

VI - HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, UNITED NATIONS AGENCIES, and the ORGANIZATION OF ISLAMIC COOPERATION

Human Rights Watch: Impossible History, Massive Misinformation

Defying all logic, fairness, and morality, **Human Rights Watch** and many of the main organizations - that usually do well-needed humanitarian, human rights, and research about many issues in the world - have allowed massive misinformation, blatant fraud, and manipulative lies in their reports, timelines, 'country facts and history' documents and analysis of the issues in Arakan.

Totally false, manipulative, and incorrect is this ludicrous 'history' in HRW (Human Rights Watch) reports:

-
- 1) *“The Rohingya have been present for several centuries in Arakan, where they settled in three successive waves. The first Muslim sailors (originating from Persia, Arabia, Turkey, Bengal...) settled the region in the seventh century, and integrated with no difficulty”.*¹²
- A bit of basic History easily exposes this outright lie. And, all the more incredulous is the fact that HRW and other organizations that use this quote - without question - cannot even recognize the impossibility of this claim. It was in the **13th century** that the Bengal area and the Turkish lands even started to become Muslim. Bengal was mostly Buddhist for nearly 2000 years. Its last Buddhist dynasty - starting in the 8th century and known as the Pala Empire - weakened after the destruction of the famous ancient Buddhist university of Nalanda by Muslim invaders in the late **12th century** and succumbed to the Muslim conquest in the **13th century**, with large scale conversions starting, and continuing for centuries. Turkey started changing to Islam in the **13th century**, and its Roman Empire capital of Constantinople (now Istanbul) fell to the Muslim armies in the **15th century**.
 - However, HRW says the first Muslim sailors settled in the region in the 7th century! **It is impossible.**
 - Persia was defeated and occupied by the Muslim Arab armies in the **7th century**, but, only an estimated 10% of the Persian population became Muslim at that time. The conversion to Islam was very gradual in Persia, and took a couple of centuries - during in which time non-Muslims were required to pay a 'jizya' (tax) in order to remain non-Muslim. For a couple of centuries the majority of Persians were not Muslim, though they were ruled by the Arab Muslims.
 - It is in the **7th century** that Mohammed lived and Islam began - and Arakan was 5000 long miles away. The shipwreck stories are just that - stories. There is no evidence or correlating material. Around the world there are stories of shipwrecks and ship journeys - including the story of Noah's Ark.
 - It can even be said that if, indeed, sailors from those areas actually landed in Arakan, then they most certainly most certainly were not Muslim!
 - Thus, it is abundantly clear that such statements as in the HRW report are undisputedly and flagrantly false.
 - The statement above concluded with *“and integrated with no difficulty”*. How can HRW conclude that? Based on what? That does bring up the point that: the Arakanese Buddhists have always lived harmoniously with other people and faiths - with the exception of the Bengali Muslims.

2) HRW describes the Mujahid rebellion as inconsequential and “short-lived” in this quote:

“While some Rohingya have taken up arms, they have never posed a serious threat to Burma’s territorial integrity. A short-lived Mujahid rebellion in the early 1950s in Arakan failed to attract widespread Rohingya support.”¹³

- The truth is that the Mujahid was a strong threat to the nation of Burma, by virtue of receiving funding, training and weapons from various other Muslim countries, and by a constant agenda and demands for their own Muslim territory.
- In actuality the Mujahid was formed in the latter 1940s, and from its beginnings in the mid 1940s, it continued through the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, and on to the present. At times it was strong and active, and also it had times when it was weakened and on the defensive. There were major Burmese army campaigns against the Mujahid insurgents in 1950, 1952, and 1954. In the 1960s, the Mujahid forces were greatly weakened and inactive, but in the aftermath of the 1971 independence war which resulted in the Liberation of Bangladesh from Pakistan, the Mujahid insurgents had opportunity to collect many weapons left-over from the neighboring war. New groups were formed - the Rohingya Liberation Party (RLP) and the Rohingya Patriotic Front (RPF) - and the insurgency swelled.

The prestigious newspaper of India - The Hindustan Standard - reported the following, on May 18, 1949:
“A dangerous aspect of this fighting is its international aspect: the Moslem insurgents have been carrying the Pakistani flag, and many of them clamor for the incorporation of this end of Arakan with Pakistan. It was suspected that they drew arms from across the border; the Government, however, is now satisfied that their rifles and ammunition are old stocks, left behind by the Japanese and British.... The great majority of Arakan Moslems are said to be really Pakistanis from Chittagong, even if they have been settled here for a generation. Out of the 130,000 here, 80,000 are still Pakistani citizens.”

- In 1978, General Ne Win launched Operation King Dragon (Nagamin) against the rebels. After their temporary defeat new groups and alliances were made, in particular the Rohingya Solidarity Organization (RSO) which became the main and most militant faction, and was strongly based on rigid Islamic grounds, which enabled it to get more support from other militant groups of the Muslim world.
- These included Jamaat-e-Islami (JeI) in Bangladesh and Pakistan, Hizb-e-Islami (HeI) in Afghanistan, Hizb-ul-Mujahideen (HM) in the Indian State of Jammu and Kashmir, Angkatan Belia Islam sa-Malaysia (ABIM), and the Islamic Youth Organization of Malaysia. In 1991, another Burmese Military operation was launched against the insurgents, and that greatly riled the commander of the Saudi Arabian Military who then recommended military action against Burma by a coalition of Muslim countries.



3) Finally, HRW states that “There has never been a Muslim-connected terrorist incident in Burma.”¹⁴

- That is disingenuous and misleading to the highest degree. This report, and the included interviews are full of accounts of terror, violence, and hatred, and it is rooted in the rigid Islamic faith of the ‘Rohingya’ resulting in their fierce intolerance and contempt for all non-Muslims. Add to that these terrorist acts:

- 1) In November 2012, a group of unarmed military engineers, building the Burma-Bangladesh Friendly Road were ambushed. One was killed, and 3 were kidnapped by the RSO.
- 2) On May 13, 1988, about 50,000 ‘Rohingya’ gathered and attempted to storm into Maungdaw, with the intention of exterminating the remaining Buddhist of the town. They first destroyed a big Buddhist Monastery and then began to burn all the wards where Rakhine lived, and planned to kill ALL Rakhine people in this area, but, their genocidal plan was stopped by the local army reinforced police force. This day was the anniversary of the 1942 massacre of 30,000 Rakhine Buddhists by the Muslim Bengali V-Force militia, which takes distinction as the largest single slaughter in contemporary Burmese history.
- 3) In April 1994, about 120 RSO (Rohingya Solidarity Organization) terrorists entered Maungdaw Township from the sea. At midnight on April 28, 1994, nine out of 12 time bombs, planted by these terrorists, in 12 locations in Maungdaw Township exploded. The remaining three unexploded time bombs were confiscated by the authorities. On the same night, a RSO terrorist group comprising about 80 members entered Maungdaw from another direction, while another group of 40 members entered the northern part of Maungdaw.

The southern terrorist group burned down houses in Kyaukpandu Village. Ten civilian houses were destroyed in the fire. The same group attacked Zeyawaddy Village with small arms and burned down more houses on May 2. Two houses belonged to the village workers and 14 civilian houses were destroyed, while one civilian was killed and a 17-year-old girl was injured in the incident.

The terrorists who entered the northern part of Maungdaw burned down 13 houses in Shwetaung Village and, two civilians were seriously injured when they stepped on a mine planted by those terrorists.

The Burmese military, members of the Border Enforcement, local Police, and local residents - a combined force of 26,000 people - blocked the escape routes and hunted down and attacked the terrorists. Over a 20 day period there were 13 encounters with the terrorists. in which 52 terrorists were killed. The authorities also arrested eight people involved in planting the bombs in Maungdaw.

The authorities also confiscated:

16 assorted guns	3,611 rounds of assorted ammunition	1,418 assorted mines
522 hand grenades	10 40-mm shells	five communication devices

The hand grenades, mines, guns, and explosives were provided by foreign terrorist organizations.

UNHCR - Every Sentence is Opposite of Truth

UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees) claims - in numerous reports, analysis, articles, timelines and 'country facts and history' documents that:

1) ***“200 people (Muslims) were killed when government troops opened fire at a mosque in Arakan on April 5, 1992.”***

- That would be a major slaughter, reported in many publications, denounced at the highest political levels, and be high on any list of atrocities against the 'Rohingya', and certainly be prominent on any 'Rohingya' agenda. But, it never happened! A thorough internet search using all possible terms, comes up with nothing, except this UNHCR source - which is copied and repeated in many other documents by UN agencies, Human Rights Watch, Amnesty International, and others.

2) (July 1991) - ***“At a secret camp deep in the jungle, run by the RSO, young Muslims are training to make war on the Buddhist military government of Burma. The goal of the rebels, calling themselves Mujahideen, is to restore the once-independent Muslim homeland of Arakan on Burma's west coast. It was an independent Muslim kingdom from 1430 to 1784 and now is the only Muslim majority province in Burma.”***

- First, the report admits that 'Rohingya' are intent to wage war. Then, the report says that Arakan was a once-independent Muslim homeland for the Bengalis! Again, this is the only source of this incredulous claim. Even the 'Rohingya' and their various supporters don't make the claim that Arakan was a Muslim kingdom - and the UNHCR even claims that it was a Muslim kingdom for 350 years! And, to top it off, this report names the years 1430-1784, which are the actual years of the kingdom of Myohaung (Mrauk-U), which was overwhelmingly Buddhist as anyone can see by looking at photos of the monuments and ruins of the royal capital, with Buddhas and Buddhist symbology everywhere, as well as the many accounts of European, Indian, and Asian traders, monks, and explorers. And then, the report manipulatively says that Rakhine State is now the only Muslim majority province in Burma! Even the Muslims do not make such a fraudulent claim.

3) ***“Guerrilla leaders accuse Burmese Buddhists of massacring 200,000 Muslims in the last 50 years.”***

- A blatant, conniving, and even immoral lie - claiming that the Buddhists killed 200,000 Muslims in Arakan, in the last 50 years. Once again, any kind of corroborating claims or evidence is unavailable, and it is obvious that if this was true, or even close to truth, there would be a lot of information about it and it would have been well-accounted for in media, and on the internet. This claim in UNHCR reports violates everything that the United Nations is supposed to stand for. The fact that UNHCR could let that level of totally inciteful and inflammatory lies be part of their knowledge base for so long is vicious, insidious, and repugnant. Many, many people around the world assume the U.N. is a body to trust and respect, but once again the truth is disappointing and even frightful.

UNHCR - Doesn't Follow It's Own 'Criteria for Source Assessment'

The UNHCR has a 161 page manual on standards and criteria to follow for research and reports:

RESEARCHING COUNTRY OF ORIGIN INFORMATION - A TRAINING MANUAL¹⁵

In the chapter called - Knowledge and Assessment of Sources - there is a section called - Criteria for Source Assessment: Who, what, why, how and when?

From that section:

UNHCR summarizes the following principles of sources assessment:

In general, to evaluate any particular source it is important to ascertain:

- (i) Who produced the information and for what purposes (taking into account such considerations as the mandate and the philosophy of the information producer);
- (ii) Whether the information producer is independent and impartial;
- (iii) Whether the information producer has established knowledge;
- (iv) Whether the information produced is couched in a suitable tone (objective rather than subjective perspective, no overstatements, etc.);
- (v) Whether a scientific methodology has been applied and whether the process has been transparent, or whether the source is overtly judgmental.

The purpose of reporting (“why?”) plays a particularly important role: most human rights reporting is not done for information purposes alone, but to advocate measures taken to stop the violations and protect the victims. Some advocacy organizations may exaggerate the scope and intensity of the violation. Most human rights organizations, however, have realized that getting the facts right will enable them to do more effective advocacy work, and will take great pains to avoid errors in fact or judgment that could backfire on their ability to influence the public.

Reliability of information will also depend on the research methodology, i.e. how was the information gathered? Did the author get the information firsthand? Is it carefully researched and based on a large variety of sources that have firsthand and current information? Where secondary sources are used, you should double-check quotations and summaries in the original source as part of your corroboration and analysis. This will help you to assess a particular source where quotations are incomplete, inaccurate, or taken out of context.

Position papers and expert opinions are particularly important sources of country of origin information. They have been written precisely because an institution or expert is considered so authoritative as to be able to give an opinion on how a country situation will develop, or whether an individual or particular group of persons will be at risk when returned. Assess whether the opinion and argument given are based on facts that can be verified, either by corroboration of other sources, or by cross-examining the author of the information as to the way he or she gathered his or her knowledge. Where possible, use experts and contact persons you trust to enquire about sources that you can find little or no background information on.

Sources that appear unreliable should be corroborated as meticulously as possible. Using your knowledge about the country situation can help in assessing whether the information provided by such a source might be accurate, even if the source lacks credibility. While the rule of corroboration is important, often one will have to use dubious and ill-defined sources or “grey literature” precisely because there are no other sources available.

“All You Can Do is Pray”

Grossly Flawed Report by Human Rights Watch

Human Rights Watch issued a ‘Report’ in April, 2013, titled ‘All You Can Do is Pray’

From the very beginning of this report - the cover - this report is grossly flawed.

This report is like an analysis of Hitler that does not mention the Jews, doesn't mention the death camps, implies that the Nazis were the innocent victims, and then blames the Jews.

On the cover, under the title, is a subtitle:

‘Crimes Against Humanity and Ethnic Cleansing of Rohingya Muslims in Burma’s Arakan State’

How can this report possibly ignore the ‘Crimes Against Humanity and Ethnic Cleansing’ against the indigenous people - the Rakhine Buddhists, in their own age-old homeland, who have been, and are now overrun by Bengali Muslims, who originate from Bengal, but have massively swarmed into the Buddhist Culture of Arakan, committing massive slaughters, and dislocation of Buddhists.

Already, right away, without even opening the report, the shocking and grossly unwarranted bias is apparent. All you can do is pray for HRW to come to realize it has been totally misled, misinformed, and mistaken. The subtitle indicates there will be no information, no relevance, and no importance concerning:

- 1) The **1942 Maungdaw Massacre** - the only massacre in this conflict that qualifies as a **Genocide**, where the Muslims killed 30,000 Buddhists in Maungdaw town alone.
- 2) The **1948 ultimatum** issued to the new independent Government of Burma, demanding the Muslims (even though a minority) be granted a state of their own, muslim-only and independent. Two top emissaries of the new government, who were sent to talk to the Muslim leaders were murdered by the hosts at the welcome dinner table.
- 3) The formation of a **Mujahidin army in 1948**, which waged guerrilla war, continuing to the present, and has had training and funding from Saudi Arabia, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Libya and Bangladesh with al-Qeada, Talaban, and other Pakistani and Bangladeshi terrorist groups. That is not the mark of a people that is striving to live in peace with the Buddhists, but rather a people who have no qualms about eliminating the non-Muslims by any means possible).
- 4) The **1977 attempt to seize Arakan**, by first destabilize the whole country, with Libya and Bangladesh supplying weapons, explosives, funding and training.
- 5) The **1980 near-genocide in Maungdaw**, where 50,000 Muslims came into the town to eliminate the ever-smaller Buddhist population, but were stopped by greatly out-numbered police and military.
- 6) The **1988 surprise attacks and slaughters in Maungdaw** and other northern Rakhine areas.

- 7) The **1991 OIC attempt**, led by the Saudi Arabian military chief, to form an Islamic army **to invade Burma** and destroy the 'evil infidel Buddhist culture'.
- 8) The **hard drives found during the capture and killing of Osama bin-Laden**, showing and proving training and links with al-Qaeda, Taliban, and other terrorist groups.
- 9) The **'special prayers'** said in the mosques, like this (from Arabic, phoneticized with Burmese script and translated into English): (Previously described in this report)

Oh Allah, eternal God,
Accept those who believe in Islam and destroy all the other non-believers.
Demolish the infidels communities, Oh Allah, Break the foundation of their false religions.
Destroy and pulverize their villages and towns, and even the ground beneath their homes must be destroyed.
Those who don't believe in Allah, those who don't listen to Allah, may everything be cursed for them.
Oh Allah, bring the natural disaster to the infidels, bring the worst diseases to the unbelievers, bring all forms of catastrophe to them.

Without even opening the cover of the 'report' - all of the previous shows the absurdity of the first three words of the subtitle: **'Crimes Against Humanity'**, as it blatantly implies that the Buddhists did such crimes against the Muslims - when the truth is opposite!

The next part of the subtitle is, **'Ethnic Cleansing of Rohingya Muslims'**. First of all, the **indigenous** people of Arakan are the **Buddhists**, and that is easily provable. (already accounted for in this report). Secondly, the 'Rohingya' Bengali Muslims are the outsiders who have come into this deeply Buddhist land and culture - which has been rooted there since long before Islam even existed. The Muslims through mass violence and killings, and spreading fear with intimidation and threats, have reduced the population of many northern Arakan towns from 95% Buddhist 50 - 60 years ago, to, in many cases, only 3 - 5%. In pogroms against the Buddhists in 1942, 1948, 1952, the 1960s, 1970s, 1988, 1991, 2001, and 2012 the Muslims burned hundreds of Buddhist villages and particularly targeted and destroyed Buddhist temples and monasteries. **This is what ethnic cleansing is.** And all of this was in the land that was nearly 100% Buddhist for many many centuries.

The Buddhists did not - now, and did not historically - go into the Muslim lands, and try to confiscate their land and try to intimidate and eliminate the Muslims. But, that is what the Muslims have done - and they think they are entitled to the Buddhists land, and they only have contempt for the Buddhist people and religion - because their own religion teaches them to not respect the non-Muslims culture and religion, and to kill infidels, and take their possessions, and their land, and their women - and all of that is approved by their God - Allah - who encourages and rewards them for getting rid of infidels in any way. And, to the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists are even worse than Christian and Jews - because fundamental to Islam is the belief in one God, and only one - and there should be no representation of him - no statues, no paintings, no photos.

A knowledgeable and careful critique of just the 20 words on the cover shows that this report will be grossly one-sided. Next, the continuing critique and expose of this hugely flawed 'report'.
(The following is based on the short 22 page version)

Notice that in the 'report' there is never any mention of the Rakhine Buddhists in a positive way - they are always portrayed as the evil, bigoted, extremists, inhuman racists, who plot and attack without any reason. This is such a cruel and demonizing characterization of the Rakhine Buddhists, who have a deep and noble Buddhist Culture that values and follows Buddhist principals as much as other Buddhists elsewhere. And, notice that the 'Rohingya' are always portrayed as innocent victims, who never do anything objectionable.

The report says: *“The October attacks were against Rohingya and Kaman Muslim communities and were organized, incited, and committed by local Arakanese political party operatives, the Buddhist monkhood, and ordinary Arakanese, at times directly supported by state security forces.”*

This statement is so misleading - it tries to make people believe that the poor innocent Muslims didn't do anything against the Buddhists. **It is so wrong.**

And, to accuse the Buddhist monkhood is revolting - after all - there have been many monks brutally killed by Muslims over decades of abuse by the Muslims.

Read an interview with 4 residents of Kyauk-phyu, and see how Human Rights Watch jumped to the wrong conclusion and misinterpreted the satellite photos, and falsely blamed the Buddhists for the fires that destroyed a Muslim part of the city - after Muslims started fires which travelled the opposite way than they intended.

Untold and deliberately missing in the HRW 'report' are the facts and accounts concerning:

The many Buddhist villagers suffering great fear if they have to walk from the village to distant fields, or to fetch firewood, water and supplies, because men were being ambushed and attacked and killed, and women were being assaulted, raped and killed, livestock and crops were being stolen, and homes were being burned. Some villages were under attack at night by Muslims using slingshots to shoot sharpened metal arrows across the rice fields into the thatch wall homes, and shouting Islamic chants of death and destruction. As one after another Buddhist village was burned the men would have to take turns being on guard all night, and eventually they would have to fight the Muslims who were intent on driving the Buddhists away, or simply killing them.

Read the many interviews in this report, and hear the voices of real people, and their fears and traumas.

From the HRW report:

On pages 8 and 9 there are photos of captured Rohingya criminals and killers. They were captured with the weapons and gasoline bombs that are in front of them. They are nobody to sympathize with, they had the intention (or already did it) of KILLING Buddhists, as many as they could! I have photos of many many more of these 'brainwashed by Islam' fanatic, heartless, mindless zombies.

- The outbreak of violence on June 8, 2012, in Maungdaw, should not be described as this - *“Violence between Arakanese Buddhists and Rohingya intensified,”* - which implies that both sides were equal in their desire to start fighting on a large scale . Watch this video, and see how hundreds of Muslims pour out of the big central mosque in Maungdaw and swarm up the streets, destroying and killing. They came out of their 'holy' place after listening to 'special prayers' similar those described earlier in this report.

- On page 15 it refers to the fact that the Buddhists dislike and distrust the UN agencies and other international agencies that are working or attempting to work there. OF COURSE, they are wise to feel that way. It is a fact that numerous UN and other agencies personnel have been caught and arrested and deported for actually helping the Rohingya with getting weapons and/or planning attacks on the Buddhists. This is because there are many Muslim staff members in these organizations that will assist the Rohingya in their campaign to seize that territory and make it an independent Islamic State ruled by Sharia Law and ethnically cleansed of all non-Muslims - which has been their declared goal for over 50 years, since Burma's independence.
- At the end of page 15 the report mentions the period of time around the 2nd World War, BUT OMITTS THE BIGGEST INCIDENT WITH THE HIGHEST NUMBER OF DEATHS THAT HAS HAPPENED BETWEEN THE MUSLIMS AND BUDDHISTS. (This is as absurd as a report about Osama bin-Laden that doesn't mention terrorist attacks such as 9-1-1, and finds him innocent and blames everything on the victims of his terror! Human Rights Watch is morally, spiritually, and humanistically bankrupt now.) The 1942 Maungdaw massacre happened after the British retreated from Arakan (because Japanese troops were advancing) and the Muslim force that the British had trained to help them fight the Japanese (the Bengali V-Force) turned their British guns on the Buddhists. About 30,000 Rakhine Buddhist were slaughtered in this absolute genocide, hundreds of villages were burned down, and around 100,000 Rakhine Buddhists were ethnically cleansed from their ancestral lands. By late 1942 the whole Maungdaw-Buthidaung region was firmly in the hands of Bengali Muslims - who were now well armed with abandoned Japanese and British weapons. Since they now controlled the border, the movement of Muslims immigrants greatly increased - and this area, which had a strong Buddhist identity for centuries (evidenced by numerous Buddhist rock carved cave temples in the mountains) was violently emptied of Buddhists in a very short time, and became nearly entirely Muslim - and with plans to take more land, and to make it entirely Muslim.

This is quoted from a British officer, at that time in 1942, who wrote in a report:¹⁶

"I have been told the harrowing tales of cruelty and suffering inflicted on the Arakanese (Buddhist) villages in the Rathaydaung area. Most of the villages on the west bank of the Mayu river have been burnt and destroyed by the (Bengali-Muslim) V Force. The enemy (Japanese) never came near to these villages. Hundreds of villagers are said to be hiding in the hills. It will be the Arakanese who will be ousted from their ancestral land and if they cannot win over (the Muslims) in time, then there can be no hope of their salvation."

- Page 16 refers to the 1978 'Nagamin' operation, but the report failed to even mention that the 'Nagamin' campaign was launched right after this: In 1977, Mujahid rebels and members off ARNO led by Sultan Mahmud, sent select members to Libya and met with Libyan leader Colonel Qaddafi. (Qaddafi thought of himself as the leader of the Arab Muslims and in his megalomania he projected himself as the leader of Muslims around the world.) Sultan Mahmud's group explained that their intention was to transform Arakan into an Islamic State - to be called Arkistan - and they asked for Colonel Qaddafi and Libya to help them and the other resistance groups to wage a well-armed jihad against the Burmese central government. Shortly after that visit to Libya, a serious plot to elicit a full-blown Muslim insurrection throughout Burma and overthrow the government of Ne Win was discovered by the Burmese government.

- Bangladesh, and Libya were covertly involved in the conspiracy. However, subversive attempts to spark their nefarious plans failed as they were stopped by Burmese authorities. Many local Muslim conspirators were arrested, and that instigated fear of arrest or harm in many Bengali Muslims, and many fled back to Bangladesh.
- On page 17 the report refers to the recent violence in March of 2013, starting in Meiktila. It tries to fool people into thinking again that the poor, innocent Muslims were once again attacked for no reason at all, by saying, “*mobs of Buddhists, led in some instances by Buddhist monks, attacked Muslims in Meiktila*”. However, THE REPORT INTENTIONALLY LEAVES OUT THE MOST IMPORTANT ASPECT OF THE VIOLENCE WHICH ERUPTED - THE HEINOUSLY BRUTAL KILLING OF A MONK - who was the first person killed - which then sparked the violence that followed. A Buddhist monk from Hanzar village was coming into Meiktila town as a passenger on a motorbike, and they were entering the Da-hart- tan Muslim ward, the biggest Muslim quarters in Meiktila. A group of Muslims saw the Buddhist monk and chased the motorbike and managed to strike the Buddhist monk from behind with a sword and he fell off the back of the motorbike onto the ground. The sword blow gave him a long deep gash on the back of his head. He was still alive at that point. Despite desperate pleas for mercy the Muslims pulled his Buddhist robe off and brutally dragged the desperately-wounded Buddhist monk into the nearby Myo-ma Mosque. Once inside the mosque they tortured him further, and they even encouraged some of the young Muslim kids to pour acid and petrol onto the dying Buddhist monk. And, one or more Muslims even cut off the Monk’s penis and testicles - while the Monk was still alive! Imagine how grossly sick that is - to do any of this to monk or anyone is despicable, but to cut off a monk’s private parts while he is struggling to remain alive is horrific. Then they set him on fire and burned him alive - in the 'holy' mosque! For Buddhists, to harm a monk, and especially to kill a monk is the worst, the most egregious, and the most despicable wrong-doing a person could do. Remember how that played when Than Shwe ordered monks to be attacked, arrested, and even killed, during the Saffron Revolution. The killing of a monk is the most horrible offense that a person could do.

CITIZENSHIP - NOT A SOLUTION

Giving the ‘Rohingya’ citizenship is not a solution at all. Any country in the world would not give citizenship to a group of people who have mostly come illegally, in recent decades, and are actively plotting and training to seize a territory to declare as their own. The Rohingya, as a collective entity, want citizenship, but DO NOT want to live in the country of Burma/Myanmar which is governed and dominated by infidels. The Rohingya want citizenship, but NOT so they can happily live with other religions and ethnicities around them, and NOT so they can enjoy and participate in the other peoples festivals and traditions. They want citizenship so that they can then DEMAND their own Muslim State (which will quickly push out or kill the remaining infidels) and then DECLARE independence from infidel Burma. In other words, they see citizenship as a way, eventually, to NOT be a Burmese citizen. Giving them citizenship would lead to a much greater disaster and much more killing, as they believe it is the duty of Muslims to purify their land by driving the infidels out, and destroying the remnants of the infidel history. And, it seems Human Rights Watch would let this happen, and even enable the Muslims to destroy a recognized and historical Buddhist people and culture, and world class historical sites, such as Mrauk U.

- And, especially the extra brutal and torturous way that those Muslims did it, and the fact that they did it INSIDE a MOSQUE is enough to incite a furious reaction from the Buddhists. And this kind of heinous contempt and horrific violence is what makes the Buddhists dislike the Muslims and question whether the faith of the Muslims has any spiritual qualities at all, or is it a force that is going to destroy the Burmese culture, land and people. This is how many Burmese people feel.
- Among people who know the Burmese people, culture, and some basic history, it is known that it takes quite a lot to make Burmese people rise up and destroy things or fight. However, there is contempt, violence and intolerance from Muslims in Burma that has been rising to the boiling point and beyond.
- There were hardly any Muslims in the Meiktila area before the 1970s. Within less than 40 years the Muslim population has increased very quickly - now about 30 percent of the town is Muslim. Many of the Muslims are from Rakhine State, western part of Burma, where there is a similar situation, but in a much larger conflict. The Muslim population of Meiktila has dangerously swelled to alarming level recently as Muslim refugees fleeing from the recent violence and unrest in Rakhine State came to this junction town in middle Burma, which already had a large Muslim population due to previous waves of Muslim migration. The earlier Muslim people in Meiktila were mostly not Bengali/Rohingya Muslims, and were generally called 'Kaman' Muslims, and were largely accepted equally as part of the fabric of the nation.
- The Burmese Buddhists express that the Bengali-Muslims (also known as Rohingya) are particularly intolerant, and show only contempt for the Buddhist culture and Buddhism. The Bengali Muslims often taunt the Buddhists, denigrate the Buddhist religion, and the Buddhists say their property or crops are often stolen, their women are raped, and Buddhist monks are mocked and insulted. Many of the Bengali-Muslims in Meiktila are wealthier, merchant class Muslims from Rakhine State (or from Bangladesh, but posing as fake 'Rohingya' from Burma) who arrived a number of years ago, and with massive financial support from Saudi Arabia they have become the commercially dominant group in Meiktila, with their gold and jewelry shops, and new mosques (with their very loud prayers broadcast night and day, irritating the Buddhists) and more and more Islamic madrassa schools being built (in which the children are easily indoctrinated into a mentality of supremacy and intolerance of others) and the schools are most often established with money and agenda from Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.
- The Burmese Buddhists are annoyed by the mosques in many places - noisy loud speakers broadcasting calls to prayer, horrible sermons of hate and bigotry, newly funded super mosques built to tower over the quiet and peaceful Buddhist temples and monasteries, stockpiles of weapons found in mosques (mostly in Rakhine State), and the fact that mosques are closed to non-Muslims which is so different then the open doors of the Buddhist temples. That is what many Burmese people feel about mosques.

HRW and UNHCR Demonize and Scapegoat, By Not Acknowledging the Rakhine Buddhists

Notice that UN Agencies, Human Rights Watch, Amnesty International, Doctors Without Borders, and many other organizations - large and small - glaringly mention nearly nothing positive about the Rakhine Buddhists people, culture, history, or their Buddhist ways. Almost all reference to them is using negative words and terms such as: racist, violent, militant Buddhists, extremist monks, genocidal Buddhists, neo-Nazis, Nationalist, etc.

The intent is to sway people to the pro-Rohingya view on all Arakan and Burma related news and issues. And, since almost no foreigners know about the very deeply-rooted Buddhism of Arakan it is easy to demonize, scapegoat, and pile all blame on the Buddhists of Rakhine State, and in doing so, to constantly overlook, or deliberately ignore the actual reality:

- 1) **Racism** - the Bengali Muslims exhibit some of the worst racist supremacism in the world.
- 2) **Bigotry** - the Bengali Muslims have long ridiculed and insulted the Rakhine people and their Buddhism.
- 3) **Intolerance** - the Bengali Muslims have the goal of a land to be taken from the Rakhine, and cleansed of all infidels, and to declare it an independent Islamic State ruled by Sharia Law.
- 4) **Fraud** - the Bengali Muslims have no compunction about constructing false history and origin claims, and false, deceptive and manipulative media to reinforce their disingenuous calls of victimhood.
- 5) **Manipulation** - the Bengali Muslims willingly use lies, deceit and fraud to shape opinion about them.
- 6) **Violence** - the Bengali Muslims have been cruelly violent and abusive - even to monks and children.



The Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) - Demonizing, Inciting, Inflaming

In the aftermath of the June 2012 violence, in Rakhine State, Burma/Myanmar, the Organization of Islamic Cooperation pressured the Burmese government to allow it to open an office, or offices, in Rakhine State. First, the Burmese Government reluctantly agreed, then after strong public opinion against that decision, the government reversed, and said 'NO'. This is absolutely the right decision. The OIC would be blind to the Rohingya violence and vitriol, and would not recognize any of the legitimate and historical truths of the Rakhine Buddhists.

The Organization of Islamic Cooperation - OIC - is made up of the 57 Islamic countries (actually 56 countries plus 'Palestine') that, at times - because of its large number of member countries - has strong (and usually unwanted) influence (especially within the United Nations) in promoting a unified Islamic front against the non-Muslim world, concerning nearly anything from human rights to economics and education to terrorism. However, the OIC has its agendas and bias, and it would be unreasonable and even ridiculous to allow the OIC any role, at all, in the issue at hand, primarily because the OIC would conclude, (as it already has and does) that the Bengali Muslims are the people that belong on Arakan soil, and the OIC would do nothing to recognize the Rakhine voices. In its history it has never condemned or even seemed concerned about the massive killings of Christians in Nigeria and Egypt, or the 5000 Buddhist killed in southern Thailand, or the genocide committed by Sudan, etc. In fact, the OIC often uses its weight to shield any Islamic country engaged in genocide (Sudan), engaged in repression and violence against non-Muslims (Nigeria, Egypt, Iran, Pakistan, Bangladesh, etc.), or threatening extermination of Jews, (Iran, Hezbollah, Hamas).

The OIC has, in fact, been planning for a successful Muslim takeover of Arakan, and it is supporting the effort militarily and financially, and by inventing 'facts', inciting opinion against the Buddhists, and inflaming hate-filled anger and violence based on manipulation and distortion of the reality.

The irony of the OIC is galling. While they claim that Burma's government is denying fundamental civil rights, including citizenship, to the Bengalis (so-called Rohingya) the OIC members are almost completely lacking in tolerance, respect, and civil and human rights for their non-Muslim populations - if they even have such. Ironically the OIC has not touched the subject of massive discrimination, intolerance and violence against the Buddhist minority in Bangladesh (neighboring country to Rakhine State) by the overwhelmingly Muslim population of Bangladesh. If a Buddhist temple or Meditation Center, or a Hindu Temple or Yoga Studio were to attempt to open in Saudi Arabia, Iran, Gaza, or many other such places, it would very quickly be targeted, not tolerated, destroyed and/or banned.

These are the countries that want to bring their opinions and judgement to the Arakan situation? It is absurd - they should not be given any role at all. They are even the sources of much of the trouble - past, present, & future.

SUMMARY of HRW, UNHCR, and the OIC

- Defying all logic, fairness, and morality, **Human Rights Watch** and many of the main organizations - that usually do well-needed humanitarian, human rights, and research about many issues in the world - have allowed massive misinformation, blatant fraud, and manipulative lies into their reports, timelines, 'country facts and history' documents and analysis of the issues in Arakan.
- **UNHCR** (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees) makes outrageously false and manipulative claims - in numerous reports, analysis, articles, timelines and 'country facts and history' documents. Ex: *"200 people (Muslims) were killed when government troops opened fire at a mosque in Arakan on April 5, 1992."* A thorough internet search turns up nothing - if it had happened it would be well known and used by the Muslims, BUT, it never happened!
- **Human Rights Watch** issued a 'Report' in April, 2013, titled "All You Can Do is Pray". From the very beginning of this report - the cover - this report is grossly flawed. Among many other intentional omission, there is NO information, and therefore HRW sees NO relevance and importance concerning:
 - The **1942 Maungdaw Massacre** - the only massacre in this conflict that qualifies as a Genocide, where the Muslims killed 30,000 Buddhists in Maungdaw town alone.
 - The formation of a **Mujahid army** in 1948, which waged guerrilla war, continuing to the present, and has had training and funding from Saudi Arabia, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Libya and Bangladesh with al-Qaeda, Taliban, and other Pakistani and Bangladeshi terrorist groups.
 - The **1977 attempt** to destabilize the whole country of Burma, and seize Arakan, with Libya and Bangladesh supplying weapons, training, and funding.
- **HRW and UNHCR** Demonize and Scapegoat the Rakhine Buddhists by glaringly mentioning nearly nothing positive about the Rakhine Buddhist people, culture, history, or their Buddhist ways. Almost all reference to them is using negative words and terms such as: racist, violent, militant Buddhists, extremist monks, genocidal Buddhists, neo-Nazis, Nationalist, etc. The intent is to sway people to the pro-Rohingya view on all Arakan and Burma related news and issues.
- The **UNHCR** has a 161 page manual on standards and criteria to follow for research and reports. Based on what UNHCR has reported, this manual, called, 'Criteria for Source Assessment,' does not appear to be used.
- **The Organization of Islamic Countries** (OIC) has its agendas and bias, and it would be unreasonable and even ridiculous to allow the OIC any role, at all, in the issue at hand, primarily because the OIC would conclude, (as it already has and does) that the Bengali Muslims are the people that belong on Arakan soil, and would not recognize the Rohingya violence and vitriol, and certainly would not recognize any of the legitimate and historical truths of the Rakhine Buddhists. In its history it has never condemned or even seemed concerned about the 5000 Buddhist killed in southern Thailand, or the ongoing genocidal violence against the Buddhists in Bangladesh.
- The irony of the OIC is galling. While they claim that Burma's government is denying fundamental civil rights, including citizenship to the Rohingya, the OIC member states are almost completely lacking in tolerance, respect, and civil and human rights for their non-Muslim populations.