

Event Data Recorders: The Silent Witness in Collision Analysis

By Dr. Essam Dabbour, Ph.D., RSP1, F.ITE, P. Eng.

President - EDA Forensics



After the chaos, shock, and grief following a serious traffic collision, a fundamental question usually arises: “what truly happened in the moments leading up to the collision?” Conflicting driver accounts, limited eyewitness testimony, and ambiguous physical evidence often leave room for interpretation. The answer usually lies within a small but powerful device — the Event Data Recorder (EDR).

The Rise of the Silent Witness

Most light-duty vehicles produced after 2011 include an Event Data Recorder (EDR), often integrated within the Airbag Control Module (ACM) and commonly referred to as “black box”. An EDR typically records up to approximately five seconds of pre-impact data.

These data records typically include vehicle speed, braking, throttle application, steering input, seatbelt usage, and the change in velocity (delta-v), which correlates strongly with impact severity and occupant injury risk. Unlike subjective testimony, EDR data provides objective, time-stamped information that can significantly enhance the reconstruction of traffic collisions.

Objective Evidence in Practice

Consider a scenario where a driver alleges that the improper design of a roadway curve caused his vehicle to go into the ditch while he was driving in a ‘prudent and careful manner.’ EDR data may reveal excessive speed, delayed application of brakes, and

aggressive steering inputs, contradicting his claim of careful driving. In another scenario, drivers involved in a two-vehicle collision often provide conflicting accounts on how the collision occurred. EDR data may confirm that one driver maintained a steady speed and stable trajectory, supporting their version of the events. In both scenarios, EDR data can provide objective evidence to validate or refute competing narratives.

Technical Considerations

EDR systems typically record approximately five seconds of pre-impact data. While this window is limited, it provides high-resolution insight into the most critical phase of a collision. Parameters such as delta-v are particularly valuable, as they correlate strongly with injury severity and are widely used in biomechanical assessments. Other parameters related to driver's braking and steering input provide insights into the driver's behaviour prior to the collision, which can be critical in liability assessment.

However, variability exists across vehicle brands and models. Not all vehicles record the same parameters, and speed is often derived from wheel sensors rather than GPS, which may introduce errors if the tire sizes used were different from manufacturer specifications. These factors must be considered during analysis.

The Missing Piece of the Puzzle

Traditional collision reconstruction relies on physical evidence such as skid marks, vehicle damage, and final rest positions. While these remain essential, they can sometimes be incomplete or subject to interpretation. EDR data complements these elements by providing precise numerical inputs that reduce, or eliminate, uncertainty.

Nevertheless, the use of EDR data does not replace engineering analysis. It must be integrated with proper engineering assessment of roadway design, traffic control devices,

vehicle dynamics, biomechanics, and environmental conditions. This approach results in a comprehensive understanding of the collision that will greatly help legal and insurance professionals when assessing liability.

Limitations and Risks

Despite its advantages, EDR data may have limitations. The recording window is short, and data is only captured when specific triggering thresholds are met. Events recorded without the deployment of airbags (non-deployment events) may be overwritten, particularly if data retrieval is delayed. In heavy vehicles, data retention can be even more limited and challenging.

It is not possible to rely solely on EDR data to determine liability. Proper interpretation of EDR data requires specialized expertise and must be undertaken in conjunction with other evidence, such as road design, traffic control devices, vehicle dynamics, biomechanics, and environmental conditions. Moreover, failure to maintain proper chain-of-custody can compromise both the integrity of the data and its admissibility in legal proceedings.

Not all accountants are MDD Forensic Accountants



With 5 offices in Ontario, our experts in economic damage quantification are available to assist locally and across Canada.

To find out how we can help you, contact us today.

Matt Mulholland CPA, CMA, DIFA, CFF
mmulholland@mdd.com

Brad Ebel CPA, CA, CFE, CFF
bebel@mdd.com

Ephraim Stulberg CPA, CA, CBV, CFF
estulberg@mdd.com

Hannah McCannell CPA, CMA, DIFA
hmccannell@mdd.com

Toronto: 416.366.4968

Conor Paxton CPA, CA, CBV, CFE, CFF
cpaxton@mdd.com

Kingston: 613.389.3176
Ottawa: 613.366.6008

Martin Pavelic CPA, CMA, CFF
mpavelic@mdd.com

Hamilton: 905.523.6363

Sheri Gallant CPA, CMA, CFF
sgallant@mdd.com

Dave Robinson CPA, CMA
drobinson@mdd.com

London: 519.432.1123



> mdd.com

VANCOUVER • CALGARY • EDMONTON • WINNIPEG • LONDON • HAMILTON • TORONTO • KINGSTON • OTTAWA • MONTREAL • HALIFAX

Balancing Technology and Practice

The integration of EDR data into collision analysis represents a shift toward more evidence-based decision-making. However, its effectiveness depends on awareness, timely retrieval, and proper interpretation. Much like other tools in traffic safety and litigation, EDR data cannot be considered as a standalone solution. It must be used in conjunction with engineering judgment, legal strategy, and comprehensive evidence evaluation. Therefore, timely EDR data preservation and integration with engineering analysis should become standard practice in serious collision investigations.



Dr. Essam Dabbour, Ph.D., RSP1, F.ITE, P. Eng.

Dr. Essam Dabbour is registered as a professional engineer (P. Eng.) in Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia. He is also a Fellow of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and a certified Road Safety Professional - Level 1 (RSP1) and Road Safety Auditor. With a Ph.D. in Transportation Engineering and over 35

years of combined academic and practical experience, Dr. Dabbour is widely recognized as a leading expert in road safety. Dr. Dabbour is also the instructor of three courses for municipal staff across Ontario, focused on managing municipal liability and enhancing safety for all road users. Dr. Dabbour has authored more than 65 articles in leading academic journals and professional publications on topics related to road design and traffic safety. He is currently the president of EDA Forensics, specializing in the engineering investigation of traffic collisions and other incidents to help the legal and insurance communities determine liability.

★ **SAVE THE DATE** ★

HOLIDAY

PARTY

DEC. 3RD 2026

LIBERTY GRAND TORONTO

DETAILS AVAILABLE SOON AT OIAA.COM



YourConsent™

Collect the insured's digital consent once and authorize all required claim products in a single session.



One Digital Consent for Multiple Claim Products



Captures Government ID When Required



Optional Identity Verification



Mobile-first, Bilingual and PIPEDA Compliant

