location is specifically to deflect sound from the residential properties and its location is in part within the buffer on that side of the property?
A. That is confirmed.
Q. We'll need a variance for that.

If you could -- the driveway system, you have a number of driveways and you have a fairly sophisticated method of ingress and egress to both

## P. Lynam, P.E.

Okerson and Howell Road. I know that these plans have been scrutinized by Chief Lewis. I, personally, spent yesterday afternoon with him going over them.

Would you take us through the dimensions of the driveway system?
A. Yes. So starting on Howell Road we have a 20-foot ingress lane and then a separate 25-foot egress lane. And they enter into a 30-foot main aisle which continues around the site and then the driveway at Okerson includes a 25-foot ingress and two lanes for egress, a 15-foot left-turn lane and an 18-foot right-turn lane. And those have been approved by the fire chief.
Q. And, Mr. Chair and board members, I did get to see Chief Louis yesterday. I was waiting for him to return from his time off and when $I$ saw him yesterday he was kind enough to go through this entire plan and he was also kind enough to update his fire review letter to indicate that it was -- what he indicated in that plan was that the project roadway width as shown on the plan, dated October 26,2022 , met the needs of the fire department for apparatus placement for which we are most grateful. If we can continue - -
P. Lynam, P.E.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, I have got a question for you. His letter also indicated if there was going to be a gate?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Say that again,
Mr. Chair? I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: His report also indicated if there was going to be any gates that would have to be --

ATTORNEY PAPE: There's no gate.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: No gate?
ATTORNEY PAPE: No gates proposed
there.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. He also gave us fire lane details. Patrick, you will use Chief Lewis's fire lane details and place them on the plan?
A. Yes.
Q. And responder radio coverage will be incorporated into the building, if that is Fire Chief Lewis's direction; you indicated that he reserves that?
A. Yes.
Q. There's some curb depressions, handicap curb depressions along the curb that faces the car
parking but you don't have depressions along the side of the building where there is no parking.

If you can confirm that and then $I$
think we'll ask this board for a waiver for not having handicap depressions on those sides of the building where there is no parking. If you can just give us the details on that?
A. Yes, so that is correct. The curb ramps are provided 16 feet or less in spacing where head-on parking is proposed up against the sidewalks and that's to facilitate customers or employees or patrons coming from the parking area into those sidewalks and continue into the building.

What we're asking for relief are areas that are to the north of Building $A$ where you can see we're connecting the pedestrian circulation system to Okerson where there's no head-on parking. And there are handicap ramps shown where necessary at the crossings. Also there are areas to the south of Building B. Similarly, there are no depressions because there's no head-on parking, there is no reason to come out into the drive aisle and handicap ramps are provided along that pedestrian path where the pedestrian path crosses the drive aisles.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You have
bollards for head-on parking?
THE WITNESS: We don't have bollards for head-on parking.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We'll gladly add them.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yeah, Mr. Pape,
I just got a call just this afternoon about an incident in Massachusetts.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Duly noted. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Welcome. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Landscaping, if you can confirm that the landscaping plan that you worked on with Jennifer Beahm, Laura Neumann and Shari Spero at the first hearing, all of those elements remain except as necessary to accommodate the 180 -degree turning of the building that you just described?
A. That is correct.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And for the record, Mr. Chair and board members, there is a technical requirement of landscaping along the edge of the building where the loading docks are located. We have not put a landscaping bed along the building where the loading docks are located, I think we can say for logical reasons. It is the same as we had
presented previously. I believe that your ordinance calls that a waiver for which we are requesting relief.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Our solid waste and recycling system is a modern system, we have compactors for our trash and we collect and store inside the building our recyclables. Mr. Lynam, if you could just take us through that design?
A. Yes, so each building is -- provides two compactors at the north and sound ends of the buildings. And then that handles the refuse for the buildings and then, as Mr. Pape mentioned, all recyclables are stored in the building until a private hauler comes out, then it's wheeled out, disposed of and wheeled back into the building.
Q. And these compactors sitting on the ground are adjacent in all instances to a loading ramp; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. That loading ramp in some way, part provides some screening to the traveling public, visibility of the compactors; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Then we're not relying on that for the

## P. Lynam, P.E.

screening. There are landscape islands at the end of the trailer storage area and those landscaped islands are substantially landscaped and that is what we're relying on for screening.

Again, Mr. Lyman, an accurate
statement?
A. That is correct.
Q. With your cursor, if you could just
indicate to the board and all who are listening, where those islands are that I've described that are so landscaped?
A. Sure. I'm going to reference $A-1$ which is the set of site plans and I'm going to go to the landscape plan.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Can we just pause for a second here, Eileen? I think Mr. Dorato has his hand up wanting to get -- I guess he got kicked out. He's been on there for a while now. I believe you need Mr. Lyman to stop sharing the screen for a minute to let him in?

BOARD SECRETARY: No, I can get him. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.

BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Is he back in?

MEMBER DORATO: Yeah, I'm here. I

## P. Lynam, P.E.

heard the whole thing.
THE WITNESS: Cool. So referring to
the landscape plans as part of Exhibit A-1, I'm going to zoom in to the areas. The compactors you can see for instance in Building $A$ to the northwest corner, the compactor is located in the first or the second loading bay, the first is the ramp. And the reason why the ramp provides a portion of the screening is because the ramp is actually going up to the finished floor while the grade for the compactor is going down 4 feet below the ramp. Nevertheless, there are substantial landscaping and evergreen screening provided around the corners of the building to help screen the compactors. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And is that condition the same for all four compactors?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, because our
trash is not in a cubicle for a rollout trash
receptacle, this design technically requires waiver relief for which we are requesting.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. If you could -- if you would, Mr.

Lynam, if you could describe the lighting.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And the board may
recall -- Mr. Chairman, you may recall, that we had offered an alternative lighting plan that was consistent with IES -- I think I have those credentials correct, Illuminating Engineering Society -- and not necessarily with the township's conditions.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Patrick, would you take us through those?
A. Yes. So the township ordinance requires a minimum foot-candle that is lower than what we would recommend as part of the IES. So we have designed it to have a minimum of half a foot-candle along the pedestrian walkways and that's why we're requesting relief.
Q. And would you confirm that the IES lighting standards are met with this plan?
A. That's correct.
Q. Sidewalk system, there are considerable sidewalks on the plan and we have no issue at all with the sidewalks on the plan. There was some recommendations in the staff's report for sidewalks. We have no issue with those recommendations.

We do not show sidewalks on Michael

## P. Lynam, P.E.

Curtin Lane and $I$ think that is technically a waiver. And $I$ ask you if you can show us where Michael Curtin Lane is and where we don't show sidewalks.
A. Michael Curtin Lane is to the north of the site. It's actually in between Okerson public right-of-way and the subject site and that Michael Curtin right-of-way is improved and it provides access to a few residents along Howell Road and it's, like, a secondary access for the residents who will have primary access on Howell Road.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We did not offer sidewalks at the time of the first hearing and we do not show sidewalks on there and request a waiver for that condition.

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Chairman, can I just ask a question? If they're seeking a waiver, are they willing to pay into the Sidewalk Fund?

ATTORNEY PAPE: If that is the ordinance, the answer is yes.

BOARD ENGINEER: That is the ordinance.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Where is the sidewalk ending; is it ending right at the entrance to Michael Curtin Lane, is that what I'm seeing
here?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. We had made commitments to the town on the record to mill and resurface -- help me, what road?
A. Okerson.
Q. I knew that, $I$ just couldn't get it out. There was a request made that the applicant mill and resurface Okerson curb-to-curb. I don't know if it's curb-to-curb, but edge of pavement to edge of pavement. We agreed to do so the only caveat was that we said it would be Okerson in front of our property within the Township of Howell because some of Okerson is in a neighboring town, and that commitment continues. We are prepared to mill that. For the record, this is not --

BOARD ENGINEER: Well, if I can clarify, it's beyond your frontage? It's the entire portion of Okerson within Howell Township?

ATTORNEY PAPE: That's the agreement we made, Ms. Neumann, correct.

BOARD ENGINEER: Thank you.
ATtORNEY PAPE: As long as it's within Howell, we agreed that we will do it. We also --

## P. Lynam, P.E.

the architectural treatment of the buildings which we spent considerable time on and, in fact, received some positive comments from Ms. Beahm. There are no changes. You may recall there were a number of elements to the building that were requested, the types of windows, the types of louvers, all of the commitments that are on the record continue. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. There is also, if you could confirm, Patrick, there's no changes to the proposed signage; can you identify what the signage is on the ground?
A. Yes, so the applicant is proposing two free-standing signs, one at the entrance of Howell Road and one at the entrance of Okerson Road.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And we also had agreed that there would be no wall-mounted signs, that was a request of Ms. Beahm, and there continue to be no wall-mounted signs on the plan.

A blanket statement to you, Mr. Chair and the board members, as I indicated as we began, all commitments that were made to the board that are part of the record below, remain commitments of this applicant to the board. The only revisions are those that Mr. Lynam has outlined to you. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

## P. Lynam, P.E.

Q. Mr. Lynam, did we complete a summary of the revisions that your office has made to the plans?
A. Yes.
Q. And there are some professional reports that have been provided to us. If you could confirm that you have had an opportunity to review both Ms. Beahm's and Ms. Neumann's reports, Ms. Beahm's from August and Ms. Neumann's from November 18th, and that you will comply with the remaining technical guidance that appears within those reports?
A. Correct, confirmed.
Q. If you could further confirm that - I stand corrected.

ATTORNEY PAPE: That is my direct examination of Mr. Lynam. He remains available to the board and the board's professionals and the public and is available to you at this time.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, I don't know if it's this professional's responsibility to answer the question but can you please provide some testimony on the Environmental Commission's report? It looks like they're asking for some additional documentation in regards to infiltration and storm designs, et cetera.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chairman, we had gone to the Environmental Commission a number of times, I think you know this applicant and I always go to them. The last report came out on

November 9 th and we got it last week and it asked that we return to see them and it also asked for some additional information on the stormwater.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If you would --

Patrick, if you would address the stormwater comments in the Environmental Impact report. And I will make the confirmation that we will go see the Environmental Commission if that is their request.
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Before you start with that is there any changes to those systems from the previous application?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Changes to the stormwater system?

THE WITNESS: Yes, there was changes to the stormwater system and we will be supplying a revised geotechnical report to substantiate the proposed stormwater design.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. The design was reviewed by Ms. Neumann, Mr. Lynam?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you briefly describe it to be responsive to Chairman Tannenhaus's inquiry, the revisions?
A. The revisions to the stormwater?
Q. In terms that we can understand.
A. Well, basically when you rotate the building, you know, we had different locations of what we called green infrastructure basins and based on the new layout, some of those areas had to shift to other areas where there was available space and, you know, with the new stormwater regs there -- they encouraged to treat stormwater at the source so anywhere there's landscape areas we take advantage and propose bio-retention in those areas so anytime we change the layout there's a high chance that the stormwater is going to change with it. And that's what happened in this case. So we updated the stormwater management green infrastructure locations and that in turn required us to go out to the site again and obtain an updated geo tech information to substantiate design and we intend to supply that information at a later date.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Since we're on that subject, Mr. Pape, is it going to end up
becoming a better project, not as good of a project? Can you opine on what ended up happening with the updates to the stormwater? Will you have more bio-retention now?

THE WITNESS: Well, there's a certain criteria you have to meet, you have to have enough bio-retention, you have got to meet drainage area, minimal drainage area requirements. There's a whole slew of requirements nowadays on how to design it.

BOARD ENGINEER: I think the question is is did that change? So are you saying that the prior design was not subject to the new stormwater regulations?

THE WITNESS: No, the prior design was subject to the new stormwater regs, and we did comply and we are complying again.

BOARD ENGINEER: What the Chairman is asking you is, these revisions that you most recently made, is it for a betterment?

What made you make those provisions?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Building location.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, the fact of just the layout changes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I get that point. I just wanted to know, did the layout change

## P. Lynam, P.E.

provide for a better project? That's kind of what I was getting at.

THE WITNESS: Oh, as far as stormwater, $I$ mean, it's the same. But you know, the other benefits are realized to the sound wall and moving the loading to the interior of the site. I think that was the primary focus.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just so I can kind of maybe boil it down to where $I$ think the Chairman is asking, from what $I$ understand your testimony to be, the project became higher quality and better by virtue of the other changes you were previously discussing and in order to accommodate those changes there had to be a modification in the stormwater management.

The stormwater management didn't markedly improve or do more than the original plan but it was necessary to modify that plan to accommodate all the other improvements you had made to the original design.

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Is that a fair
statement?
THE WITNESS: That is fair.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you for
summarizing that.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. I have one bit of punctuation that I would like to put on that. You made a statement that you will comply with the technical requirements set forth in the staff reports. With specificity will you indicate that you are aware of the technical requirements for stormwater and that you will comply with all of those to the satisfaction of the board?
A. That is correct.
Q. When you testified the last time, I asked you to confirm full compliance of your design with the DEP current standards, full compliance of your design of the township standards and an affirmative statement that there was no burden on the town, that these would be private stormwater systems maintained by the property owner subject to an O\&M manual to be recorded. Please confirm tonight that those all remain accurate statements.
A. They do, they remain accurate. ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I have no further direct. Before Patrick is let out of the hot seat $I$ am going to ask if he would share with all of us the status of the outside agencies --
progressed just about all of the way through the outside agency approvals, and if he could share that with us.

THE WITNESS: Sure. I'll start with the Monmouth County Planning Board, we received their approval last April.

Howell Township Municipal Utilities Department, we're pending their approval.

The Freehold Soil Conservation District, where they have indicated their intent to certify, just waiting on their certification.

With regards to the DEP, we are prepared to submit an application to the DEP for Treatment Works Approval, for the Sewer Service, after we receive approvals from the Township Municipal Utilities Department and the Manasquan Regional Sewage Authority.

With regard to Howell Township Shade Tree Commission, we have updated reports and they have indicated their approval. Township Environmental Commission, we just went over and we will supply the additional information requested and the Howell Township Bureau of Fire Prevention was again discussed and we will comply with their letter as well.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
Mr. Chairman, board members, your witness.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Does anybody on
the board have any questions?
Seeing none, Mr. Pape, your next witness, please.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Thank you, Patrick. Don't leave us.

Joseph Fishinger is a professional engineer. He's one of our traffic experts. I would ask that he present in a summary fashion the traffic elements of the plan and the concern that they are similar if not identical to the original. Mr. Fishinger was not sworn at the last hearing so if we could have him sworn and share his credentials with you please.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

Joseph FISHINGER: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record.

JOSEPH FISHINGER: Sure. It's Joseph
Fishinger, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}$. I'm the director of
traffic engineering with Bright View Engineering, Livingston, New Jersey.

My qualifications, very quickly,
bachelor's degree in civil engineering from Rutgers University, 25 years of engineering experience, I'm a licensed professional engineer and a certified professional traffic operations engineer. I have been qualified in front of the Howell Planning Board previously and my licenses are current.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
We'll accept your credentials.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.

E $\quad \mathrm{X}$ A $\quad \mathrm{M}$ I N A T I $\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{N}$

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Fishinger, if you would, you heard Mr. Lynam's description of the site, he took us through the driveway system. I am going to ask if you could, in a narrative fashion, address access to the site and site circulation, you can confirm the adequacy of the parking that is shown on the plans and the adequacy of this driveway design?
A. So, very quickly, as you heard Mr. Lynam say, there is access is proposed via a
full-movement driveway to Okerson Road and a left and right turn-in driveway and a right out-only driveway to Howell Road. Our traffic study looked at the site driveways as well as the intersections of Route 33 and Okerson and Route 33 and Howell Road. Very quickly, the site would generate 94 trips in the morning peak hour, 102 in the evening peak hour and 740 on a typical weekday.

Looking at the changes at the Levels of Service due to the project, none of the level of service grades changed and there are only modest increases in levels of delay of a second or less on any given approach.

As far as the site driveways, they all operate at a Level of Service B or better for all approaches and movements for both time periods. And there's a total of 235 physical parking spaces proposed where 177 are required. We're providing adequate parking to service the site.

ATTORNEY PAPE: The board may recall, Mr. Chairman, board members, we had indicated at earlier hearings that in order to be certain that we could accommodate shift-change parking demand, there is the additional 60 parking stalls and that's the purpose of having an excess reservoir on site.

I have no further examination of Mr.
Fishinger. I think Mr. Cucchiaro indicated focus on the changes, and there are no other changes to the parking and traffic.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Did the quantity
of parking spots for the workers change with the rotation of the building?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We lost about 20 . Patrick, could you come in? We went from 255 to 235, or something like that?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes, it was reduced.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: But still
compliant, correct?
PATRICK LYNAM: Correct.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: So does that mean that we lost some pavement and we have got some more impervious coverage out of that change?

PATRICK LYNAM: I'm not 100 percent sure it probably was a net reduction in overall impervious coverage, yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Fishinger is available to you for examination, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Does anybody
from the board have any questions?

MEMBER DORATO: I did.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I'm sorry, Mr.
Dorato. Go ahead.
MEMBER DORATO: How many trips did you
say? How many total trips?
THE WITNESS: There was 94 in the
morning peak hour, 102 in evening peak hour and 740 on a daily basis.

MEMBER DORATO: Is this one open seven days a week or five days a week.

ATTORNEY PAPE: It has the potential, Mr. Dorato, of being seven days a week.

MEMBER DORATO: Okay. So we don't have tenants yet?

ATTORNEY PAPE: NO.
MEMBER DORATO: Okay. So the trips are just, what, generated on a basis of how much square footage you have? How are we determining how many trips if we don't know the tenants?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's based on the ITE. In this case, it was the loth edition Trip Generation Manual for it's Land Use Code 150 which is for warehousing.

I did take a quick look at the 11th edition estimates which have come out since the report was issued and the ITE estimates actually go down about four trips per hour.

MEMBER DORATO: Okay. And then, isn't there a weight restriction on Howell Road?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we're intending that all of the truck traffic will use the -- either the Okerson Road driveway or south on Howell Road to get to Route 33.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We have identified that, Mr. Dorato, on the record previously and agreed to abide by all of the posted weight limits. MEMBER DORATO: Right. Okay, that's all I had.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, let's correct the record there for a second. I don't think you have a turn out of the site to go south on Howell Road to get to 33 .

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Lynam, would you bring up the plans, so that the Chairman can have them in front of him as we have continuous discussion?

MEMBER DORATO: Is it that right turn out?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You're right.
There's a right turn out that goes -- you know what, there's a ramp -- there's a ramp off of Howell Road onto 33 , isn't there?

MEMBER DORATO: Right.
THE WITNESS: There's a ramp to Howell to Route 33 westbound.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Forgot about that. I thought the only option to get on and off is through Wilkerson.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I recall Chief Lewis having specific guidance on that point.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yeah.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Can we have the plans brought up, Chairman?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You know what, I don't know if you have enough on your map. I'm looking at it myself here. I would love for everybody in the public -- Mr. Dorato brought it up. It's a good point. I would really like this to be on the record somehow to show that ramp going up 33 from Howell Road.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If nothing else, clearly on the front page it's shown.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Unfortunately,
you have a big old arrow right over the --
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Right over
the...
ATTORNEY PAPE: With your cursor -using A-1, Mr. Lynam, could you show us where that ramp is?

## CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yeah.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Can you bring A-1 up?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I think we're.
PATRICK LYNAM: Good, sorry $I$ was on
mute.
ATTORNEY PAPE: With your cursor and a narrative, could you describe it, Patrick?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes. Sorry, I was on mute. So, yes, this you can see the egress lane, designated egress lane is forced to make vehicles go south on Howell Road and you can see the onramp for Route 33 Bypass, right immediately south of the southeast corner of Building B.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Chair, is that an adequate description for the record?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Sounds good to me. Mr. Dorato?

MEMBER DORATO: I could see it here.

I'm looking at it, so yeah. Thank you.
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Before that goes away, I have a question. So is that sidewalk going down Howell Road that I'm seeing?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes.
MEMBER GREENFIELD: So this is just - I'm just wondering, why do we need that sidewalk on Howell Road?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Well, Mr. Greenfield --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Part of our ordinance of the township does.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well, I understand but it's Howell Road, like not -- couldn't we just do a donation for the sidewalk to some sidewalk program?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Greenfield, we are willing to do that, if so directed.

Previously, we were asked to show that sidewalk, so we did. But we would be glad to turn it into dollars to follow your guidance.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. No, I'm just curious of why we have it there, that's all. I know it's the ordinance but it just didn't make a whole lot of sense to me.

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Greenfield, as was testified to by Mr. Pape, should the board look to grant that relief, a contribution would be required in lieu of that installation.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: To the tree fund.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You're our town representation, Mr. Greenfield, and you'd have to maintain it after the fact. So why don't we ask Ms. Neumann what her opinion would be?

BOARD ENGINEER: Given the character of the area and maybe we would want to hear from the public, I mean, I would throw up two things. One, if theres's going to be a sidewalk, I would like to see curb. But looking at this area, I'm not sure that that sidewalk serves a huge purpose as it's going right to the DOT ramp there, $I$ believe.

So I would think in this instance, it may be more appropriate to waive that and get the contribution back to the township.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: What about the sidewalk going towards 33 Business, the other way, to go to the curb; to eventually connect it all the way to that, so they could cross over to get to the Wawa and so forth?

BOARD ENGINEER: Right. I mean, that one may be more appropriate, if you wanted to just do it on that side. Definitely, heading down towards the ramp, I'm not sure that it makes good sense. Along Howell Road going -- I mean, Patrick, maybe you can put your screen down. I mean, that's all we're doing there and $I$ don't think it connects the sidewalk.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: No.
BOARD ENGINEER: So I'm just not sure that that piece gives us a lot of utility, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Not today.
ATTORNEY PAPE: The applicant's
position is as you deem it.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: If you want one more opinion, I think that Mr. Greenfield has a point for the sidewalk that leads to the ramp. I don't see any point for that. I do see in the future, maybe a point for a sidewalk going towards Business 33.

Do we want to do a quick straw poll?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, I
would recommend holding off on doing a straw poll until we hear from the public.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fair enough.
Thank you for the recommendation.
MEMBER DORATO: I just have one more quick question as $I$ am thinking as if we're going over this traffic. And again, $I$ don't remember where everything from the first application.

So if you have eastbound freight going out, it's obviously going to go out Okerson Road, okay. Does Okerson Road connect; is there a light at the intersection for that? I'm not familiar with that. I'm just thinking of how a truck is going to go eastbound. Not that you would have that many but if it was going east on 33 , what's the route that it's taking.

THE WITNESS: Then it would make a right turn out of the site onto Okerson and a right turn onto 33.

MEMBER DORATO: Okay. 33 Business, is there a light there now, currently?

THE WITNESS: No, there isn't a traffic light at 33 and Okerson.

MEMBER DORATO: Okay.
THE WITNESS: It's a stop sign on
Okerson.
MEMBER DORATO: Okay. That might be a
little difficult. I don't think you will have too much freight going that way but --

THE WITNESS: We're anticipating the bulk of the --

MEMBER DORATO: Going out west to 33 .
THE WITNESS: -- traffic will go west to get to 33 leading up ultimately to the interchange at 33 and Halls Mill.

MEMBER DORATO: What would be a quick estimate, would you say 90 percent of your freight? Yeah, because that's a lot of -- you've got a lot of trucks leaving there.

THE WITNESS: So we're showing
50 percent of our total traffic would make the left out of our site onto Okerson. And we would anticipate the truck traffic to be even higher than that, the majority, 75 to 80 percent of it would go out that way.

But total traffic, including all of the employees, we're assuming half of them are going to make the left out of the site from -- onto okerson to go towards 33 and Halls Mill Road.

MEMBER DORATO: Okay, thank you.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Is it your statement, the majority of
those movements are the employees in their cars?

> A. Yes, the majority of the traffic
especially on peak hour, is -- will be employees in regular passenger vehicles.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, we have one final witness when you're ready.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Does the board have any further comments? If not, Mr. Pape?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
With a little movement of our cameras, Christine Cofone is with me in our offices, so we're going to share this screen.

Ms. Christine Cofone is a professional
planner. I know the board is familiar with her.
We'll have her sworn and we'll present her credentials to you before she testifies.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

CHRISTINE NAZZARO COFONE: Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record.

CHRISTINE NAZZARO COFONE: Certainly. It's Christine Nazzaro, $N-A-Z-Z-A-R-O$, Cofone,
$C-O-F-O-N-E . B u s i n e s s$ address is 125 Half Mile Road, Suite 200, Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 .
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}$

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Ms. Cofone, if you would take a few moments to share with all of us your professional credentials?
A. Yes. Good evening, Chairman and members of the board. For the record, Christine Nazzaro Cofone. I am a licensed professional planner in the state of New Jersey. I have been practicing as a licensed planner for 27 years. I have been qualified as an expert witness here in Howell on numerous occasions, as well as in excess of 450 other planning and zoning boards throughout the state of New Jersey.

I have also been qualified in the superior courts as an expert witness as well as found to be an expert witness at the Board of Public Utilities, at the BPU.

I teach planning and zoning courses for the Rutgers Center for Government Services. I also am an affordable housing special master in about two
dozen municipalities.
My licenses are current and valid and active and in good standing with the state.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, would you accept Ms. Cofone's credentials?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: We will accept her credentials.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. The variance relief is not a very large part of this application. I'm going to ask if you could in a narrative, just describe the variance relief that the applicant is requesting, if you could take us through the proofs?
A. Sure. Of course. I'd be happy to.

So the use as proposed is conforming as well as all of the bulk setback and coverage requirements in the zone. So we are seeking, as Mr. Pape indicated, $I$ have a very small speaking part in tonight's presentation because this is a substantially conforming application. Additionally, it is also a part of a Settlement Agreement that we have reached a settlement with regards to this application.
And the reason we're here seeking some
minor variance relief this evening is because the sound attenuation wall is nonconforming with respect to its height as well as its location. Mr. Lynam pointed that out to you earlier this evening and you find that it's located on the eastern portion of our property.

## Statutorily, the board has two

mechanisms to grant a bulk variance in New Jersey. You can grant it under the hardship criteria or, of course, under the "Flexible C" criteria where if the board finds that there is some benefit to be derived from the grant of the variance or it really results in a better zoning alternative for the project then the board can certainly grant under the C(2) Flexible C criteria. And that is absolutely where I think this variance falls.

This variance is certainly going to assist in not only as Mr. Pape indicated and Mr. Lynam indicated earlier, with respect to deflecting the noise, but it is also going to provide a visual, a better visual barrier to our adjacent neighbors.

You heard Mr. Pape question Mr. Lynam earlier this evening, if there are going to be any holes in the wall and Mr. Lynam responded affirmatively that there would not be.

So that certainly, in my opinion, provides more than adequate foundational testimony for the board to find that this variance can be properly granted under the C 2 F Flexible c" statutory criteria and that certainly does promote a desirable visual environment which is Criteria $I$ of the Land Use Law. So we certainly meet our statutory burden of proof.

With respect to the negative criteria, the negative criteria does not ask you to hold either us or any other applicants to a standard that there be no detriment, just that the benefits of the grant of the variance outweigh any detriment. And here when you unpack that and look at the fact that you are providing additional sound attenuation as well as additional screening, I think the board can certainly conclude that there is no substantial detriment to the zone plan or to the public good. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Ms. Cofone, just one second.

Mr. Pape, the sound wall was not in the original application, correct?

ATTORNEY PAPE: That is correct,
Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: How did you come
about putting this into the project? Is this something that you -- came out of the settlement Agreement? What is prompting this?

Are you just doing this because you think it's a good idea to be good and neighborly? What is the criteria behind it?

ATTORNEY PAPE: During the settlement discussions, the use of the sound attenuate -- your ordinances don't contemplate sound attenuation walls. And, in fact, $I$ can tell you we've done dozens and dozens of these and there's --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Well what I'm getting at -- what I'm getting at is, is I agree with Ms. Cofone, it seems like it's a positive for the project. I'm just wondering how it came about, that's all.

ATTORNEY PAPE: There was discussion --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: In discussions and we realized that we have a couple of residential neighbors, the Chair will recall that residential neighbors near us spoke about sound and it just seemed an issue that we could address.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: Mr. Tannenhaus, it is specifically called for in Exhibit $B$, the addendum to the Settlement Agreement, specifically it reads that "a sound attenuation wall has been added to the concept plans to provide sound attenuation and additional visual screening to the neighboring property." So that's exactly what came out of the settlement discussion, which is not uncommon or atypical, and that's why we're here tonight at the Whispering Woods hearing.

If anyone was down at the League there was a seminar on Whispering Woods down at the League. Yes, my husband was on a panel, so it was a very, very well-done seminar. But it's not unusual when you see these type of betterments, if you will, come out of the results of settlement negotiations. So that is absolutely where the genesis of the sound wall came from. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Thank you.
A. So in addition to that we are seeking a number of waivers which Mr. Lynam was good enough to go over. And certainly the test for waivers is that the waivers must be, unlike when we have
defined variances and you hear the planner justify them under the $C(1)$ or the $C(2)$ statutory criteria, the waiver is really the test is that a waiver is no more than an acknowledgement by the board that the condition of the property is satisfactory and meets the requirement of the local ordinance, so that you're really meeting the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

Ms. Neumann in her November 18, 2022, report identified a number of waivers that we are seeking and with regard to the ingress and egress drive, and as Mr. Pape also indicated, we had extensive meetings with Chief Lewis and we certainly have incorporated recommendations by the Chief into our access and we believe that we are delivering to the board certainly a plan that will have safe and efficient access.

One of other areas that we're asking for a waiver from, which is a little bit of result of us flipping those buildings around, but one of the requirements in the ordinance is that there be screening between parking and the building. So we are not providing screening along the west side of Building $A$ and the west side of Building $B$ to the loading bays. And it's interesting because the way
the ordinance reads, that section of the ordinance, it certainly does make a difference between providing parking and for loading areas. It talks about providing landscaping in between the parking areas and the building. It doesn't specifically say in that area that it has to be between the loading area and the building.

So I think we certainly are meeting the intent of that section of the ordinance and that this will undoubtedly be a well-landscaped site and the orientation of the loading certainly has been really taken to a lesser -- a lesser area of -- to be viewed in conjunction with this application. So I think we're certainly meeting the intent of the ordinance there.

We also, as Mr. Lynam indicated, are seeking a waiver for the light intensity that we are providing and we are meeting the illuminating engineer standards and providing for a little bit of additional lighting, if you will, but we certainly will not have any spillover onto adjoining properties or issues where we're causing any detriments associated with that lighting.

$$
\text { We are additionally seeking -- } I \text { know }
$$ we spoke about the waiver for the sidewalks. It

sounds to me like your professionals have some direction that that might not be the most efficient or well-utilized sidewalk out there. I think you've heard a willingness on behalf of the applicant that if it's ultimately decided that sidewalk isn't particularly useful or effective we will certainly make a contribution to your Sidewalk Fund.

So either way, whether we provide the sidewalk or in the alternative, if we contribute to your fund, in my opinion, we are meeting the intent of the ordinance.

Certainly with respect to the screening of the refuse area, the ordinance is very specific, if you will, with regard to the refuse area and the screening. So it's certainly not that we are not providing screening of our refuse area. I believe Mr. Lynam walked you through one of those screening criteria -- one of the actual dumpsters, but that it would be the same for all of our refuse areas on the site. And he certainly showed you that we are providing around those -- around those enclosures. So it's not as if they won't be screened. It's just that we don't have the screening specifically on the four sides that is being -- that is requested for the letter of the ordinance.

So the last waiver that Mr. Lynam also talked about was the depressions for the curbing where we didn't have those depressions where we were not providing parking or we're not providing handicap parking, there certainly isn't the need to provide the depression. So they would be provided for no actual benefit or no reason and $I$ certainly think that that's not the intent of the Howell ordinance.

So when you roll all that back and you look at the reasons and the justifications, $I$ think the board can certainly conclude that we meet the waiver test, which is that we meet the intent of the ordinance in each case. We have worked quite diligently, our team with your professionals, to deliver to the board a plan that we felt, you know, meets the spirit and intents of the ordinance and satisfies the comments that we received from your professionals.

So I can certainly say that, in my professional opinion, that we meet our standard of proof with respect to the limited variance relief as well as the waivers.
Q. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I have no
further examination of Ms. Cofone and she will be available for your examination.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Do any of our professionals or anybody
on the board have any questions or comments?
Seeing none, thank you. Mr. Pape?
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
That concludes your applicant's
presentation this evening. Subject to the public portion, we would ask before that we conclude, that I have an opportunity to briefly sum.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Sure. Then if nobody else has any questions I guess we'll open it to the public.

Eileen, do you want to please describe how people can raise their hand and mute and unmute, so forth. And we'll bring the people in one at a time.

BOARD SECRETARY: Sure. At this point, the Chairman has opened the hearing up for members of the public. You would need to use the Raise Your Hand feature. If you are calling in, you can press *9 to raise or lower your hand, *6 to mute
or unmute yourself. You will need audio and video capability. You will be sworn in and required to give your name and address.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. I
have a couple of people with their hands raised.
BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. I'm trying to bring the first person in, they're listed as "AJC." ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, sir, just before you get started $I$ need to swear you in.

Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

ALBERT CESTERO: Yes, I do.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: If you could state and spell your name and give us your address.

ALBERT CESTERO: My name is Albert J. Cestero, C-e-s-t-e-r-o, Jr. And I live on 3 Howell Road.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So, sir, you can ask any of the witnesses any questions and you can make any statements you please.

ALBERT CESTERO: Well, first off $I$ want to direct one question to, I guess, Mr. Pape. On the first denial there was a question of anomalies by the graveyard, has that been addressed?

I can't hear you, sir. I can't hear, Mr. Pape.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: He's working on
it.
ALBERT CESTERO: I got it.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Can you hear me now?
ALbert Cestero: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: You can?
ALBERT CESTERO: Thank you, yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Dr. Raymond Walker presented the testimony on that extensively. There was considerable testing done, there were voids and anomalies that were identified and all of that was part of the record.

ALBERT CESTERO: Do you know what that anomaly was? Because $I$ didn't see anything in the record.

ATtORNEY PAPE: So $I$ do not know what the anomalies were but --

ALBERT CESTERO: Because there was some speculation --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: We need to let Mr. Pape finish answering the question before we go on to the next one.

ALBERT CESTERO: I could not hear him.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Dr. Raymond Walker was the person who testified. He is with us this evening if anyone wants to rehear the statements that he made about the testing that was done but we had testified extensively until the board and the board professionals were satisfied.

ALBERT CESTERO: Was that at the meeting last October?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Hold on. I want to say you provided testimony. I would not speak for whether the board's professionals were or were not satisfied.

But I think the simple answer to the question is, if $I^{\prime} m$ correct, the nature of it being an anomaly is it's not defined clearly.

ALBERT CESTERO: Correct. Correct. I agree.

My second issue is the contaminated soil, has that been remediated?

And I would like to get on the record that our soil has been monitored by the EPA from the gas station on Route 33 that had a plume coming in our direction but our well is about a little bit less than 100 feet deep and it tested okay for their problems but it came up with an unsafe level of
nitrates, which is usually attributed to farmland from the fertilizers that they have used for generations.

So I'm just going by what they denied, what the board -- when the board denied it last time, last year in October, and they said that there's soil contamination. So has that issue been remediated?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We have not
remediated. Our client has not completed the purchase of the property and as indicated on the record it was -- the testimony was that both Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies had been performed and that there was arsenic and goitrin in soils.

ALBERT CESTERO: Right.
ATTORNEY PAPE: There was a discussion on the record that we would have a -- we would pursue an RAO under the guidance of a licensed site remediation professional.

ALBERT CESTERO: That hasn't been done yet?

ATTORNEY PAPE: No.
ALBERT CESTERO: Thank you.
My third issue is the traffic studies.
I don't have an engineering degree but $I$ can tell
you that $I$ consider myself an expert because I have lived here for almost 40 years at this same location. And I want to just show you if $I$ can quickly, if you can see that, that is my driveway yesterday morning. It's an 18 -wheeler stopped in traffic -- it's stopped in traffic, semi-blocking my driveway. And that is on Howell Road which is a four-ton limit. That is routine. There's probably 40 trucks, at least, a day that travel northbound and southbound on Howell Road completely disregarding the signage.

I had a confidential person in Howell PD that told me that there has not been any summonses issued as far back as they looked. And I have never seen in 40 years any 18 -wheeler being ticketed on Howell Road for being overweight.

So my concern is the -- I noticed the plan and $I$ have to commend you guys, the plan looks wonderful the way you presented it. You made some improvements which are, you know, nice to see, but I notice that in the traffic study that I looked at, I didn't see any mention of 18 -wheelers or overweight vehicles on either Howell Road or Five Points Road. And the way $I$ have seen it on a daily basis is tractor-trailers come off of Route 18, they take a
right on Five Points Road, they cross the intersection and they go to the warehouses, the new warehouses built on Vanderveer Road, Fairfield Road and traffic coming from south of there, from Route 524, they turn left and go north on Howell Road routinely, daily and there's a lot of them.

So I did notice on your plan that it doesn't look like your ingress and egress on Howell Road would be capable of handling of an 18-wheeler, so that would leave just the one for okerson Road. But my point is, there's a massive amount of tractor-trailers existing today on these roads that are not designed to carry them.

And I made a comparison last year about comparing this massive project to Port Authority, New York City's Port Authority, and Mr. Pape corrected me, telling me that they weren't building a bus depot, even though they are going to be open 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 360 days a year nonstop. And $I$ commented back, "That is correct, Mr. Pape." A commuter bus, the average is 40 feet, an over-the-road tractor-trailer averages 70 feet, with those carrying larger trailers are 53 feet meaning the trailer's 53 feet, so those approach 80 feet and over. And you have your entrance and
egress for your tractor-trailers, which your testimony said would be probably 700 trips or whatever daily, and that is right across the street from Howell North Little League Field, Little League ball complex. And being a resident of this area for so long, I can tell you that there are children from the developments off of Five Points Road, they ride their bicycle to the swim club and they ride their bicycles to the Howell North Little League baseball complex.

And $I$ also want to go on record as saying, I did this before, but we're not opposed to progress. I'm in this house for 40 years. I am a Howell business owner for 45 years. I have seen vast changes in the town and most of them are very positive. I view this one as not a positive for the Jerseyville section of Howell. It's a small, quaint section that is lived with each other with light industry, farming, residents and businesses for 200-plus years. And $I$ know the Foreman family personally. I believe they should have every right to make the most money out of their parcel of land that they have had for so many generations.

But my point is, in the past we have lived together harmoniously. I'm not complaining
about the tractor-trailer traffic on Howell -- on Howell Road, it's four tons, they break the law but we work around it, my family works around it. But I don't believe that this beautiful project that you're proposing, which is absolutely stunning, is the right fit for the Jerseyville section of Howell.

I think it's better fitted to Dayton, you know, further down Route 33 and Millstone where one identical to this was just approved by Millstone and they just approved two more and we have a couple more on Fairfield Road and we just built one on Vanderveer Road.

And on Howell Road, where the other projects that have been warehouses and on flex units, they're empty -- they're empty. There is Mickey's Truck Bodies that does a thriving business there, that's on the western end of Okerson Road and there's a couple of them that have been built a few years back and they're just -- they have signs out for, you know, rent, they can't get tenants.

So my concern is this particular project, I equate it to New York City's Port Authority. The new New York City's Port Authority that's scheduled to be built it has 160 bus loading docks, bus gates. It's going to be five-stories
high.
And I also take issue with this being described as a one-story warehouse. Where I come from 45 feet is four-and-a-half stories. And I realize that this is the maximum that Howell Township allowed previously. And I do understand that this use has been non-permitted recently but that you guys got in before that. I understand that grandfathered. I'm in an auto-body shop for 45 years and $I$ was grandfathered in years ago.

So I'm all for progress. I'm all for
Howell township. I love Howell Township, it's my home. I hope to die here -- not soon, but some day. And I just can't see this project fitting in with the Jerseyville section of Howell. We have a nice church with a steeple across the street.

I was driving home from my shop yesterday, which is in Howell Mini Park across from the Monmouth Fire Academy, and for a minute there, where we come up to the old grange where there used to be a cycle shop, now it's a swimming pool place, you could picture yourself in Maine or in New England somewhere. Whatever was done was done very nicely and considerate with all the neighbors. The Saker's Industrial Park on the left-hand side, the
other industrial park on the right-hand side, even Wawa, they have been done in a way that fits into the community and is appreciated by the community. But $I$ can't see this benefiting anyone in the Jerseyville section of Howell, especially Howell Township.

We have these giant warehouses and I believe the firm, Mr. Pape's firm has been in on most of them and that's fine, you know, he has a thriving business. But this is to me this is shoehorning something that is way, way, way, too big for this particular 29-acre parcel.

So I think that's about my concern.
When you say, "anomalies," I have concerns about the tainted soil because we're a victim of it with high, nitrates, and also the adding more tractor-trailer traffic. This is not going to be a pass-through anymore, it's going to be a destination. And although your project, it looks like a beautiful design, everybody worked hard on it, it's just to me and a lot of other people in the area, who unfortunately, aren't here on the Zoom meeting because it was done two days before Thanksgiving and also at the same time where there has been another meeting in Howell Township
government. So I question the timing of the meeting but $I$ also take issue with the height of the building being described as one-story. The Washington monument is 555 feet and if Mr. Pape was running the show it would be one-story.

So I hope you take into heart my concerns and other residents of this particular area and take into concern the quaintness of this particular area of the Jerseyville section.

Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: May I respond on behalf of the applicant?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Sure.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Some of those, the enforcement by the municipality, the vehicles traveling on Howell Road violating the truck weight limit is not something that can be laid at our feet. We have indicated that we're aware of the weight restrictions and we'll abide by it. We could work with your police department to come up with the appropriate signage on our site that alerts trucks, drivers to the weight limits that are on the adjacent roadways. But we acknowledge the weight limit and we acknowledge that we would -- our circulation was designed to avoid infractions.

With regard to the intensity of the use, your ordinances contain a number of methods of controlling intensity. These include setbacks and impervious coverage limitations.

ALBERT CESTERO: I'm familiar with that.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We have not violated any of those. In fact, the impervious coverage of the site is at 64.6 percent where 70 percent is requested. So it is not shoehorned in, in fact, we left part of that percentage not used.

As far as the use of the building, the zone is SED. This property is zoned for that which we are showing to you that the town's Master Plan contemplated that this would be -- warehouses would be built and your zoning ordinance identifies them. Those are the responses to the applicant.

I choose not to respond to any of the personal comments that were made to me, other than to say that it's been a long time since somebody has made a personal comment to me during a public hearing.

ALbERT CESTERO: I didn't hear that last part, sir.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You made a
personal comment to him.
ALBERT CESTERO: I don't recall making
a personal comment.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, we're not -- Mr. Chairman we're not --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: It's not productive.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: It's not
relevant.
ALBERT CESTERO: I understand. And I understand it's not Mr. Pape's or the applicant's fault about the traffic on Howell Road, but I am citing reality. And $I$ am citing that this area is -- has an ordinance still.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Sir, we took your testimony. What $I$ was saying is the debate as to whether there was a personal comment that was made or not is not something the board is going to consider or adjudicate between the two of you.

ALBERT CESTERO: I'm a former D1 athlete in college, that doesn't bother me at all. I don't take anything personal at all. I appreciate Mr. Pape's response and $I$ take no issue with him. And I, once again, I commend -- the project looks great but not for this area. And, you
know, my point putting it in --
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chairman -ALBERT CESTERO: -- it's not going to blend in with the area, it's going to overtake the area, not just physically but business-wise. It's going to increase tractor-trailer traffic because that's what it's designed to do, it's designed to be a tractor-trailer transportation hub. So much so that when the applicant first wrote the letter that I saw for one of the applications they made a point of saying, "It's not going to be a fulfilment center." Which tells me that it's going to be as big as a fulfilment center, big enough so that the person that is applying for the use and the approvals has to differentiate that it's not going to be a fulfillment center. So we don't know that. We're just taking their word for it.

But my whole point, the crux of my argument is that this area is -- there's plenty more areas to build that are way better suited for this particular project, which $I$ think is massive. And I still take into account, $I$ think my valid -- to half the size of New York City's Port Authority is pretty valid. Everybody knows when they get out of the Lincoln Tunnel, what do they smell? They smell
diesels. They smell buses. When buses load and unload, they shut down. Most of the time when tractor-trailers are loading and unloading, they don't shut down, they remain running. Especially refrigerated boxes, they stay running. So that's my concern.

I don't know if -- did you want to add
to this at all?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
ALBERT CESTERO: Okay, this is my wife,
Jacqueline.
Thank you, gentlemen and ladies. I
appreciate your time.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Jacqueline,
we'll have to get you sworn in.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Ma'am, do you
swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
spell your name for the record and give us your address.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Jacqueline
Cestero, $J-A-C-Q-U-E-L-I-N-E$, the last name,
$C-E-S-T-E-R-O, 3$ Howell Road, Freehold, New Jersey 07728 .

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, go ahead, ma'am.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Okay, I take a little bit of a different view than my husband. I agree with everything he has to say because, as he said, he has been here 40 years, so have I.

I guess I want to address the issue of tractor-trailers on Okerson Road. Although you're saying that they're not allowed because it's a four-ton road on Howell Road, I see it all the time. But my main concern is the swim club's on one side and the Howell ball fields are on the other side. We, in the summer especially, see children all the time, probably from the age 10 and up, making trips to the Wawa. It's the only place around that they have to grab something to eat unless they're going to eat at the swim club or at the ball field. But they're on their own, they're on their bikes, you know, anywhere up to 17, back and forth all the time.

That and the fact that no one is taking into account the bus stops on Five Points Road. There's not many bus stops on -- school bus stops.

There's not many school bus stops on this end of Howell Road but the south end there are plenty. And also on Five Points they're waiting right on the road basically. They're out in the dark in the morning. I mean, $I$ would hate to see a tragedy happen because of the amount of tractor-trailer trucks.

There might be more cars coming in
because of employees but $I$ would bet that the tractor-trailers are going to overtake it either on Okerson or Howell. And if they do attempt to drive on Howell Road, where are they going to be turning around if they can't make into the ingress into the warehouse on Howell Road? So that's one of my concerns.

I wrote some stuff down so I have to just look at it real quick.

Okerson Road is especially iffy with the Howell ball fields. There's no sidewalks there. The parking is extremely close to the road. We have to be careful driving past that ball field because kids dart in and out of all those cars. They park on the grass less than a foot or 2 feet away from the edge of the road. And yeah, they have tournaments, it's very busy. There's not enough
parking there.
I also want to clarify the wall height. I know, Mr. Pape, you said it was going to be 12 feet, I believe, or 15 feet?

ATTORNEY PAPE: 15 feet, yes. I didn't say it, it was Mr. Lynam.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Okay. In the legal notice $I$ received, let me find it, it says, "a sound attenuation wall has been added to the revised plan with the height of up to 28 feet." What does that mean? Help me understand.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We put in language up to 28 feet while we were waiting the final review from our sound consultant. The sound consultant's report came in, and it's -- up to 28 feet includes 15, so tonight we confirmed it's only 15.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Okay. So it's only 15 on top of a berm or no berm? That's what I'm trying to kind of get a picture of just exactly how high and what it's going to look like.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Lynam, if you could address the location of the wall, the berm and the retaining wall?

PATRICK LYNAM: So I'm referring to A-1, and that's the site plan set. I'm going to the
grading and drainage plan, sheet 7. I'm going to zoom in. The height is 15 feet above the berm height.

So we are maintaining a berm, we were proposing a berm last time during the prior application so we're still maintaining that berm. So the 15 foot would be on top of the berm height which is 5 feet so that would be effective 20 feet high from the grade.

And it varies but, you know, at lowest point, the highest it's about 7 so 22 feet towards the north and it goes as low as 20 feet roughly at the highest of the berm. And that is looking relative to the eastern property line along the common line with your lot.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Yeah, that's my backyard, yes.

PATRICK LYNAM: Yeah. Yeah, right there.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: If they can make the wall higher, please do. I mean, it's already taking away -- my husband said, don't say it but 40 years living here, beautiful sunset every single night, it's taking that away. So other than that, if they could build a higher wall, that would help.

We're going to be locked in here anyway.
As far as the landscaping on that wall,
is the landscaping that you show in the other picture in front of the wall, on the west side of the wall or the east side of the wall? Yes, that. PATRICK LYNAM: Yes. It's on the down slope of the berm, so it's on the east side of the wall.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Okay. So that would be our side of the wall?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes, correct.
JACQUELINE CESTERO: All right, Let me just look real quick, i have some notes. It will take me one second.

What about lighting? We already have a problem with lighting. Across Route 33 the lights from the gas station -- I mean, and across Howell Road in the industrial park, right now there's a light, it just shines -- when you get out of your car in my driveway it's right in your eyes. We're actually going to go over there and ask them to do something about it. We already asked the gas station and they did lower it, lower the light as far as the downplay of it. I don't know what you call that, $I$ 'm not an engineer -- projection of the light.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Patrick, I'm going to -JACQUELINE CESTERO: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Patrick, I'm going to ask you a couple of questions. If you could just go through the Kelvins that are associated with the lights, the type of -- the coloring of the light, the height of the fixture. Please confirm the type of fixture that is used and the assurances that we can give that there won't be spillage.

PATRICK LYNAM: So this is the lighting plan. You can see there's a few parking lot lights that are along the parking lot to light up the parking lot for Building B. They are directed inward and they are directed down. They are LED fixtures, they are designed to avoid any spillage.

Actually you can see that goes up to the 50-foot buffer line. So with the wall and the landscaping it's going to block the lights along this portion of the parking lot.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: How tall are the light poles?

PATRICK LYNAM: How tall?

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Yes.

PATRICK LYNAM: They are 24 feet.
JACQUELINE CESTERO: So make the wall
at least 24 feet, please. Like we said, we've already lost our view of the stars. And I understand where we are, what we're zoned for, but we have been here 40 years and it was very quaint when we moved here, we are inundated with lighting, tractor-trailer traffic that shakes the house on a daily basis.

When the traffic engineer said 740 daily, is he talking about cars or tractor-trailers? They did a study, it's 740 daily. Mr. Fishinger?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Fishinger, would you just confirm that that's the cars and trucks movements?

JOSEPH FISHINGER: The 740 number is total vehicles in and out. So that is cars and trucks combined.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: On a daily basis? Is anyone familiar with Howell Road?

JOSEPH FISHINGER: Keep in mind that is 740 associated with the entire site, not all of them will be using Howell Road.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Well, that's -it's crazy only because of the amount of traffic
that's on Howell Road now. Howell Road is so narrow. You mentioned before about sidewalks, I don't know where you're going to even put a sidewalk to go to Wawa. There is just -- there's no room. My house is 15 feet from the road. They have already widened that a couple of years ago. But 740 daily, including tractor-trailers? My house is going to fall apart. It's built in 1863.

I don't know, I'm not trying to make light of this, and I'm sorry if I'm keeping the board members late this evening, but this is a very important issue with us.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Don't apologize. This is your time to speak.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Keep the sidewalk but it's not going to be able to go past 5 Howell Road. I don't know how -- where you're going to fit it.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Well, the board would love to hear the input from the public, if you don't think the sidewalk is a good idea.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: I think it's a great idea for the children that are going to wawa, and there are a lot.

ALBERT CESTERO: Let me just join here
for a minute, I can add a little bit here. When the DOT, when the New Jersey DOT reconfigured the intersection and re-routed Okerson Road and they lowered the grade of Howell Road and improved it, put curbing up, storm drain in front of our home and curbing down from the corner all the way past Number 5 Howell Road, they stopped at the Forman tract. But if you do have a sidewalk on your plan, you should probably leave it there because when New Jersey DOT put the improvements in, they put the start of a sidewalk and they put crosswalks across Route 33 crossing Howell Road and also crossing Route 33 and crossing Five Points Road. So there's a crosswalk there with, you know, with a walking person, you know, when they count down.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Signage.
ALBERT CESTERO: Yeah, because there is foot traffic, doesn't sound like there would be but there is. There's residences, there's a good amount of residences actually on Peter Forman Drive, there's a housing development where there's kids and also on the other side, Ryans Court, there's a bunch of them. And in the summertime you wouldn't think it but there's a lot of foot traffic walking to and
from the swim club and walking and riding bicycles to and from the baseball fields.

So as a resident of the area, I think you're better off leaving the sidewalk, if you're going to go through with this, if the board approves it, you put the sidewalk in and then maybe later on down the line or maybe it would be extended past our property which has fairly narrow frontage and Number 5, which has more frontage than we do. So that it would connect to the already existing cuts in the curbing that New Jersey DOT has foreseen. Because let's face it, this area is growing and before you know it, it's going to be like the areas that people who move to Howell from the other areas because they left because it was too dense and too overpopulated and too much traffic so they moved to Howell Road and now they're getting the same thing that they left from.

JACQUELINE CESTERO: Okay, so I guess my next maybe final issue would be the trucks turning out of Okerson Road heading to 33. Because they're going to go on 33 to Five Points Road to Route 18. I mean they're not supposed to because it's for a four-ton weight limit, but when you come off of Route 18 you're going to be taking -- to get
to Howell you take Five Points Road to Howell Road. It's the first thing they're going to want to do.

There's going to be way too much truck traffic, it's so dangerous. That intersection at Okerson and 33 is dangerous. There's a blind turn there, when you're turning left onto Okerson Road and you're heading west, it's very difficult to maneuver that sometimes. It is wide, it's plenty wide, but it's a difficult turn. There's a specified turning lane but it's a dangerous turn.

So I guess -- the other thing, I guess, is all the truck traffic, trailer traffic that is going to be going past the ball fields. I don't think you're going to avoid them traveling on Howell Road. I don't think that's going to be avoidable, even though it's a four-ton road which nobody has mentioned, nobody has even brought that up. I think that's about it.

I'm just pleading that you make the right decision in putting these two warehouses in a much better suited location. The property is jammed on that 29 acres, looks like it's jammed anyway, according to the plans. It's massive. Besides that the lighting which we have addressed. The fumes which are going to be horrendous. I'm thankful that
you turned the Warehouse B around. I'm very happy about that. The consideration is appreciated.

As far as the Forman family, I give them credit as to wanting to make the most of their property. They have been here a long, long time. They deserve to do with their property something that is going to benefit their future generation but this project is just not the fit for here.

That's all $I$ have to say. Thank you so much for your time tonight also.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Eileen, next person from the public please?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Ms. Okerson, do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JENNIFER OKERSON: Yes, I do. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name and give us your address.

JENNIFER OKERSON: Sure. Jennifer
Okerson, $J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R, \quad O k e r s o n, \quad O-K-E-R-S-O-N$, 455 Okerson Road.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead, ma'am. JENNIFER OKERSON: Okay. I appreciate
the opportunity to speak tonight and am most grateful for your willingness to listen.

I have lived in North Howell my entire life. It is a lovely area with charm, open fields, well-spaced houses and a generous ratio of open land to developed areas. At one time it was important to the leaders of Howell that we preserve the open space. Now, I am disappointed that the leadership's focus has inexplicably shifted and our community is being inundated with three large warehouses all within two miles of each other.

Other townships have done this and many of the population have moved away or lament the consequences of the decision. I seriously encourage this board to remain true to the vision for a rural Howell and maintain its original decision to deny the proposed warehouse on Okerson Road.

This is a very large warehouse with many bays and ample rental space at the other end of Okerson Road. There is another warehouse, obviously, a half a mile away and neither one have a tenant. I'm absolutely confused as to why we need to build an additional one when no one is moving into the one that is being worked on.

Additionally, this proposed warehouse
has many more bays, parking spaces than required. Considering the current emphasis on going green and preserving natural settings, scaling back seems like a good decision, one that might help keep our setting attractive and inviting.

In addition traffic will increase on Okerson Road which is already busy with speeding cars, disregarding safety laws. Talking about the tractor-trailers on Howell Road, all you have to do is go down Okerson around 4 o'clock and you'll see $^{\prime}$ glon the drag race down the road.

Before moving forward with this harmful plan, the township considered doing their own traffic pattern study. Finally, what is the consideration for the septic and the sewer in that area? There is no sewer in that area.

Other than our houses, the largest property on that road is the North Howell Little League ball fields. It definitely needs to be considered. The field is used by kids and families year-round, especially during the spring and fall season.

If you go there during the spring and fall season you will see cars lined on both sides of Okerson Road continuing well past the fields in
either direction. Kids change into their uniforms and play on the grassy area which touches the road. What would adding a stream of tractor-trailers do, except take away the natural setting of the field and make for hazardous conditions for the children. The kids are excited to play baseball and not conscious of new traffic which, to repeat, would be hazardous to their safety.

Additionally some children, my autistic son mainly, must cross the road in order to get to the field. We are the ones that she's talking about with the bikes. You know, we don't bike down Howell Road, we bike down Okerson Road, which is where you're saying most of the tractor-trailers are going to be. You know, he's very good but not -- you know, who knows when he will make a stark decision and end up where he shouldn't be.

Okerson Road is not made for that kind of traffic. It was made for the kids to have fun and safely play without having to worry about breathing in more pollutants and unnatural variables of light and truck noises that would most likely become a sensory overload.

In addition, the people before us
mentioned the bus stops on Howell Road. I can tell
you that my kids are out on Okerson Road in the dark waiting for their bus.

I am aware that land owners can develop
their property. However, in this case, I urge you to consider the spirit of what the vision was for North Howell. I understand that it is an SED now, however, when my in-laws moved there, there was no SED, there was a house, that was it.

This building was grandfathered into preexisting condition using codes that are now illegal, but the planning board should seek to preserve the character of North Howell and put the best interests of all its citizens ahead of the riches and commercialism of a few.

I encourage you also, even if there might be a lawsuit if you deny this, to go and win the lawsuit for the Township of Howell.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you,
Ms. Okerson.
Okay, Eileen, next person please?
BOARD SECRETARY: I have Shannon Ryan. SHANNON RYAN: Hi.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Can you turn on your camera, Mr. Ryan?

BOARD SECRETARY: You have to unmute yourself. You're muted, ma'am. There you go.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

SHANNON RYAN: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Please state and spell your name and give us your address.

SHANNON RYAN: My name is Shannon Ryan, S-H-A-N-N-O-N, my last name is Ryan, R-Y-A-N. I live at 31 Concord Circle in Howell.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Go ahead, ma'am. SHANNON RYAN: Hi, I live on the other side of Howell. I'm on the southwestern corner of Howell. I have been here going on 39 years and we have seen such a big change to our -- the building, the amount of building, the amount of vacancies that are up and down Route 9. We see the warehouses going up and not really, you know, being filled. And $I$ just want people to know that we're all concerned about all the building that is going on in Howell.

And this particular warehouse is just gigantic and the enormity, $I$ think, is what is
scaring most people. When we see so many warehouses that are vacant and we also see people that are not going into work and we see the number, you know, that are working from home and that this particular site has so many office spaces, I don't understand why the enormity of this particular project.

And I'd only wish that the township and the council would really reconsider approving this project and please don't approve the project or rezone or resize the project where it doesn't change, you know, the sight of Howell because we are a community that likes to keep it's green area.

So it's not an area that $I$ live in but I have just seen over the last 38 years so much greenery destroyed by overbuilding and then seeing so many vacancies in those same buildings. We're going to see the same thing in the other project that is going on in the other side of Howell, the other southeastern side of Howell.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Ma'am, we're not permitted to talk about other applications that require public notice.

SHANNON RYAN: I'm sorry. But I'm just concerned about the overbuilding and the size of this particular project, and how much traffic --

I mean, 740 traffic in a particular day or weekday is a lot.

So again, I'm just here to voice my
concern for the size of the building and for the traffic and for changing the face of Howell and that's it.

I appreciate, you know, having the time to speak and $I$ appreciate the council.

Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay Eileen, next person, please.

BOARD SECRETARY: Next we have Alyssa.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JOSHUA KEUL: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name and give us your address.

JOSHUA KEUL: Joshua Keul, J-O-S-H-U-A, K-E-U-L, 17 Saint Johns Drive, Freehold 07728 .

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Go ahead, sir.

JOSHUA KEUL: I would like to let the board know kind of some personal experience that I have within the transportation industry. I work for a transportation company and have regular interactions with drivers. And based on the space, the availability and going to a facility and making deliveries, there are times (Zoom interference) early within this area that there is going to be a lot of drivers parking there. In most cases, it's unreliable for drivers to follow kind of all ordinances and procedures when they're coming from across the country and different areas. They're coming from all across the country. And I think for the traffic, you're not going to control the driver. You can have a whole (Zoom interference) in place for receiving but still a facility on when drivers need a better understanding of where the plan is to overflow of traffic.

So just within my experience $I$ would want to make sure that's called out because you're not going to control where the drivers are going to go and when they're in 50 -foot rigs, those can be anywhere across our town, which $I$ think will become a huge issue.

So thank you, that was the only point.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, sir. ALYSSA KEUL: Can $I$ say something too? CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: We need to get you sworn in.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

ALYSSA KEUL: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name and give us your address.

ALYSSA KEUL: Alyssa Keul, A-L-Y-S-S-A, K-E-U-L, 17 Saint Johns Drive.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, Ms. Keul. Please proceed.

ALYSSA KEUL: So our development where we live is right off of Vanderveer. So we do see already a lot of trucks on Vanderveer and our development connects to Howell Road on the other side. The kids can ride their bikes through a sidewalk and get out on the other side on the road. And there's kids all over the place here. And as a young mom, we want to live here forever and seeing something like this goes up really gives me caution
that $I$ don't know if $I$ want to be able to raise my kids here because $I$ want my kids, like has been said, to go to that swim club. We talked about joining that swim club, right? If something like this goes up, $I$ don't feel safe letting my kid go on a bike and ride to the swim club.

And so I don't have any sort of other expertise besides being a parent and seeing what I'm seeing. This is not safe for our children. These roads cannot accommodate those size trailers. Like they are narrow, right?

So I don't really have much to say besides that, besides really asking the board to think about the people that are living here and using these roads and a family and all the great things that Howell has to offer and how a building like this and the traffic that it can create won't allow us to enjoy all the things that Howell has to offer.

So that's all $\quad$ really have to say. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You're welcome.

Thank you.
Could we take a five-minute break? It has been about almost an hour -- it's almost two
hours, folks -- 7 to 9:10, my math is off, sorry, it's been two hours. Can we just take a five-minute break, please.

BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. The board will
now take a five-minute recess.
(Whereupon, a recess is taken.) - - -
(The record resumes at 9:16 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Ready?
BOARD SECRETARY: The planning board will now reconvene. We have Anazette Ray.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Good evening.
ANAZETTE RAY: My name is Anazette
Ray, $A-N-A-Z-E-T-T-E, \quad$ last name is Ray, $R-A-Y . \quad I$ live at 130 Lions Court. I am just going to briefly piggyback on --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, was she sworn in?

BOARD SECRETARY: No, you have to swear her in.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: She was not.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
the truth?
ANAZETTE RAY: Yes, I do. I'm just going to briefly piggyback onto the prior comments about the traffic on Five Points Road and the schooling. The applicant seems to imply that he -- they do understand that there are traffic regulations along Howell Road and Five Points Road but there's nothing that they can do about it except trust that people would abide by those traffic rules and regulations but we already know that that is not happening. And as far as the traffic study is concerned, I don't think there has been enough done in the traffic study to address what they have already admitted is an inevitable result of trucks using those roads. They haven't evaluated what sidewalks can be put where on Five Points and Howell Road to accommodate children waiting at the bus stop in the morning for school. I can tell you right now that there is a bus stop on the corner of Toby court and Five Points Road. There is a bus stop on Five Points Road between both sides of Lions Court. That area is particularly dangerous because water settles on one side of the road and in winter conditions it freezes and does have a tendency to cause accidents with cars speeding down that road.

To the best of my knowledge, there is also a bus stop on Five Points and Buena Vista. There are children waiting out there in the winter months in the dark. There's been nothing done to basically determine what accommodations can be effectuated to address those conditions. And given the fact that this application would require the granting of multiple variances, at this point $I$ think it's premature to grant the application without sufficient studies as to those impacts.

I don't understand why money is being dedicated into a general Howell Sidewalk Fund when there has been no study as to what sidewalks the applicant can actually put in along Howell and Five Points Road to address these conditions.

And that's basically it.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. Mr.
Cucchiaro?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yes?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Do you think
that -- would it be you or one of our professionals that would explain how our sidewalk ordinance works, what is the responsibility of the applicant and the Sidewalk Fund and the whole nine yards, what is that all about?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Generally we have an ordinance, it requires one of two things: It requires that sidewalk be put in or it requires that an in lieu of financial contribution be made and that the town can use it to place sidewalks in areas that they're seeking to actively create a pedestrian infrastructure.

It's the board's role, it's the board's exclusive jurisdiction to determine which of those two it wants.

ANAZETTE RAY: Understood. But
there's been no --
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Ms. Ray, I'm
asking our professionals to try to answer your question.

The Sidewalk Fund, does the board have any say in what to do with that --

ATtORNEY CUCCHIARO: No, that's --
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: -- or is that
the council?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- the governing body determines where and how that is going to be spent.

BOARD ENGINEER: And, Mr. Chairman, just so we're clear, the plans currently show
sidewalk along their frontage of Okerson and their frontage of Howell Road. The only -- it currently doesn't show it is on Michael Curtin Way. And I'm assuming if the board wanted -- that was where they had said they would agree to a payment in lieu. We heard some comments from Mr. Greenfield on Public Works on sidewalk on Howell Road.

I don't think -- the board has yet to make that decision. But just so we're clear, the plans do show the compliant sidewalk with the exception of Michael Curtin Way.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Right. And what I'm getting at is I'm hearing concerns about sidewalks being needed outside of the purview of this applicant's property line. We can't --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yeah, you
can't -- no.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And I believe the proper way to instruct the public would be that is something they have to bring to council; would that be a fair statement?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, I just want to make it more clear that the law doesn't permit this board to require sidewalk in those areas. But those are areas that the town could use the Sidewalk

Trust Fund, if it so desired, to place sidewalk.
ANAZETTE RAY: I don't think a full
study has been done to determine whether that -those sidewalks are needed given the impact of this specific development.

So there's nothing to really go before the town council at this moment with regard to that issue.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I think your concern is probably more than enough for them to start answering questions but thank you.

ANAZETTE RAY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: We appreciate it.

BOARD SECRETARY: Next I have Charles Okerson.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Cucchiaro, let me ask you a question. Since we don't have any representation from the council, I can't remember what the class number is for --

BOARD SECRETARY: Class 3.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: -- Class 3, if we
have people from the public that have comments like that, is there -- is there a memo or something that we could instruct Eileen to provide some -- an email
or something to the council so that they would have what came up during these meetings, be able to bring that information back to them?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I mean, if the board is desirous, you know, it is encapsulated in all the resolutions that we adopt, what members of the public have said. So I would just send the resolution to them so that they could read the discourse.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Thank you for that insight.

Who do we have up next?
BOARD SECRETARY: Charles Okerson.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
Mr. Okerson, do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

CHARLES OKERSON: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Can you please state and spell your name and give us your address?

CHARLES OKERSON: My name is Charles
Okerson, $\quad C-H-A-R-L-E-S, \quad O-K-E-R-S-O-N, 459$ Okerson Road, Freehold, New Jersey 07728 .

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead, Mr.
Okerson.

CHARLES OKERSON: Well, I would like to add my concern for the traffic for one thing. I mean, that ball field is there. You're talking about how much traffic this thing would generate when you have no idea who's going to rent it. So I don't understand how these numbers can hold up. You're heading down Okerson Road to a stop sign, not a traffic light. I mean, to me, the infrastructure needed to support this, you can widen roads and you would -- you know, you need traffic lights, you know, you just can't get that much traffic.

I also understand that this is going to go to a sewer system and this is not -- this place does not have a septic field; is that correct?

I don't understand how this can go to a sewer when $I$ know people who are down closer to the sewer that couldn't hook into it because it doesn't have the capacity. Has that changed? Have they increased the capacity of the sewer system, so that not other things like this, miles away from where it is, can hook into it?

ATTORNEY PAPE: There's no issue with capacity. There is no issue with capacity. And this applicant is extending the lines from Fairfield Road to this property.

CHARLES OKERSON: So there's going to be nothing on Fairfield Road hooked into it except for his stuff?

ATTORNEY PAPE: He's extending the
lines to his property. The lines are -- when they're in place they might be available to others but he's not providing any specific connections to anyone other than his property.

CHARLES OKERSON: So there's been no capacity problems then?

ATTORNEY PAPE: There's no capacity issues.

CHARLES OKERSON: My other concern is you are covering -- this is a 29-acre parcel and you are covering over half of it with building and asphalt. I have a problem with water running across that field now that comes from that property. And is that going to increase the problem that $I$ have washing across that field whenever we get a decent rain?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I will ask Mr. Lynam to come back on but I'm telling you that the obligation of the applicant is to introduce a stormwater collection system where there is none currently and the obligations on the applicant are
from both the state and the township regulation. The testimony is that we'll meet it. We can have Mr. Lynam come back on and --

CHARLES OKERSON: Well, I mean,
obviously you have 29 acres absorbing water before and now --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Okerson, they're going to answer your question with their professional.

So, Mr. Lynam, can you please place your camera back on?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. I remind you, you remain under oath.

So you heard the question, Mr. Lynam; can you respond?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes. So we did analyze the location where the existing erosion is occurring on the field. We identified that early on. We actually brought in the state Soil Conservation District to look at our design and give recommendations. We have accommodated those recommendations and we are designing the system in accordance with the State Soil Conservation District for the entire site.

So yes, we have identified that location and have accommodated it with the proposed stormwater design.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I'll remind you that you've already placed on the record that you can and you will comply with all of the technical requirements with regard to stormwater designs to the satisfaction of Ms. Neumann?

PATRICK LYNAM: That is correct.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We already have one thing that is flooding every time it rains.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Okerson, do you have further questions or comments?

CHARLES OKERSON: No.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Thank you.
BOARD SECRETARY: Next I have Heidi

Hunt.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Eileen, if you can just tell me, is she on camera?

BOARD SECRETARY: Here she is now.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. I see her.

Swear you in first, Ms. Hunt. Do you
swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

HEIDI HUNT: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name and give us your address.

HEIDI HUNT: Heidi Hunt, H-E-I-D-I, H-U-N-T, 200 Throughbred Drive, Howell, New Jersey. ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, go ahead, ma'am.

HEIDI HUNT: Yeah, so I just wanted to express my concerns as well as everyone else has. Speaking for both myself and my husband, we don't want any more large warehouses in the area.

This is a residential area and this warehouse is massive.

Not to mention the sound -- the increase in sound of all the trucks coming and going throughout the day, the traffic, the pollution and also the appearance of it, you know, not only is it massive but the wall that you're talking about, you know, in terms of the wall that is going to be built to, I guess try and help keep the sound down. I'm not sure how that is going to help with the sound in terms of the trucks coming and going throughout the day. You know, that sound is still going to be around.

$$
\text { I know you had mentioned } 740 \text { trucks per }
$$

week in and out. In addition to that, it doesn't sound like you have accounted for the employees coming and going as well, and it sounds like that's 24/7 in terms of the trucks coming and going. So I guess $I$ have a concern as well in terms of the hours of the day that the trucks are coming and going, you know, it could be all hours of the night.

So concerned about the traffic leaving on Okerson, as that's a real narrow road and as other folks have also expressed their concern about the fields being there, the children that are in the area. I wanted to express my concern as well. And then, you know, as well as the swim club on the other side of the road and all the children and folks leaving and coming and going there as well. I don't think this is the place for this type of warehouse and $I$ would just urge the board for the sake of all the Howell residents to vote this down. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.

BOARD SECRETARY: Next, we have Joan
Osborne.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: We need you to unmute, Ms. Osborne.

JANE OSBORNE: Okay.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give the board in this matter is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JANE OSBORNE: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record and give us your address.

JOAN OSBORNE: Joan Osborne, J-O-A-N, O-S-B-O-R-N-E, 28 Bronia Street, Howell, New Jersey.

I just had some comments and questions. As a former member of the Environmental Commission, I think $I$ heard earlier today that the Environmental Commission issued a letter to the applicant that hadn't been responded to yet because their meeting with them was only very recent and they didn't have an opportunity but indicated that they would go back and try to address their concerns. So my issue with that is once an approval goes through there's not really an incentive for an applicant to go back to the Environmental Commission and address concerns that were raised. I think the time to do that is before an applicant gets approved for anything, to make sure that it's a condition of the approval that they meet certain requirements.

And to just blanketly state, "Oh, well, we'll do whatever they ask," when we don't know what the ask is, is kind of a hope and a prayer that that happens and probably won't get followed up on once an approval occurs.

The other concern $I$ have is $--\quad$ I don't live in this neighborhood. I'm not in the Jerseyville area of Howell but $I$ see that there -- and we have seen in the past four years, warehouses are being approved all over the township. These are going to greatly affect property values and where we all saw our assessments, our newest assessments come back, it's kind of interesting that these property values are going to -- that these assessments are going to be based on a property value that these people are not going to be able to realize because no one is going to want to buy a house near a warehouse of that size, generating that much pollution and that much noise.

So, you know, I think the board really needs to consider the existing properties that are there and not just, you know, what one applicant wants to do with this property. This has already been voted down by the planning board. I don't know why the fact of just filing litigation
results in a settlement where "We're going to let you do it anyway." Especially when in the interim, from the time that this was voted down, the planning board approved changes to the zoning for the area that would not make this permitted use.

So I would urge that, you know, this does not meet the direction that we're going for this area and it shouldn't be considered. If the chance that we were to lose a litigation resulted in it being built, well, then, so be it, at least we tried. When we are in a situation where we have so many that we don't have an option about, here we do. So we should not approve it unless we're made to approve it.

One of the questions that $I$ had about the way this hearing is being handled is if -there's a reliance on the testimony that was given back with the original application which was over a year ago in 2021. Are these all the same board members? Did they all have to review all of that testimony? And if not, why are we voting on it with an abbreviated application?

I have a concern with the idea of this sound wall as to who is going to be maintaining it after it's built. These sound walls routinely
become a scar and an eyesore as soon as they're built because they're not maintained and they fail often. So I would be concerned about that as some type of a resolution to make this project more viable.

And the final point $I$ would make is the idea that a promise to make tractor-trailers comply with any weight limitations or other traffic directions is kind of fanciful. They don't even know who the tenants are going to be. So a promise that they -- these tenants will know and their employees will comply with laws or promises made by an applicant who will no longer be the employer of that person or ever be the employer of that person, it's just something that's being said to try and push this through.

And I would urge, like all the other commentators, that this not be approved. It's not the right place for this type of facility.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
BOARD SECRETARY: Go ahead. I have Natalie Perretta.

NATALIE PERRETTA: Hi, my name is Natalie Perretta.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

NATALIE PERRETTA: Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. If you could just state and spell your name and give us your address?

NATALIE PERRETTA: Natalie Perretta. N-A-T-A-L-I-E, P-E-R-R-E-T-T-A. One Statesboro Road.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead, ma'am.
NATALIE PERRETTA: So I just wanted to make my comment and voice my concern. I live off of Fairfield Road and there is times when trucks go up and down the road, my whole entire house shakes. And $I$ just wanted to, you know, make the same comment as everybody else is stating regarding the existing traffic and any new warehouses that are built are going to cause even more traffic.

We have potholes on our roads from all the construction on Fairfield Road. We have a school right down the street where there's bus stops all over Fairfield Road. And I honestly feel these warehouses shouldn't be built in such residential
areas. And you're not taking the residents into consideration while building all these.

You're causing a lot of traffic and, you know, my family we moved here from Brooklyn for some peace and quiet and now we have constant noise all day long, all night long, from this traffic and it's very upsetting.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, Ms.
Perretta. Is that all you have?
NATALIE PERRETTA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. I
appreciate your comment. Thank you.
BOARD SECRETARY: Next we have Wayne Senatore.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Senatore, we just need you to unmute yourself.

WAYNE SENATORE: I think I'm unmuted.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay, we can hear you now. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

WAYNE SENATORE: Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record and give us your address.

WAYNE SENATORE: My name is Wayne Senatore, $W-A-Y-N-E, \quad S-E-N-A-T-O-R-E . \quad I$ live at 245 Throughbred Drive in Freehold, New Jersey 07728 .

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead, sir.
WAYNE SENATORE: Rather than rehash everything that everyone else has said and I don't know how many raised hands that you have in line on the queue here but it seems quite obvious to me that we're all kind of in sync with not wanting these massive warehouses built in our neighborhood. I happen to live a half a while north on Five Points Road, north of Route 33 Business where the wawa is.

Albert and Jacqueline, who live right next door to where this building is going to supposedly be built, basically said it as good as anybody else could. There is more traffic, and again, I don't want to reiterate the traffic and stuff, but it's quite obvious by everybody because we live here and we see it all the time. You can't get across Route 33 now without having problems as it is. When you expect the 70-foot tractor-trailer to make a turn off of 33 Business onto Howell Road, that will jam up that whole traffic area for days. In any event, rather than rehash it, I just wanted to make a statement as to my feeling and
the feelings of many of the neighbors who live in this area in -- particularly in Bridle Path Estates where I live, how we feel about everything. So if you bear with me I'm just going to read it because it's easier for me to remember everything.

My family and I, and everyone in my immediate neighborhood I have spoken to, don't want this. We don't have anything against progress in the buildings but we don't want to see any more massive warehouses in the Howell area. We understand that some were needed, some were necessary but, as everyone else basically said, this area in Jerseyville is an area that this thing is going to look like a big massive eyesore in the middle of a residential area.

Over the last few years there have been many newly built warehouses and buildings with office spaces like the ones being proposed tonight in North Howell and as previously said, Vanderveer Road, Okerson Road, Yellow Brook Road, Catcher Road, Fairfield Road. All big warehouses. Some empty, some have some tenants. And I don't even know if some of them are really full but generally speaking we have quite a few. Now on top of all of this we're looking at another developer trying to build
two more of these warehouses, one here on Howell Road and one on Fairfield Road.

So I think it's kind of like overkill.
How many warehouses do we need? That's the real question to the board -- the board, how many warehouses do we need, especially these type of warehouses?

My wife and $I$ chose Howell more than 22 years ago to get away from the city life. We wanted to enjoy the peace and quiet that this rural area and farmland community had to offer. 22 years later we're starting to lose a lot of the farmland and a lot of the rural in the area. We did this to get away from traffic and congestion that the Greater New York area is notorious for.

Up until 21 years ago Howell was the perfect place for us to live. That was until the summer of ' 21 when we were fighting to get back our peace and quiet neighborhood as evident by the last fiasco they had in this section of Howell when they were having all the rental pool parties and people were renting their backyard, so we had to go to the board, the Mayor and have our objections heard at that time too, the way you're getting it all from everybody else now.

Now a year later we're again asking -however, let me just reiterate, we went to the Mayor and the town board at that time and they backed us in supporting us for everything that we wanted to do. We wanted to stop leasing their spaces, the property to people. Actually it was -- it was -they were renting out. So it was like a commercial business in the residential zone, so we ended up having an ordinance put in place to stop that.

Now what's happening is we need to have your support in stopping all of this continued massive constructions in our town. I mean, just ask yourself, do you really need more traffic and congestion at the intersection of Route 33 and Five Points, Howell Road or Route 33 and Fairfield Road or at any nearby surrounding area?

Everyone made great points. There's children all over the place. My grandkids are in Howell Little League. They're playing every spring and fall and halfway through the winter, they're playing baseball in Howell Little League fields. My kids go to -- and their families go to the swim club. It's too residential an area for this type of traffic.

I keep asking myself, how many traffic
lights am $I$ going to be willing to wait for before $I$ can even cross over onto Route 33?

How much inconvenience will we have to experience during major road construction? Because there's going to be all kinds of congestion when, as I stated before, a 70 -foot tractor-trailer is trying to make a right turn heading east on Route 33 to go down Howell Road.

That area is going to get blocked up. Someone is going to have to widen up the road. That means that Howell is going to have to step in and take care of that, widen those roads up. And you know how long it took to take care of the roads down on Adelphia, how long that jam-up was down there so...

Are we willing to accept increased air pollution from idling diesel tractor-trailers, loading and unloading 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365 days a year?

Just as a side note, Wawa on Route 33, on the corner of Route 33 and Five Points Road, they're smart enough to have a sign posted on their building that prohibits cars from idling while parking in their parking lot. Now, go in there in the wintertime and you will see half of the cars are
idling. They want to keep their cars warm so they don't have to go in and out. In that vain, you can also expect tractor-trailers traveling up and down Five Points Road even though there's a four-ton weight limit. We see them now.

I just have a question: Is Okerson Road also a five-ton weight limit road? Because if it is $I$ don't know how you're going to get in and out of Okerson Road.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, I think that's for your traffic engineer. You're muted.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Fishinger, if you could address the lack of weight restrictions on Okerson, please?
A. Okay. To the best of my knowledge, no, Okerson Road is not weight restricted.

WAYNE SENATORE: What's the town -the town posted the signs; what does the town say? If it is -- well, here is an even better question. If you don't know if it's weight restricted how can you even develop a plan having tractor-trailers going up and down Okerson Road without even knowing about it. We know that Howell Road is -- we know Howell Road is certainly a
four-ton limit and so is Five Points Road, which is the continuation of Howell Road going north of 33 Business. But how can you even entertain it because that would be impossible for any truck to go down, up and down those streets, and in and out of a facility.

JOSEPH FISHINGER: Sir, as I said, Okerson Road is not weight restricted.

WAYNE SENATORE: It's not weight?
Okay. All right, fine.
So I guess I just want to leave --
leave you with a final question for the board, what do you think will happen to our property values when there's an Amazon-like eyesore building sitting in the neighborhood for everybody to see? This is an easy way for people, or an easy excuse for people to just leave the neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and $I$ hope we can convince you to not approve this plan.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you,
Mr. Senatore.

WAYNE SENATORE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. Have
a good night.
WAYNE SENATORE: Thank you.

BOARD SECRETARY: Next I have Josh. MEMBER DORATO: Hey, Brian, we're getting close to the 10 o'clock.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Let's get
through Mr. -- I don't know what Josh's last name is but - -

JOSH LANGENBERGER: Langenberger.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: -- Langenberger.

JOSH LANGENBERGER: I can be very
brief.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And then let's
have a conversation with our counsel.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Langenberger,
do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

JOSH LANGENBERGER: Absolutely.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
spell your name and give us your address.

JOSH LANGENBERGER: Sure. It's Josh,
$J-O-S-H$, Langenberger, $L-A-N-G-E-N-B-E-R-G-E-R . \quad I$ live at 23 Tauton Drive in Howell, New Jersey 07731 .

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Go ahead, sir.
JOSH LANGENBERGER: Thank you.
And tonight as I've heard everything
and $I$ haven't been to many planning boards to be honest with you, so I'm kind of processing this through my mind, but here tonight, is that overwhelmingly the residents of this town do not want any more warehouses. I don't think we can make it more abundantly clear. I don't actually even live near any of them but it's not what is good for the town. That's a resounding echo that you hear with every single comment tonight. And the variance really is the only leverage that the board has in order to prevent this.

Now to be honest with you, Mr. Pape and his team, they build a nice warehouse, they do. It's landscaped beautifully. We can argue about a 4-foot wall and we're going to take care of it but that's not the point, he did a fantastic job. It's a nice warehouse. The question is, is that nice warehouse supposed to be in Howell?

Now what's interesting about it is there is one thing that bothered me about the hearing tonight. And that was the board had given both Mr. Pape and Engineer Number 1 -- sorry, I can't remember your name -- but they gave them an opportunity to say, "We made some of these extra upgrades because we care about your community." And
they didn't, they basically said, "We are stuck doing these upgrades." I mean, that's paraphrasing what it was but they had discussions and they were stuck doing them and it winds up in our favor. So that's great.

And you put the civil planner at the end, she said, "Listen, there's no legal obstacles. There aren't -- there aren't any." They did a great job. They addressed the sewage and the drainage and the plants and all of that, fantastic. But the truth of the matter, when it comes down to it, on that road alone, it's not a matter of if somebody is going to die or if someone's going to get killed on that road, it's just a matter of when.

And I don't envy the board's position in the place to say, hey, you know what, your choice is to say "yes" or "no" tonight. If you say "yes" it happens, and $I$ wouldn't want to be in that position ever. So I applaud you for sitting there tonight and being in a good place.

But tonight really what it comes down to, you're either voting with your neighbors like me and, again, I don't live near there -- or you're part of the system that is basically destroying our town one lot at a time. And to be quite frank,
tonight, as a matter of the public record, tonight we will all know where you stand with Howell. The decision, of course, very easy for me because $I$ stand with our neighbors and I stand with our community. And again, $I$ don't even live near any of this.

So I would like you to take that into consideration. I appreciate the time for hearing my comments. Thank you very much.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You're welcome.

Have a good night.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So, Mr. Chairman, just speaking to, I think it was Mr. Dorato's comment about the 10 o'clock hour, this was anticipated, and it's always a positive when the board gets to hear from members of the community and members of the public and interested parties. So there's no issue with that but it was anticipated and that's why the court had us have two special meetings planned. So the board does have a special meeting next week as well on the 29 th and that was noticed with this being carried to that night in anticipation of the public interest that it would engender. So my recommendation is that we carry
this to the $29 t h$, that there would not be further public notice because it's already been noticed and it's being noticed tonight that it's being carried.

And, Mr. Pape, I think your team has cleared that night as well, you know, in anticipation of the fact that this would be carried.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Cucchiaro,
Mr. Chair, yes, the applicant and the applicant's professionals are available on the 29th. Before we move to the 29th, could you tell us the number of people who have their hands up that have not yet been reached?

BOARD SECRETARY: There's eight people with their hands -- actually nine people with their hands raised, one already spoke once before. And now people are adding, so there's more people that are raising their hands as I'm telling you that.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So then I just want to make two announcements because we did have two applications listed for tonight, so just first on this application, the application of AAFFHW Property, LLC, Application SP-1085 will be carried to the board's Special Meeting on November 29th. It will be a virtual meeting beginning at 7:00 o'clock.

The login instructions will be available on the township's website. Also any documents associated with the application are available in the planning department for inspection and copying during normal business hours. There will be no further notice to the public.

Also, the board had anticipated the possibility of hearing the application of AAFRHW Property, LLC, SP-1095 tonight as well. That application will also be carried to the board's November 29, 2022 meeting, Special Meeting beginning at 7:00 o'clock. It will be a virtual meeting. Login instructions will be on the township's website and all documents associated with that application will be available for review and copying during normal business hours of the planning department. No further notice to property owners on that one either.

So when we come back on the 29 th we will pick up with members of the public. Mr. Pape will have his opportunity for closing comments. The board will deliberate and vote, and then we will move onto the next application.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Wonderful.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chairman, board
members, board professionals, members of the public, thank you all. Good night.

Happy Thanksgiving. See you next
Tuesday.

BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you, Mr. Pape. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Happy

Thanksgiving. It's a Special Meeting so there's no executive session?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: That's correct,

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. I'll make
a motion for adjournment.

MEMBER TALENTE: Second.

MEMBER DORATO: Third.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: All right. All
in favor?

MEMBERS IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Happy

Thanksgiving.
(Application adjourned and meeting concluded at 10:00 p.m.)
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