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## STENOGRAPHER'S STATEMENT

The following represents a verbatim transcript stenographically written from the audio-taped proceeding provided.

The quality of the audio recording largely determines the quality of the transcript. Any background noise or other disturbance, such as, but not limited to, environmental sounds (e.g., humming from other devices, sirens, alarms, ringing sounds, papers shuffling), or any cross-talking or coughing, or simply the distance of the speaker to the microphone, all can lead to a marked reduction in the quality of the audio recorded, which in turn can, and generally does, impact the transcript quality.

Having not been physically present at this proceedings, please note throughout the transcript the following will be used:
"VOICE" OR "BOARD MEMBER" to identify a speaker.
"INAUDIBLE" to identify voices heard but not clearly discernible within the context.
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay, next case up is Case Number SP-1085, AAFFHW Property, LLC.

Mr. Cucchiaro, I have a question in regards to whether the application has been deemed complete, and I'm assuming we'll wait until counsel comes up.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Pape is
the attorney for this application and he is in the meeting.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Let's let Mr.
Pape enter his appearance. I'm sure Mr. Pape is going to have a question about jurisdiction and then at that point, you know, we can resolve your question, Mr. Chair, so --

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Hey, Ron, before we go forward I have to recuse myself on this application.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: So I don't know if I should just bow out now.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, you can. You certainly have the right to watch it as a member of the public, if you wish to.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: All right.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: But yes, this is the time to recuse yourself.

And I just ask that the minutes reflect that Mr. Huszar recused himself prior to the beginning of the hearing.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Thank you, everybody.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you, Nick.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Night, Nick.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HUSZAR: Night.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Eileen, I didn't
do a count. We still have at least five?
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: We have seven. We're good.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. All right.
So, then, Mr. Pape --
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes, sir.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- do you want to enter your appearance, and we can get started.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes, thank you. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, board members, board professionals and public. My name is Kenneth Pape. I'm an attorney with Heilbrunn Pape. This evening I have the privilege and the responsibility of
representing AAFFHW Property, LLC, your applicant.
This is an application for Preliminary
and Final Site Plan approval to construct two industrial buildings. The two buildings are proposed to be constructed within the zone that permits those uses. We would respectfully ask that the board confirm receipt of our notices and confirm that they were adequate for the board to take jurisdiction this evening.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: The notices were received, Mr. Pape. Just with regard to jurisdiction, if you could just address the Chairman's question and then we can resolve Part 2 of your request.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape -ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: -- I noticed in my packet that the Environmental Commission has requested a review of the site plan and has not been given that opportunity to do so. So I question whether this application is complete at this particular time.

ATtORNEY CUCCHIARO: Pa@S, $\mathbf{7}_{\text {Mr }}$.
Chairman, I just want you to give a definition of
what completeness is versus what information we would like to see.

Completeness is very specific as to
items that are identified on the checklist? So
just -- Laura, is the submission to the
Environmental Commission on the checklist.
BOARD ENGINEER: No.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. So that's not a completeness issue, but it is an issue that is legitimate in terms of information.

But, Mr. Chairman, I do remember seeing
that there were -- I think there were multiple comments.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: There's three reports from the Environmental Commission. And every submission that comes in goes out to them, whether it's paper or not. They do get all the same links that all the board professionals get. And I do have three reports from them.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Yeah, so -- go ahead.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: The last one I have here is $\mathrm{B}-14$, dated $6 / 9 / 21$. And it just indicates that the Environmental Commission requests that the applicant meet with the commission to
discuss --
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well that's not
-- that's the last one. There were two prior to that that had substantive comments. But it's not on the checklist, so it's not a completeness item. They were granted submission waivers -- some submission waivers, so I think the application is complete and that the board has jurisdiction.

And I think Mr. Pape is going to provide some very specific testimony tonight regarding what was provided to the Environmental Commission, responding to comments that the Environmental Commission report raised, and discussions about -- I believe, Mr. Pape, your client did actually appear before the Environmental Commission, correct?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes. Counselor and Mr. Chairman, board members, we did appear this summer, Dr. Raymond Walker and I did appear before the Environmental Commission and made a presentation to them.

If I may, Mr. Chairman, I think that you and the board members know the importance that we place on going to your Environmental Commission and that I don't think I have ever come before this
board without going to the Environmental Commission at least one time to make a presentation. And in this instance we were -- we were there this summer and Dr. Walker, our environmental scientist, made a pretty comprehensive presentation. I've asked that he join us this evening to make a similar presentation to you.

If I may, our goal is to present the application to the board members. Although over the past year we have had the opportunity to present the application to your commissions and to some of the township professionals and, as always, the fire chief, we have not yet had the opportunity to present to you. We anticipate that there -- that there will be concerns brought to our attention that are your concerns and we would like to go on the record at the beginning of the hearing stating that we anticipate that this is not a hearing that is concluded in one evening. In fact, we anticipate that this is a hearing that we are going to be carefully listening to you and recording your concerns and that we'll need time to incorporate those concerns into the plan.

If there is a request that we go back to the Environmental Commission, we certainly will 15
do so. But perhaps at the end of the evening you can give us that direction, if you feel it's appropriate.

But I would like to make it very clear -- very clear to everyone who's listening, that our goal is to work with the board to create an application that is worthy of an approval. We followed the ordinance, we have worked with your professionals, and we have worked with the township commissions, but we're looking forward to beginning our presentation to you and hearing your concerns.

So -- and also, Mr. Chair, to avoid that awkward moment that happened at a certain hearing, can we pick a time that we're going to end -- whatever it is, just so that there is clarity?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, I would actually not recommend that. I would recommend that we just go and not make any promises or representations one way or the other and, you know, allow some flexibility in how the hearing goes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: That is correct.
So the normal time is 10 -- is 10:00 P.a0389d at that point we will re-evaluate.

MON-L-000269-22 06/13/2022 8:36:08 PM Pg 45 of 157 Trans ID: LCV20222244761

ATTORNEY PAPE: Understood.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. So I
just want to be very clear then, for everybody, that was not a hard stop time at 10:00 o'clock p.m. Anyone who heard that, you know, you misheard.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Correct.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: What the Chairman
said is we're going to re-evaluate at 10:00 p.m., if necessary, and we may conclude the hearing before that. I also want to be very clear that anyone who decides to leave the hearing early does so at their own risk of missing something. The hearing could end before 10:00, we could decide to go past 10:00. If you decide to leave the hearing, it's your own decision and it's not based on any representations that the board has made to you.

Go ahead, Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure. I think that clarity is excellent. May we begin the substantive presentation, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes, sir. ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
The application that we'll present to you will be through professional witnesses: Patrick Lynam, who is a professional engineer with Colliers.

## 17

Colliers was previously Maser. And he's responsible for the plans that were prepared. We also have Dr. Raymond Walker, the environmental scientist who presented the environmental concerns to the Environmental Commission. And he's going to be most helpful in talking about existing environmental conditions and investigations that were conducted by the applicant. Sean Naeger is our architect responsible for the design of the building. And the traffic consultant who will be testifying this evening is Dan Disario.

I intended to begin with Patrick
Lynam's testimony and then to go to Dr. Walker.
That -- I think the third witness may be Sean Naeger, but we'll decide together.

Would Mr. Lynam if -- Counselor, if Mr. Lynam could be sworn in, we'll place his credentials on the record.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I just want to make sure, Mr. Lynam, you're on camera?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I see you, okay.
Do you swear or affirm the testimony
you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

## P. Lynam, P.E.

PATRICK LYNAM: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
spell your name for the record.
PATRICK LYNAM: Patrick Lynam.
P-A-T-R-I-C-K, Lynam, L-Y-N-A-M.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. And counsel, if you can please qualify the witness.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely.

EXAMINATION

## DIRECT BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Mr. Lynam, would you take a few moments to share with the board your education and professional background?
A. Yes. I have a bachelor's in science in civil engineering from NJIT. I'm a licensed professional engineer in New Jersey for the past 15 years. And I have been accepted as an expert witness in civil engineering before this board before.
Q. And may I ask that you confirm that your license is in good standing this evening?
A. Yes, it is in good standing.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, would you
P. Lynam, P.E.
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accept Mr. Lynam and allow him to testify as an engineer this evening?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes, Mr. Pape. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Lynam, I would ask you if you could begin by introducing the exhibits that you will rely upon for your presentation.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And could -- with
permission -- all exhibits that are presented, counselor and board members, are limited to those that were previously filed.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Can everyone see my screen?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
THE WITNESS: The first exhibit is a
high-altitude aerial exhibit. The next exhibit is a
close-up view of the property, another aerial
exhibit. And then the third exhibit is a colored site plan rendering of the project.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Perhaps let's begin with the high-altitude aerial, sir.
A. Okay.

Pa039
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Just a note

## P. Lynam, P.E.

for the board before you go any further, these exhibits are dated today, but I did receive them on Tuesday. So we have had them in plenty of time. ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Thank you. PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: You're welcome.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. If you would, Mr. Lynam, with this aerial photograph, if you could help the board locate the property and then describe existing conditions on the property.
A. Okay. So the subject site is Block 164, Lot 5.01 and it contains 29.54 acres of property. The address is 29 Howell Road. It's located with frontage along Howell Road to the east, Okerson Road and Michael Curtin Lane to the north, and Bypass 33 to the south. So this site is located between Business 33 and Bypass 33 and is southwest of the intersection of Howell Road and Five Points Road at Business 33.

The subject site is located adjacent to the Bypass 33 ramp to the south and intersection -- interchange with Hall Mills Road via Okerson Road to the west.
Q. Could you describe the -- the existing
P. Lynam, P.E.
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conditions? What activities take place? What structures are on the property?
A. Sure. The -- the site is currently -contains a farmland with two metal structures on it. And it's used for farming activities currently.
Q. Thank you. And just one more time, the zone designation for the property?
A. Yes, the property is located within the Special Economic Development Zone District.
Q. And the proposed building, if you could confirm that the proposed -- the proposed uses of the proposed building are consistent with those with that ordinance?
A. Yes, the proposed warehousing and distribution use and potentially assembly and/or light manufacturing use are both permitted in the SED zone.
Q. Thank you. Take us around the property to give us a sense of what the surrounding uses are. Could we go to the low-altitude aerial photograph, please?

With this visible, I think we can see
what -- the activities on the site a little bit clearer. But I'm going to ask if you could describe the existing topography, the existing grading and
P. Lynam, P.E.
,
sloping of the property.
A. Okay. So the -- the subject site is currently contained on the farmland with two metal structures as mentioned before, contains access to Howell Road and Okerson Road currently. The top -you know, topography and the subject site are surface drains.

It slopes in three different directions currently for the farm field. A portion of the site near Howell Road drains to the southwest towards Howell Road into an existing stormwater system in Howell Road. The southernmost portion of the site drains to the southwest portion to the site boundary with adjacent farm and Bypass 33. The majority of the property drains towards the center of the west property line and drains onto the adjacent farmland.

There's no current -- currently no formal drainage systems on the property now.
Q. Thank you. I think that would be appropriate for you to take us now to your brand new site plan.
A. Okay.

BOARD PLANNER: And is there any way for you to, like, blow that up a little bit?

THE WITNESS: Is that better?

## P. Lynam, P.E.
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BOARD PLANNER: A little bit. It still seems like --

THE WITNESS: It's still small?
BOARD PLANNER: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Let me try to -BOARD PLANNER: Maybe maximize your screen, like, in the -- yeah.

THE WITNESS: It is maximized. I apologize for that. I'm sure there is a way here. Maybe I can get it larger --

BOARD PLANNER: Whatever. Just a thought. I'm good. I have it with me, but I'm just saying for the benefit of the people at home. It's hard to see because it's kind of small.

THE WITNESS: Right. Okay. I will
see if I can get it larger and move things around here. One second.

BOARD PLANNER: That's better.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Excellent.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Mr. Lynam --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Mr. Lynam, we're going to take some time to go through specific elements of plan, but if you could give all of us an executive

## P. Lynam, P.E.

overview of the proposed development plan before the board this evening?
A. Sure. So the proposed project includes the two buildings to house the permitted uses totaling approximately 425,000 square feet. Building $A$ is 325,000 square feet, approximately, located on the western portion of the site. Building B is approximately 100,000 square feet and located on the eastern portion of the site.

Parking is provided on the east side of Building A, and the west side of the Building B, which are the fronts of the buildings. Loading is located on the west side of Building $A$ and the east side of Building $B$, which is on the rear of the buildings. A farmland buffer is proposed along the -- the west line -- western property line and a -and a residential buffer is provided on the eastern property line.

There are decentralized green infrastructure best-management practices for stormwater throughout the -- the site, to be described later. There is a -- a fire water tank proposed south of Building B, which is to service the -- the -- the water service for potable and fire via wells on-site. And there is a low-pressure pump
P. Lynam, P.E.

25
station provided adjacent to the fire water tank to provide sewer service for the project.
Q. I would like to go through the specific elements of a site plan. And let's begin with grading. So if you could identify to the board what was --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Pape? ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Before we do that
and before you get too far into, you know, the site plan design, just one thing I think is probably good to get on the record at the beginning of the hearing, we keep talking about permitted uses. I would like to be just a little bit more specific about what the permitted uses are that are being proposed.

And also, to the extent that that is a warehouse, if you could provide some testimony as to the kind of warehouse; whether we're talking about a fulfillment center, a distribution center, a warehouse that serves uses that are on-site. You know, more particular what we're talking about as to the use before we get into the design.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure. Patrick, do you -- I can make some statements.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

Q. Patrick, do you have anything that you wanted to add before I do?
A. Well, the buildings are not large enough to be fulfillment centers, so they are intended to be warehouses with offices. And we're also -- which is a permitted use. Also we're, you know, considering light-manufacturing use as well. So not -- not a fulfillment center. ATTORNEY PAPE: So -- and under the category of light manufacturing and staying within the four corners of the ordinance, it could be assembly, light manufacturing, warehousing and -and -- and distribution of product.

But it is not the fulfilment center -the Amazon fulfilment center, as Mr. Lynam indicated. Wrong size building -- actually, wrong size building, wrong number of loading docks, wrong number of parking stalls. Not -- not the request.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just to follow up on the representations that were just made, are the warehouses -- are they linked to the office use? Are they accessory to the office and they're holding product that are associated with the offices?

ATTORNEY PAPE: The offices are -- are not independent of the warehouse. They are -- it is

## P. Lynam, P.E.

envisioned that the maximum number of tenants in each building -- the maximum number of buildings[sic] in each building would be four. The goal would be to have one.

But there's adequate office space shown in the buildings so there could be multiple tenants. But the offices would be associated with the -- the industrial activity that is going in the building. They're not independent offices that -- not rented out as --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. And just to be clear, the warehouse, you're not taking in product from off-site that has nothing to do with the offices --

ATTORNEY PAPE: No.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- in order to sort and distribute?

Everything in the warehouses is related to whatever businesses are going to be occupied in the offices?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Very clearly stated, and yes, that's accurate.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Okay.
That's -- that's all I have, Mr. Chairmai, 041 that issue.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Now, theres -- Patrick, I'm going to go out of order just for one step. Before we go into the grading and the drainage and the elements, I'm going to ask if you could speak to the concern brought to our attention by the Environmental Commission that there is a -- a cemetery in the vicinity of our client's property.

If you could speak to that at this -at this juncture, please?
A. Sure. So the -- the -- the project -the subject site is actually adjacent to the Jerseyville Cemetery, which is located to the northwest of the property.

And with regards to the -- to the cemetery, the applicant has proactively performed a ground-penetrating radar study around the border -50 foot around the border of the cemetery to identify any anomalies that may be underground. And we've identified some anomalies -- anomalies along the property lines and so we had designed the -- the -- the plan to avoid those anomalies and provide sufficient buffering from the Jerseyville Cemetery on both sides in order to avoid disturbing those
P. Lynam, P.E.
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anomalies.
Q. So if I -- there is a cemetery. It's not on our client's property; is that correct?
A. No. No. It's adjacent.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And then what
would the anomalies be attributed to? If I understand the cemetery -- you're saying the cemetery is on Lot 6, I guess?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm going to zoom in to the cemetery location. So yes, Lot 6, and there's the cemetery.

So we performed a GPR study. We looked
at the -- for consistency we looked at the soil and
we looked at -- also, we GPR'ed some of the grave sites so we can identify anything that looks like there are remains there.

So we found some anomalies along both property lines, which we mapped out using GPS and we identified those locations and we adjusted the plan to pull our grading and landscaping away from those anomalies.
Q. And if you could on the record --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I guess my
question though was, what does -- does an anomaly indicate that there's a grave there or is it just --
P. Lynam, P.E.
it's an anomaly because it yielded different results than everywhere else on the property?

THE WITNESS: Right, so it's an anomaly because we don't know what it is. I mean, we've looked at the grave sites on the cemetery site to try to get a representation of what a grave could be. And then we used that -- those results, to check against the results we found along both property lines.

And some of them appeared to be, you know, related to the grave anomalies but we haven't done any excavations, so forth, other subsurface investigations on those anomalies. We're just simply avoiding those areas in the project.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Was there a supplemental investigation of any documents? I mean, does the deed for the property identify any cemetery use on-site?

THE WITNESS: I don't know. I didn't review the title.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. And my understanding also is that the county has some records and they, the county does -- and Jen may have the terminology better than I do -- the county is the entity which has identified at least Lot 6 as

## P. Lynam, P.E.
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containing a cemetery of some individual historic significance.

Is that correct, Jen?
BOARD PLANNER: Yes, I spoke to the planning director at Monmouth County and he indicated -- you're referring to a different name associated with the cemetery -- but the records the county has, has it listed as the Okerson Family Cemetery and that it's still owned by the family but they don't really have any records beyond that, but just that it is on Lot 6; it's not on the subject property.

They also had some kind of survey, that the site itself was some kind of -- was on their individual historic survey. And what was expressed to me was that was based specifically on the fact that there was at some point a historic farmhouse on this property, but that had been demolished several years ago and that there are no regulatory restrictions associated with inclusion on that survey.

So that's kind of what the county had related to me today.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Pucchtaro, I do have a couple more questions of Mr. Lynam.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

## into the grading of the site.

P. Lynam, P.E.
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## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. If you could describe the overall grading of the site?
A. Sure. So let me start on the eastern portion of the property. So there's a residential buffer along the eastern property. We are proposing a 6-foot high berm within the buffer area. So it does go up 6 feet minimum. And then we're proposing a wall to maintain that 6 feet. And then after the wall it drops down to two bio-retention stormwater basins, which drain the loading dock from Building B -- and the loading area for Building B is actually 4 feet lower than the finished floor of the building. So then the grade comes up to the building. And then the parking lot on the west side of Building $B$ and actually Building $A$, they drain away from the buildings, and they drain into three infiltration basins in between the main drive aisle and the parking lots.

And then on Building A, again, on the same design as Building $B$, the finished floor is 4 feet higher than the rear loading zones. And those rear loading zones drain towards the west into multiple bio-retention basins, which are outside the farmland buffer, and eventually the grading stops at
P. Lynam, P.E.
the farmland buffer.
And that is consistent with the topography of the existing site. So the existing site drains from the northeast to the southwest and that's how we maintained that grading pattern and also the drainage patterns that go along with it.
Q. Mr. Lynam, in your opening remarks you used terminology -- very interesting -- you used the terminology "decentralized green infrastructure best-management practices." Just now you took us through some of the stormwater elements.

Are those elements that you just described, are they elements of the decentralized green infrastructure best-management practices?
A. Yes, they are. The entire project is designed in accordance with the township requirements for the stormwater management as well as the recently adopted New Jersey DEP stormwater rules.
Q. So you kind of stole my thunder there, but I like that. So there are three, I think three very important things that the board members must hear from you as the design engineer. One is, you are aware of and did follow the township's stormwater drainage requirements?

## P. Lynam, P.E.
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A. Yes.
Q. And you are aware of and did follow the 2021 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection stormwater requirements?
A. Yes.
Q. And the third is that the entire stormwater system on this property creates no burden on the Township of Howell. It's a privately owned system for which you have designed an operations manual and submitted that manual to a number of governmental agencies for their review and approval?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

I guess, for a moment, what is the ultimate discharge location for the stormwater? Can you confirm that the -- where the stormwater is being directed can handle the rate that it's going to be discharged?
A. Okay. So as I mentioned under existing conditions, there are three discharge points that the site currently discharges to. So there is a southwest -- or southeast portion of the project that surface drains to the Howell Road drainage system. So we have an outlâfiom our wet pond, best-management practice discharging out to
that location.
We also have a discharge location at the southwest corner of the property, outside the farmland buffer in which that portion of the site currently drains to now.

We also have a discharge point right -roughly in the middle of the western property line common with the Okerson farm on the west side, which again, that discharges at the same location where the farm discharges as well.

So all discharge points will meet the required reductions for -- for those discharge points.
Q. Thank you. There are comments from the

Board's professionals with regard to the stormwater system, considerably less comments than there were when we began many months ago. But I'm going to ask that you can and you will work with the township's professionals to address those technical comments?
A. Yes, we will.
Q. Circulation. Before this presentation
to the board is complete we will have traffic
testimony, but as the author of the plan that is before the board, I'm going to ask if you could share with us the on-site circulation, the points of
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ingress and egress and the circulation patterns that you have designed as part of the site plan.
A. Sure. So the -- so we have met with the fire chief, Robert Lewis, to review the site and circulation and we have incorporated his comments into the plans.

Circulation is provided by both
buildings for tractor-trailers, garbage trucks, as
well as township emergency vehicles. There is one bifurcated driveway along Howell Road that allows all turning -- turning movements into the site, but only right turns out of the site. And a dedicated left-turn lane is provided on Howell Road to come into that driveway.

There is another full-movement driveway provided along Okerson Road at the northwest portion of the property and trucks are directed through the site via signs in order -- to the Okerson Road driveway as there's a weight restriction along Howell Road.

Turning-radius exhibits have been provided and they're consistent with the vehicles anticipated.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Lynam? THE WITNESS: Yes?
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: That was actually one of my questions. I just want to dumb that down for me for laymen's terms. So there will be no tractor-trailer traffic to Howell Road; is that what you're saying?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, sir.
THE WITNESS: So that was actually the
end of my circulation description. And, you know, we can further describe with the traffic engineer if necessary.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. I think, as a design engineer, it's important for the board to know that you've also incorporated into your plan all of the necessary site-triangle easements to make certain that those intersections remain safe in the future.
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And we also -- I know that you said it but I think as an emphasis, the radii that are associated with the driveway system are specifically designed to match the anticipated vehicles, so this was not an off-the-shelf design.

If you could just speak to that a little bit further?
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A. Yes. We -- we designed the
circulation based on a W-67 tractor-trailer truck, and that was the largest vehicle to circulate within the major drive aisles and the loading areas of the project. And then we also confirmed the radii with the township's fire truck as well for emergency vehicles. We also looked at the garbage truck or the refuse for the compactor locations.

So we did confirm all of those vehicles can navigate through -- through the site.
Q. And your plan set includes those turning templates? They're all part of what was submitted?
A. That's correct.
Q. Thank you. If we could go to the parking fields that are shown on the property, just go through their location and adequacy, knowing that there's an opportunity for Mr. Disario to talk about them in detail later.
A. Okay. So parking is provided for both buildings meeting the township ordinance. Building A contains 155 spaces where 129 are required. Building B contains 87 spaces where 48 are required. And for the total site there are 242 spaceswfere 177 are required.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

The parking is distributed along the front of each building and the parking spaces are 9-by-19 with 25 -foot drive aisles, which are per the township ordinance. Loading is provided on the rear of the buildings, which Building A contains 55 spaces where 12 are required. Building $B$ provides 28 spaces where four are required. So there are 83 loading spaces, zones or bays, where 16 are required.

The loading spaces are sized at 60 feet by 13 and a half feet with greater than 15 feet of clearance. And that is in accordance with the township ordinance.

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Lynam, if I can
just stop you there. Is there a specific reason why you have so many additional parking and loading spaces? More specifically parking, but I mean, 177 are required and you have 242. So I think we're going to need, just operationally, why we need to have so many additional.

THE WITNESS: Right. I'm going to defer that to the traffic testimony towards the end. But yes, that is duly noted.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And I can -- when the lawyer does it, it's just by way of introduction.
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Nothing worse than having a building that has inadequate parking when parking can be contained on-site. Knowing that there could be shift changes on the site, and we anticipate that there would be shift changes on-site -- I'm fully aware of the issues that have occurred in other buildings of similar use when there are shift changes -- we built into the site additional parking.

Mr. Disario will take you through the details of that in a little bit.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. -- I think that the landscaping elements of the plan are important and I think that we should go through the different elements of the landscaping plan.

You have identified that there are farm
buffers and residential buffers and we also have landscaping on-site obligations. Let's do the heavy and important ones first; the nature of the landscaping that would be in the farm buffer, and then we'll do the nature of the landscaping in the residential buffer that will go on-site.
A. Okay. So the project as a whole contains, you know, a mix of evergreen and deciduous trees and shrubs, as well as grasses and perennials.
-
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And we received recent comments which we intend to agree to. And the overall intent of the landscape plan is -- is to have a fully compliant landscape plan.
Q. Appreciate you making that comment. ATTORNEY PAPE: Board members, Chair and board members, recent comments from your professionals did identify the benefits of further landscaping around the compactors and we look forward to working -- meeting with them and coming up with an appropriate plan.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Could you please clarify if the buffers are four-season buffers?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Patrick, if you could take us through the plant materials.
A. So again, it's a mix of evergreen and deciduous. The evergreen is obviously four season. And we concentrated the evergreens, you know, to provide a four-season buffer on both the farmland buffer and residential buffer.
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ATTORNEY PAPE: The plans that are before you do reflect comments that were received from Ms. Spero. And to the extent there are further comments, we'll address them. But we did confer with Ms. Spero.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. With permission, let's do the lighting, Patrick. If you could describe the lighting?
A. Okay. So the pole-mounted lights are at 24 feet in height. The building lights vary from 18 to 24 feet in height. They are all downward light fixtures and they are all LED fixtures.

The luminaires are to be photocell controlled and operated from dusk to dawn. The lighting design is intended to be fully conforming with the lighting ordinance providing a minimum of .3-foot candles and maximum average of 0.5 -foot candles.

We did receive a comment from the Board engineer regarding the intensity of the lighting in the pavement areas. And if the board is inclined to grant a waiver, we are willing to work with the board engineer to raise those levels. And we'll try to keep it to the average of .5 , but likely it may increase the -- the average slightly.
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Q. So you've designed it to be ordinance-compliant. Staff, the professional staff has identified some areas where further intensification is their recommendation. And it's your testimony that you could do that, but it might trigger a waiver on the part of the applicant; is that correct?
A. That is correct.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Could we get our professionals to opine on that, please?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We would welcome that.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Chairman, I'm
going to defer to Shari, but the comment is specific that they're not maintaining the minimum of .5 foot-candles throughout the pavement surface. That's an IES standard --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: A lot of .3 s ; is
that what you're -- you're commenting on?
BOARD ENGINEER: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yeah.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, we would
like to dial them up but then you also have the overall average requirement and when you dial up those central areas, we may exceed the average by a
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couple of tenths.
That's the -- the goal was to make
certain that we do not need to request relief but to be open to this guidance.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: From my opinion -- and, Shari, correct me if I'm wrong -- I think that with a heavy truck area we should opine and refer to the IES standards for a site like this.

CERTIFIED TREE EXPERT: Yeah, I'm going to agree with you. And it's -- it's just not possible to meet the ordinance and get the . 5 minimum.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And they may need to increase the quantity of fixtures as well to try to keep the overall intensity down, but allow more light to -- to meet those IES requirements.

CERTIFIED TREE EXPERT: Right. But they just can't get the . 5 average and get a . 5 minimum, so they can't meet the standard of the ordinance.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, you will get no fight from me.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Well, our goal is to have a well-designed plan, including a well-designed and safe lighting system. We'll spend the next
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couple of weeks with your staff and come up with -with a response.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, sir. ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. A little more on the lighting. If you could describe the height of the fixtures, confirm the steps that are taken to assure no spillage onto any of our neighboring properties?
A. Yes. So the, again, the pole-mounted lights are 24 feet in height and the building-mounted lights vary from 18 feet to 24 feet in height. And they are positioned to be internal to the site and they are directed to be internal, not to provide spillage onto -- off the site.
Q. And LED bulbs?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Perhaps this would be a good point to just take a little step and talk about all of the -- the green elements -- not the building, we'll wait for Sean to talk about the green elements of the building -- but would you talk about the green elements of your site design?
A. Yes. So the -- for LEED P- $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q 4 h e v e}$ the ordinance wants applicants to incorporate LEED
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designs into their projects. We, as far as that is concerned, I mean, we have the LED fixtures where they're -- they're low-energy fixtures. That's a LEED-compliant item.

We are proposing to do -- to catch the roof runoff and recharge the roof runoff, which is another LEED item. The entire stormwater management and decentralized green infrastructure is designed in accordance with DEP requirements. However, that also complies with national LEED item as well.

So there are -- in the site there are
incorporated elements for -- for green infrastructure.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Is there any additional items, such as bike racks and such, like EV charging stations proposed?

THE WITNESS: There are no bike racks or EV charging stations. I know the architect can expand on how the buildings further provide LE -- I mean credits as well, but we are not proposing any bike racks or charging stations at this time.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If those were concerns
of the board members we would certainly explore both of those.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I'm not sure
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about the bike racks.
Chief, do we really want to encourage anybody riding on the road to lead to this site on a bicycle?

CHIEF KUDRICK: I mean, personally, I wouldn't just because of everyone
distracted-driving. But I mean, we all know from driving around town, our town is very popular for -for bicyclists, especially Howell Road, that's a -that's a main thoroughfare there. There's a lot of bicyclists on it. So there's hardly no -- it's a lot better in this area than it is on a regular stretch of Howell Road from 524, say, all the way up to the overpass here.

That section of road is definitely better but that's --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You've -- you've
said it, it's not -- we probably shouldn't be encouraging it.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We follow your --
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I'm fine with
that answer.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, if you
want my opinion, I would say that the electric
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charging -- car charging, seems to be -- it seems to be here. It doesn't seem to be going away. I don't -- I don't care either way.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: It may be in your client's best interest to incorporate some of those elements.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chairman, I just ordered my first electric car. I want them to put some charging stations here.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: There you go.
ATTORNEY PAPE: We'll explore it and be prepared to have affirmative statements when we return.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Would you -- Patrick, would you, utilities, you talked -- in your opening statements, you talked about the water supply, the wells and the tanks. A little more detail, if you would, water supply for firefighting and potable purposes and how it would be handled?
A. Okay. So as I mentioned before, we are proposing two domestic wells for each building and one fire well for the -- for the fire tank. And
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the fire tank will be filled via the well, the one well, and then that would -- that would be sent out to each building to handle fire suppression, for pressure and flow, into those buildings.

So on a discussion of fire, we did accommodate Chief Lewis' recommendations and provided five fire hydrants throughout the site to accommodate firefighting activities.
Q. In the locations directed by the chief?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And there were also requests that there be FDCs on the buildings in specific locations and have those been included in the design?
A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Could you please clarify what an FDC is? For someone who may not understand that acronym.

THE WITNESS: Sure. That's simply a fire department connection. And it's basically for the fire engines when they come in to pump -- provide more pressure or even flow into the buildings, if they needed, using the fire truck. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And so you're super-chargagife suppression system with the firemen's equipment?
A. That is correct.
Q. The fire chief was very specific where he wanted them so they would be where his fire trucks could set up and not be in conflict with his firefighters fighting.

Patrick, if you would confirm where you were directed is where they are?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: What is the height of the tank?

THE WITNESS: The tank, we don't have
a design for the tank yet so I -- I don't have a height of the tank right now so -- and that would -- we would -- you know, we have to get the buildings designed, the fire sprinkler contractor involved, MVP, would have to do a full design of the buildings in order to determine the volume of the tank needed.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Ms. Neumann or Ms. Beahm, do you -- do you have any concerns about what that tank may look like and how it may be visible from Howell Road?

I'm trying to be very careful on what I say and I don't say because I'm not on that side of
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the fence.
BOARD ENGINEER: What I can tell you is we have nothing in our ordinance that would require a screen. Perhaps what would be helpful is if the applicant's engineer could give us a cross-section so that maybe we can see there is a buffer or at least some level of screening within that area so maybe we can see what the cross-section would be from the Howell Road to the tank, just to see whether or not it's going to be very apparent that there is a tanker there or, in fact, if they're screening appropriately.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure.
BOARD PLANNER: I agree.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: There's a number of things that can be done with the tanks. As you're aware, we are presenting warehouse applications elsewhere in the state, and the design of the tanks, there are a number of things we can do to minimize their appearance.

We'll come back to you with a maximum height and some treatments of the tank.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. They could get pretty large. That's what my biggest
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## concern is.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: But they can go flatter and fatter.

ATTORNEY PAPE: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: So you don't have to go high.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Right. I am becoming personally a specialist at being fatter and shorter, so...
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Let's talk a little bit about sanitary sewer. Patrick, if you could -- the board is most likely aware that a commitment was made by an applicant on Fairfield Road to construct a regional sanitary sewer system that was designed to provide sewer service to this entire region and that is moving forward.

If you could, describe how this system would be connected into the sanitary sewer facilities?
A. Okay. So currently the system includes some gravity lines, picking up the sanitary flows from each building and directing it to -- to a pump station -- a low-pressure pump station
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adjacent to the fire tank. And it will
force through a force main, pump the sewage out of the project to -- through the Howell Road and then north to Business 33 and then east along Business 33 and then down Fairfield Road to the proposed pump -- regional pump station.
Q. And the pump, the low-pressure pump, again, that is not a burden on the town. That is a privately owned, maintained facility by the property owner?
A. That is correct.
Q. I think we should talk a little bit about the trash compactors' locations and the refuse and recycling locations and the methods that you have taken to minimize their appearance.
A. So I mentioned before during the landscaping description that the -- there are trash compactors at both ends of each building, they're shown right next to the ramps that enter the building, on each side of each building. And it was our intent to buffer the compactor from visibility from all directions. So our intent was to provide the screening on the opposite end of the drive aisles instead of providing it adjacent PoqQ48 trash compactor, mainly because of the operation of
the trash compactors are within the loading zones themselves. So that was our intent.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: All right. Could I make a comment, please?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Please.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You provided testimony that you don't believe you finalized exactly what type of manufacturing may end up going in these buildings; is that correct? They're just being set up for tenancies to come?

ATTORNEY PAPE: That is correct, Mr. Chair. We're not -- the applicant is not presumptuous that he has an approval and until this board grants an approval we're not going to marketplace.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I would caution you that if you end up with a food-stuff type of client, having that kind of greenery around the trash compactor is going to probably cause you some agita in regards to meeting those type of requirements for food-stuff type of tenants.

I'm not sure what the board or the professionals would recommend differently but I think just heavy -- a better, nicer-looking fencing system may be a better option.
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ATTORNEY PAPE: Good guidance. And if it's the uniform recommendation of your board and professionals we will adopt it.

BOARD ENGINEER: And just so -- just so the board members are aware, though, we require it to actually be screened with landscaping. So if, in fact, we switch that and we don't want the landscaping there, they're going to require relief.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fair enough.
BOARD TREE EXPERT: Yeah. Mr. Chair, also just so you know, it's not a really small strip. It's not like a typical parking lot island strip where this planting is. It's a, you know, decently wide area.

So there's actually like spruce trees in here. It's not just little shrubs or tiny little arborvitaes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fair enough. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Lynam, I think we're up to the monument sign locations and confirming that the signs will be conforming with the ordinance.

Could you identify where the monument signs are to be installed?
A. Yes. There are two monument signs
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east of the driveway. And that was relocated.
Obviously, we proposed it on the west side but because of the cemetery we, obviously, want to avoid any kind of disturbance over there, so we did relocate it to the east side of that driveway.

And the second free-standing sign is located along the Howell Road frontage to the north of that driveway.

Both monument signs conform with the ordinance requirements as far as height, area and setback. Further testimony regarding the details of those signs can be provided by the architect.

BOARD PLANNER: So I have to ask you a question because the information that I have shows three signs, right? Two monument signs; one near Okerson, one near Howell Road, right? That's what -- you just said that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD PLANNER: And then four wall signs. Are you going to defer the wall sign stuff to the architect; is that what you're doing?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD PLANNER: Okay. Then I will wait on my comment.
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THE WITNESS: Okay. ATTORNEY PAPE: Very fine.
Mr. Chair, that is -- concludes my direct testimony of Mr. Patrick Lynam this evening. I did want him to confirm the receipt of the staff reports and confirm that reports that were recently received will require further response which we commit to to undertake.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And, Mr. Lynam, if you could, on the record, confirm receipt of those reports and confirm that it is the direction of your client to address those outstanding comments?
A. Yes. So we did receive the CME letter, dated August 31st, 20'1 and also the Avakian letter, dated August 31st, 20'1. And we reviewed the comments and we intend to comply with the recommendations.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And I want to make a point, Mr. Chair and board members, the fact that we got letters on August 31 is no -- we take no umbrage with it. Your professionals are -- were reviewing plans that we generated during the month of August. This has been an active exchange.

So delivery of reports to us on
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August 31st was just fine. We appreciate that we got them before the hearing.

I have -- so at this point, Mr. Chair, I turn to you procedurally as to whether we proceed with the next witness or the board, board professionals and the public examine Mr. Lynam. I defer to you.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Just before we get to that, Mr. Pape --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: -- have we identified all of the relief that's required here or is that going to be another witness?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Let's see. Because the -- there is design waiver -- at this juncture, I believe that it's an accurate statement that there are no variances and I just would ask --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I want to ask our professionals about that as well.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: But I just want to know, in terms of what you believe you need, you have placed it all on the record?

ATTORNEY PAPE: The architect will go through certain elements of the building design that
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are a waiver.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. BOARD PLANNER: Ken, did you talk about the curb-cuts every 65 feet?

ATTORNEY PAPE: No. Thank you. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Lynam, let's speak to the handicap curb-cuts and where they have been placed and describe the separation between them.

And then I believe there is some technical relief related to the curb-cuts on portions of the perimeter of the site. Please.
A. Yes. So we -- we made sure that the curb-cuts are every 65 feet along each building frontage, fronting the parking lots, as well as per -- in accordance with ADA requirements for the crossings to get in, as well at the driveways. And we intend to comply with a further recommendation of the board's engineer, to provide a turnaround where necessary.

However, we are not providing a curb-cut along areas of the sidewalk that are not fronting a parking lot or have no necessary need for people to provide access wherever there's no parking or a direct route to a public right-of-way.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
BOARD PLANNER: Ken, did you talk
about the curb-cuts every 65 feet?
ATTORNEY PAPE: No. Thank you.
BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
$\square$
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So I believe there are some areas along the front of Building $A$ and then there's some areas south of Building $B$ that are simply sidewalks and there's no curb-cuts along those areas.
Q. You have shared with me that you felt there was no utility for those curb-cuts. If the technical requirement of having curb-cuts every 65 feet is -- is the board's pleasure, is there anything physically that prevents you from doing that?
A. No.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We just didn't see the utility except in the areas where there was entrance to the building but -- and so there is a request for waiver relief for that.

Thank you.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And also, I guess this piece of property, the way that it sits, it has two front yards and two side yards; would that be accurate?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We definitely have two front yards.

BOARD PLANNER: I would say yes.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So I
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just -- you know, I heard the testimony but I just want to make sure because we have had this with several other applications -- you know, whether, Mr. Pape, it's for one of your witnesses or Laura or Jen, if you want to chime in -- is there any outdoor storage that does not comply with the ordinance?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We propose no outdoor storage on the site.

BOARD PLANNER: Well, Ken, are you aware that there's a recent decision that if you have like a trailer sitting there in a quote, unquote, "front yard," that constitutes outdoor storage?

ATTORNEY PAPE: A trailer? So there's no separate trailer storage on here. There are loading docks where a trailer --

BOARD PLANNER: Right. But are you telling me that at night every single one of those loading docks is going to be empty?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, I think the -- I don't know that the loading docks, Jen, was so much the issue with the prior application. They were having trucks sitting for indeterminant amounts of time in a parking area because theyab5iun't get into the loading docks.

So I think Jen's question needs to be answered. But also, do you have an area where you're just going to have either containers or trucks that are waiting for access to the loading docks either to drop off or to pick up materials? BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Patrick, I think that that's an important question for you to answer. The board can see that the plan -- and this is a redesign -- but I think if you could speak to that?
A. Yes. The prior plan did include trailer storage along the western portion of Building A. However, those trailer storage parking stalls have been removed from the application.

ATTORNEY PAPE: So there is the -- there is loading docks and trailers could be there. I don't have an answer as to whether a trailer -- it would not be used for storage, they may be being -- they may be -- there may be packing of them and they may be there for an overnight period. I can get that information from my client.

My question to the board and to your professionals is, have we met the direction of your ordinance and that case by removing the trailer storage areas that were just for trailer storage?
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ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: With regard to non-loading docks, if that's the case, that there are no areas in either of the front yards that are being used, you know, as just a staging area, you know, waiting for other things to happen, that's -I think that complies.

I guess my follow-up question to that would be, any areas that were previously designated
for -- for that kind of storage use, what is being conducted in those areas in the revised plan?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Patrick, first, please -- if you would please confirm that the trailer storage areas in any front yard have been removed from the plan?
A. That is correct.
Q. And if you could then share with the board the answer to Mr. Cucchiaro's question, how did you repurpose the available land?
A. Okay. So we had trailer storage along the west site of Building A. And we were proposing stormwater management within the farmland buffer. However, in order to comply with the ordinance requirements for the farmland buffer we have pulled all the stormwater management outside the farmland
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## spaces.

So that's how the plan was updated. ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. I think with regard to the issue that Jen raised, since you are coming back, let's take a closer look at that between now and next time and, you know, come to a conclusion on that.

But with regard to, you know, non-storage bay, outdoor storage of trucks it's -based on your testimony, it sounds like you would comply, but I would also defer to -- to Jen and to Laura on that.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay. It is our ambition to be consistent with your -- your goals.

And Mr. Chair, board members, Mr. Lynam is available for your further questions.

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a few questions?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yes, you may.
BOARD ENGINEER: The guard shack, is a
different witness going to cover that?
THE WITNESS: No, I can cover that.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Very good, Patrick.
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THE WITNESS: So we are proposing an operational guard shack at the northwest corner of Building A, and it was purely optional, based on what tenants we get there. And I believe there's some concerns about trucks backing out of that location. And so, you know, we -- the trucks do have an option to -- to wrap around the site, and not interfere with the -- with the guard shack. So it's really intended to be an operational item depending on the tenant for the building.

BOARD ENGINEER: Do you anticipate that this would be constructed in phases?

THE WITNESS: No.
BOARD ENGINEER: And it looks like the town has an ordinance regarding soil removal. Do you anticipate any soil removal or fill more than 650 cubic yards?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, we do. I mean, there is a significant grade change. We are looking to balance the site which means, you know, trying not to have any import or export. However, that, you know, we will need to export some soil from the -- from the -- in excess of 600 cubic yards, yes.

Pa051
BOARD ENGINEER: And you would be
compliant with the ordinance relative to that?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD ENGINEER: And public sidewalks, so you have sidewalk proposed along Howell Road and Okerson Road, but you do have a portion of frontage along Michael Curtin Lane.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
BOARD ENGINEER: And no sidewalk was proposed there, so I would just note that for the board because that would need relief.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay. If there was -if the direction was to have the sidewalk there it can be accommodated. It appeared not to have any -any logic but --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yeah. What is
your opinion on that? It looks like it's just a dead-end. It doesn't really have any --

BOARD ENGINEER. Correct. And it's
just a lane so typically you wouldn't anticipate sidewalks along a lane. So I would defer to the board.

They do provide it long the roadway frontages, and given that Michael Curtin Lane is, in fact, a lane. It may be appropriate to grant a waiver.
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I will take your advice. Thank you.

BOARD ENGINEER: And I guess one final point, what are you proposing by way of frontage improvements?

THE WITNESS: As far -- as far as roadway frontage? We are proposing to dedicate right-of-way to Howell Road in order to -- to meet the master plan half-width. We're also, as you mentioned, proposing sidewalks along Howell Road. And also the street trees along both roads, so those other improvements.

BOARD ENGINEER: No widening?
THE WITNESS: There is a slight widening along Howell Road in order to accommodate the sidewalk and the proposed ingress to -- to that driveway.

BOARD ENGINEER: But you don't
maintain it along the entire frontage?
THE WITNESS: No. We didn't feel it
was necessary for -- to go -- just in the northern section of Howell Road along the property frontage.

As you can see, the property juts in
across that area, so that's the reason for the
dedication in that area and also the widening.
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BOARD ENGINEER: I recommend it consist -- the widening improvements be consistent along the frontage, specifically along Howell Road. Okerson Road, I recognize is small, but I do think, given the size of this application, that's a small question for me to ask.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And the purpose of that is to allow trucks to come up 33 Business to queue, queue in, turning into the site; is that your --

BOARD ENGINEER: Yeah, just to provide additional -- I mean, they've providing the necessary dedication. I think they should provide the necessary half-width paving.

Beyond that, what about utility extensions; I just want to make sure you're also familiar with the town's road opening ordinance and the necessary restoration requirements to the utility extensions to the site.

THE WITNESS: Yes, and we will comply with those.

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Chairman, with that I have no other questions for this witness.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: May I, for
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clarification, the road improvements along Howell Road, Ms. Neumann, could you -- could you give us some specifics on the record so that we can address them?

BOARD ENGINEER: So as I -- as, I believe, Mr. Lynam indicated, he has some level of widening proposed. I just request that he continue it along the frontage.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Got it, okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, do you have any objection to that?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I do not have the answer, but I am sending a message to my client to get the -- I think that that's fine, but I need permission.

If you move forward to the next question, I won't delay you and I will come up with -- I'll have a response promptly.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Ms. Neumann, does that conclude your
questioning?
BOARD ENGINEER: Yes, it does, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAU
from Ms. Spero or Ms. Beahm?
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BOARD PLANNER: Not from me at this time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Anything else from the -- the Board?

MEMBER CRISTIANO: I have a question.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Sure.
MEMBER CRISTIANO: Howell-North Little
League, how far away is this project from their land?

THE WITNESS: Oh I'll bring it to the
-- the other exhibit, so I can bring that out so everyone can see it, just so we can show it on the aerial.

ATTORNEY PAPE: As you're doing that, Mr. Chair and Board members, the request of Ms. Neumann for the road improvements along all of the frontage along Howell Road is an acceptable condition. The applicant will amend the plans to reflect that.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, Mr.
Pape.
THE WITNESS: So I'm going to the
aerial -- the overall aerial. And you can see that
the property in proximity to the Little League field, it's a few hundred feet to the east of the
P. Lynam, P.E.
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Little League field. I guess you see on aerial. I'll get a measurement here. One second.

So I get an approximate measurement
from -- I'll take it from the corner of the cemetery
to the entrance -- to the closest entrance, and it looks like roughly 500 feet.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Lynam, can you provide testimony that when trucks enter or exit the site off of Okerson there is no reason for them to traverse into the other lane in order to complete their movement? There's enough road, enough radii coming out of your site that they can immediately go into their particular lane?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that was -- there's
a few circulation comments from your board's engineer, which we will accommodate to modify or increase some of the radii to prevent that from happening.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. So we'll see that on the next round.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
MEMBER CRISTIANO: What are your hours
of operation? Is it on weekends also?
ATTORNEY PAPE: We do envision that
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this building would be 24/7. We don't know who the tenants would be, but these types of buildings are 24/7 operation.

COUNCILWOMAN RICHMOND: Joe, you stole my questions, but thank you.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Anybody have any other questions?

MEMBER LEGGIO: Yeah, Brian, I have a
question. Maybe the parameters of what is considered light manufacturing; is it product specific, is it output per hour? Is it trucks -- I mean that's a wide category, should we maybe get a definition on that, maybe one of our professionals or Ron?

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, let's -- I
think it's a -- a question for the applicant at first blush so that, you know, before we say it's permitted we understand, you know, fully what it is they're proposing.

So, Mr. Pape, I don't know if you want to address it or do you want your -- your professional to address it?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I think that we can
attempt to address it. What we did was to look at the ordinance and what were permitted uses and what
P. Lynam, P.E.
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permitted uses would -- could we have adequate parking for. And amongst those permitted uses was the warehouse, manufacturing, packaging, and assembly.

So I don't have a textbook definition of the manufacturing, it's as it's used in your ordinance.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, Mr. Pape.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I'm sorry, go ahead.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I was going to ask if anybody had any other questions. By all means, go ahead.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I was just wondering -- I dont have the ordinance in front of me. I was just wondering if someone else had the ordinance and could just read the definition out of the ordinance.

BOARD PLANNER: Give me a minute. I mean, you guys could probably go to something else while -- while I look for this.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And I ask, my other question, Mr. Pape, is, do you corsîer 53 is two principal uses or is the office the principal
use with an accessory warehouse, since the warehouse is serving the individual offices?

ATTORNEY PAPE: So my opinion is that these are -- that the office is the ancillary use. That's where the administrative element of the business would occur. But it's -- that's really the tail and not the dog. It's the larger space where the business is conducted, and the offices are intended to be where the administrative elements would take place.

So I don't see them as two uses, I see them as one is ancillary to the other.

MEMBER LEGGIO: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah. Certainly
not -- office space is not rented out separate from warehouse, and warehouse is not rented out separate from office.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: And to the extent
that there is a light manufacturing use, would there be a light manufacturing use that has an office and a warehouse?

ATTORNEY PAPE: There would be -- all uses would have an office element. And the -- the space that's shown, the large space, could be set up for assembly, it could be set up for packaging, it
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could be set up for simple storage or a combination.
Most of the businesses that would be looking for it would have storage as part of their activity. So I hope that answers the question.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: It sort of does. So I guess in the instance where you have some sort of manufacturing that's going on, based upon that answer, would it be that manufacturing is the principal use and the office and the warehouse are accessories to the manufacturing?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I'd say that that's a very fair description. When you go into a facility that is doing assembly or there's storage of the materials that are being assembled. There's the assembly activity and there is the storage of the assembled materials all before they leave the building. So they --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: But it's all integrated, they're not operating independently; it's one single use?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Absolutely.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay.
BOARD PLANNER: Mr. Cucchiaro, our
ordinance does not have a definition of manufacturing.

## P. Lynam, P.E.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: All right. So that is -- so you've heard the definition that the applicant has provided. So I think it's something for the board to consider as to whether that falls within the definition of manufacturing.

I think what we'll do, similar to -we've had some other cases where the ordinance lacks a definition. I'd like to do a little bit of research on some case law and, you know, sort of commonly accepted dictionary definitions. And, you know, we'll readdress this at the next meeting to make a formal determination as to what we believe the definition is and whether this fits within it.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And we'll -- we'll
gladly work with you on that.
I think their -- the definition of manufacturing, I think, does appear as a footnote in the ordinance. It's actually in the permitted use tables for non-residential zones, but no definition, just -- just the terminology, manufacturing.

And in SED manufacturing is one of the permitted uses.

BOARD PLANNER: I agree. It's
in -- it's in Schedule 1 under permitted uses.
P. Lynam, P.E.
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Manufacturing is there with a footnote. And I think it says -- the footnote says, "Includes apparel, similar finish products made from fabrics, electronic and electrical equipment, fabricated metal products, food and kindred services, furniture and fixtures, leather products, lumber and wood products, paper and allied products, photographic equipment, medical/optical goods, watches, clocks, printing and publishing, glass products and textile products.

Like you said, Mr. Pape, that's a footnote in the schedule of permitted uses, but in our actual definition section there is a --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.
BOARD PLANNER: -- formal definition.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah, I -- I think
there's some guidance there are some -- definitely an opportunity to be more specific.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. So we'll revisit that at the next meeting.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Fine.
Then, not to rush anyone but with
permission -- oh, oh, as to the public, Mr. Chair, are examination of witnesses one by Pa084 at a specific time of the hearing?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I think we should do it at a specific time of the hearing. But if -- if you're complete with this witness and nobody else on the board has any other questions, I would like to take a five-minute break.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Acceptable to the applicant. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Thank you.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: The board
will now take a five-minute recess. They'll be back at 9:15.
(Brief recess is now taken.)
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: The planning board will now reconvene.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Mr. Pape, your next witness.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. So for the record, Patrick Lynam's direct testimony this
evening has been concluded. He will remain with us throughout the hearing and will remain available for examination.

Mr. Chair, Board Members, our next witness is Dr. Raymond Walker, the environmental scientist who has worked with us on this project.
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If we could have -- bring him in and then we'll take a couple of minutes to swear him in.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Patrick, can you stop sharing your screen? Thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay. Is Ray --
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: I did have Dr. Walker on here. Hold on.

ATTORNEY PAPE: There he is.
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Fine. Counselor, could we swear in Dr. Walker?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr.
Cucchiaro is not back yet. Hold on.
ATTORNEY PAPE: All right.
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Wait a minute.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Cucchiaro, I have just asked that Mr. -- that Dr. Walker be sworn in, if you would do the necessary.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

RAYMOND WALKER: I do.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and
R. Walker, PhD
spell your name for the record.
RAYMOND WALKER: Raymond Walker,
R-A-Y-M-O-N-D, W-A-L-K-E-R.

EXAMINATION

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Dr. Walker, would you share with us your education and your professional background?
A. Sure. I have a Bachelor of Science in Environmental Biology from Manhattan College and a PhD in ecology from Rutgers University.

I was previously employed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Philadelphia District, as a regulatory specialist and I left there as Chief of their surveillance and enforcement section.

For the last 35 years, I've been in the private consulting business. I've been with Colliers Engineering for the last 29 years. I'm currently the head of the GEO Environmental Division.

I have testified as an expert in federal court, state court, as well as multiple planning boards and zoning boards throughout the state of New Jersey including, I believe, this board
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in the past as an Environmental Specialist.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, may Dr.
Walker testify this evening in his area of expertise as an Environmental Scientist?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: We will accept his credentials. Thank you, Mr. Pape.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.

## BY ATTORNEY PAPE:

Q. Dr. Walker, I think that the best way for you to present your knowledge and findings of the site would be to describe the presentation -- the materials and presentation that was made earlier this summer to the Environmental Commission. And if you could do that in a narrative, I won't interrupt you.
A. Sure. We prepared the environmental impact report for this project and the results of the report was submitted to the township for review. We also reviewed the results of that report with the Environmental Commission during a previous meeting this summer.

I'll kind of summarize some of the -- some of the issues that we addressed. Some of them have been discussed by Mr. LPaph, ${ }^{\text {Put I'll }}$ go over them briefly also.
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If you remember the low-altitude aerial photo that Mr. Lynam showed you, it basically shows you that the entire property is an active agricultural field. By reviewing historical aerial photography it's been an active agricultural field since at least 1931. Back then there were some farmhouse structures -- some farmhouses and some other farmland structures. More recently some newer structures were constructed on the property. Mr. Lynam, I believe, pointed them out in his aerial map. The older structures were demolished in 2013, so there really are no original farmland structures on the property today.

The property does not contain any freshwater wetlands. We submitted an application for a letter of interpretation to the DEP. The DEP issued a letter of interpretation on January 17th, 2018, confirming the absence of wetlands on the property. That LOI, or Letter of interpretation, is valid until January 17th of 2023.

The property also does not contain any streams, lakes, rivers, or other water bodies and it's not located within the flood hazard area of any water body.

All drainage from the property, as Mr.
R. Walker, PhD
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Lynam indicated, is directed to adjacent properties via overland flow that eventual find their way into Birch Creek, which is a tributary to the Manasquan River. But again, there is no flood hazard areas on the property, so there's no need for any flood hazard permits, no wetlands on the property no need for a wetlands permit.

We also searched the local records as well as the DEP records and there are no historic resources on the property. As Mr. Lynam indicated, there is a cemetery located on Block 164, Lot 6, which is northwest of the -- of our subject property. And that is, I think Ms. Beahm indicated, it's known as the Okerson Cemetery or also the Jerseyville Cemetery. But there's no work associated with this project proposed in that cemetery.

We also did a search for threatened or endangered species on the property. We submitted a request to the New Jersey Natural Heritage Program. And in a letter dated April 5th, 2021, they confirmed that there was no threatened or endangered species habitat on the property. So pretty much it's a clean property, in terms of sensitive environmental areas, but since it is a farm field we
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also conducted some analysis of the soils since farmland soils are sometimes known to contain residues of pesticides.

So we did a Phase 1 and a Phase 2 study on the property. Those investigations found some slightly elevated levels of arsenic and dieldrin in the soils. The exceedances for arsenic were found mainly in the northeast and central portions of the property, whereas the exceedances for dieldrin were found mainly in the northeast corner of the property.

The DEP residential limits for arsenic are 19 parts per million. The levels that we found on the site, in the central part of the site and the northeastern part of the site, range from 22 parts per million to approximately 39 parts per million. So slightly elevated, nothing that's too severe.

The NJDEP residential limits for dieldrin are .034 parts per million, and they were mainly found in the northeast corner of the property where the levels were slightly elevated, they ranged from . 034 parts per million to .038 parts per million.

The project does have a licensed site remediation professional associated with it. It
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will develop a remediation plan for these soils. There is a couple of options that they will have for remediation. One could be the removal of the contaminated soil. Second option would be engineering or institutional controls, for instance, a cap. The cap could be the building itself or the parking lot with some type of conservation or dieldrin notice over those areas. The third could possibly be a blending of the soil so that they were -- their level's would be reduced below the residential standards.

So, for -- you know, from a standpoint this is probably a very non-sensitive environmental property because it's been farmed all these years. It doesn't contain any steep slopes, wetlands, flood hazard areas, threatened or endangered species or cultural resources on the property.

And that pretty much summarizes my testimony before the Environmental Commission about a month or two ago.
Q. Dr. Walker, is it -- if you could confirm that the applicant has made the commitment and we can place it on the record here, that the LSRP's pursuit of the response actio $\mathrm{Pa} Q 56 \mathrm{me}$ and a remediation plan is a -- would be a condition of the

```
development of the property?
    A. That's correct.
    ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I have
nothing further of Dr. Walker. I was pleased that
he was with us throughout the entire process and
provided the guidance that he just shared with you
this evening. He is available to you and your
professionals for examination.
    CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Since our environmental liaison had to recuse
himself, from my other professionals, is there any
other further discussion that our Environmental
Commission is going to want to see, such as
documentation as to what this LSRP is proposing?
    Is that something that they would
typically want to see?
BOARD ENGINEER: I don't really know it's something they -- you know, an LSRP acts as an in-between between the developer and the state. An LSRP is tasked with developing a remediation plan and as long as the development receives an RAO, which is a Remedial Action Outcome, it is acceptable for development.
I am not sure that it's within our purview or honestly even the Environmental
```
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Commission's purview to critique another LSRP's plan without necessarily that licensure but they are permitted to do that. They do act as a liaison again, between the state and the developer. And I'm comfortable with the LSRP developing that remediation plan as long as they receive an RAO. I believe that that's a limit of our jurisdiction.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And what does it typically cost them regards to being able to build? Do they need to get that RAO before they even begin to do any other improvements to the site, or is it something that they can get moving forward while they are continuing to develop more of the site?

BOARD ENGINEER: It depends upon where
the contamination is in connection with the development and how they propose to remediate it.

So if it's going to be removed, for example, then the area is excavated and it's removed off-site. If it's part of the cap, it's usually part of the development plan. So it could occur prior but most likely it occurs, you know, at some level during the construction process.

BOARD PLANNER: Or, for example, if it's groundwater remediation -- and Dr. Walker is here so you can chime in any time -- but, let's say,
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it's groundwater remediation, and as Laura said they excavated out the contaminated soil, it could just be a process of monitoring wells, making sure that the attenuation over time, you know, remediates the issue. So it's not -- it would not preclude development, if that were -- if that were the case.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I just know that we have had other contaminated sites and that remediation plan or what they're doing to remediate it is somehow put on the record and there isn't any report or anything like that that I'm seeing from the LSRP indicating what the plan is.

Is that something that we should have for the record?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I'd like -- Mr. Chair, we would be -- as the LSRP develops the plan and as that data and those documents become available, we'll share them. We'll file them with your board secretary --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. ATTORNEY PAPE -- in realtime as they occur.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

Does anybody else have any further
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comments or questions?
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Mr. Chair, I do.
So I just want to be very clear Mr. -- or Dr.
Walker, I'm sorry. I am married to a PhD. She would have just hit me if she heard me call...

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not that
sensitive.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: What documents, precisely, were presented to the Environmental Commission?

THE WITNESS: The Environmental Commission was provided with a complete set or a complete copy of our environmental report, as well as a copy of a Natural Resource Inventory that was prepared for the property, as well as, I believe, site plans that went along with that -- with that application.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We did not distribute the site plans to the Environmental Commission.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: The township could do that.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Every time
there's a submission to the board, wherph 57 have their meetings they get the entire submission to
review.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Were the results of the ground-penetrating radar submitted to the Environmental Commission?

THE WITNESS: That I'm not familiar with. I know that the environmental impact report did contain copies of the letters of interpretation, the natural heritage database search, and any other documents that we had received from the DEP or other regulatory agencies.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Also, I just want to kind of better understand, I want to harmonize the work that you performed with some of the testimony we heard from the engineer.

From your perspective, what is the significance, if any, of the anomalous results that came from the ground-penetrating radar, sort of on the border of the property?

THE WITNESS: Well again, it's not really my area of expertise. You know, it's certainly not an environment issue as much as it is more of a -- I'm not sure what category it would fall into, but I'm really not an expert on cemeteries or ground-penetrating radar. I'd have to defer to, you know, the individual from our office.
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ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, from an environmental standpoint, from what you are an expert in, are there any environmental -- is there any environmental significance to those results?

THE WITNESS: Well again, the little I know, anomalies could be various things, it could have been something where someone dug a hole and then filled it back in so now there's a disruption of the soil. It could be that someone buried a log and that's decomposing underground.

So it could be any type of subsurface disturbance that is not typical of what you would find in a farming operation.

Well, I mean, again, it wouldn't be uncommon for a farmer to -- you know, they used to by dispose of their garbage by digging holes in the exterior parts of their property and burying their garage there. It could be something like that. Or it could be something associated with the cemetery. It would be difficult for me to --

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So from your perspective, is it enough -- again, for what you are an expert in, is it enough to simply identify an area that prohibits excavation or, you know, is an LSRP going to require some greater certainty as to
what it is that is generating the anomalous result to determine if there, you know, needs to be a remediation?

THE WITNESS: I believe they did a series of soil tests throughout the site and the only areas where they found contaminated levels were in the central and northeastern portion of the site.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So that means --
THE WITNESS: And in terms of whether or not the LSRP reviewed those GPR results, I don't know. I have to check with them and get back to you on that, whether or not they -- they reviewed those results and felt there was any concern from an environmental standpoint that they might represent something like an underground storage tank.

I could check with that. I don't have that information.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: But what you're saying is that -- what you are confident in saying is that there was soil testing that was performed in the same area that revealed the anomalous results.

THE WITNESS: Well, not in the immediate area where the anomalous results were detected because if, again, those anomalous results were some type of grave we wouldn't want to disrupt
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that so we stayed away from it. But they were done in close proximity but not in that exact area.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: So I guess that's my ultimate question, though. We don't know what's under there. It could be, you know, remains, it could be, you know, human remains, which everyone would want to have a respect for, you know, not disturbing. But it might be something different, you know, that requires some attention.

So I guess my question is, is there a balancing test? Is there a requirement that there be further testing? Is it just leaving it alone saying, whatever it is, we will never know but we're not going to touch it and hopefully it's not anything dangerous?

How is it that we, you know, come to a satisfactory conclusion on how to address it?

THE WITNESS: I guess I take the reasonable man approach in that, because it's in such close proximity to a graveyard where, you know, there was subsurface disturbance and, you know, placement of graves that those -- it's a greater likelihood that if there are anomalies there that they're associated with that activity. Pa058

But I can't provide you with any
greater level of assurance than that.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: I think, Mr. Pape, what I would like, considering that you're coming back, is between now and then, we can certainly discuss it but on the record at the next hearing, I think we need to delve into, you know, whatever the legal requirements are, whether it be under the cemetery act, whether it's under some Administrative Code regulations, you know, whether there are county issues involved since they're the ones that have identified, you know, the area, whether historic preservation comes into play. Whatever the factors are that would -- that may govern it, or if there's nothing, you know, that governs it and there's no specific regulation that needs to be followed.

I think we need to come to a very firm conclusion on that between now and the next meeting and then present it both to the board and the public at that time.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Cucchiaro, I have some similar thoughts and a couple that go a couple of steps further. I think it's a great idea. I also think that consulting with the LSRP to make certain that he is fully informed and -- my client
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has told me he is fully informed, but we'll have him present his -- he is fully informed and what steps he believes needs to be done.

But reviewing the Cemetery Act, reviewing the administrative code as applicable and being in contact with the biostatistics people at the county, I think all of that is good counsel and we'll do it.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: That's all I had, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you, sir.
Anybody have anything else, any other questions for this witness?

MEMBER CRISTIANO: Dr. Walker, I have a question. I'm actually going to ask you on your foresight.

So, let's say this project passes and all through construction or even whatever the warehouse is storing or containing, do you see an environmental issue with right across the street is Howell Points Swim Club, there's at any given time, hundreds of kids 400 feet away. Howell-North Little League, at any given time, hundreds of kids.

Do you see any issue with wafting of substances or anything that could get them sick or
R. Walker, PhD
ill? That's what I'm asking you, foresight.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, based on the design that I see, everything is going to be enclosed interior, any processing or light manufacturing is going to occur is going to be inside. And from what I understand also there will not or may not be the use of any hazardous chemicals. We can confirm that. But again, there's no exterior smokestacks, emission options. Everything is going to be contained internally.

So I don't see that as being a real area of concern considering this proposed use.

MEMBER CRISTIANO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay. Any other comments from the Board?

Mr. Dorato, do you have a comment?
MEMBER DORATO: --
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You just muted
yourself.
MEMBER DORATO: No, I'm good.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
MEMBER DORATO: I'm okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay, Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes. Mr. Chair, I'd
like to discuss, we have two additional witnesses
and the architect's testimony is comprehensive. I think that we can accomplish it in the next 15 or 20 minutes. I'm not certain what the examination time would be. And then there is the traffic consultant whose testimony is longer.

What I would ask is, with permission, we present to you the architect. And at the conclusion of the architect's testimony we would ask that the -- we begin our affirmative presentation at your next meeting with the responses that we have assembled and with the traffic testimony. And it does allow some time, if the chair chose, to have a -- to introduce the public or allow the public to participate.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Now, Mr. Pape, since you're looking to get some advice as well from this meeting, there were some comments about changing the radii coming in -- in and out of the site. I'm assuming that that's going to be real important for the traffic engineer to -- to discuss as well. So I would agree with you. I think if the plan is updated at the next meeting, you may even want to have Mr. Lynam discuss that first and then carry --
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ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I do
envision that a second hearing would begin with affirmative statements from Mr. Lynam of the revisions that were made responsive to your comments tonight and from the staff's comments, and then we would go to the traffic.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fantastic. I appreciate the dialogue so that the public knows what is -- what to expect at the next meeting. Appreciate that. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: So again, with your permission, we'll present the architect and he will be the last affirmative professional witness that we present this evening.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. ATTORNEY PAPE: So, Sean?
SEAN NAEGER: Yes, sir.
ATTORNEY PAPE: You're up. And if --
Sean Naeger is our architect. If we could have him sworn we'll then place his credentials before you before he begins his testimony.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Do you swear or
affirm the testimony you are about to give this board is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

SEAN NAEGER: Yes, I do.
S. Naeger, AIA
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ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Please state and spell your name for the record.

SEAN NAEGER: First name is Sean, S-E-A-N, last name is Naeger, N-A-E-G-E-R.

EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Naeger, would you share with all of us, your education and your professional background?
A. Certainly. I have a bachelor's of architecture from Mississippi State University.
I've been practicing architect for over 24 years. I am a project manager with $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ Architects, have been with them for over 15 years.

I have been involved with or directly responsible for the design of over -- close to four million square feet of this building type within the state of New Jersey. And over eight and a half million nationally.

I am a licensed architect in the state of New Jersey and I am in good standing.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, may
Mr. Naeger testify as an architect this evening?
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: He may. We'll
accept his credentials. Thank you. ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. I'm going to ask if you -- are you prepared to screen share, Mr. Naeger?
A. I am.
Q. And any exhibit that you present this evening would be the exhibit previously submitted to the board at least 48 hours ago?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. I'm going to ask if you could introduce to us the building. And I'm going to ask if you could give -- this is a perspective of the building prepared by your office?
A. Yes, sir. It's a perspective of, I believe, Building A from the northwest -- or northeast corner.
Q. Okay. One -- I want to make certain, so the building that you're presenting to the board is your design, but I would ask that you confirm that you have sought the counsel of Ms. Beahm in the design of the building?
A. Yeah. It's not very often that we get to work directly with the town like we have had with Ms. Beahm. She was able to direct us in our
S. Naeger, AIA
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interpretation of the Howell Road Land Use Ordinances, especially Section 188-228.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And I don't want -- I don't want the board to think that that means that Ms. Beahm has approved this building. I just want the board to be aware that her -- her guidance has been sought.

BOARD PLANNER: Hey, I don't get comments -- I don't get positive comments often. Don't interrupt comments positive to my direction. ATTORNEY PAPE: Apologies. Apologies. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Sean, you've got some more nice things to say about Jennifer?
A. Yeah. No, it's been great. Like I said, we haven't -- not very often are we given access to the planner as -- as much as we've had and she's -- she's been invaluable with this, the development of the project, as far as we are at this point.
Q. If you would, let's go through a description of the building; things that are important, our materials, colors, textures and focal points.
A. Certainly. The buildings are designed

## S. Naeger, AIA

with roof offsets, panel laps, as well as color blocking, color changes along all of the facades. So you're seeing two facades here right now but these -- these changes and the manipulations of the facade carry all the way around all four elevations.

The variation along the elevations reduce -- helps to reduce any monotony and provides an aesthetically pleasing architectural composition that is in keeping with the overall size of these buildings and in keeping with what we believe is the intent of the ordinance requirements.

The concrete walls have reveals that you can see. Those would be the horizontal and vertical lines that you see within the -- within the rendering. These reveals help to separate paint colors and provide color blocking patterns to minimize the scale of the elevations.

Over 25 percent of this building's facade is designed as office entrances. It includes such design elements as notched building corners, which you can see reveal patterns, color blocking, large expanses of glass, aluminum canopies, all these design elements highlight the formalized and landscape entrance plazas that we've worked with -with Patrick and his team to develop.
S. Naeger, AIA

105 building of this size.

One -- one thing that I know Jennifer and my associate, Tom McCormick, here in the office, have had discussions about is the 3-foot deep offsets at dock walls. That requirement would really interfere with the operations -- the interior operations of a dock wall. And is -- is really not necessarily the best way to design a warehouse or a distribution center or buildings of this type and that would be one -- one area where we would like to get some relief on.

In consultation with Ms. Beahm it was also suggested that the building have a water table course around the base, which this rendering currently does not show. When we come back to you we will revise that to represent that suggestion. Like I said, the architectural team will work with Ms. Beahm to satisfy this request.

## S. Naeger, AIA

white, at the office entrances to kind of punctuate where those entrances are. And then four -- or excuse me, three different shades of gray, there would be a light, a medium and a dark gray. So the light is like this area right here. The medium is this lower band. And the darker will be these vertical elements that you see marching down both sides.
Q. Sean, I have a couple of questions for you, if I may?
A. Certainly.
Q. You listened earlier to Patrick Lynam describe landscaping elements and perimeter landscaping elements and parking lot landscaping elements.
A. Yes.
Q. I would ask if you could talk about -- discuss with the board how the landscaping and your building design work together, talking about framing and filtering, if you could point that out?
A. Sure. Patrick and my design team and his design team, we did work diligently to make sure that we were not only providing a 18 PaO 61 pe that -that functions well but it also provides and
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filters -- there's buffer -- and buffers and it also frames the views onto the site from Howell Road and Okerson Road.

But additionally the landscape design enhances the overall appearance of just the project in general. It enhances the appearance of the buildings and -- and the entire site.
Q. Would you also talk about the signage on this building?
A. Excuse me. Yeah. Currently, I know on one of the submittals that we had on the elevations there was some notation that was not removed from the elevations about signage -proposed signage. We're not proposing any signage on these buildings other than the numerals for the police and fire safety purposes. There won't be any signs on these buildings.
Q. And that revision is something that the client has gone over with you and that the client's comfortable relying on the monument sign for identification and the numerals for safety purposes?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. I have one more. As part of your responsibilities, did you just -- did you create the monument signs that Mr. Lynam described
S. Naeger, AIA
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to the board in his testimony?
A. Yes. And I'm going to switch the view here right now and zoom in here.

So this would be the monument signs.
Currently, like Mr. Lynam testified earlier,
there -- there's two proposed monument signs, one near the Okerson Road driveway and one near the Howell Road driveway located per the civil drawings. The proposed signs will meet the signage requirements set forth in Chapter 256 of the code of the Township of Howell. The signs are monument based and ground-mounted horizontally. They do not exceed the 12 -foot length by 3 -foot height, excluding the mounting base which is less than the maximum 2-feet height. You can see the dimensions of the sign on -- clearly on this -- on this elevation.

The base of the monument signs will be planted with shrubs and seasonal flowers.

The lighting of these signs will be an external spotlight. These particular fixtures will be used to light the monument sign. They should be arranged so that the light source is screened from direct view by passersby and so that the light is directed against the sign and doesn't shine onto any
adjacent properties or blind motorists or pedestrians.
Q. My last question to you is a very important one. I would ask that you, similar to Mr. Lynam's description of LEED-like benefits and LEED-like design, can you --
A. Sure.
Q. -- describe for the record, the LEED-like design standards incorporated into this building?
A. Yes. And this is something that we do in pretty much all of our buildings nowadays. It just makes sense, you know, we're supposed to be stewards of the environment and this is something that we do.

Using the insulated concrete walls, for an example, there's very, very little waste in construction -- in constructing these walls. The insulated nature of these panels will create an efficient wall system thus reducing the energy consumption for conditioning the interior of the spaces. You know, we typically use a lighter and brighter paint on these walls, which helps reduce heat absorption and reduce the heat island effect.

Concrete and steel is used for this
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construction for the walls, floors, structural framing. And both of these materials utilize very high levels of recycled content.

For the roof we typically use a white TPO or a white EPDM roof. That also helps to reduce the heat island effect. The glazing we use is, like I said earlier, a Low-E tinted glass. It reduces heat gain within the building thus reducing energy consumption for conditioning the inside. We also provide, like I showed earlier, the clear story windows. They're used throughout our buildings -throughout the building envelope to provide natural day lighting into this space which helps reduce the electrical load for the building.

We also will specify and utilize LED fixtures on the interior of the building. Obviously that cuts down on the consumption of energy and provides a superior life cycle cost of benefit.

We also will use occupancy sensors within the warehouse and offices so if there's nobody in that particular areas, lights can be shut down.

There's minimal HVAC loads for these buildings, they're typically heat only. $\mathrm{PA}_{\text {R }}$ because of the -- the insulated walls and the insulated roof
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that heat is -- is kept to a minimum. During the summer months we use the HVLS fans to de-stratify warm air in the winter and provide cooling effects in the summer.

So those are -- those are a few of them. I could go on but I think it's -- it's clear that we will put very cost -- very efficient cost savings and be responsible for the environment when building these buildings.
Q. I'm going to ask Mr. Naeger if you could take one step further and say that these are commitments that the applicant makes to the town?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And upon which the town can appropriately and justifiably rely?
A. Absolutely.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, board members, I have nothing further of Mr. Naeger. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Naeger, is there anything further that you have to share with the board before we conclude your direct presentation?
A. Not at this time, sir.
Q. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER: Mr. Naeger, I have one
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question.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir?
BOARD MEMBER: Is there any green
aspects incorporated in this project, any solar
panels or anything like that?
THE WITNESS: Yes, the solar -- the
roof will be designed per the new regulations that the governor has pushed to be solar-ready.

BOARD MEMBER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: That means -- that means
that there will be an additional five pounds per
square feet of structural strength added to the
steel design. And it also means that the roof
insulation will have, at least the last layer of roof insulation, will have a higher density so that when and if in the future solar panels are placed, the insulation is not crushed.

MEMBER LEGGIO: Okay. And as far as runoff off the roof into your gutters, is that going to be recirculated through the -- you know, through the property into the pool or it will just flow along the landscaping?

ATTORNEY PAPE: That was Mr. Lynam's topic. And, sir, could we recall Mr. Lynam to make that statement again? That was the green element of
the site design. With permission we could just call him back for a minute.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Sure.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Lynam, if you could come back?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes, I am back.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And the question is, what is going to happen from the collected water from the roof?

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes, the collected roof runoff will be discharged to an underground stormwater management system where it would promote recharge into the aquifer.

ATTORNEY PAPE: So it's not going into the open basin, it's going into the ground.

CHIEF KUDRICK: So, Mr. Pape, each of these buildings is designed to hold one tenant; so I'm assuming it's Building 100, Building 200, and each one of them will have just one tenant?

ATTORNEY PAPE: The buildings are designed to have multiple tenants or single tenants. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Naeger, if you could point out the building's capacity for tenants?
A. Certainly.
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CHIEF KUDRICK: And the reason why I
ask is that that first design that you had up there, it looked like there was only one central entrance.

Is there a main corridor that -- that goes through the building where these tenants would be because it only looks like there's one entrance to this building.

THE WITNESS: This particular building has an entrance here.

CHIEF KUDRICK: Right.
THE WITNESS: There will be entrances
here at the middle of the building. And because of the length of the building you cannot see this office piece down at that far corner of the building.

CHIEF KUDRICK: Okay. Thank you. Okay, I see that.

THE WITNESS: So here is a floor plan of -- of the building, of the rendering. And you can see that there's the hatched areas here is where offices would be.

CHIEF KUDRICK: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Potential offices could
be.
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CHIEF KUDRICK: Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Anybody have any other questions? I have got two.

MEMBER SEAMAN: I do have one. Regarding being prepared for a solar potential in the future, which I appreciate, would that be something that would be contemplated as an across-the-board situation as like an HOA, like condominium type of ownership in terms of the leases?

And maybe this is the wrong witness and if so I apologize, but, you know, to do solar across the roof would make a lot more sense than to do it in piecemeal sections. So I'm just wondering what is contemplated there?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Market conditions currently are that there are tenants that support solar and there are tenants that do not have an interest in it. So the building is designed to handle solar and it's really at the tenant's option.

MEMBER SEAMAN: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Pape.

ATTORNEY PAPE: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Anybody have any
other questions?
I have a question for Mr. Naeger.
S. Naeger, AIA
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THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Naeger, you
indicated on your elevations, if you go back to your
elevations -- or the rendering, the rectangle, the
vertical rectangle -- the smaller rectangles that are marked -- yeah, that one there.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Are those windows --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: -- or are those
louvers?
THE WITNESS: No. Those are windows at this point in time.

Depending on the HVAC system, once we get into the -- into that design element, there may be louvers added to the elevations

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: So that -- that was my question. You walked into that one

So is there any concern from the Board
or our professionals that those windows turn
into -- into louvers, they're a little less
attractive, or is there something that should be discussed where that fresh air and exhaust typically with large warehouses like this, from my experience,
is -- is -- is there's a cross-ventilation type of scenario and can that ventilation be -- be left to only be going through the roof structure?

BOARD PLANNER: So in my opinion, he's showing windows, I'm expecting windows.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Got it.
BOARD PLANNER: So if there's some kind of change later on, and I can assure you -- and I'm putting, I guess, Mr. Pape and all of them on notice -- I'm not going to be supportive of turning those windows into louvers, just so you know.

So I agree, you know, Mr. Naeger has been a very easy applicant's professional to deal with. They've -- he's listened to what I've said about the building and I think the design is reflective of that, in my opinion. So --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: We don't have to say anything; Mr. Naeger said on the record there will be windows and that's what that's going to be.

BOARD PLANNER: Yes. I would say that they should remain windows, yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape, are you okay with that?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Duly noted.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
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ATTORNEY PAPE: You got it.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: The next question is, and I may need some help on how to properly phrase it, in the office pod areas, Mr. Naeger, is there anticipation that there could be a second floor if the tenant requires one?

It looks that way from your elevation that it's possible.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly there could be a second floor, yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Okay.
THE WITNESS: You've got the vertical height to work with, yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: So, Ms. Beahm, I am going to need some help on how to properly ask this question.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: In my experience, if we do not ask for the windows to be blacked out and there is no second floor, a lot of times the mechanical systems that come from the roof are easily seen from the outside because those windows -- the upper level of the windows, and I'm looking at the elevation now, the ones Pbage 4 at darker gray band, you'll see all those mechanicals
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and it would be quite unsightly.
What would be the way to -- to make sure that the applicant would be blacking out those windows in those particular instances when there is no --

BOARD PLANNER: I'm not sure I am understanding what you're saying. So the mechanical equipment --

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Let me start over for a second.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: So take a look
at -- take a look at their -- their elevation here.
BOARD PLANNER: Yeah
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: You see the windows that are above the canopy?

BOARD PLANNER: Oh, okay. So in that corner piece? Yes.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Right. If those
are not actually blacked out from the backside and
it's a first-floor office, you're going to see
everything that is above the ceiling, all of the mechanicals.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
So, Mr. Naeger, when you get into that
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building, right? Let's say you go into the corner of that building --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD PLANNER: -- is that like a
vaulted ceiling? Like what is it -- how does that work on the inside?

THE WITNESS: Well, at this point in time -- I mean, it's going to have a lot to do with whatever tenant takes that particular corner. So I mean, it could be a two-story space. It could be a one-story space. It could be a story and a half.

If the concern is that you're going to see HVAC equipment from the exterior -- the equipment duct -- ductwork and whatnot on the inside -- from the inside --

BOARD PLANNER: That's the concern, right, Brian, that's the concern?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: That's the concern, yes.

BOARD PLANNER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: We could, instead of putting vision glass there, it could be spandrel glass which you would not be able to see through.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Fantastic. Thank you.
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BOARD ENGINEER: What does that mean, though, like it's still going to look like windows but you're just not going to be able to see in but it's going to allow light in?

How does that work?
THE WITNESS: Correct. It's still
going to -- it's going to look like what you see here.

BOARD PLANNER: Right.
THE WITNESS: You're not going to be able to see through those windows.

BOARD PLANNER: I think that's what you want, right?

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Correct.
BOARD PLANNER: Okay. That solves the problem.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
Those were my only questions unless anybody else on the board or our professionals have any other comments?

MEMBER CRISTIANO: I have a question.
It's not pertaining to these slides, but it's a just a general question. Route 33 used to have an exit onto Five Points, does anybody know, the professionals or even maybe the Chief would know,
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why was that road -- why was that exit ramp closed? It might pertain to this traffic-wise.

Does anybody know?
CHIEF KUDRICK: You mean the 33 Bypass
up until -- up onto Howell Road?
MEMBER CHRISTIANO: Yeah.
CHIEF KUDRICK: Yeah, because of sight
distance. That was so poorly constructed that we had to shut it down because the way that was allowing traffic to go, there was a blind spot and it was -- it was susceptible to a T-bone accident. So it was shut --

MEMBER CRISTIANO: It wasn't due to traffic amounts, was it?

CHIEF KUDRICK: No. No. It was sight distance. That's why they closed off that exit ramp. You mean that exit ramp that was never used?

MEMBER CRISTIANO: Yeah.
CHIEF KUDRICK: Yeah, sight distance.
MEMBER CRISTIANO: Thank you.
CHIEF KUDRICK: Horrible design.
MEMBER CRISTIANO: Thank you.
CHIEF KUDRICK: That whole project was a mess, still is.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Tell us how you
feel, Chief. I had to lighten it up a little bit
CHIEF KUDRICK: Yeah, just an awful project.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Anybody got anything else?

With that -- with that said, Mr. Pape, does that conclude your presentation with Mr. Naeger?

ATTORNEY PAPE: That concludes our direct presentation of Mr. Naeger for this evening. I think we've reached the point where our presentation to the board for this evening is complete.

I have got pages of notes of board members comments and comments from your professionals and I will restate our commitment to you that we'll work on incorporating all of the responses into the next set of plans.

To the extent that we -- that the board members or board professionals have further guidance to share with us, this would be a great opportunity for us to hear any further direction from you, if there is anything further.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Yeah, I only say
this -- I know we said other comments but I would
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like to go back to that storage tank. I would strongly recommend that you figure out what that size of that tank will be now and I'll leave it at that.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I'm negotiating with the client that we're going to paint it, like sky and clouds, with a little airplane pulling a banner that says, "Heilbrunn Pape," and a telephone number.

No, we're working on it.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: I'm just afraid
that when you finally figure that out it may be the size of a silo.

ATTORNEY PAPE: With this client and this team, we've done water towers and we've been successful in getting them so they're lower and squatter, and also a color scheme that makes them so that they are -- not invisible but they don't stand out. It's essentially a blue and white cloud scheme that was used.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: And just out of curiosity, it would be nice to hear some testimony as to why the pond just can't be used as the water source. My understanding is the refrigerated warehouse off of Oak Glen Road, I think it's Sinnofi(ph), I believe that they presently use the
pond, if I remember right, when that application came for expansion a number of years ago.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We will present that to you, including -- including Chief Robert Lewis' comments about -- we'll have colors, height and need, all a part of the testimony.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: With that -ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: With that, Mr. Chairman, I think we -- we need to find a date to carry this application to.

My first question would be for Mr. Pape, in terms of information you need to collect and things you need to review, what does your -what kind of time does your team think you need to -- to get all that together and then submit whatever you want to submit at least, you know, 48 hours in advance, preferably earlier if it's something that the board's professionals need to look at.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Of course. We would -- we would abide by the 10-day rule for any submissions, and as well as the 48-hour for loading.

Patrick, not to put you on the spot, but I think the lion's share of the responsibilities
are going to be yours working with -- with the team. What do you envision the time frame to make the revisions to the plans?

PATRICK LYNAM: Well, to abide by the 10-day rule I think we need, if the board meets twice a month, that's -- the next meeting is dangerously close to the 10-day mark already.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Well, we are -we are out of sequence this month in deference to the Jewish holidays.

So our next meeting, Eileen, I think is the 23rd?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Yes. And I can help you out here. We're already booked on the 23rd. We're booked on October 7th. October 21st right now has nothing. November 4th has one application. And we only have one meeting in November due to the League of Municipalities. December is wide open so take your pick.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I like October, nothing on your agenda, a lot.

Patrick?
PATRICK LYNAM: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Realistiaphai in the
next 15,20 days you can get everything in so that
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the --

PATRICK LYNAM: Yes.

Sounds like that's the first one that's available to us. So to Ms. Rubano, Mr. Cucchiaro and Chair, we would like that second meeting in October if it's available to us.

ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Eileen, what's that meeting date again?

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY:
October 21st.
ATTORNEY CUCCHIARO: Okay. Fine.
So then, the application of AAFFHW
Properties, LLC, Case Number SP-1085, will be carried to the board's October 21, 2021 meeting.
That meeting will begin at 7:00 o'clock. It will be a virtual meeting.

All documents will be on file on the township website and available for review and inspection.

There will be no further notice to property owners. If you received a notice of tonight's meeting you will not receive another one again. The meeting will be 7:00 o'clock, virtual
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meeting on October 21st, 2021. The instructions on how to access that meeting will be on the township's website as well.

And again, no further notice to property owners.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Pape, would you be so kind as to grant the board an extension of time?

ATTORNEY PAPE: On the record, the applicant grants an extension of time. And in an abundance of caution, we'll say through November 30th, 2021. And we'll follow it up with a written memorialization tomorrow morning.

PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Mr. Pape?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair and board members, board professionals and all, thank you for your time and attention this evening. Look forward to seeing you on October 21st with a continued presentation.

CHAIRMAN TANNENHAUS: Thank you. Have a good night.

CHIEF KUDRICK: Thank you, sir.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Good night.

CHIEF KUDRICK: Very well done.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you
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