Yosef Peretz (SBN 209288) Shane Howarter (SBN 311970) ENDORSED 2 FILED PERETZ & ASSOCIATES ALAMEDA COUNTY 22 Battery Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94111 JUL 22 2021 Tel: 415.732.3777 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Fax: 415.732.3791 yperetz@peretzlaw.com showarter@peretzlaw.com 6 7 Martin M. Horowitz (SBN 79073) Stephanie Rubinoff (SBN 98229) HOROWITZ & RUBINOFF 1440 Broadway, Suite 607 Oakland, CA 94612 10 Tel: 510.444.7717 mhorowitz@h-rlegal.com 11 srubinoff@h-rlegal.com 12 Attorneys for Named Plaintiffs IRENE CLINE, LYNN CHO, 13 DESIREE PACHECO, and ITZEL MARLENE DIAZ 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR ALAMEDA COUNTY 15 Case No. RG18911378 16 IRENE CLINE, LYNN CHO, DESIREE PACHECO, and ITZEL MARLENE DIAZ, DECLARATION OF ERIC SPRINGER ON 17 individually, on behalf of all other similarly BEHALF OF SIMPLURIS, INC. (PROPOSED SETTLEMENT situated persons, on behalf of the 18 ADMINISTRATOR) CALIFORNIA LABOR AND 19 WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AGENCY, and on behalf of the STATE OF ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO 20 CALIFORNIA, JUDGE WINIFRED Y. SMITH 21 **DEPARTMENT 21** Plaintiffs. 22 Date: August 13, 2021 Time: 10:00 a.m. 23 Complaint Filed: July 2, 2018 SI SE PUEDE BEHAVIORAL, INC. a.k.a. 24 Trial Date: None set SOCIALLY SIGNIFICANT 25 PROGRAMMING FOR BEHAVIORS, Reservation Nos.: R-2276695 INC., a California corporation; FELICIA 26 LOPEZ, an individual; and DOES 1-20, 27 Defendants. 28

> DECLARATION OF ERIC SPRINGER ON BEHALF OF SIMPLURIS, INC. (PROPOSED SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR)

DECLARATION OF ERIC SPRINGER

I, Eric Springer, declare as follows:

- I am the Director of Project Management for Simpluris Inc., ("Simpluris"). I am over 18
 years of age and authorized to make this declaration on behalf of Simpluris and myself, and make this
 declaration based upon my own personal knowledge.
- 2. Simpluris has extensive experience in class action matters, having provided services in class action settlements involving antitrust, securities fraud, property damage, employment discrimination, employment wage and hour, product liability, insurance and consumer issues. Simpluris specializes in pre-settlement consultation, data management, legal notification, call center support, claims processing and tax reporting.
- 3. We have provided notification and/or claims administration services in more than 5,000 cases. Of these, more than 3,000 were Labor & Employment cases. Over the past 10 years, Simpluris has handled approximately \$600 million in settlements. A true and correct copy of Simpluris' company resume ("Simpluris Resume") is attached as Exhibit A.
- 4. Simpluris is committed to the security of not only our data and information but the Parties' data and information as well. We have not experienced any security breaches in our company history. A true and correct copy of our SOC 2 Certification demonstrating our commitment to security is attached as Exhibit B. Additionally, Simpluris maintains insurance coverage in the amount of \$3,000,000 for Errors and Omissions.
 - 5. Simpluris does not have any affiliation with counsel for this matter.
- 6. Simpluris has been selected by counsel to serve as the class action Settlement
 Administrator for this case. In this capacity, Simpluris will be charged with, among other responsibilities:
 (a) providing Notice to the Class Members; (b) receiving undeliverable Notice Packets; (c) receiving and validating response from Class Members; (d) establishing a fund for authorized claimants; (e) calculating individual settlement payments, distributing funds, and tax-reporting following final approval; (f) mailing settlement checks; (g) answering questions from Class Members;. Simpluris has agreed to administer the case for a fixed fee of \$4,300. If the scope of work increases or decreases significantly, Simpluris will

inform the parties and request approval of an adjustment, whether increased or decreased respectively, to the capped costs. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a copy of Simpluris' bid for administering this matter. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed this 21st day of July, 2021, in Melbourne, Florida. Eric Springer





ABOUT SIMPLURIS

Simpluris, Inc. opened its doors in January 2007 as a class action settlement administration firm. A small collection of professionals proficient in class action settlement administration, marketing, direct mail development, and database design, with Troy Hoffman at its helm, began building the client-centric company with less than 10 clients. Over the course of 10 years, Simpluris has grown to 55+ employees, offices in 3 states, 5000+ clients. The success has derived from the construction of a cohesive team built with enthusiasm and vision. Simpluris is continually advancing its services including notification campaigns, case administration, data management, funds distribution and tax reporting persistently seeking modern techniques that offer efficiency and cost effective solutions to our clients. Simpluris will launch LiveCaseTM (client centric software) shortly, which will take class action settlement administration to a whole new level.

Inc Magazine recently ranked Simpluris #171 on its 30th annual Inc. 500 an exclusive ranking of the nation's fastest growing private companies.

Founder, Troy Hoffman, has been a guest lecturer and panel member in the industry on class action related topics, including online claims filing, class notice campaigns, and other relevant issues within class action administration. He has spoken at many seminars including:

- California 17200 Conference
- Class Action & UCL Conference
- Nixon Peabody Luncheon
- Class Action Litigation Summit
- Bridgeport symposium's, Regular Panel Member
- CASD-Panel Member

Our approach is to provide a high level of customer service to all our clients and to use automation to the fullest to provide economical as well as accurate results and work product.

Clients receive support from our experts, who include attorneys, accountants, IT experts and communications professionals with a deep understanding of the unique demands of complex administration for class action, mass tort, and international collective redress.

Every case is assigned a dedicated team of professionals that oversees and coordinates all aspects of the engagement. Our integrated approach assures the highest levels of quality, accuracy and timeliness to meet clients' deadlines.

Simpluris has successfully administered cases in a complete scope of different practice areas. Our proven procedures and best practices provide successful outcomes from start to finish. Our IT professionals work full time to upgrade our case management technology to advance our commitment to our clients. Our far-reaching experience has helped us effectively administer cases in Wage and Hour, retail, commercial, Consumer, liability, and Consumer Fraud.

AREAS OF PRACTICE

Antitrust Employment/ADA Personal Injury
Banking Environmental Product Liability
Civil Rights Finance Securities

Consumer/Product Healthcare Specialty Areas

Coupon Insurance Trade

Discrimination Mass Tort Wage and Hour

SERVICES AND SOLUTIONS

Call Center Consulting Notice of Class Certification Case Administration Data Management Pre-Certification **Discovery Campaigns** Case Notification Settlement Mailings **Case Websites** Fund Distribution and Tax Statistical Analysis Reporting Claims Processing Statistics Gathering Mailing Campaigns Class Member Tracking





Simpluris Security Summary - White Paper

Simpluris is committed to the security and overall protection of not only our data and information but our client's data and information, as well. As a demonstration of our commitment, we maintain SOC 2 Certification which requires strict adherence to policies and procedures surrounding information security, including processing and storage of confidential customer data. Simpluris supports a comprehensive, written Information Security Program that complies with all applicable laws and regulations (e.g. HIPAA, Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, MA 201 CMR 17.00) and is designed to (a) ensure the security, privacy and confidentiality of Client and Class Member Information, (b) protect against any reasonably anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of Client or Class Member Information, and (c) protect against unauthorized access to, use, deletion, or modification of Class Member Information. Simpluris has designated specific employees to be responsible for the administration of its Information Security Program. Also, Simpluris regularly and routinely monitors, tests, and updates our Information Security Program.

Simpluris uses Client and Class Member Information only for the purposes for which its' clients provide it, as described in any Agreements or Court Orders governing the provision of Simpluris' services in any particular case. Simpluris maintains a process for identifying, assessing, and mitigating the risks to Class Member Information in each relevant area of Simpluris' operations. At Simpluris, we continuously evaluate the effectiveness of the safeguards for controlling these risks to data and bank accounts. Simpluris restricts access to Class Member Information only to those employees, agents, or subcontractors who need to know the information to perform their jobs. Simpluris performs background checks of all its employees that will have access to Sensitive Personal Information, including a review of their references, employment eligibility, education, and criminal history to ensure they do not pose a risk to the security of Client or Class Member Information.

Simpluris adheres to the following industry best practices to safeguard its systems which process, store or transmit Client and Class Member Information:

- Identity and Access Management;
- Complex passwords are routinely and regularly changed;
- Role-based access control systems to limit individual employee access to network applications and systems based on their particular job role and function;
- Data Loss Prevention and Intrusion Prevention System software at multiple layers to prevent from internal and external threats of data leaks, malicious activity, and policy violations
- Encryption of Class Member Information if transmitted over public or wireless networks (e.g., via email, FTP, the Internet, etc.);
- Implementation of a Secure File Transfer system (using SSL encryption) for transmitting documents back and forth to clients;
- Encryption of servers, portable media, laptops, desktops, smartphones, mobile devices, and new technologies that store Class Member Information;
- · Complex password authentication for remote access to Company's networks;
- Upon hire and annually after that, training of all employees with access to Class Member Information, (including any agents, and subcontractors with access to Class Member Information) about their obligations to implement the Information Security Program;
- Strict disciplinary measures for employees who violate the Information Security Program;
- Preventing terminated employees from accessing Class Member Information;
- Appropriately configured and updated firewall, antivirus, and spyware software;
- Prompt application of vendor-recommended security patches and updates to systems and other applications to avoid any adverse impact on Class Member Information;
- Separation of Duties:
- Infrastructure and Physical Security;
- Business Continuity Planning;
- Disaster Recovery Planning

Simpluris

CUTTENT CASE LIST

Class Action Settlement Administration

Acheson v Giorgio Armani

Case Number: 107 CV 099461

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group LLP

Mónica Balderrama, Esq., Shawn Westrick, Esq.

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis LLP Punam Sarad, JoAnna Brooks

Alberto v GMRI, Olive Garden

Case No: 2:07-CV-01895-WBS-DAD

PLAINTIFF - Westrup Klick

Phillip R. Poliner

DEFENSE - Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP

Jan E. Eakins

Alvarez v Malibu Restaurant

Case No: BC 376311

PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices PC

Christopher J. Hamner

DEFENSE - Landegger Baron Lavenant

Brian E. Ewing

Anderson v DriveTime

Case No: BC398475

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group

Mark Yablonovich, Marc Primo

DEFENSE - Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker

Elena Baca, Ryan Derry

Arias v Praxair Distribution

Case No: CGC 08-474506

PLAINTIFF - Keller Grover LLP

Eric A. Grover, Esq., Jade Butman, Esq.

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson, P.C.

Marlene S. Muraco, Esq.

Arnall v North American Merchandising Service, Inc.

Case No: 06AS01439

PLAINTIFF - Shimoda Law Corp.

Galen T. Shimoda

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis LLP

Dale R. Kuykendall, Nathan W. Austin

Dillingham & Murphy, LLP

William Gaus

Balint v Chico's Fas, Inc.

Case No: BX369191

PLAINTIFF - Jennifer Kramer Legal

Judith Wiederhorn, Jennifer Kramer

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis LLP David S. Bradshaw

Blackner v Ameripark

Case No: BC363075

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group

Mark Yablonovich

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson

Tony Ray Skogen

Bodiford v GMRI, Red Lobster

Case No: HG 08407051

PLAINTIFF - Westrup Klick, LLP

Phillip Poliner, Esq

DEFENSE - Paul, Hastings, Janofsky &

Walker LLP

Jan E. Eakins, Esq

Booker v Tanintco

Case No:BC 349267

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Jared E. Peterson

Jared E. Peterson, Esq.

Aiman-Smith & Marcy

Reed W.L. Marcy, Esq.

DEFENSE - Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP

Leonora M. Schloss, Esq., Aaron N. Colby, Esq.

Boyce v Michael Kors

Case Number: 37-2008-00093848-CU-BT-CTL

PLAINTIFF - Fineman & Associates

Neil B. Fineman

DEFENSE - Proskauer Rose LLP

Lary Alan Rappaport

Boydston v ExxonOil

Case Number: 1267419

PLANITIFF - Gilbert & Sackman, A Law Corporation

Robert A. Cantore, Adrian Barnes

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP

Jeffrey A. Dinkin, Aaron W. Heisler

Brentwood Travel Services v DCT

Case No: 03CC-002857

PLAINTIFF - Korein Tillery LLC

Christopher A. Hoffman, Steven Katz, Douglas R. Sprong

DEFENSE - not disclosed

Brior v AE Retail West, LLC

Case No: CGC 06-455422

PLAINTIFF - Keller Grover, LLP

Eric Grover, Jade Butman

DEFENSE - Rutan & Tucker, LLP

Brian C. Sinclair

Broome v Google

Case No: 108CV112386

PLAINTIFF - Rukin, Hyland, Doria & Tindall LLP

Peter Rukin

DEFENSE - Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP

Thomas E. Geidt

Butcher v Westport Insurance Corp.

Case No: BC 335874

PLAINTIFF - Kroll Law Corporation

Gerald Kroll

DEFENSE - Harrington, Fox, Dubrow & Canter

Mark Flory, James Lo

Cabrera v HVACExchange

Case No: 37-2008-00084851-CU-OE-CTL

PLAINTIFF - Cadena Churchill LLP

Raul Cadena

DEFENSE - Law Offices of Roy R. Withers, Esq.

Roy Withers

Camacho v Herbalife

Case No: BC376236

PLAINTIFF - Diversity Law Group, A.P.C.

Larry Lee

DEFENSE - Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, LLP

Richard J. Simmons, Jason W. Kearnaghan

Campos v HWBCarwash

Case No: BC378990

PLAINTIFF - Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP

N. Nick Ebrahimian, Joseph Lavi, Jordan D. Bello

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis LLP Mia Farber, Chad Bernard

Caponera v Knox & Associates

Case No: 06AS03693

PLAINTIFF - Wynne Law Firm

Edward J. Wynne

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis LLP

Cary G. Palmer

Casanova v Gregg Industries, Inc

Case No: BC 337037

PLAINTIFF - Appleton, Blady & Magnanimo

Benjamin Blady

DEFENSE - Berry & Perkins

Kevin Lussier

Cash v McCormick

Case No: BC 374352

PLAINTIFF - Kingsley & Kingsley

George Kingsley, Eric Kingsley, Gregory Givens

DEFENSE - Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo

Ann K. Smith

Castro v White Cap

Case Number: CGC 05-446144

PLAINTIFF - Vinick Law Firm

Sharon Vinick

Kletter & Peretz

Yosef Peretz

DEFENSE - Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Joseph C Liburt, Amira Day

Cavanaugh v SCPMG

Case No: BC 354246

PLAINTIFF - Appleton, Blady & Magnanimo, LLP

I. Benjamin Blady

DEFENSE - Seyfarth Shaw

Thomas R. Kaufman

Centurioni v City and County of San Francisco

Case No: C0701016JSW

PLAINTIFF - Vinick Law Offices

Sharon Vinick

Shea Law Offices

Mary J. Shea

Boxer & Gerson LLP

Leslie F. Levy and Darci Burrell

DEFENSE - City Attorney's Office

Dennis J. Herrera, Elizabeth Salveson, Jonathan Rolnick, Rose-

Ellen H. Fairgrieve

Chandler v Mi Piace

Case No: BC 337780

PLAINTIFF - Hawkins & Sofonio

Greg Mauro

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton

Daniel J. McQueen

Chavez v CEC Entertainment, Inc.

Case Number: BEC380996

PLAINTIFF - Lavi & Ebrahimian

Joseph Lavi

 ${\tt DEFENSE} \textit{-}Bander Law Firm$

Cathe Caraway-Howard

Chiaramonte v Pitney Bowes

Case No: 06CV1507RNL5

PLAINTIFF - Cohelan & Khoury

Michael Singer, Diana Khoury

DEFENSE - Seyfarth Shaw

Raymond Kepner, Tom Kaufman,

Ayesha Attoh

Clark v Commerce West Insurance

Case No: RG06269869

PLAINTIFF - Duckworth Peters Lebowitz, LLP

Mark Peters

DEFENSE - Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton

Jennifer Redmond

Clymer v Candle Acquisition

Case No: BC328765

PLAINTIFF – Initiative Legal Group, LLP Mark Yablonovich, Marc Primo, Payam Shahian DEFENSE – Carter Carter Fries & Grunschlag Dov M. Grunschlag

Colbert v American Home Craft Inc.

Case No: 05AS05012

PLAINTIFF - Shimoda Law Group Galen T. Shimoda DEFENSE - Johanson Berenson LLP Douglas Rubel

Cook v TwentyNinthStreetCafe

Case Number: LASC BC384829

PLAINTIFF - The Law Offices of Stephen Glick

Stephen Glick; Anthony Jenkins

DEFENSE - Musick, Peeler & Garrett, LLP

Elaine M. Vukadinovich

Corado v Goodyear

Case No: RCV 095476

PLAINTIFF - Spiro Moss Barness, LLP Justian Jusuf, Dennis Moss Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II Sahag Majarian II DEFENSE - Non-Disclosed

Cota v Las Olas

Case No: 37-2007-00055931-CU-OE-NC

PLAINTIFF – Hamner Law Offices Christopher Hamner, Kimberly Westmoreland DEFENSE – Seyfarth Shaw Kimberly M. Foster

Crosby v Chevy's, Inc.

Case No: 06AS02420

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael Carver Michael Carver DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis, Bockius Barbara Miller

Cuevas v Vasquez Company

Case No: RIC 497307

PLAINTIFF - Gould & Associates Michael A. Gould DEFENSE - Hodel, Briggs, Winter LLP Glenn L. Briggs

D'Asero v Home Loan Center

Case No: SACV 08-0384 RSWL (JWJx)

PLAINTIFF – Mower, Carreon, & Desai, LLP Jon R. Mower, James A. Burton DEFENSE – Sheppard, Mullin, Richter, & Hampton, LLP Greg S. Labate, Matthew M. Sonne

Dao v 3M Company

Case No: CV-08-04554 VBF (AGRx)

PLAINTIFF - James Hawkins Gregory Mauro DEFENSE - Shea Stokes Roberts & Wagner Maria Roberts

Davenport v Union Bank of CA

Case No:2:07-CV-00001 FMC

PLAINTIFF – Keller Grover Eric Grover Thierman Law Firm Mark R. Thierman DEFENSE – Morgan, Lewis, & Bockius Rebecca Eisen, John Battenfeld

Davilla v Beckman Coulter

Case Number: 07CC01347 PLAINTIFF - James Hawkins Greg Mauro DEFENSE -Sheppard Mullin Greg Labate

Davis v Kohls Department Stores, Inc

Case No: BC327426/BC341954
PLAINTIFF – Righetti Law Firm, PC
John Glugoski, Matt Righetti
Scott Cole & Associates
Kevin R. Allen, Scott Edward Cole
DEFENSE – Jackson Lewis, LLP
Scott Lacunza, Frank Liberatore

Dibel v Jenny Craig

Case No: 06cv2533

PLAINTIFF - Class Action Litigation Group Rene Barge, Katie Odenbreit DEFENSE - Jackson DeMarco Tidus Petersen & Peckenpaugh Paul Van Hoomissen, Gregory G. Petersen, Joshua Rittenberg

DLSE v CAM Commerce Solutions

Case No: N/A
PLAINTIFF - DLSE
David Gurley
DEFENSE - Proskauer Rose LLP
Art Silbergeld

DLSE v SierraCascade

Case No: 35-65292/225
PLAINTIFF - DLSE
Deborah Graves
DEFENSE - DLA Piper US LLP
Amy Beckstead

Ellerd v County Of LA

Case No: CV 05-122/cv 05-4200

PLAINTIFF - Megan Richmond

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter, & Hampton, LLP

Geoffrey D. Deboskey

Eng v PQBeverlyHills

Case No: BC391451

PLAINTIFF - Nunes Law Group

Glenn Nunes

Hamner Law Offices

Chris Hamner, Kimberly Westmoreland, Marie Bolanos

DEFENSE - Snell & Wilmer Christy Joseph, Tiffanny Brosnan

Faris v Bal Seal

Case Number: 30-2008-00180022

PLAINTIFF - Spiro, Moss, Barness, LLP

Dennis Moss

The Law Office of Justian Jusuf

Justian Jusuf

DEFENSE -Tredway, Lumsdaine & Doyle

Shannon Marie Jenkins

Farrell v Hamlet Group, Inc.

Case No: BC 378411

PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices, LLC

Christopher J. Hamner

DEFENSE - Garrett & Tully, LLP

Efren Compean

Flores v CVS

Case No: 2:07-cv-05326-FMC-Ex

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group, LLP

Monica Balderrama, Marc Primo, Linh Hua, Shawn Westrick,

Mark Yablanovich

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP

Jennifer Zargarof & Douglas R Hart

Franco v Ecology Autoparts, Inc

Case No: GIC840600

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Paul D. Jackson

Paul D. Jackson

Cadena Churchill, LLP

Raul Cadena

DEFENSE - Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP

Paul F. Sorrentino

Frank v eCost

Case No: BC374820

PLAINTIFF - Westrup Klick, LLP

Philip Poliner

DEFENSE - Jones Day

Steven M. Zadravez

Frost v Freeway Insurance

Case No: GIC874251

PLAINTIFF - Cohelan & Khoury

Isam C. Khoury, Michael D. Singer, Diana M. Khoury, Alexan-

der I. Dychter

DEFENSE - Michelman & Robinson, LLP

Sanford L. Michelman, Mona Z. Hanna, Todd H. Stitt

Fuller v Kelly Services

Case No: BC296800

PLAINTIFF - Gould & Associates

Aarin Zeif, Michael L. Gould

Law Offices of Daniel G. Emilio

Daniel G. Emilio

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton

Geoff D. DeBoskey

Galicia v Pfinish Koncepts, Inc

Case Number: BC375293

PLAINTIFF - Kesluk & Silverstein

Douglas N Silverstein

DEFENSE - Carlton DiSante & Freudenberger

Leigh A White & Dorothy A Black

Galtie v ARSNationalServices

Case No: 37-2007-00056871 CU OE NC

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group

Linh Hua, Scott Leviant, Payam Shanian, Marc Primo

DEFENSE - Luce Forward Hamilton & Scripps

Kathryn A. Bernert

Garcia v Kao

Case No: 05CC05679

PLAINTIFF - Gibson Dunn & Crutcher

Nicola Hanna, Kevin Roosevelt, Kelly Leggio, Lise Johnson

Public Law Center

Kenneth Babcock, Gary McGaha

DEFENSE - Law Offices of David S. W. Fang

David S. W. Fang

Law Offices of David Alan Cooper

David Alan Cooper

Law Offices of Stanley Friedman

Stanley Friedman

Howrey LLP

Russell B. Hill, Jesse D. Mulholland

Pasternak, Pasternak & Patton

David J. Pasternak

Theodora Oringher Miller & Richman

James Godes, Joseph Preis

Geerhart v California Insurance Guarantee Association

Case No: 357078BC

PLAINTIFF - The Law Offices of Timothy B McCaffrey

Timothy McCaffrey Jr.

DEFENSE - Locke, Lord, Bissell & Liddell LLP

Nina Huerta, Eduardo Martorell, Guerry Collins

Ginsberg v Pinecrest Schools

Case No: BC 316722

PLAINTIFF - Daniels, Fine, Israel, Schonbuch & Lebovits

Scott Brooks, Paul Fine Law Offices of Stephen Glick

Stephen Glick

DEFENSE - Christensen, Glaser, Fink, Jacobs, Weil & Shapiro,

LLP

Mark Lynch

Gonzalez v Home Loan Center

Case No: CV06-5007 RSWL (JWJx)

PLAINTIFF – Initiative Legal Group, LLP Marc Primo, Joseph Cho, Shawn Westrick

 ${\tt DEFENSE-Sheppard\ Mullin\ Richter\ &\ Hampton\ LLP}$

Greg S. Labate, Matthew M. Sonne

Granados v Dow Key

Case No: 56-2007-00304253-CU-OE-VTA

PLAINTIFF - Gulledge Law Group
Linda J. Gulledge, Kelly Woody Gulledge
DEFENSE Nordman Cormany Hair & Cormany

DEFENSE – Nordman, Cormany, Hair & Compton LLP

Karen L. Gabler

Guerra v L'Occitane

Case No: BC373587

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal

Mark Yablonovich, Marc Primo, Matthew Theriault, Dina Livits

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis LLP

David Bradshaw

Guerrero v Beacon Bay

Case No: 06CC00111

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Jerry D. Underwood

Jerry D. Underwood Esq Diefer Law Group, P.C.

Marcelo A. Dieguez, Esq.

DEFENSE - Carlton DiSante & Freudenberger LLP

Timothy M. Fruedenberger LLP

Hall v Best Buy

Case No: 265-2005-02271

PLAINTIFF - EEOC

DEFENSE - Robins Kaplan Miller & Ciresi LLP

Janet C. Evans, Kelly K. Pierce

Halawanji v Advantage Guard

Case No: 06CC00158

PLAINTIFF - Gould & Associates Michael Gould, Aarin Zeif

DEFENSE - Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo, APC

Susan Steward

Hansen v CeliteCorp

Case Number: 1250371

PLAINTIFF - Gilbert & Sackman, A Law Corporation

Robert A. Cantore, Adrian Barnes

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP

Jeffrey A. Dinkin, Aaron W. Heisler

Hanzy v Mac Mall

Case No: BC373935

PLAINTIFF - Westrup Klick, LLP

Phillip R. Poliner, Esq. **DEFENSE** – *Jones Day*

Steven M. Zadravecz, Esq.

Hashimoto v Abercrombie & Fitch Co.

Case No: BC 354479

PLAINTIFF - Khorrami, Pollard & Abir LLP

Robert (Bob) Drexler Jr The Quinsberry Law Firm

John N. Quisenberry

DEFENSE - Vorys, Sater, Seymore and Pease, LLP

Mark Knueve

Harris v Kiddie Kandids

Case Number: C08-00852 MEJ

PLAINTIFF - Law Office of Randall Crane

Randall Crane

DEFENSE - Desouza Law Offices

Jacqueline Desouza

Haywood v Tyler Restaurants, Inc.

Case No: 06CC00085

PLAINTIFF - Gerald MacRae

DEFENSE - Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth

John Cannon, Amy Williams

Hernandez v Angeles ARC

Case No: BC347952

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Ellyn Moscowitz

Ellyn Moscowitz, Sharon Seidenstein DEFENSE - Law Offices of Steven Kramer

Steven Kramar

Hernandez v Brink's, Inc.

Case No: BC360488

PLAINTIFF - The Cooper Law Firm P.C.

Scott Cooper

The Carter Law Firm

Roger L. Carter

Jose Garay A.P.C

Jose R. Garay

DEFENSE - Crowell & Morning LLP

Jeff Pagano, Ira Saxe, Stafford Woodley, Marc Romero, Stephan

P. Rice

Herron v Cool Cuts 4 Kids

Case No: BC384527

PLAINTIFF - Gould & Associates

Michael A. Gould

Aarin A. Zeif

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson

Diane L. Kimberlin

Hill v SullivanAG

Case No: BC378222

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Linh Hua, Scott Leviant DEFENSE - Chavos and Rao

Laurie Rao

Hyatt v California Sullivan

Case No: INC 068594

PLAINTIFF - James H. Cordes, Attorney at Law

James H. Cordes

DEFENSE - Carlton DiSante & Freudenberger, LLP

Leigh Ann White & Timothy Freudenberger

Ingraham v Orchard Supply Hardware

Case No: 457004

PLAINTIFF - Scott Cole & Associates APC

Scott Edward Cole, Matthew Bainer & Clyde H. Charlton

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson PC

Dennis M. Brown, Michelle B. Heverly & Erica H. Kelley

Janicki v Lane Bryant

Case No: BC 374877

PLAINTIFF - Connor & Sargent PLLC

Stephen P. Connor

Anne-Marie E. Sargent

DEFENSE - Morgan Lewis & Bockius

John S. Battenfeld, Donna Mo, Albert Huang

Jones v Right Away Redy Mix Case No:RG 07331067

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Sohnen & Kelly

Harvey Sohnen, Esq., Patricia Kelly, Esq.

Defense - Wiley, Price, & Radulovich, LLP

Joseph E. Wiley, Esq., Ian O. Fellerman, Esq.

Kaplan v Siemens Maintenance Services

Case No: BC 328900

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group, LLP

Joseph Cho, Greg Yu

DEFENSE - Morrison & Foerster

Samantha Goodman

Keo v North Coast Couriers

Case No: RG 06-0251806

PLAINTIFF - Hinton, Alfert, and Sumner

Aaron Kaufman

Cohelan & Khoury

Christopher Olsen, Michael D. Singer

Liberation Law Group

Arlo G. Uriarte

Law Offices of Ricardo J. De Rosa

Ricardo J. De Rosa

DEFENSE - Law Office of Nina Yablok

Nina Yablok

Littler Mendelson

Theodora Lee

Keplinger v California Pizza LLC

Case No: 07CEGC01534

PLAINTIFF - Law Office of Michael Carver

Michael Carver

DEFENSE - Fisher & Phillips, LLP

John Lattin

Kinz v SpencerGifts

Case Number: 07AS03539

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael Carver

Michael Carver, Michelle Lunde

DEFENSE - Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Allison Pitigoi, Julie Totten

Klostermann v OCE Business Services

Case No: BC311001

PLAINTIFF - Graves & Associates

Allen Graves

DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis, LLP

Mia Farber, Dean Rocco

Koehler/Aho v BankoftheWest

Case Number: CGC-060 458611

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group, LLP

Gregory Yu

DEFENSE -Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

Andrew Livingston

Koehler v Honeywell

Case No: RG 05213466

PLAINTIFF - McCurdy & Ku

Gregg L. McCurdy, Gaetano M. Fong

DEFENSE - Paul Hastings, Janofsky & Walker

M. Kirby C. Wilcox, Saidah M. Grayson

Krzesniak v Budget Rent A Car

Case No: C 05-5156 MEJ

PLAINTIFF - Rukin Hyland Doria & Tindall LLP

Steven M. Tindall, Peter Rukin

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson

Jody A. Landry

Arena Hoffman LLP

Michael Hoffman

Kunz v Sunset Cresent

Case No: BC382004

PLAINTIFF - Jennifer Kramer Legal

Jennifer Kramer

DEFENSE - Proskauer Rose LLP

Robert H. Horn, Anthony J. Oncidi

Lakso v UHS of Delaware, Inc.

Case No: BC 342312

PLAINTIFF - Law Office of Joseph Antonelli

Joseph Antonelli, Janelle Carney

DEFENSE - Cotkin, Collins & Ginsburg

William Naeve, Ellen Tipping, Robert Wilson

Lanzarone v WSA Security, Inc.

Case No: BC345891

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Herbert Hafif Gregg Hafif, Miguel Caballero, Farris Ain

DEFENSE - Loeb & Loeb

Mark Campbell, Allan Edmiston, Erin Smith

LaPlante v Pomeroy Investments

Case Number: BC 379692
PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices

Christopher Hamner

DEFENSE - Levinson Arshonsky & Kurtz

James Cooper

Lauzon v Club Monaco

Case No: CGC-06-449963

PLAINTIFF – Keller Grover, LLP Eric A. Grover Esq., Jade Butman Esq. DEFENSE – Littler Mendelson

Margaret Hart Edwards, Phillip L. Ross

Little v Brinker

Case No: 02 CC-003965

PLAINTIFF - Korien Tillery, LLC Steven Katz, Christopher A. Hoffman DEFENSE - McMahon Berger, PC Robert Younger, McMahon Berger

-

Lopez v Little Caesars

Case Number: BC383640

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Stephen Glick Stephen Glick; Anthony Jenkins DEFENSE - Winston & Straw LLP Benjamin M. Gipson/Maria Rodriguez

Lopez v Louis Vuitton

Case No: BC361116

PLAINTIFF - Gould & Associates Michael Gould, Aarin Zeif DEFENSE - Winston & Strawn LLP

Lee Paterson, Jessie Kohler, Emilie Woodhead

Mabry v Pete's Connection

Case No: 04CC00509

PLAINTIFF - Cohelan & Khoury
Tim Cohelan, Michael Singer, Sam Khoury, Diana Khoury
Nicole Durant, Esq Attorney at Law
DEFENSE - Phillip Silverman, Esq. Attorney at Law

Mahoney v AT&T

Case No: BC385361

PLAINTIFF – Goldstien Demchack Baller Borgen & Dardarian Morris J. Baller, Joseph E. Jaramillo DEFENSE - Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman LLP

Paula M. Weber, Lara-Beye Molina

Mares v BFS

Case No: BC375967

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group, LLP

Marc Primo, Matthew Theriault, Orlando Arellano

DEFENSE - Rutan & Tucker, LLP

Ernest W Klatte, III, Summer Young-Agriesti

Marino v PinkTaco

Case Number: BC384498

PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices, APC

Christopher J Hamner

DEFENSE - Proskauer Rose LLP

Robert H Horn

Martin v Home Savings Termite Control

Case No: BC372068

PLAINTIFF - Jennifer Kramer Legal

Jennifer Kramer

DEFENSE - Freedman & Taitelman

David Marmorstein

Martinez v Bank of the West

Case No: CGC-06-454959

PLAINTIFF - Rukin Hyland Doria & Tindall, LLP

Peter Rukin & John F. Hyland Law Offices of Greg Mayeda

Law Offices of Greg Mayer

Greg Mayeda

DEFENSE − Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

Andrew Livingston, Kristen M. Jacoby & Patricia Gillette

Massie v Wild Oats

Case No:BC369633

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Stephen Glick

Stephen Glick, Esq.

Daniels, Fine, Israel, Shonbuch & Lebovits LLP

Paul Fine, Scott Brooks, Craig Momita

Law Offices of Ian Herzog

Ian Herzog

DEFENSE – Jackson Lewis LLP

Frank M. Liberatore, Esq. Debbie Ibrahim, Esq. & Aryn J. Sobo,

Esq.

Mathistad v Einstein Noah Restaurant

Case No: 37-2007-74998-CU-IE-CTL

PLAINTIFF – Righetti Law Firm, P.C.

Matthew Righetti, Esq, John Glugoski, Esq

Lorens & Associates, APLC

Tracee Lorens

Cadena Churchill, LLP

Raul Cadena

DEFENSE - Holme Roberts & Owens, LLP

Donald L. Samuels, Elizabeth H. Murphy, David Ball

McCall Selbst v Labor Ready

Case No: BC289925

PLAINTIFF - The Quisenberry Law Firm John Quisenberry, Robert J. Drexler DEFENSE - Perkins Coie, LLP David Ongaro

McCarty v CJK Associates

Case No: FCS028379

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael L. Carver Michael Carver **DEFENSE** – Jackson Lewis David S. Bradshaw, Cary Palmer

McLaughlin v Waste Management

Case No: 06C 0100

PLAINTIFF - Appleton, Blady & Magnanimo Benjamin Blady DEFENSE - McNamara, Spira & Smith Kirsten Hicks Spira

Merino v Nations Pizza Products

Case No: 1:07-CV-632

PLAINTIFF - Werman Law Office, P.C.

Douglas M. Werman Defense - Jones Day

Michael J. Gray, Michael S. Ferrell Paul Hastings, Janofsky & Walker

Steven T. Catlett

NATION PIZZA'S COUNSEL

Earl Farkas

Mickelson v LaBou(WOGT)

Case Number: 07AS02431

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael L. Carver

Michael L. Carver

DEFENSE - Knox, Lemmon, Anapolsky & Schrimp, LLP

Angela Schrimp de la Vergne

Mobley v Globeground North America, LLC

Case No: BC 356051

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group Matthew T. Theriault DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Jill Ann Porcaro

Molinar v Golden West Restaurants, Inc.

Case No: BC350154

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael Carver Michael Carver DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton Charles Barker, Geoffrey Deboskey

Moore v Check Cashing Place

Case No: GIC 879665

PLAINTIFF - Hiden Rott & Oertle, LLP Michael Ian Rott, Eric M. Overholt DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson Stacey E. James, Laura Strauss

Moore v Genesco

Case No: RG06270570

PLAINTIFF - Keller Grover, LLP Eric Grover, Jade Butman Thierman Law Firm Mark Thierman Law Office of Steven L. Miller

Scott A. Miller, Steven L. Miller DEFENSE - Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP

Eric Meckley

Mondragon v BTR, Inc.

Case No: BC 379380

PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices, LLC

Christopher J. Hamner Kimberly Westmoreland DEFENSE - Van Vleck Turner & Zaller, LLP Brian Van Vleck Anthony Zaller

Morgan v The Avenue

Case No: BC362191

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group

Mark Yablonovich, Marc Primo, Matthew T. Theriault, Lory N.

DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton

Charles F. Barker, Ross A. Boughton

Morris v Lebhar Friedman

Case No: 2:08-cv-07457-ODW-FMO

PLAINTIFF - Appleton, Magnanimo & Dean

Lauren Dean, Frank Magnanimo

DEFENSE - Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker

Ryan Derry, Elena Baca

Muniz v Pilot Travel Centers

Case No: 07-CV-003250-FCD-EFB

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael Carver

Michael Carver

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson, PC

Jody Landry

Nelson v Pitney Bowes

Case No: 06 05954 JSW

PLAINTIFF - Wynne Law Firm

Edward Wynne

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson, PC

Annamary E. Gannon

Nerland v Caribou Coffee

Case No: 05-1847 PJS/JJG

PLAINTIFF - Lockridge Grindal Nauen

Charles N. Nauen

Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca

Jon Tostrud

DEFENSE - Fredrickson & Byron

Joseph M. Sokolowski

Nevins v UHS of Delaware, Inc.

Case No: BC322077

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group, LLP Marc Primo, Shawn Westrick, Robert Byrnes DEFENSE - Cotkin, Collins & Ginsburg William Naeve, Ellen Tipping, Robert Wilson

Nguyen v BDO

Case No: SACV07-1352 JVS MJGx

PLAINTIFF - Kershaw, Cutter & Ratinoff, LLP

Stuart Talley

DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP

Carrie Gonell

Njoku v Ecko

Case No: CGC 07-469480

PLAINTIFF - Keller Grover LLP

Eric A. Grover

DEFENSE - Proskauer Rose LLP

Enzo Der Boghossian, Harold M Brody

Noble v Sony

Case Number: BC372645

PLAINTIFF - Trush Law Office

James M. Trush, Esq.

Tharpe & Howell

Robert M. Freedman, Esq., David S. Binder, Esq.

DEFENSE - Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP

Jeffrey L. Richardson

Novel v World Cafe Restaurant

Case Number: BC382068

PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices, LLC

Christopher J. Hamner

DEFENSE - Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP

Steven G. Gatley,, Lisa A. Gregorius

Omaley v IntelCorp

Case No: 108CV126813

PLAINTIFF - Hinton, Alfert & Sumner

Aaron Kaufman

Rudy, Exelrod, Zieff & Lowe

Steve Zieff, Pat Goldman

Bruckner Burch

Richard Burch

DEFENSE - Gilmore Diekmann Jr

Gilmore Diekmann

Ordaz v Rose Hills Mortuary

Case No: BC 386500

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group

Marc Primo, Matthew Theriault, Dina Livhits

DEFENSE - Gurnee and Daniels LLP

Stephen Gurnee, Nicholas Forestiere, David Daniels, John Mason

Ortega v Leslie Farms

Case No: GIN-031-417

PLAINTIFF - California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation

Dorothy A. Johnson

DEFENSE - Testa & Associates, LLP

Gregory J. Testa, Esq.

Osorio v Land Forms Landscape

Case No: 07 CC 05683

PLAINTIFF - Martinez & Associates

Jose Antonio Martinez

DEFENSE - Crowell & Morning, LLP

Mark Romeo

Padilla v Two Lips

Case No: BC344476

PLAINTIFF - Keller Grover, LLP

Jade Butman, Eric Grover

DEFENSE - Anderson McPharlin & Conners, LLP

Glen Mortens, Stephen Harris

Palacio v International Extrusion

Case No: BC 376966

PLAINTIFF - Myers & Siegel, PC

Michael Myers, Alan Sigel

Law Office of Matthew Taylor

Matthew Taylor

DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson, PC

Robert Blumberg

Palmer v Kohls Corporation

Case No: 37-2007-00076060-CU-MC-CTL

PLAINTIFF - Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, PC

Todd D. Carpenter

DEFENSE - Call, Jensen & Ferrell

Matthew R. Orr

Palos v International Protection Service, Inc.

Case No: BC323209

 ${\tt PLAINTIFF} \hbox{-} {\it Initiative Legal Group, LLP}$

Marc Primo, Greg Yu, Joseph Cho, Susan Cregg

DEFENSE - Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP

David M. Humiston, Leonora M. Schloss, Alexander Gareeb,

Aaron Colby

Pang v PitneyBowes

Case No: 1:07-cv-08557-RJH

PLAINTIFF - Lipman & Plesur LLP Robert Lipman, David Robins

DEFENSE - Seyfarth Shaw LLP

Lorie Almon, Peter Walker, Chris Lowe

Pelton v GTC

Case No: GIC863070

PLAINTIFF - Frantz Law Group APLC James Frantz, Giles Townsend Keegan, Macaluso & Baker, LLP

Patrick Keegan, Brent Jex Law offices of Jodi Frantz

Jodi Frantz

DEFENSE - Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

William Hanssen, Donald Beshada, Suzanne Stouder

The People of California v Zuma

Case No: BC349390

PLAINTIFF - L.A. City Attorney's Office James Colbert, Steven Gold DEFENSE - Hill, Farrer & Burrill LLP

Scott Gilmore. Esq.

Peralta v Olympic Garden

Case No: BC 358374

PLAINTIFF – Kesluk & Silverstein Douglas Silverstein, Michael G. Jacob DEFENSE – Rees Law Firm Robert Rees

Perezchica v Eastco Int'l Corp.

Case No: 07 CH 04726

PLAINTIFF - Werman Law Office, P.C. Douglas M. Werman DEFENSE - Burke & White Edmund Burke

Pietrzak v Joes Crab Shack

Case No: GIC 863846

PLAINTIFF - Cohelan & Khoury Alex Dychter, Michael Singer DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton Heather Clark, Ryan McCortney

Radinski v Apex Digital

Case No: 07 C 0571

PLAINTIFF – Penny, Nathan, Kahan & Associates Ruth Irene Major DEFENSE – Littler Mendelson Lisa Schreter

Ramirez v eWork

Case No: 06-cv-00686-WDM-BNB PLAINTIFF - Cafferty Faucher LLP Anthony Fata DEFENSE - Jacobs Chase Ann Frick

Rava v Athletics Investment Group

Case Number: RG 06268693

PLAINTIFF - The Cartwright Law Group, APLC

Gregory Cartwright
DEFENSE - Jackson Lewis

Cary Palmer Buchalter Nemer George J. Stephan

Rava v Club Med Sales

Case No: 03CC09858

PLAINTIFF - Fuller Jenkins

Erik C. Jenkins Flynn & Stillman Philip Stillman The Grant Law Firm Joseph M. Grant

DEFENSE - McKay, Graham & de Lorimier

Michael Acain

Razo v C&D Zodiac

Case No: 07CC01373

PLAINTIFF - Spiro Moss LLP Dennis Moss, Gregory Karasik DEFENSE - Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP Greg Labate, Ruben Escalante

Recio v Labor Ready

Case No: BC289925

PLAINTIFF - The Cullen Law Firm, APC Paul T. Cullen

DEFENSE – Perkins Coie, LLP

David Ongaro

Renteria v Pacer Cartage, Inc.

Case No: BC 292970

 ${\tt PLAINTIFF-Daniels, Fine, Israel, Shonbuch \& Lebovits}$

Paul Fine, Scott Brooks Law Offices of Stephen Glick

Stephen Glick

DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis & Bockius Larry Lawrence, Clifford Sethness

Ridley v Friar Tux

Case No: BC368902

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of John F. Stewart

John F. Stewart

DEFENSE – Goldstein Law Firm

Charles Goldstein Seyfarth Shaw LLP Kenneth Sulzer

Ronn v Well Point Anthem BlueCross

Case No: 56-2008-00328601-CU-OE-VTA

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group

Marc Primo

DEFENSE - Seyfarth Shaw LLP

Eileen Zorc

Rubio v New Century Mortgage

Case No: SACV06-811CJC(AJWx)

PLAINTIFF - Trush Law Office

James M. Trush

DEFENSE - Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, LLP

Greg S. Labate

Sahab v Posadas

Case No: BC 324 331

PLAINTIFF - The Pearl Law Firm

Steven G. Pearl

Law Office of Robert E. Racine

Robert E. Racine

DEFENSE - Law Offices of Stanley R. Raskin

Stanley R. Raskin

Barnes Crosby Fitzgerald & Zeman LLP

William M. Crosby

Saidel v CBS Radio, Inc.

Case No: CV07-02948

PLAINTIFF - Hinton, Alfert & Sumner

Aaron Kaufmann

David P. Pogrel

DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis & Bockius

Rebecca Eisen

Theresa Mak

Salvador v PLS Checking

Case Number: CV 08-00882

PLAINTIFF - Hamner Law Offices, APC

Christopher J Hamner

DEFENSE - Proskauer Rose LLP

Robert H Horn

Sanchez v Harry Singh & Sons Farming

Case No: GIC872854

PLAINTIFF - Lorens & Associates, APLC

Tracee Lorens

Cadena Churchill LLP

Raul Cadena

DEFENSE - DLA Piper

Rick Storms, Amy Beckstead

Sandford v Time Warner Cable

Case No: BC 356014

PLAINTIFF - Kinglsey & Kingsley APC

Kevin M. Zietz

DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Carla J. Feldman, Jason S. Mills

Scott/Smith v BearCom

Case No: 37-2007-00068223-CU-OE-CTL

PLAINTIFF - Cohelan & Khoury

Timothy D. Cohelan, Isam C. Khoury, Michael D. Singer,

Diana M. Khoury

DEFENSE - DLA Piper LLP (US)

Merrill F. Storms, Jr., Tonya M. Cross

SLC v Pacific States Industries

Case No: 237576

PLAINTIFF - Littler Mendelson

Christopher Cobey

DEFENSE - Pacific States Industries

David Balter

Small v Brinderson

Case No: 04CC00717

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Ellyn Moscowitz

Ellyn Moscowitz

DEFENSE - Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo

Christopher Andre

Smith v Claires Boutiques, Inc.

Case No: BC378435

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Kenneth H. Yoon

Kenneth H. Yoon

DEFENSE - Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

John D. Hayashi

Smith v GuardSystems

Case No: BC384306

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Kevin T Barnes

Joseph Antonelli, Janelle Carney, Kevin Barnes, Gregg Lander

DEFENSE - Musick Peeler & Garrett

Elaine Vukadinovich

Smith v ShieldSecurity

Case No: BC385635

PLAINTIFF - Kokozian & Nourmand LLP

Michael Nourmand

The Feldman Law Firm

Lee Feldman

Class Action Litigation Group

Rene Barge & Katie Odenbriet

DEFENSE - Bradley & Gmelich

Jaimee Wellerstein, Barry Bradley, Gary Bradley

Smith v The Children's Place

Case No: BC328780

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group LLP

Marc Primo, Mark Yablonovich, Robert E. Byrnes

DEFENSE - Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton

Richard Simmons, Derek Havel

Solis v HiTech Collison

Case No: BC336338

PLAINTIFF - Horton & Debolt

Laura Horton

Kesluk & Silverstein

Douglas Silverstein, Alexandra Steinberg

DEFENSE - Fine, Boggs & Perkins

Cory King

Sprinklerfitters Local 669 v West Coast Fire Protection Services

Case No: 06AS04594

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Ellyn Moscowitz Ellyn Moscowitz, Sharon Seidenstein DEFENSE - Rediger, McHugh & Hubbert LLP Robert Rediger

Stewart v Reebok

Case No: BC 317955

PLAINTIFF - Westrup Klick, LLP Phillip Poliner The Law Offices of Allan A. Sigel DEFENSE - Cathcart Collins, LLP Patrick Cathcart

Thompson v Culver Personnel

Case No: GIC 870940

PLAINTIFF - The Law Offices of Michael Carver Michael Carver DEFENSE - Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth Bruce May

Tapia v Mangen Group (BurgerKing)

Case Number: BC377114

David Harrison

PLAINTIFF - Aidikoff, Uhl & Bakhtiari Philip Aidikoff, Robert Uhl, Ryan Bakhtiari Law Offices of David G. Spivak David Spivak Law Offices of David Harrison

DEFENSE -Lewitt, Hackman, Shapiro, Marshall & Harlan Sue Bendavid, Paul Bauducco

Throne v Citicorp Investment Services Case No: CV 07-113-ABC(RZx)

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group LLP Mark Yablonovich, Marc Primo, Shawn Westrick DEFENSE - Gould & Associates Michael A. Gould, Aarin A. Zeif

Tilden v Mastro's Ocean Club

Case No: 06 CC 00135

PLAINTIFF - Gould & Associates Michael Gould, Aarin Zeif DEFENSE - Ogletree Deakins Beth Gunn

Tobin v The Rack, LLC

Case No: BC 366512

PLAINTIFF – Law Offices of Peter M. Hart
Peter M. Hart
Diversity Law Group
Larry W. Lee
DEFENSE - Knee Ross & Silverman
Lora Silverman

Tu v Rusco

Case No: BC 367842

PLAINTIFF - The Cullen Law Firm APC
Paul T. Cullen
The Ryan Law Firm PLC
Kelly F. Ryan
DEFENSE - Best, Best & Krieger LLP
G. Ross Trindle

Ubalde v Prudential

Case No: BC245149

PLAINTIFF – Spiro Moss Barness LLP Ira Spiro, Justian Jusuf, Dennis Moss DEFENSE – Thelen Reid Brown Raysman & Steiner, LLP Thomas Hill

Valdivia v 3049 8th St

Case No:BC 361404

PLAINTIFF - Peter L. Weinberger and Associates, P.C. Peter Weinberger DEFENSE - Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP Patrick Stark

Walker v Bankers Life

Case No: 06-cv-06906

PLAINTIFF - The Quisenberry Law Firm Robert Drexler Werman Law Office Douglas Werman DEFENSE - Littler Mendelson John A. Ybarra, Shanthi V. Gaur, Stephanie Seay Kelly, Tony R. Skogen

Weber v Einstein Noah Restaurant

Case No: 37-2008-00077680-CO-OE-CTL
PLAINTIFF – Lorens & Associates, APLC
L. Tracee Lorens
Cadena Churchill, LLP
Raul Cadena
DEFENSE – Holme Roberts & Owens, LLP
Donald L. Samuels, Elizabeth H. Murphy, David Ball

Weizman v Principe

Case No: BC 379691

PLAINTIFF – Hamner Law Offices Christopher J. Hamner, Kimberly A. Westmoreland DEFENSE – Jones, Bell, Abbott, Fleming & Fitzgerald, LLP Kevin K. Fitzgerald

Wells v Kelly & Assoc., Inc.

Case No: GIC862431

PLAINTIFF - Lorens & Associates APLC

Tracee Lorens

(CONTINUED FROM BELOW LEFT)

DEFENSE - Barker, Olmsted & Barnier Christopher Olmsted

Whitaker v Cardinal Health

Case No: 37-2007-00078492-CU-OE-CTL

PLAINTIFF - Lorens & Associates, APLC

L. Tracee Lorens

DEFENSE - Wilson Petty Kosmo & Turner, LLP

Robin A. Wofford, Lois Kosch

Whitmill v PC Mall

Case No: BC 373935

PLAINTIFF - Westrup Klick, LLP

Phillip R. Poliner, Esq.

DEFENSE – Jones Day

Steven M. Zadravecz, Esq.

Wilfred v Lube Pit Stop

Case No: BC 377538

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Eli M. Kantor

Eli M. Kantor

DEFENSE - Margolis & Tisman

Naki Irvin

Winzelberg v Liberty Mutual

Case No: CV 07-460

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group LLP

Monica Balderrama, Orlando Arellano

 ${\tt DEFENSE-Sheppard,\,Mullin,\,Richter\,\,\&\,\,Hampton\,\,LLP}$

Geoffrey DeBoskey

Williams v AVTA Busdrivers

Case No: CV 08-02582 GW (AVRx)

CV 08-4282 GW (AGRx)

PLAINTIFF - Initiative Legal Group LLP

Matthew T. Theriault, Dina Livhits

DEFENSE - Gleason & Favrote, LLP

Paul M. Gleason, Torey J. Favarote

Williams v John Wheeler

Case No: 59035

PLAINTIFF - Law Offices of Michael Carver

Michael L. Carver

DEFENSE - The Ison Law Group

Elizabeth Ison

Wise v Factors

Case No: BC373911

PLAINTIFF - Jennifer Kramer Legal, APC

Jennifer Kramer, Judith Wiederhorn

DEFENSE - Silver & Freedman, APLC

Andrew Kaplan

Wu v SR Investment Group, Inc.

Case No: BC356245

PLAINTIFF - Bander Law Firm LLP

Joel R. Bander, Cathe L. Caraway-Howard

DEFENSE - Fullbright & Jaworski LLP

Jay J. Lee,







Simpluris Security Summary - White Paper

Simpluris is committed to the security and overall protection of not only our data and information but our client's data and information, as well. As a demonstration of our commitment, we maintain SOC 2 Certification which requires strict adherence to policies and procedures surrounding information security, including processing and storage of confidential customer data. Simpluris supports a comprehensive, written Information Security Program that complies with all applicable laws and regulations (e.g. HIPAA, Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, MA 201 CMR 17.00) and is designed to (a) ensure the security, privacy and confidentiality of Client and Class Member Information, (b) protect against any reasonably anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of Client or Class Member Information, and (c) protect against unauthorized access to, use, deletion, or modification of Class Member Information. Simpluris has designated specific employees to be responsible for the administration of its Information Security Program. Also, Simpluris regularly and routinely monitors, tests, and updates our Information Security Program.

Simpluris uses Client and Class Member Information only for the purposes for which its' clients provide it, as described in any Agreements or Court Orders governing the provision of Simpluris' services in any particular case. Simpluris maintains a process for identifying, assessing, and mitigating the risks to Class Member Information in each relevant area of Simpluris' operations. At Simpluris, we continuously evaluate the effectiveness of the safeguards for controlling these risks to data and bank accounts. Simpluris restricts access to Class Member Information only to those employees, agents, or subcontractors who need to know the information to perform their jobs. Simpluris performs background checks of all its employees that will have access to Sensitive Personal Information, including a review of their references, employment eligibility, education, and criminal history to ensure they do not pose a risk to the security of Client or Class Member Information.

Simpluris adheres to the following industry best practices to safeguard its systems which process, store or transmit Client and Class Member Information:

- Identity and Access Management;
- Complex passwords are routinely and regularly changed;
- Role-based access control systems to limit individual employee access to network applications and systems based on their particular job role and function;
- Data Loss Prevention and Intrusion Prevention System software at multiple layers to prevent from internal and external threats of data leaks, malicious activity, and policy violations
- Encryption of Class Member Information if transmitted over public or wireless networks (e.g., via email, FTP, the Internet, etc.);
- Implementation of a Secure File Transfer system (using SSL encryption) for transmitting documents back and forth to clients;
- Encryption of servers, portable media, laptops, desktops, smartphones, mobile devices, and new technologies that store Class Member Information;
- · Complex password authentication for remote access to Company's networks;
- Upon hire and annually after that, training of all employees with access to Class Member Information, (including any agents, and subcontractors with access to Class Member Information) about their obligations to implement the Information Security Program;
- Strict disciplinary measures for employees who violate the Information Security Program;
- Preventing terminated employees from accessing Class Member Information;
- · Appropriately configured and updated firewall, antivirus, and spyware software;
- Prompt application of vendor-recommended security patches and updates to systems and other applications to avoid any adverse impact on Class Member Information;
- Separation of Duties:
- Infrastructure and Physical Security;
- Business Continuity Planning;
- Disaster Recovery Planning





3194-C Airport Loop Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92626 800-779-2104 www.simpluris.com

Prepared By: Direct Dial # Email: Kimberly Sutherland 321-223-5067 Estimate #: Estimate Date: 12561 7/8/2021

> Plaintiff Attorney Shane Howarter

Attorney/Client:

Attorney/Client:

Case Name: Cline v. SSPBI: Opt Out

Anticipated Total Cost
Capped Fee*

Terms:

1) Capped Fees assume that Simpluris will receive data in a Single Excel file with no substantial change in class size or response rate.

Total Possible Class Size: 86 1% English No Cy Pres Undeliverable Rate: Response Rate:
Mailing Document Language:
Reminder Post Card
Uncashed checks Call Rate: Redistribution: State Tax Reporting Years

Data Compilation - Develop Case Specific Response Tracking - Error Reports			
Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Project Manager - Case Setup	\$125.00	3	\$375.00
Database Manager - Initial Data Analysis	\$140.00	3	\$420.00
		Total	\$795.00

Notification Mailing Notice Pack- 4 pg Notice, Double Sided - English			
Mailing Notice Pack	\$1.00	86	\$86.00
Postage	\$0.55	86	\$47.30
NCOA/CASS/LACS	\$25.00	1	\$25.00
Undeliverable Processing	\$0.25	17	\$4.30
Skip Trace RUM	\$2.00	17	\$34.40
Remail	\$2.00	15	\$29.24
Postage	\$0.55	15	\$8.04
Clerical	\$50.00	1	\$50.00
			4

		Call Center-		
Establish Case Specific Toll Free Number				
ı	Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
	Customer Service Reps/Call Center Support	\$75.00	0	\$0.00
	800 # Charges	\$0.00	0	\$0.00

simpluris
Class Action Settlement Administration

3194-C Airport Loop Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92626 800-779-2104 www.simpluris.com

Claims Administration

Process Mail, Claim Forms, Opt-Outs or Objections				
Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total	
Database Manager	\$125.00	1	\$125.00	
Resolving Mismatched TINs	\$75.00	1	\$75.00	
Dispute/Deficiencies - Send One Cure Letter	\$2.50	3	\$7.50	
Opt Out Processing	\$2.50	2	\$4.30	
Claim Processing	\$2.50	1	\$2.15	
Data Entry	\$50.00	1	\$50.00	
Project Manager	\$125.00	1	\$125.00	
Weekly Reporting to Counsel	WAIVED	12 Wks of Reporting	\$0.00	
		Total	\$388.05	

	Total	\$388.95
Distribution		

DISTRIBUTION				
Setup a Disbursement Account				
Print & Mail Checks to Class Members - W2's / 1099's - File Reports with Appropriate Federal & State Taxing Authorities				
Acco	unt Management & Reconciliat			
	Unit Value	# of Units	Total	
Disbursement Data Preparation	\$140.00	1	\$140.00	
Disbursement Manager - Data Validation	\$75.00	1	\$75.00	
Setup Banking Account/QSF	\$300.00	1	\$300.00	
Print & Mail-Check	\$3.00	86	\$258.00	
Postage	\$0.55	86	\$47.30	
Process Returned Checks	\$0.50	1	\$55.34	
Skip Trace Search Undeliverable Checks	\$5.00	1	\$5.00	
Remail Checks	\$4.00	1	\$4.00	
QSF Account Reconciliation	\$250.00	1	\$250.00	
Individual Federal/State Tax Reporting	\$350.00	1	\$350.00	
QSF Reporting/Declaration	\$300.00	1	\$300.00	
QSF Annual Tax Preparation Fee	\$750.00	1	\$750.00	
Reissuing Checks/Mailing	\$5.00	1	\$6.45	
Reissuing W2s/1099s	\$5.00	1	\$6.45	
Disbursement Agent	\$75.00	1	\$75.00	
Responding to IRS, State, Agency Inquiries	\$75.00	1	\$75.00	

simpluris

3194-C Airport Loop Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92626 800-779-2104 www.simpluris.com

Case Wrap Up

Category	Utili value	# OI OIIIIS	TOTAL
Data Manager-Final Reporting	\$125.00	1	\$125.00
Clerical-Clean Up Any Misc	\$50.00	1	\$50.00
Project Manager-Wrap-up Final Issues	\$125.00	1	\$125.00
		Total	\$300.00

Total Case Costs \$4,590.77





All administration services to be provided by Simpluris to Client, are provided subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. <u>Services</u>. Simpluris agrees to provide Client those services set forth in the Bid (the "Services") to which these terms and conditions are attached and which has been provided to Client. As compensation for such Services, Client agrees to pay the fees for Services outlined in the Bid. However, Client such fees for Services are estimated based on the requirements provided by Client and actual fees charged by Simpluris may be greater or less than such estimate and Client will be responsible for the payment of all such fees.
- 2. <u>Billing and Payment</u>. Simpluris will invoice Client on a regular basis unless a specific timeframe is otherwise set forth in the Bid. Client shall pay all invoices within 30 days of receipt. Amounts unpaid after thirty (30) days are subject to a service charge at the rate of 1.5% per month or, if less, the highest rate permitted by law. Services are not provided on a contingency basis and Client shall remain liable to Simpluris for all fees for the Services, regardless of any court decisions, and/or actions by the parties, including disapproval or withdrawal of a settlement.
- 3. Retention of Documents. Unless directed otherwise in writing by the Client, Simpluris will destroy all undeliverable mail (except for undeliverable checks) on the date that it is processed and retained in Simpluris' system. Simpluris will maintain records to establish that the subject mail is undeliverable. Simpluris will retain undeliverable checks until the Qualified Settlement Fund is closed. Simpluris will also retain all other class member and putative class member correspondence (including without limitation, claims forms and opt out forms) for one year after final distribution of funds or benefits, or until the date that the disposition of the case is no longer subject to appeal or review, whichever is later. Lastly, Simpluris will retain bank & tax documents for such period of time as it determines is required to maintain compliance with various federal and state requirements.
- 4. <u>Limitation of Liability; Disclaimer of Warranties</u>. Simpluris warrants that it will perform the Services diligently, with competence and reasonable care. Simpluris' only obligation will be to correct any non-conformance with the foregoing warranty. In no event will Simpluris be liable for any lost profits/opportunities, business interruption or delay or, special, consequential, or incidental damages incurred by Client relating to the performance of the Services, regardless of whether Client's claim is for breach of contract, tort (including negligence and strict liability) or otherwise. Under no circumstances will Simpluris be liable to Client for any claims, losses, costs, penalties, fines, judgment or damages, including court costs and reasonable attorney's fees (collectively, "Losses"), whether direct or indirect, arising out of, related to, or in connection with Services in an amount in excess of the total fees charged or chargeable to Client for the particular portion of the Services affected by Simpluris' omission or error. THE WARRANTIES SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION ARE EXCLUSIVE AND IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
- 5. <u>Force Majeure</u>. To the extent performance by Simpluris of any of its obligations hereunder is substantially prevented by reason of any act of God or because of any other matter beyond Simpluris' reasonable control, then such performance shall be excused and this Agreement, at Simpluris' option, be deemed suspended during the continuation of such condition and for a reasonable time thereafter.
- **6.** Rights in Data. Client agrees that it will not obtain, nor does Simpluris convey, any rights of ownership in the programs, system data, or materials provided or used by Simpluris in the performance of the Services.
- 7. <u>Electronic Communications</u>. During the provision of the Services the parties may wish to communicate electronically with each other at a business e-mail address. However, the electronic transmission of information cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error free and such information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete or otherwise be adversely affected or unsafe to use. Accordingly, each party agrees to use commercially reasonable procedures to check for the then most commonly known viruses and to check the integrity of data before sending information to the other electronically, but each party recognizes that such procedures cannot be a guarantee that transmissions will be virus free. It remains the responsibility of the party receiving an electronic communication from the other to carry out a virus check on any attachments before launching any documents whether received on disk or otherwise.
- 8. Notice. Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be delivered personally, by, or sent by registered mail, postage prepaid, or overnight courier and shall be deemed given when so delivered personally, or, if mailed, five days after the date of deposit in United States mail, or, if sent by courier, one business day after delivery to such courier service. Notice should be addressed to an officer or principal of Client and Simpluris, as the case may be.
- 9. <u>Waiver.</u> Failure or delay on the part of a party to exercise any right, power or privilege hereunder shall not operate as a waiver thereof or any of other subject, right, power or privilege.
- 10. <u>Termination</u>. Client may terminate the Services at anytime upon 30 days prior written notice to Simpluris. Termination of Services shall in no event relieve Client of its obligation make any payments due and payable to Simpluris in respect of Services rendered up to the effective date of Termination. Simpluris may terminate this Agreement (i) for any reason upon no less than 90 days prior written notice to the Client; or (ii) upon 15 calendar days' prior written notice, if the Client is not current in payment of fees.
- 11. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. The parties hereto irrevocably and unconditionally submit to the jurisdiction of the Court of the applicable case for purposes of any suit, action or proceeding to enforce any provision of, or based on any right arising out of, this Agreement. The parties hereto hereby irrevocably and unconditionally waive any objection to the laying of venue of any such suit, action or proceeding in such Court.
- 12. <u>Survival</u>. Any remedies for breach of this Agreement, this Section and the following Sections will survive any expiration or termination of this Agreement: Section 4 Limitation of Liability; Disclaimer of Warranties, Section 6 Rights in Data, and Section 12- Jurisdiction, 14 Confidentiality, and Section 15 Indemnification.
- 13. Entire Agreement. These Terms and Conditions and the proposal embody the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and cancels and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, and agreements related thereto, either written or oral, except to the extent they are expressly incorporated herein. No changes in, additions to, or waivers of, the terms and conditions set forth herein will be binding upon any party, unless approved in writing by such party's authorized representative.
- 14. <u>Confidentiality</u>. Simpluris maintains reasonable and appropriate safeguards to protect the confidentiality and security of data provided by Client to Simpluris in connection with the Services. If, pursuant to a court order or other proceeding, a third party requests that Simpluris to disclose any confidential data provided by or for Client, Simpluris will promptly notify the Client unless prohibited by applicable law. Client will then have the option to provide Simpluris with qualified legal representation at Client's expense to defend against such request. If, pursuant to a court order, Simpluris is required to disclose data, produce documents, or otherwise act in contravention of the obligation to maintain confidentiality set forth in these terms and conditions, Simpluris will not be liable for breach of said obligation.
- 15. <u>Indemnification</u>. Client will indemnify and hold Simpluris (and the officers, employees, affiliates and agents harmless against any Losses incurred by Simpluris, arising out of, in connection with , or related to (i) any breach of the terms by Client; (ii) the processing and handling of any payment by Simpluris in accordance with Client's instructions, including without limitation, the imposition of any stop payment or void payment on any check or the wrongful dishonor of a check by Simpluris pursuant to Client's instructions.
- **16.** <u>Severability</u>. If any term or condition or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal, unenforceable or in conflict with the law of any jurisdiction, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.
- 17. <u>Database Administration</u>. Simpluris' database administration for Client assumes that Client will provide complete data that includes all information required to send notifications and calculate and mail settlement payments. Data must be provided in a complete, consistent, standardized electronic format. Simpluris' standardized format is Microsoft Excel, however, Simpluris may accept other formats at its discretion. Further developments or enhancements to non-standardized data will be billed to Client by Simpluris on a time and materials basis according to Simpluris' Standard Rates.





Simpluris Security Summary - White Paper

Simpluris is committed to the security and overall protection of not only our data and information but our client's data and information, as well. As a demonstration of our commitment, we maintain SOC 2 Certification which requires strict adherence to policies and procedures surrounding information security, including processing and storage of confidential customer data. Simpluris supports a comprehensive, written Information Security Program that complies with all applicable laws and regulations (e.g. HIPAA, Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, MA 201 CMR 17.00) and is designed to (a) ensure the security, privacy and confidentiality of Client and Class Member Information, (b) protect against any reasonably anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of Client or Class Member Information, and (c) protect against unauthorized access to, use, deletion, or modification of Class Member Information. Simpluris has designated specific employees to be responsible for the administration of its Information Security Program. Also, Simpluris regularly and routinely monitors, tests, and updates our Information Security Program.

Simpluris uses Client and Class Member Information only for the purposes for which its' clients provide it, as described in any Agreements or Court Orders governing the provision of Simpluris' services in any particular case. Simpluris maintains a process for identifying, assessing, and mitigating the risks to Class Member Information in each relevant area of Simpluris' operations. At Simpluris, we continuously evaluate the effectiveness of the safeguards for controlling these risks to data and bank accounts. Simpluris restricts access to Class Member Information only to those employees, agents, or subcontractors who need to know the information to perform their jobs. Simpluris performs background checks of all its employees that will have access to Sensitive Personal Information, including a review of their references, employment eligibility, education, and criminal history to ensure they do not pose a risk to the security of Client or Class Member Information.

Simpluris adheres to the following industry best practices to safeguard its systems which process, store or transmit Client and Class Member Information:

- Identity and Access Management;
- Complex passwords are routinely and regularly changed;
- Role-based access control systems to limit individual employee access to network applications and systems based on their particular job role and function;
- Data Loss Prevention and Intrusion Prevention System software at multiple layers to prevent from internal and external threats of data leaks, malicious activity, and policy violations
- Encryption of Class Member Information if transmitted over public or wireless networks (e.g., via email, FTP, the Internet, etc.);
- Implementation of a Secure File Transfer system (using SSL encryption) for transmitting documents back and forth to clients;
- Encryption of servers, portable media, laptops, desktops, smartphones, mobile devices, and new technologies that store Class Member Information;
- Complex password authentication for remote access to Company's networks;
- Upon hire and annually after that, training of all employees with access to Class Member Information, (including any agents, and subcontractors with access to Class Member Information) about their obligations to implement the Information Security Program;
- Strict disciplinary measures for employees who violate the Information Security Program;
- Preventing terminated employees from accessing Class Member Information;
- · Appropriately configured and updated firewall, antivirus, and spyware software;
- Prompt application of vendor-recommended security patches and updates to systems and other applications to avoid any adverse impact on Class Member Information;
- Separation of Duties:
- Infrastructure and Physical Security;
- Business Continuity Planning;
- Disaster Recovery Planning