1	Hearing date: February 26, 2021		
2	Hearing time: 9:00 AM Judge/Calendar: Hon. James J. Dixon/Civil		
3	Judge/Calendar. Holl. James J. Dixon/Civil		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	WASHINGTON STATE SUP	ERIOR COURT FOR THURSTON COUNTY	
11			
12	TYLER G. MILLER,	Case No.: 21-2-00092-34	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	VS.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY	
15		INJUNCTION	
16	JAY INSLEE, in his official capacity as Governor of Washington State, and CHRIS		
17	LIU, in his official capacity as Director of Enterprise Services,		
18	Defendant		
19	Detendant		
20	I. INT	RODUCTION	
21	The Plaintiff hereby moves that an Order for Preliminary Injunction be issued in		
22	this matter to prevent ongoing irreparable injury to his Constitutional rights while litigation		
23	continues. This Order is necessary to ensure that the rights of the Plaintiff and public are restored		
24	and protected while the judicial process is completed. Appreciating the plight of the Court in		
25	and protected withe the judicial process is comp.	leted. Appreciating the pright of the Court in	
26	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	- 1 TYLER G MILLER	
27		6000 W SHERMAN HEIGHTS RD BREMERTON, WA 98312	
28		(360) 535-9643	
		AUG409@GMAIL.COM	

25

26

27

28

dealing with the coronavirus pandemic, as well as the stated concern of the Defendants in not wishing to rush the litigation of this question, it is likely that this case will go well beyond the April 25th scheduled end of the 2021 legislative session. Given these considerations and the essential time-sensitive nature of the Plaintiff's claims, only a Preliminary Injunction at this time can prevent further, ongoing harm to the rights of the Plaintiff and general interests of the public.

The current operational status of the Capitol Campus, and specifically the Legislative Building, presents a continuous and unavoidable injury to the Plaintiff's Constitutional right to be in physical attendance of the Legislature while it is in open session, as well as to his rights under Article I § 4 to petition and peaceably assemble for the common good. Plaintiff's rights are being injured by actions of the Defendants in two ways:

- 1) Plaintiff is being physically restrained from approaching the Legislative Building by the presence of a temporarily erected chain-linked fence which surrounds the building. Attempting to cross the perimeter of this fence line carriers the threat of immediate arrest.
- 2) Plaintiff is further restrained by the total prohibition of the public from entering the Legislative Building altogether.

II. REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Wherefore the continued actions of the Defendants as outline above cause a continuous and unavoidable injury to his rights, Plaintiff seeks preliminary injunctive relief as follows:

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 2

28

1) The complete removal of the chain-linked fence currently surrounding the Legislative Building and Temple of Justice. Alternatively, removal of portions of the fence that will allow for the free flow of pedestrian traffic to the exterior of the Legislative Building, including the steps and ellipse on the Northern side in between the Legislative Building and Temple of Justice.

- 2) Removal of the threat of arrest from all persons engaged in lawful activity on all areas of the Capitol Campus.
- 3) Order the Defendants to provide for the immediate access by the public to the viewing galleries of both legislative chambers while each house is in session.

III. GROUNDS FOR REMOVING THE FENCE

The chain-linked surrounding the Legislative Building was erected over a month ago, according to Defendant Inslee, in response to generalized threats of violence at the Capitol in Olympia following incidents at the National Capitol and the Governor's Mansion on January 6, 2021. See Inslee statement on security measures for 2021 legislative session, (January 8, 2021), https://www.governor.wa.gov/news-media/inslee-statement-security-measures-2021legislative-session. The nature of those threats were never specified or elucidated to the public in any way, nor have they been in any of the extensions of these security precautions since they were first issued.

On or about January 15, 2021, the Washington State Patrol stated that no "specific threats to any time date, location or anything specific to our area." See Jonathan Choe, State Capitol Tightening Security in Wake of Threats, Looming Presidential Inauguration, KOMO

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 3

News (January 15, 2021), https://komonews.com/news/local/state-capitol-tightening-security-in-wake-of-threats-looming-presidential-inauguration.

On January 29, 2021, Bob Covington, Department of Enterprise Services Capitol Security & Visitor Services Director, acting under authority delegated to him by Defendant Liu, extended the presence of the chain-linked fence through at least March 01, 2021. The justification cited was again unspecified and generalized warnings issued by the Department of Homeland Security which did not include any warnings specific to Washington State, and unspecified reference to "recent" violence at the Capitol. Although the "recent" violence was not specified, it is assumed that this is in reference to the breaching of the gates at the Governor's Mansion over three weeks prior on January 6th since there have been no other reported incidents since that time. The only other possible reference would be to a December 12, 2021 incident involving a shooting at a protest that occurred near, but not actually on, the Capitol Campus. See Rolf Boone, 1 shot, 3 arrested after protesters clash in Olympia on state Capitol Campus, The Olympian (December 12, 2020), https://www.theolympian.com/news/local/article247809255.html.

Regardless of the justifications used either initially or since, there is no longer a specific or even generalized threat to either the Capitol Campus or the people working there to warrant the extreme measures causing the ongoing injury to the rights of the Plaintiff or the public in general. Any present security concerns can be mitigated through means which do not infringe upon the Constitutionally protected rights of the Plaintiff. Therefore, the preliminary injunctive relief sought by the Plaintiff to remove the chain-link fence immediately or, alternatively, have it modified in such a manner that allows the Plaintiff the full enjoyment of his MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 4

TYLER G MILLER

Constitutional rights, unmolested by the threat of arrest, pending the outcome of this case should be granted.

IV. GROUNDS FOR LEGISLATIVE BUILDING ACCESS

Public access to the viewing galleries of each legislative chamber within the Legislative Building can be accommodated with no appreciable increase in risk to health or safety. It can also be accomplished within the current guidelines governing all other activity within Thurston County under Defendant Inslee's "Healthy Washington - Roadmap to Recovery," https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/proc 20-25.12.pdf?utm medium=email&utm source=govdelivery. The procedures already established for the safe operation of legislative activities and access to the Legislative Building can easily be modified to incorporate members of the public, including the Plaintiff. Therefore, the preliminary injunctive relief sought by the Plaintiff to provide for public access to the viewing galleries of each legislative chamber inside the Legislative Building pending resolution of this case should be granted.

V. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff seeks this Preliminary Injunction in order to cease the ongoing harm to his Constitutional rights while the judicial process proceeds. Plaintiff appreciates the many difficulties presented to the Court as a result of the coronavirus pandemic, as well as the desire by all parties to not rush the first-time litigation of this important Constitutional issue. Not wishing to unnecessarily aggravate these conditions, yet also wishing to protect and preserve the

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 5

1	very rights the Plaintiff seeks to vindicate, this request serves the best interests of justice, all	
2	parties to the case, and the public in general.	
3		
4	De de de character de constant de consta	
5	Dated this 16 th day of February 2021.	
6		
7	s/Tyler G Miller	
8	Tyler G Miller	
9	Plaintiff 6000 W Sherman Heights RD	
10	Bremerton, WA 98312 (360) 535-9643	
11	Aug409@gmail.com	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
25 26		
20 27	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 6 TYLER G MILLER 6000 W SHERMAN HEIGHTS R	Ι
28	BREMERTON, WA 98312 (360) 535-9643	
-0	AUG409@GMAIL.COM	

1	<u>DECLARATION OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby declare that on this day I caused the foregoing document to be served by
3	email on the following:
5	Zachary Pekelis Jones (zach.jones@atg.wa.gov),
6	Christina Sepe (<u>cristina.sepe@atg.wa.gov</u>), Assistants Attorney's General
7	Jeffrey T. Even (jeffrey.even@atg.wa.gov), Emma Grunberg (emma.grunberg@atg.wa.gov),
8	Deputy Solicitors General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
9	Seattle, WA 98104-3188 206.464.7744
10	
11	Dated this 16 th day of February, 2021, at Bremerton, Washington.
12	
13	s/Tyler G. Miller
14 15	Tyler G. Miller Plaintiff
16	6000 W Sherman Heights RD Bremerton, WA 98312
17	(360) 535-9643 Aug409@gmail.com
18	Aug+07@gman.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION - 7 TYLER G MILLER 6000 W SHERMAN HEIGHTS RD
28	BREMERTON, WA 98312 (360) 535-9643
	AUG409@GMAIL.COM