Yosef Peretz (SBN 209288) 1 ENDORSED Shane Howarter (SBN 311970) FILED 2 PERETZ & ASSOCIATES ALAMEDA COU 22 Battery Street, Suite 200 3 JUL 2/2 2021 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: 415.732.3777 4 Fax: 415.732.3791 5 yperetz@peretzlaw.com showarter@peretzlaw.com 6 7 Martin M. Horowitz (SBN 79073) Stephanie Rubinoff (SBN 98229) 8 HOROWITZ & RUBINOFF 1440 Broadway, Suite 607 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 510.444.7717 10 mhorowitz@h-rlegal.com 11 srubinoff@h-rlegal.com 12 Attorneys for Named Plaintiffs IRENE CLINE, LYNN CHO, DESIREE PACHECO, and ITZEL MARLENE DIAZ 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR ALAMEDA COUNTY 15 IRENE CLINE, LYNN CHO, DESIREE Case No. RG18911378 16 PACHECO, and ITZEL MARLENE DIAZ, 17 individually, on behalf of all other similarly DECLARATION OF FELICIA LOPEZ situated persons, on behalf of the IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION 18 CALIFORNIA LABOR AND FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 19 AGENCY, and on behalf of the STATE OF 20 CALIFORNIA, ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE WINIFRED Y. SMITH 21 Plaintiffs. **DEPARTMENT 21** 22 Date: August 13, 2021 23 Time: 10:00 a.m. SI SE PUEDE BEHAVIORAL, INC. a.k.a. Reservation Nos.: R-2276695 24 SOCIALLY SIGNIFICANT PROGRAMMING FOR BEHAVIORS, Complaint Filed: July 2, 2018 25 INC., a California corporation; FELICIA Trial Date: None set LOPEZ, an individual; and DOES 1-20, 26 27 Defendants. 28

I, Felicia Lopez, declare as follows:

- 1. I am one of the Defendants named in the above-referenced action. I am also the Chief Executive Officer of Defendant Si Se Puede Behavioral Intervention, Inc. a.k.a. Socially Significant Programming for Behaviors, Inc. ("SSPBI").
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, except as to those matters alleged upon information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called upon to testify, I could and would do so competently.
- 3. This declaration is submitted in support of the Plaintiffs' request to grant preliminary approval to a settlement of this action, which I understand includes class claims against myself and against SSBPI.
- 4. On October 13, 2020, I caused SSPBI to filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code as Case #20-41647-CN in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division (the "Bankruptcy Case"). SSPBI had already ceased all operations prior to filing the Bankruptcy Case. Paul Mansdorf was appointed as the chapter 7 trustee in the Bankruptcy Case (the "Trustee"). I am informed and believe that in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code, all assets of SSPBI have been administered and liquidated by the Trustee.
- 5. I am also informed and believe that on February 12, 2021, United States Bankruptcy Judge Charles Novack of the Northern District of California approved the stipulation between the Trustee, the individual Plaintiffs and the putative class in satisfaction of Plaintiffs' claims against SSPBI in this case. Based on the order of the Bankruptcy Court, I understand that the Trustee is expected to make one distribution to the trust account of the law firm representing Plaintiffs in satisfaction of the claims against SSPBI.
- 6. In addition to SSPBI filing for bankruptcy, in 2020 I also began consulting with a bankruptcy attorney, Michael W. Malter of Binder & Malter LLP, due to this lawsuit that was pending against me. I learned that I was a good candidate for filing bankruptcy because the small home that I owned and lived in with my minor sons has no unprotected equity due to the mortgage on the property and the increased California homestead exemption of \$600,000. In addition, my only business, SSPBI, was now in chapter 7 and my interest in that business was therefore worthless. My only other asset of any significant value was my mandatory 401k plan from SSPBI which was required to be converted into an IRA after SSPBI filed bankruptcy. I

understand that my IRA is beyond the reach of creditors due to exemptions under California and federal law. My other assets were of nominal value and were also protected by statutory exemptions that would allow me to keep them if I filed a chapter 7 case or if any creditor tried to enforce a judgment against me.

7. Therefore, on my behalf, Attorney Malter negotiated a settlement of this action. I agreed to contribute \$30,000 to the settlement. These funds were the result of me liquidating a small brokerage account which I had and the balance of \$30,000 remained after the costs of liquidation and payment of income taxes. I am prepared to pay the \$30,000 for the settlement as soon as the Court grants final approval to the pending settlement.

I declare under penalty of the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this day on 22 July 2021, in Santa Clara County, California.

Fuica Lopey
30FEAD22D37B464
Felicia Lopez