DECLARATION VERIFIABLE EVIDENCE OF ELECTION INTERFERENCE AND ILLEGITIMATE PRESIDENCY OF DONALD TRUMP

By Bonnie Kurowski – Official Record Documenter
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6591-1314 | Updated June 2025

This link is the link that holds the United Stated Documents Under Protections for use in a Court of Low, Research, and to explain to other nations and future generations, what happened here in America.

1. The Constitution of The United States

2. The Declaration of Independence

3. All other bills and laws of the Nation

4. The National Monuments, land, resources, buildings and facilities

5. Federal Reserve, financial systems, and currency

6. The Declaration of the Documenter to hold these safe and be the scribe of "The People" in absence of Country demise

7. The Declaration of Escalation of Documenter to assume emergency role as the Interim Presidency Advisory Role

8. The Declaration for Military to Stand Down and Revert to Interim Presidency Advisory

9. The Declarations of all War Action**s**

10. The Declaration Statement of Non-Assistance and Severe Infiltration

---

The investigation Concluded on April 4, 2025 with the following results:

1. High-Precision via Devices

- *What:* Campaign workers used satellite-enabled phones/tablets to deliver tailored messages, ensuring turnout among loyal voters while deceiving or ignoring opposition.
- *Impact:* Boosted Republican turnout in swing precincts, suppressed Democratic turnout
- *What this means:* Campaign workers used cell phones and tablets connected via Musk's satellite system to deliver personalized political messages door-to-door or via text to likely Republican voters while ignoring or deceiving likely Democratic voters.
- *How it affects votes:* They could direct misinformation, false deadlines, or intimidation specifically to Democrats, while energizing Republican turnout in key neighborhoods.

2. & Voter Profiling

- *What:* Tools analyzed behavior, facial expressions, online activity, and demographics to craft persuasive, emotionally engaging messages.
- *Impact:* Discouraged participation among targeted groups (young, minority, female voters) .
- *What this means:* Advanced tools were used to analyze people's faces, voices, or behavior online to understand how they think and feel.
- *How it affects votes:* Voters—especially young, minority, and women—were targeted with messages designed to discourage or confuse them into not voting.

3. Use of "Non-Transparent" 501(c)(4) Campaign Apps

- *What:* Nonprofit apps received public funding but functioned as partisan campaign tools without proper disclosure.
- *Impact:* Circumvented campaign finance rules while influencing voter outreach.
- *What this means:* Apps run by groups pretending to be nonpartisan nonprofits were actually helping campaigns behind the scenes.

- *How it affects votes:* These groups may have used taxpayer funding to help Republicans illegally, including delivering messages or targeting voters without disclosing who was really behind them.
-

4. Satellite/ Secrecy to Avoid Oversight

- *What:* Data and campaign communications sent via encrypted satellite links to bypass regulatory monitoring.
- *Impact:* Kept coordination and funding opaque from watchdogs and public scrutiny.
- *What this means:* Political data was sent and received using encrypted satellite systems that bypass normal phone lines or U.S.-based servers.
- *How it affects votes:* This could hide communications or campaign transactions from the public, media, or watchdog agencies.

5. Foreign-Server Data Transfers to

- *What:* Voter data moved to through foreign servers and shell entities to obscure funding and coordination.
- *Impact:* Enable disguised foreign or illicit influence under U.S. campaign laws.
- *What this means:* Data about voters—collected during campaigning or surveillance—was secretly moved to (political money groups) using foreign-registered companies.
- *How it affects votes:* This could mean foreign countries or untraceable donors were helping campaigns cheat U.S. election laws by masking who was involved or paying for political attacks.

6. Exploitation of State Data for Voter Scoring

- *What:* Motor voter, school IDs, and gun permit lists ingested to score and segment voters by loyalty.
- *Impact:* Enabled aggressive voter targeting or exclusion based on profile, undermining electoral fairness.
- *What this means:* Official databases meant for public safety or services were used to identify and rank voters by loyalty to a party.
- *How it affects votes:* This gave campaigns an unfair advantage by letting them skip or suppress less loyal voters while maximizing turnout from their base, often without the public's knowledge.

7. Algorithmic Turnout & Loyalty Scoring

- *What:* Voters assigned quantifiable "loyalty" and turnout probability scores driving engagement strategies.
- *Impact:* Skewed campaign efforts toward core supporters, reducing effectiveness of public outreach

8. Targeted Disinformation & Psychological Messaging

- *What:* Spread AI-generated falsehoods and deadline misinformation specifically to suppress votes.
- *Impact:* Caused confusion and discouraged turnout among targeted demographics .

9. Voter Roll Challenges and Purges (Voter Caging)

- *What:* Sending mail to create purge lists, filing overwhelming voter challenge actions.
- *Impact:* Removed or challenged valid voters—disproportionately affecting Democrats

10. Appointment of Loyalty-Based Officials via Project 2025

- *What:* Infiltration of local and state election offices with aligned personnel trained to enforce engagement/verification schemes.
- *Impact:* Systemic risk of election outcome manipulation through administrative

I declare that these are the top one's that impacted the election as follows.

Summary of Effects

- **Behavioral suppression**: Tech-driven tools demoralized or misled specific voter blocs.
- **Targeted amplification**: Satellite connectivity and algorithmic scoring ensured efficient GOP turnout.

- **Opaque coordination**: Use of shell networks, foreign data routes, and nonprofits obscured oversight.
- **Institutional undermining**: Administrative strategies and political appointments strengthened control over infrastructure.

_____These tactics—individually and together—represent an **unprecedented, integrated system** combining tech, psychology, and politics to **influence elections without overt fraud**. They undeniably shifted the playing field in key states during 2024. There were other one's I found as well. They are as follows:

11. PAC-Sponsored "Ballot Harvesting" in Select Areas

- **What:** Legal in some states but **targeted only in favorable zones**, increasing Republican turnout while spreading disinformation about it being illegal in Democratic zones.
- **Effect:** Boosted GOP votes, suppressed Democratic participation out of fear.
- *What it means:* While legal in some places, this tactic was used only in strong Republican zones. In contrast, Democrats were falsely told it was illegal.
- *How it affects votes:* Republicans gained votes while Democrats stayed home out of fear or confusion.

12. AI-Generated Deepfakes and Disinformation Videos

- **What:** Produced synthetic videos or clips mimicking opponents or creating panic about stolen elections.
- **Effect:** Eroded trust in candidates and voting systems, especially among swing voters.
- *What it means:* Fake videos or voice recordings were circulated to smear opponents or suggest elections were stolen.
- *How it affects votes:* Shook voter confidence and manipulated undecided or soft voters.

13. Cross-Platform Algorithm Control via Musk-Owned Platforms

- **What:** Twitter (X), Truth Social, and other apps altered visibility of content based on user behavior and engagement patterns.
- **Effect:** Created echo chambers, silenced dissent, and amplified false narratives.
- *What it means:* Content visibility on Twitter (X), Truth Social, and more was throttled or amplified based on political alignment.
- *How it affects votes:* GOP messaging flooded feeds while criticism or fact-checks were buried.

14. Faith-Based Voter Targeting Through Moms for Liberty &

- **What:** Used churches and school board groups to spread coded political messages and distribute slanted voter guides.
- **Effect:** Shifted family and school-based networks into right-wing organizing hubs.
- *What it means:* Churches and schools distributed coded political material that looked nonpartisan but had clear biases.
- *How it affects votes:* Mobilized religious communities without campaign finance transparency.

15. Deployed "Election Integrity" Volunteers to Intimidate

- **What:** Volunteers trained by groups like Turning Point or Judicial Watch were stationed near polling places to challenge ballots or "watch" voters.
- **Effect:** Deterred minority and first-time voters from participating.
- *What it means:* Volunteers were trained to monitor polling sites and challenge voters.
- *How it affects votes:* Disproportionately scared off first-time, minority, or elderly voters

16. Government Vendor Manipulation (e.g., ERIC manipulation)

- **What:** Outsourced voter roll maintenance to partisan-aligned vendors, some of whom purged or flagged non-GOP voters.
- **Effect:** Introduced bias into "official" election systems.
- *What it means:* Companies managing voter rolls may have been politically biased and influenced list removals.
- *How it affects votes:* Thousands of voters may have been purged without valid reason.

17. Canvassing Apps with AI Loyalty Models

- **What:** Volunteers' phones already knew who to speak to and what message to say—based on past data and predictive loyalty scores.
- **Effect:** Time-efficient targeting avoided swing voters, focused only on GOP-aligned.

18. Encrypted Reward Systems for Volunteers

- **What:** Introduced cryptocurrency incentives or rewards for voter registration or campaign effort, tracked anonymously.
- **Effect:** Skirted donation tracking laws and created "" canvassing.
- *What it means:* Some volunteers were rewarded with cryptocurrency for actions like registering new voters or delivering ballots.
- *How it affects votes:* Circumvented campaign finance laws and made electioneering untraceable.

19. Fake Polling and Reports

- **What:** Publicized fake internal polls to create false narratives about Democratic collapse or Trump surges.
- **Effect:** Demoralized Democratic organizers and misled the press/public.
- **Fake Polls and Strategic Announcements:**
- *What it means:* Released bogus internal polls or fear-based headlines to influence public perception.
- *How it affects votes:* Demoralized Democratic base or falsely inflated GOP momentum.

20. Intelligence-Grade Social Listening Tools

- **What:** Used or similar systems to monitor anti-GOP speech in real-time and adapt campaign narratives instantly.
- **Effect:** Created rapid-response disinformation and intimidation loops.
- *What it means:* Tools like monitored anti-GOP conversations and quickly deployed counter-messaging.
- *How it affects votes:* Controlled the digital atmosphere and prevented narrative traction for opponents.

21. Parallel Ballot Design or Code Manipulation

- *Example:* Allegations of manipulated QR codes or ballot styles that led to invalid ballots in heavily Democratic precincts.
- *Impact:* Undermines accurate vote recording—especially in counties using privatized ballot printing services.
- **Parallel Ballot Design or Code Manipulation:**
- *What it means:* Some ballots or QR codes in Democratic precincts were allegedly misprinted or misaligned.
- *How it affects votes:* Risked spoiled or invalid votes, especially in automated scanning systems.

22. Deliberate or Machine Removal in Democratic Zones

- *Example:* "Machine outages" or long lines at majority-minority precincts, not mirrored in GOP zones.
- *Impact:* Discourages voters via wait times and creates narrative that "Democrats don't turn out."
- **Deliberate or Machine Removal in Democratic Zones:**
- *What it means:* Polling places in Democrat-heavy areas were short on staff or had fewer working machines.
- *How it affects votes:* Created long lines and delays, discouraging voter participation.

23. Election Night Algorithmic "Stops" or Media Choke Points

- *Example:* Sudden halts in vote counting coverage or misreporting turnout data through embedded media channels.
- *Impact:* Casts confusion, makes it harder to spot real anomalies, shapes perception.
- **Election Night Algorithmic "Stops" or Media Choke Points:**
- *What it means:* Vote counting or media coverage of certain districts paused unexpectedly.
- *How it affects votes:* Introduced confusion, obscured anomalies, and gave cover for narrative control.

24. "Strategic Leaks" from Law Enforcement or Intelligence Channels

- *Example:* Using inside contacts to leak "investigations" or suggest fraud tied to Democrats days before election.
- *Impact:* Last-minute disinformation hits to sway undecided voters.
- *What it means:* Days before elections, GOP-aligned officials leaked fake investigations into Democrats.
- *How it affects votes:* Influenced swing voters with misleading narratives at the last minute.

25. Suppression of Civil Rights Voter Protection Groups

- *Example:* IRS audits, legal threats, or funding cuts to watchdogs monitoring voter suppression.
- *Impact:* Removed traditional lines of defense before the election.
- *What it means:* Groups that typically monitor voter suppression were attacked with audits or .
- *How it affects votes:* Reduced legal and civic defense for voters facing intimidation or misinformation.

26. Judicial Forum Shopping & Election Law Manipulation

- *Example:* Targeting friendly judges or county supervisors for rulings to block recounts, ballot access, or certification challenges.
- *Impact:* Makes illegal actions legally untouchable.
- *What it means:* GOP lawyers filed election cases in jurisdictions with known favorable judges.
- *How it affects votes:* Tilted legal rulings to block recounts, suppress ballots, or delay certification.

These tactics did not just *influence* an election—they **reshaped the electorate** by filtering out the voices most likely to resist authoritarian consolidation: poor voters, Black and Brown voters, young people, women, and first-time participants.

It wasn't just a stolen vote.

It was a **stolen future.**

Legal Standing and Urgent Review Requested
This document calls for:

- An immediate international tribunal review of federal appointments linked to Thiel-funded entities.

- Formal legal challenges under federal election law and constitutional authority, specifically:

    - Federal Election Campaign Act violations for coordination between Thiel's PACs and government contractors.

    - Florida Commission on Ethics inquiry into campaign finance violations and undeclared lobbying relationships.

- Public hearings on the privatization of governance via Project 2025 mechanisms.

- DOJ Investigation Requested:

    - Use of Starlink-connected canvassing devices for digital microtargeting and suppression of Democratic voters in Florida, Georgia, and Arizona.

- Non-disclosure of coordinated campaign apps operating under a 501(c)(4) shield while receiving government funds or state endorsements.

- Deployment of biometric data analytics and psychological manipulation software in swing counties, targeting young, minority, and female voters.

- Pre-election use of SpaceX facilities and encrypted satellite uplinks to bypass campaign finance disclosure rules and jurisdictional oversight.

- Alleged transfer of campaign and surveillance data to PACs via foreign-registered servers and shell entities.

- Use of Florida's "Motor Voter" registration system, school identification databases, and concealed carry licensee lists as ingestion points for voter data analytics pipelines later monetized or algorithmically scored for partisan targeting.

V. OATH AND SIGNATURE

I, **Bonnie Kurowski**, under penalty of perjury and in full awareness of international legal consequences, do affirm the above as true to the best of my knowledge and experience. I submit this declaration on behalf of the people of the United States and in service to the global democratic order.

Signed,
Bonnie Kurowski
Official Record Documenter
Date: 06/01/2025

Formal Inquiry Memo (Florida Commission on Ethics)

To: Florida Commission on Ethics
Subject: Request for Formal Investigation – Alleged Quid Pro Quo and Campaign Coordination in Executive Governance Restructuring
From: Bonnie Kurowski, Official Record Documenter
Date: June 8, 2025

Summary of Allegation:
This memo formally requests an inquiry into potential ethics violations involving coordinated activity between Governor Ron DeSantis, Elon Musk, Peter Thiel, and aligned corporate donors. Specifically, the timing of legislative actions—such as the liability shield for space firms signed within 24 hours of Musk's political platforming of DeSantis in the past, and his elections—raises substantial concern over undisclosed lobbying, campaign coordination, and legislative bribery.

Legal Frameworks Cited:

- Chapter 112, Florida Statutes (Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees)

- 52 U.S.C. § 30101 et seq. (Federal Election Campaign Act)

- 18 U.S.C. § 201 (Federal Bribery Statute)

Requested Action:
We respectfully request an ethics inquiry into whether any of the following occurred:

1. Improper coordination between the executive branch and corporate entities for campaign benefit.

2. Legislative action issued as political compensation for corporate campaign assistance.

3. Ongoing political-economic entanglements that compromise fair governance.

Public Briefing Statement (For Op-Ed or Media Use)

Title: "From the Ballot Box to the Boardroom: How Billionaire Peter Thiel Subverted U.S. Democracy"
Key Message: Billionaire Peter Thiel has created a shadow governance structure using technology, dark money, and campaign puppeteering. As his network embeds itself into U.S. power centers, urgent legal and public oversight is needed to defend the Constitution.

Addendum: Timeline – DeSantis, Musk, SpaceX, and Legislative Exchange

May 24, 2023: DeSantis announces 2024 presidential campaign via Twitter Spaces hosted by Elon Musk.
May 25, 2023: DeSantis signs Florida law providing corporate liability shield for spaceflight firms (benefiting SpaceX).
June–August 2023: DOGE narratives emerge aligned with Musk's AI/policy ambitions.
October 2023: Super PACs linked to Thiel and Musk fund targeted media/policy influence in swing states.
January 2024: SpaceX, Starlink, and PAC-aligned canvassing apps reportedly used in Florida voter outreach.
November 2024: Allegations of digital voter suppression and campaign-platform collusion emerge.

IX. Addendum: Florida Voter Data Distribution and Surveillance Map (Preliminary)

- **Data Source Ingestion:** Motor voter systems, school IDs, concealed carry licensees.

- **Processing Entities:** Voter Scoring Inc., PredictWise, Palantir.

- **Distribution:** Shared with PAC canvassing operations via Starlink and custom Android canvassing apps.

- **Flagging Algorithms:** Marked voters as low-turnout, low-loyalty, or mail-in risk.

- **Outcome:** Swing districts saturated with targeted misinformation, psychological ops, and surveillance-linked canvassing.

V. OATH AND SIGNATURE

I, **Bonnie Kurowski**, under penalty of perjury and in full awareness of international legal consequences, do affirm the above as true to the best of my knowledge and experience. I submit this declaration on behalf of the people of the United States and in service to the global democratic order.

Signed,
Bonnie Kurowski
Official Record Documenter
Date: 06/01/2025