

**Joseph Sansone, M.S., PhD, Analysis of Dr. Francis
A. Boyle's Legal View on the Classification of COVID-19 and mRNA
Injections as Biological Weapons**

For Submission to the Courts of the Netherlands

Date: August 28, 2026

I. Introduction and Statement of Purpose

This document presents a detailed legal and scientific analysis based on the expert opinion of Dr. Francis A. Boyle, the author of the U.S. Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989 (BWATA) [1]. This analysis is based on both public and private statements of Francis A. Boyle, J.D., PhD. The purpose of this submission is to provide the Court with a comprehensive explanation of Dr. Boyle's argument that the COVID-19 mRNA injections are biological weapons, the deployment of which constitutes a violation of international and domestic laws, including those of the Netherlands. The core of this argument is that the injections contain derivatives of illegal gain-of-function research, making them, by definition, biological weapons.

This analysis will demonstrate that the actions of the individuals and entities responsible, including but not limited to those associated with Pfizer, Moderna, and their promoters such as Bill Gates and Albert Bourla, meet the requisite standards of criminal intent (*mens rea*), including both specific intent and knowingness, for the gravest crimes against humanity.

II. Applicable Legal Framework

Dr. Boyle's arguments are grounded in a robust legal framework that includes international treaties and their national implementations.

- 1 **The Biological Weapons Convention (BWC) (1972):** An international treaty prohibiting the development, production, acquisition, transfer, stockpiling, and use of biological and toxin weapons. The Netherlands is a signatory and has incorporated the treaty into its domestic law [2].
- 2 **The Netherlands Biological Weapons Convention (Implementation) Act:** This Act, passed on March 25, 1981, incorporates the BWC into Dutch law. Violations are prosecuted under the Economic Offences Act and are referenced in the Dutch Criminal Code [3, 4]. Specifically, Section 4, subsection 8a of the Criminal Code establishes jurisdiction over offenses committed under the Biological Weapons Convention (Implementation) Act.
- 3 **The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC):** The Netherlands is the host state of the ICC. The statute defines Crimes Against Humanity (Article 7) as acts like murder, extermination, and other inhumane acts committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with

knowledge of the attack [5]. Dr. Boyle's argument is that the deployment of a bioweapon against the civilian population falls under this definition.

- 4 **The U.S. Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989 (18 U.S.C. § 175):** Drafted by Dr. Boyle, this Act provides a clear definition of what constitutes a biological weapon and criminalizes its development and possession. It serves as a foundational reference for interpreting the intent and scope of the BWC [1].

III. Dr. Francis Boyle's Central Thesis: The mRNA Injection as an Integrated Bioweapon System

Dr. Boyle's argument is that the mRNA injection is not a vaccine, but a novel, military-grade biological weapon system. The central proof is that the injection's core component, the genetic code for the spike protein, is a direct derivative of illegal gain-of-function (GOF) research. Because it is derived from prohibited bioweapons research, the injection itself is a biological weapon. Dr. Boyle argues that this gain-of-function research was illegal because it constitutes the development of a biological weapon, an act prohibited by the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC) and its implementing legislation, such as the U.S. BWATA [1, 2]. These laws forbid developing biological agents that have no justification for 'prophylactic, protective, or other peaceful purposes.' In Dr. Boyle's expert legal opinion, research designed to make a pathogen more dangerous to humans (e.g., by increasing its transmissibility or virulence) has no such peaceful purpose and is therefore prohibited as offensive bioweapons research. This weapon system consists of two integrated components: the pathogenic payload and the delivery mechanism.

- 5 **The Pathogenic Payload (Spike Protein Genetic Code):** The payload of the weapon is the genetic code for the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. This is not a natural protein; it is the direct product of illegal gain-of-function (GOF) research, as evidenced by the 2015 Nature Medicine paper [7]. The research was designed to create a chimeric virus with a spike protein optimized for human infection. Therefore, the genetic code for this protein is, in itself, a product of bioweapons research.
- 6 **The Military-Grade Delivery System (Lipid Nanoparticles):** The delivery system consists of lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) that encase the mRNA payload. Dr. Boyle argues this is a nanotechnology-enhanced delivery platform, stating the technology was "paid for, developed, financed, and envisioned by the Pentagon" and its research agency, DARPA [6]. Its purpose is to evade the body's natural defenses and deliver the pathogenic genetic code directly into human cells, turning the recipient's body into a manufacturing plant for the bioweapon's spike protein. The direct involvement of the FDA in developing the agent and Fort Detrick in the foundational research confirms the military and state-sponsored nature of the entire weapon system [11].

IV. The Standard of Intent (Mens Rea)

Dr. Boyle's argument satisfies the legal requirement for criminal intent through three distinct but related standards: **Specific Intent**, **Malice Aforethought**, and **Knowingness**.

A. Specific Intent: The Plan to Develop a Bioweapon Delivery System

Specific intent is demonstrated by evidence that the accused parties acted with a conscious objective to develop and deploy a bioweapon system. The most direct evidence of this specific intent is the very nature of the injection's payload. The genetic code for the spike protein is a direct derivative of the illegal gain-of-function research documented in the 2015 Nature Medicine paper and the 2018 DEFUSE proposal [7, 8]. Knowingly including a component derived from prohibited bioweapons research in a product for mass injection is, by definition, a deliberate act of deploying a biological weapon. The specific intent is proven by the fact that the defendants knew the origin of the pathogenic payload they were putting into the delivery system.

- **The 2015 Nature Medicine Paper:** This paper, co-authored by researchers from UNC-Chapel Hill and the Wuhan Institute of Virology, explicitly details the creation of a chimeric virus by combining a bat coronavirus spike protein with a SARS-CoV backbone [7]. The stated purpose was to test "emergence potential," which is a euphemism for creating a pathogen capable of infecting humans. The authors themselves acknowledged the controversial nature of this GOF research.
- **The DEFUSE Proposal (2018):** This grant proposal, submitted by Peter Daszak's EcoHealth Alliance to DARPA, serves as a blueprint for creating SARS-CoV-2. It proposed to engineer coronaviruses with features that would make them more infectious to humans, including the insertion of a Furin Cleavage Site (FCS) [8].

According to Dr. Boyle's analysis, these documents are not mere academic exercises; they are evidence of a premeditated plan to develop the pathogenic payload for this delivery system. The research was aimed at creating a spike protein with enhanced pandemic potential, which would then be encoded into the mRNA platform. The development of the LNP delivery system by military-funded entities like DARPA shows the specific intent to create a deployable weapon.

B. Malice Aforethought: Reckless Endangerment of Human Beings

Dr. Boyle argues that the actions of the defendants meet the standard of **malice aforethought**, a key element for the crime of murder under Anglo-American Common Law. He explains that malice aforethought is a legal term of art that includes "**reckless endangerment of human beings.**"

This standard is met because the defendants knew that the gain-of-function research was "**existentially dangerous**" but proceeded with it anyway. They then knowingly deployed the resulting bioweapon—the mRNA injection—on a global population. This act of deploying an experimental injection derived from prohibited bioweapons research, with full knowledge of its dangerous origins and emerging safety signals, constitutes a profound and reckless endangerment of human life.

Dr. Boyle explicitly applies this standard to both the creators of the virus and "**those responsible for the COVID shots,**" including the chief executives of Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson [12]. He argues that their actions satisfy the legal requirements for **murder and conspiracy to commit murder with malice aforethought.**

C. Knowingness: Awareness of the Weapon's Danger and Deliberate Deployment

Even if specific intent were not considered, the lower standard of "knowingness" is overwhelmingly met. This requires showing that the accused were aware that the deployment of the injections was reasonably certain to cause mass harm and death.

- 7 **Knowledge of the Payload's Origin:** Dr. Boyle asserts that U.S. intelligence agencies knew the SARS-CoV-2 virus was a bioweapon from a lab as early as September 2019 [11]. Therefore, they knew that the spike protein derived from it was a pathogenic, engineered component. Deploying an injection that forces the body to produce this known pathogenic component demonstrates, at minimum, a knowing disregard for the certain harm it would cause.
- 8 **Knowledge of the Injection's Harm:** Immediately following the rollout, safety signals for severe adverse events appeared in official databases like VAERS and EudraVigilance. The defendants and public health authorities had direct access to this data showing the injections were causing myocarditis, thrombosis, neurological damage, and death. Despite this, they continued to falsely claim the injections were "safe and effective."
- 9 **Deliberate Continuation Despite Known Harms and Ineffectiveness:** The defendants and public health authorities had direct access to official data showing the injections were causing unprecedented harm and were ineffective at stopping infection or transmission. Despite knowledge of catastrophic safety signals (myocarditis, thrombosis, death) and evidence of negative effectiveness, they continued to falsely promote the injections as "safe and effective" while systematically censoring dissenting experts. This constitutes a deliberate continuation of a harmful act with full knowledge of its devastating consequences.

This deliberate suppression of data and propagation of known falsehoods while harms mounted constitutes a "widespread or systematic attack against a civilian population, with knowledge of the attack," meeting the definition of Crimes Against Humanity under the Rome Statute [5]. The deployment of this biological weapon has resulted in unprecedented, systemic harm across a non-exhaustive range of categories, as documented in the peer-reviewed literature [9]. These damages include:

- **(1) Immunological harms**, such as the generation of autoimmune diseases, widespread reactivation of latent viruses (e.g., EBV, shingles), and immune system degradation through IgG4 class switching.
- **(2) Cardiovascular harms**, including a dramatically increased risk of myocarditis, pericarditis, myocardial infarction, stroke, and widespread thrombosis (blood clotting).
- **(3) Oncological harms**, characterized by a surge in aggressive, rapid-onset "turbo-cancers," the relapse of previously stable cancers, and the appearance of rare cancers in younger demographics.
- **(4) Reproductive harms**, including catastrophic rates of miscarriage, stillbirth, menstrual abnormalities, and neonatal complications such as congenital malformations and developmental delays.

- **(5) Neurological harms**, including Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS), acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), and the production of aberrant, misfolded proteins with the potential to induce prion-like neurodegenerative diseases.
- **(6) Genomic harms**, stemming from the confirmed presence of plasmid DNA contaminants (including the SV40 promoter sequence) and the demonstrated potential for reverse transcription of vaccine mRNA into the human genome, creating a permanent risk of genetic alteration and oncogenesis.

V. The Seven Violations of the Biological Weapons Convention by the mRNA Injections

Dr. Boyle’s argument is that the mRNA injections are a novel biological weapons delivery system, and their deployment constitutes a direct violation of the BWC. These violations align with the seven categories of concern for dual-use research outlined in the 2004 U.S. National Research Council’s “Fink Report” [9]. The following table details how the mRNA injections themselves fulfill the criteria for each prohibited category [10].

Prohibited GOF Category	Dr. Boyle’s Argument for Violation by the mRNA Injections
1. Render a vaccine ineffective	The mRNA injection itself is the agent that renders the recipient’s immune system ineffective. By forcing a sustained, unnatural production of a single antigen (the spike protein), it induces immune tolerance via mechanisms like IgG4 class-switching. This makes the recipient more vulnerable to repeated infections and future variants, directly subverting the purpose of a traditional vaccine. The injection is a bioweapon that functions by degrading the immune system.
2. Confer resistance to therapeutics	The mRNA injection was deployed as the <i>exclusive</i> therapeutic option, while safe, effective, and inexpensive treatments were systematically suppressed. This act of forcing a population to accept a patented, experimental bioweapon injection as its only recourse is a key biowarfare tactic. It confers resistance to all other useful therapies through political and regulatory force, not just biological mechanisms.
3. Enhance the virulence of a pathogen	The mRNA injection is a manufacturing platform that forces the recipient’s own cells to produce the most virulent component of the engineered SARS-CoV-2 bioweapon: the gain-of-function spike protein, complete with its pathogenic Furin Cleavage Site. The injection turns the human body into a factory for a virulent toxin, causing systemic damage (e.g., myocarditis, thrombosis) far beyond a typical respiratory virus.
4. Increase the transmissibility of a pathogen	The mRNA injection is a key component of a bioweapon <i>system</i> . The lipid nanoparticle (LNP) platform, developed with Pentagon/DARPA funding, is a novel and highly efficient delivery mechanism for genetic material. It perfects the ability to transmit a genetic payload directly into human cells on a mass scale. Furthermore, by providing non-sterilizing immunity, the injections create an environment where vaccinated individuals can still carry and transmit the virus, potentially driving the evolution of new, more transmissible variants.

Prohibited GOF Category	Dr. Boyle's Argument for Violation by the mRNA Injections
5. Alter the host range of a pathogen	The mRNA injection's payload is the genetic code for the very spike protein that was engineered to have an altered host range (i.e., to jump from bats to humans). By injecting this code, the bioweapon bypasses natural barriers and forces human cells to produce a protein they would never encounter naturally. This directly applies the altered host range technology to the human population.
6. Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection	The mRNA injection's LNP delivery system is a stealth technology designed to evade the body's natural immune defenses to deliver its genetic payload into the cells. This is a form of evading the body's own "detection" mechanisms. The resulting spike protein production can also lead to immunological dysregulation that impairs the body's ability to detect and respond to other pathogens.
7. Enable the weaponization of a biological agent	The mRNA injection is the culmination of weaponization. It combines a pathogenic genetic payload (the GOF spike protein) with a military-funded, stealth delivery system (LNPs) designed for mass administration. This creates a scalable, deployable biological weapon system capable of turning a recipient's own body into a bioweapon factory. This is the very definition of a weaponized biological agent.

VI. Conclusion

Based on the expert analysis of Dr. Francis Boyle, the architect of the U.S. Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act, there is a powerful and coherent case that the COVID-19 and mRNA injections are illegal biological weapons. The evidence demonstrates both specific intent on the part of the researchers and funders who planned and executed prohibited gain-of-function experiments, and knowingness on the part of the pharmaceutical companies and public health officials who continued to push the injections despite overwhelming evidence of their harms and ineffectiveness.

The development and deployment of these injections violate all seven categories of concern for bioweapons research and fall squarely within the prohibitions of the Biological Weapons Convention, as implemented in the Netherlands. The subsequent widespread and systematic administration to a civilian population under false pretenses constitutes a Crime Against Humanity as defined by the Rome Statute.

It is respectfully submitted that the Court has a duty to consider this evidence and hold the responsible parties, including Bill Gates and Albert Bourla, accountable for their role in this unprecedented global crime.

The aforementioned is true to the best of my knowledge.


Signature



Joseph Sansone, M.S., PhD

Date:

8/28/2026




References

- [1] Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, 18 U.S.C. § 175.
- [2] Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on their Destruction (1972).
- [3] Biological Weapons Convention (Implementation) Act, Netherlands (1981).
- [4] The Criminal Code of the Netherlands (Wetboek van Strafrecht).
- [5] Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, U.N. Doc. A/CONF.183/9 (1998).
- [6] Boyle, F. (2024, June 21). *Dr. Boyle's Transcript Filed with Affidavit: COVID 19 Injections are Biological Weapons of Mass Destruction*. Francis A. Boyle Substack.
- [7] Menachery, V. D., et al. (2015). A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence. *Nature Medicine*, 21(12), 1508-1513.
- [8] Project DEFUSE, HR001118S0017-PREEMPT-PA-001 (2018).
- [9] Zywiec, A., et al. (2025). COVID-19 Injections: Harms and Damages, a Non-Exhaustive Conclusion. *Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons*, 30(3), 80-88.
- [10] Committee on Research Standards and Practices to Prevent the Destructive Application of Biotechnology, National Research Council. (2004). *Biotechnology Research in an Age of Terrorism*. The National Academies Press.
- [11] Affidavit of Dr. Francis A. Boyle, filed May 28, 2024, in the case of *Joseph Sansone v. Hon. Ron DeSantis*, First DCA Case No.: 1D2024-1101 (Florida).
- [12] Mercola, J. (2021, November 29). Harvard-trained lawyer wants Fauci, COVID-pushing lawmakers prosecuted for 'conspiracy to commit murder'. *LifeSiteNews*.