# Fusion Forward: Industry Update

## Establishing the Baseline: State of the Fusion Industry

*Kirk Williams – www.KHTCO.cn*

## Executive Summary

The fusion energy industry stands at a historic inflection point in November 2025. Global private investment has exceeded $10 billion, representing a five-fold increase since 2021, with 53 active fusion companies pursuing commercial viability. Multiple technological pathways—from magnetic confinement to inertial fusion—are racing toward first commercial demonstrations projected between 2030-2035, while both government megaprojects and agile private ventures achieve unprecedented scientific milestones. The convergence of breakthrough physics achievements, artificial intelligence integration, high-temperature superconducting magnets, and Big Tech power purchase agreements signals that fusion's transition from laboratory curiosity to commercial energy source is accelerating.[1][2][3][4][5]

## Government Megaprojects: The Foundation

### ITER: Recalibrated Timeline and Progress

ITER remains the world's largest fusion collaboration, representing seven international partners and over half the world's population. At the 34th ITER Council meeting in June 2024, a revised baseline was presented targeting initial operations in 2035—nine years later than originally scheduled—with the goal of demonstrating 500 MW fusion output from 50 MW input plasma heating. Critical manufacturing milestones have been achieved: all toroidal field coils (among the most technically challenging components) are complete, as are all poloidal field coils. Machine assembly launched in March 2020 with the installation of the 1,250-tonne cryostat base. Despite timeline challenges, ITER provides invaluable lessons for the global fusion innovation program throughout its construction phase.[6][7][8]

### National Ignition Facility: Repeated Ignition Success

The National Ignition Facility (NIF) at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory achieved a watershed moment on December 5, 2022, demonstrating fusion ignition with scientific energy breakeven producing 3.1 MJ of fusion yield from 2.05 MJ of laser energy input. This historic achievement has been repeatedly validated. The eighth ignition experiment on April 7, 2025, set new records with 8.6 MJ yield from 2.08 MJ laser energy, achieving a target gain of 4.13. Six ignition events have now been achieved, demonstrating reproducibility and providing unprecedented capability for inertial confinement fusion research. This success has catalyzed private sector interest in laser-driven inertial fusion approaches.[9][10][11][12]

### China's EAST: Record-Breaking Plasma Confinement

China's Experimental Advanced Superconducting Tokamak (EAST), operational since 2006, achieved a milestone on January 20, 2025, by maintaining steady-state high-confinement plasma for 1,066 seconds (over 17 minutes) at temperatures exceeding 180 million degrees Fahrenheit. This represents more than double EAST's previous 403-second record and establishes a new benchmark for sustained plasma confinement globally. Significant system upgrades have doubled heating power output while maintaining stability, demonstrating China's growing technical capabilities. This achievement positions China at the forefront of long-pulse tokamak operations critical for commercial reactor development.[13][14]

### UK STEP Program: Prototype by 2040

The UK's Spherical Tokamak for Energy Production (STEP) program aims to design, develop, and build a prototype fusion power plant capable of delivering net energy by 2040 at West Burton, Nottinghamshire. The UK government announced £410 million ($500 million) in January 2025 to accelerate development, including support for STEP, the new LIBRTI fusion fuel R&D facility, and JET decommissioning activities. UK Industrial Fusion Solutions shortlisted engineering and construction partners in early 2025, with contracts valued at hundreds of millions of pounds through 2029. STEP represents a high-risk, high-reward program designed to demonstrate commercial viability through public-private partnership.[15][16][17]

## Private Sector Leadership: Technology Pathways

### Magnetic Confinement Fusion (MCF)

Magnetic confinement dominates global private investment, capturing 70% of funding worldwide. This approach uses powerful magnetic fields to confine plasma at fusion temperatures, with two primary architectures competing:[18]

**Tokamaks** feature axisymmetric, toroidal magnetic configurations with simpler design and stronger toroidal rotation, though they require continuous external current drive and face disruption risks. **Commonwealth Fusion Systems (CFS)** leads this pathway with over $2 billion raised, including $863 million in Series B funding from investors including Google, Nvidia, and Khosla Ventures. CFS pioneered the use of high-temperature superconducting (HTS) magnets—specifically rare-earth barium copper oxide (REBCO) tape—enabling 20-tesla magnetic fields that allow significantly smaller, higher-performing fusion systems at lower cost. Their SPARC demonstration reactor in Massachusetts is 60% complete, with first plasma originally targeted for 2025. CFS signed a 200 MW power purchase agreement with Google and announced their first commercial ARC facility near Richmond, Virginia, in collaboration with Dominion Energy, targeting early 2030s deployment.[3][19][20][21][22][23][24][1]

**Tokamak Energy** (UK) is developing compact spherical tokamaks using HTS magnets and has received funding through the DOE Milestone-Based Fusion Development Program. **TAE Technologies**, the longest-running private fusion company with over $1.3 billion raised, pursues advanced beam-driven field-reversed configuration (FRC) with eventual aneutronic proton-boron11 fuel. TAE secured $150 million with backing from Chevron and Google, reflecting major energy company confidence.[2][19][1][3]

**Stellarators** employ non-axisymmetric, fully external magnetic field coils, offering steady-state operation without current-driven instabilities, disruptions, or density limits. Their three-dimensional magnetic architecture provides superior stability and easier impurity retention in the divertor, though at the cost of higher neoclassical transport and manufacturing complexity. Germany's Wendelstein 7-X represents the most advanced stellarator globally, though private sector investment in this pathway remains limited compared to tokamaks.[25][20][21] See a deep dive into Stellarators: *https://khtco.cn/f/deep-dive-wendelstein-7-x-and-the-stellarator-pathway*

## Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF)

Following NIF's breakthrough, private investment in ICF has accelerated, particularly in Europe where 69% of private fusion funding targets inertial approaches. **Focused Energy** received DOE Milestone-Based Program funding and is developing laser-driven compression systems. **Xcimer Energy** similarly secured federal support for its inertial fusion approach. **Inertia Fusion**, formed in 2025, licensed nearly 200 patents from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and established partnerships to develop mass-produced, low-cost lasers and fuel targets building on NIF's ignition success. The company plans four years of development refining laser design, target fabrication, and plant architecture.[12][2][18]

## Magnetized Target Fusion (MTF)

**General Fusion** (Canada) pioneered magnetized target fusion, combining elements of magnetic and inertial approaches by mechanically compressing magnetized plasma using piston-driven liquid lithium liners. This hybrid method creates fusion conditions in short pulses without requiring large superconducting magnets or laser arrays, enabling more scalable, cost-efficient power plant designs. Their Lawson Machine 26 (LM26) achieved first magnetized plasma formation in March 2025 and now produces plasmas daily. The company targets 1 keV in the first half of 2025, then 10 keV, and scientific breakeven equivalent by 2026, with commercial grid deployment planned for early-to-mid 2030s. General Fusion announced record-breaking neutron production of 600 million neutrons per second—the highest ever for MTF—demonstrating significant progress in plasma compression. **Zap Energy** pursues Z-pinch-based magnetized target fusion and received DOE Milestone Program funding.[26][27][28][29][30][2]

## Alternative Approaches

**Helion Energy** has raised over $1 billion total, including $425 million Series F funding led by Sam Altman, Lightspeed, and SoftBank. Helion employs field-reversed configuration with unique deuterium-helium-3 fuel and signed the fusion industry's first power purchase agreement with Microsoft for 50 MW delivery by 2028. Construction of their Orion plant in Washington has commenced under this landmark agreement. Helion also secured a PPA with Nucor, the largest U.S. steel manufacturer.[19][1][3] For a deep dive into Helion and RFC solutions: *https://khtco.cn/f/deep-dive-helion-energys-magneto-inertial-fusion*

# The Investment Landscape

## Capital Flows and Geographic Distribution

Global private fusion investment reached $13 billion by September 2025 (using European methodology), representing a 30% increase since June 2025 and an eight-fold rise since 2020. Using U.S. Fusion Industry Association methodology, total private funding stands at $9.766 billion—a five-fold increase since 2021. Investment growth is highly concentrated: the United States and China account for over 85% of global private fusion capital. Fusion companies raised $2.64 billion in the twelve months to July 2025, the highest annual total since 2022 and a 178% increase over 2024.[5][18]

The United States leads with 29 fusion companies exploring diverse commercial strategies. U.S. dominance is reinforced by the DOE Milestone-Based Fusion Development Program, which allocated $246 million in federal funding to eight companies in 2022, catalyzing an additional $350 million in private investment. In September 2025, DOE augmented this program with $134 million, supporting Commonwealth Fusion Systems, Focused Energy, Princeton Stellarators, Realta Fusion, Tokamak Energy, Type One Energy Group, Xcimer Energy, and Zap Energy. Companies must present pre-conceptual designs and technology roadmaps by late 2025 to advance to the next phase.[1][2]

China established China Fusion Energy Co. (CFEC) in July 2025—a state-owned enterprise capitalized at approximately €1.9 billion—embedding fusion as the third stage of its national nuclear development roadmap. This top-down strategy sees public sources account for over 70% of Chinese fusion funding, now complemented by private ventures like NovaFusionX in Shanghai.[18]

### Big Tech's Strategic Entry

Technology companies are driving unprecedented fusion investment through both equity stakes and power purchase agreements, motivated by exponential data center and AI computing energy demands. **Google** invested in Commonwealth Fusion Systems and TAE Technologies, providing not only capital but access to AI algorithms and DeepMind's reinforcement learning capabilities for plasma control optimization. **Microsoft** signed a 50 MW PPA with Helion and is negotiating a 500 MW agreement with Nucor, demonstrating confidence in near-term commercial viability. **Nvidia** joined CFS's Series B funding round as a new investor. **OpenAI CEO Sam Altman** led Helion's $425 million Series F round. These partnerships signal that fusion's value proposition extends beyond utilities to the tech sector's insatiable appetite for clean, reliable baseload power.[4][31][3][1]

## Critical Technical Challenges

### Materials Degradation and Neutron Damage

Fusion neutrons at 14.1 MeV create displacement cascades in materials, producing primary knock-on atoms that initiate collision cascades generating thousands of vacancies and interstitials. Beyond displacement damage, fusion neutrons transmute nuclei through (n,α) and (n,p) reactions, producing helium and hydrogen inside materials. Helium migrates to grain boundaries forming bubbles that grow under stress, hydrogen embrittles materials, and both gases pin defects preventing self-healing. These unique damage signatures—unavailable to lower-energy fission neutrons—must be thoroughly understood before commercial fusion plants can achieve reliable operation. Materials science represents one of the six core challenges identified in the U.S. Department of Energy's October 2025 Fusion Science & Technology Roadmap for fusion deployment at scale. The IFMIF-DONES neutron source under development in Europe aims to provide fusion-relevant materials testing capabilities.[32][33][34][35]

### Tritium Breeding and Fuel Self-Sufficiency

Tritium—a radioactive hydrogen isotope with a 12.3-year half-life—is essential for deuterium-tritium fusion but exists in extremely limited quantities globally. Achieving fuel self-sufficiency requires breeding tritium within the reactor through neutron interactions with lithium, necessitating breeding ratios significantly greater than unity to compensate for tritium decay and extraction losses. Tritium breeding represents a complex challenge integrating nuclear physics, materials science, and chemical engineering. Efficient retrieval of tritium in the hot reactor environment given its fast diffusion properties remains crucial, with candidates including pure lithium, lithium-lead alloys, and ceramic lithium compounds. Concerns exist that ITER may consume a substantial portion of the world's available tritium inventory during its experimental campaigns. The scarcity and handling complexity of tritium represents a potential bottleneck requiring long-term investments in production and management infrastructure, including regulatory frameworks for safe storage and transport.[36][37][38]

## Plasma Control and Disruptions

Maintaining stable plasma at fusion temperatures while controlling edge localized modes (ELMs), tearing instabilities, and major disruptions represents a fundamental engineering challenge. Any major disruption can release plasma energy rapidly, potentially destroying plasma-facing components. ITER aims for disruption-free operation with 99% reliability. Burning plasma heated primarily by fusion-born alpha particles introduces new physics phenomena untested at scale, including energetic particle-driven instabilities. Advanced control of heat flux exhaust to the divertor is critical for component survival and performance optimization.[39][40][31][4]

## Supply Chain Constraints

According to the Fusion Industry Supply Chain 2025 report, 31% of fusion companies express concern about precision engineering and manufacturing supplier availability, escalating to 63% when considering future commercial-scale requirements. Supply chain spending by private fusion companies exceeded $434 million in 2024—approximately double 2023 levels—with 25% growth projected for 2025 to $543 million. Critical bottlenecks include long lead times for specialized components, supplier risk aversion to investing without guaranteed long-term demand, and only 30% of suppliers receiving clear roadmap guidance from fusion customers. Geopolitical vulnerabilities arise from concentrated sourcing: HTS wire, enriched lithium, and laser optics come from limited regions, exposing supply chains to trade friction and export controls. Skilled labor shortages in cryogenics, precision engineering, and nuclear-grade quality assurance further restrict production scalability.[38][41][42]

# The AI/HPC Intersection: Transforming Fusion Engineering

## Plasma Control and Real-Time Optimization

Artificial intelligence and high-performance computing are emerging as critical enablers for fusion, addressing challenges that exceed human analytical capacity and traditional control algorithms. **Reinforcement learning** has demonstrated practical success: in 2024, AI-powered control was deployed at DIII-D (the largest U.S. magnetic fusion facility) to actively avoid tearing instabilities, maintaining stable operation at relatively unfavorable conditions of low safety factor and low torque. The AI controller enabled plasma to track stable paths within time-varying operational space, preventing disruptive instabilities that could damage components.[40][43][4]

**Google DeepMind's partnership with Commonwealth Fusion Systems** exemplifies strategic AI integration. DeepMind is investigating reinforcement learning agents that dynamically control plasma to distribute heat effectively, potentially learning adaptive strategies more complex than any engineer could manually craft while balancing multiple constraints and objectives. AI could also rapidly tune traditional control algorithms for specific plasma pulses, pushing SPARC further and faster toward historic goals.[31][4]

**Next Step Fusion** developed a hybrid Plasma Control System integrating traditional methods (PID controllers) with machine learning techniques including reinforcement learning, Bayesian inference, and neural networks. Successfully demonstrated at DIII-D in 2024, their system operates at 4 kHz, dynamically adapting to plasma behavior, predicting and avoiding disruptions, and exploring new plasma states without full magnetic reconstructions. This transforms plasma control from rigid, scenario-based tasks into flexible, real-time optimization challenges.[43]

**DivControlNN**, a machine learning surrogate model trained on over 70,000 2D UEDGE simulations, provides fast (~0.2 ms) predictions of boundary and divertor plasma behavior. Deployed during the 2024 KSTAR experimental campaign, this prototype detachment control system demonstrated AI's capability to overcome diagnostic challenges in future reactors through fast, robust predictions.[44]

### Physics Simulation and Digital Twins

AI-based models enable high-fidelity physics descriptions with quick turnaround times, essential for developing full digital twins of fusion systems. ITER is using AI today to generate fast, accurate models of physics processes that describe plasma behavior without waiting for experimental results. These digital twins will simulate ITER operation as a complete, realistic system encompassing both engineering systems and plasma scenarios. **GS-DeepNet** learns plasma equilibria through unsupervised learning without traditional numerical algorithms, achieving reliable equilibria with quantified uncertainties for potentially better fusion-grade plasma control.[45][46]

Deep learning surrogate models replicate first-principles gyrokinetic simulations that are too computationally intensive for real-time applications. Trained on data from the gyrokinetic toroidal code (GTC), these models provide physics-based instability information including growth rates and mode structures fast enough for real-time control. Multimodal super-resolution techniques leverage machine learning to fill diagnostic gaps, addressing challenges like Edge Localized Modes that cause significant plasma-facing material erosion.[47][48]

### High-Performance Computing Demands

The Fusion Simulation Program faces scientific and computational challenges demanding petascale computing resources and multi-core algorithmic formulations to address burning plasma physics relevant to ITER. Major computational challenges include ultrascale hardware development trends toward more CPU cores per chip and GPU accelerators delivering high aggregate performance. Fusion community use of HPC infrastructure has traditionally been dominated by heavy-duty plasma simulations using particle-in-cell and gyrokinetic codes. Growing interest in applying machine learning for knowledge discovery on large experimental datasets is creating competition for accelerated hardware resources between simulation codes and deep learning models.[49][8]

## Regulatory Environment: Enabling Deployment

### United States: The ADVANCE Act Framework

On July 9, 2024, the bipartisan ADVANCE Act (Accelerating Deployment of Versatile, Advanced Nuclear for Clean Energy) passed, incorporating the Fusion Energy Act. This legislation codifies the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's 2023 decision to regulate fusion energy systems under the same framework as particle accelerators rather than fission reactors, fundamentally streamlining the path to grid deployment. Without this framework, fusion would face costly, time-intensive approval processes developed following fission incidents. The ADVANCE Act also simplifies disposal of fusion's limited radioactive waste materials.[50][51]

The Agreement State framework has expanded to 39 states, delegating NRC regulatory authority to states facilitating local fusion development. State-level actions include explicitly defining fusion in statutes as clean energy, incorporating fusion into Clean Energy Standards (CES) and Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS), centralizing permitting in adequately-funded single agencies, and creating fast-track pathways.[52][51]

### International Approaches

Regulatory frameworks vary globally, with lessons from ITER safety and licensing informing DEMO and future facilities. Careful design choices are essential to realize fusion's environmental and safety potential. Nonproliferation experts conclude that fusion should remain outside traditional nuclear nonproliferation regimes, with dual-use export controls better suited for commercial fusion, potentially including "controls by design" usage-based frameworks.[53][54]

## Potential Roadblocks and Risks

**Technology maturity gaps** persist across multiple pathways. While NIF achieved ignition and EAST demonstrated long-pulse confinement, translating these laboratory successes to economically viable power plants remain unproven. The 75% of fusion developers anticipating commercially viable pilot plants by 2030-2035 face "materials science, plasma stability, and engineering" obstacles.[10][13][1]

**Capital intensity and investor patience** present ongoing challenges. Despite $10 billion invested, fusion companies are pre-revenue technology developers requiring sustained funding through demonstration phases before grid deployment. Early markets for fusion electricity face tough competition absent levelized costs below $50/MWh.[55][1]

**Workforce development** lags industry needs. The fusion sector employs 4,600 people in 2025—more than four times 2021 levels—but academic workforce programs cannot match the rapid expansion rate. Comprehensive upskilling integrating nuclear engineering, cryogenics, advanced manufacturing, and high-power electronics is crucial.[56][52][38]

**First-of-a-kind (FOAK) engineering challenges** pervade prototype development. STEP's high-risk program exemplifies the difficulty of maturing FOAK fusion plants while demonstrating ultimate commercial viability pathways. Design maturation, system integration at industrial scale, and establishing supply chains for unprecedented component specifications require capabilities still under development.[57]

# Opportunities and Market Potential

## Near-Term Applications

Process heat for industrial applications, hydrogen production, and cogeneration (e.g., desalination) represent potential early markets as technology matures and costs decline. Grid-scale baseload power generation targeting regions with high renewables penetration constitutes the primary initial deployment focus.[55][1]

## Economic Projections

If technological milestones are met, fusion could reach $40-60 billion valuation by 2036, potentially exceeding $350 billion by 2050. Short-term forecasts indicate first commercial plants beginning operations between 2030-2035, with Commonwealth Fusion Systems, Helion, and others setting aggressive timelines.[3][1]

## Strategic Advantages

Fusion offers abundant fuel from deuterium (extractable from seawater) and lithium, minimal radioactive waste compared to fission, no carbon emissions, high energy density, and baseload reliability complementing intermittent renewables. The technology addresses Big Tech's exponential energy demands while providing energy security and geopolitical diversification.[13][1]

# Conclusion: A Sector in Transition

November 2025 marks a defining moment for fusion energy. The convergence of repeated ignition at NIF, record plasma confinement in EAST, multi-billion-dollar private investment, Big Tech power purchase agreements, AI-driven plasma control breakthroughs, and enabling regulatory frameworks has transformed fusion from perpetual "30 years away" skepticism to credible 2030s deployment targets. Multiple technological pathways advance simultaneously—magnetic confinement (tokamaks and stellarators), inertial confinement, and magnetized target fusion—each addressing different aspects of the engineering challenge.[29][9][4][50][12][1][3][13]

Critical challenges remain formidable: materials must withstand unprecedented neutron damage, tritium breeding must achieve self-sufficiency, plasma control must reach 99% disruption-free reliability, and supply chains must scale from prototypes to industrial production. Yet the fusion community has demonstrated problem-solving capacity through HTS magnet development enabling compact, cost-effective designs and AI integration revolutionizing plasma control.[35][23][39][36][40][38]

The next edition of Fusion Forward will track progress against key 2025-2026 milestones: SPARC first plasma and net energy demonstration, General Fusion's LM26 achieving 10 keV and scientific breakeven equivalent, DOE Milestone Program companies presenting technology roadmaps, ITER assembly advancement toward 2035 operations, and continued evolution of the regulatory and investment landscape. The fusion industry's trajectory from baseline establishment to commercial deployment has begun.[30][22][6][2]

**FUSION FORWARD** will return next edition with updates on all major initiatives, emerging technological developments, and market evolution. Submit developments and insights to the editorial team for inclusion in future intelligence bulletins.

## References:


1. https://finance.yahoo.com/news/global-nuclear-fusion-energy-market-080300909.html
2. https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/us-nuclear-fusion-builders-fired-up-by-big-tech-investments--reeii-2025-09-16/
3. https://www.nuclearbusiness-platform.com/media/insights/top-3-fusion-energy-players-investments
4. https://deepmind.google/blog/bringing-ai-to-the-next-generation-of-fusion-energy/
5. https://www.neimagazine.com/analysis/fusion-funding-and-the-future/
6. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/iter-s-proposed-new-timeline-initial-phase-of-oper
7. https://www.world-nuclear-exhibition.com/fr-fr/Blog/iters-progress-and-europes-role-in-fusion-energy.html
8. https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1007186
9. https://www.energy.gov/articles/doe-national-laboratory-makes-history-achieving-fusion-ignition
10. https://lasers.llnl.gov/science/achieving-fusion-ignition
11. http://link.aps.org/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.065102
12. https://www.ans.org/news/article-7330/startup-looks-to-commercialize-inertial-fusion-energy/
13. https://www.sustainability-times.com/energy/heat-beyond-control-scientists-recoil-as-chinas-fusion-reactor-hits-100-million-degrees-in-unprecedented-artificial-sun-achievement/
14. https://www.energy-reporters.com/news/scientists-reveal-terrifying-fusion-breakthrough-this-could-power-10000-homes-is-the-world-ready-for-unlimited-energy/
15. https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2023.0414

16. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/towards-fusion-energy-the-uk-fusion-strategy/towards-fusion-energy-2023-the-next-stage-of-the-uks-fusion-energy-strategy

17. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/funding-boost-for-uk-fusion-rd

18. https://fusionforenergy.europa.eu/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/F4E_Observatory_Report_1_Second_Release_print.pdf

19. https://www.peaknano.com/blog/the-state-of-the-fusion-energy-industry-in-2025

20. https://nhsjs.com/2024/exploring-optimization-of-the-stellarator-over-tokamak-fusion-reactors-a-comparative-analysis/

21. https://pubs.aip.org/aip/mre/article/1/4/192/252701/A-general-comparison-between-tokamak-and

22. https://www.brucemccabe.com/futurebites/a-timeline-for-fusion

23. https://atap.lbl.gov/research/scientific-programs-and-centers/superconducting-magnet-program/superconducting-magnets-for-fusion-energy/

24. https://cfs.energy/technology/hts-magnets/

25. https://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/student-journals/index.php/PAMR/article/download/1384/1435

26. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.12831.pdf

27. https://www.facebook.com/groups/775801081313358/posts/1072904658269664/

28. https://generalfusion.com/post/general-fusions-made-in-canada-technology-achieves-first-plasma-in-cutting-edge-fusion-demonstration-machine/

29. https://www.innovationnewsnetwork.com/canadas-general-fusion-achieves-first-magnetised-target-fusion-plasma/56354/

30. https://generalfusion.com/post/general-fusion-confirms-significant-fusion-neutron-yield-and-plasma-stability-during-mtf-compression-experiment-series-with-new-peer-reviewed-publication/

31. https://blog.cfs.energy/with-ai-alliance-google-deepmind-and-cfs-take-fusion-to-the-next-level/

32. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9596351/

33. https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2025-10/fusion-s&t-roadmap-101625.pdf

34. https://www.utilitydive.com/news/department-energy-doe-nuclear-fusion-roadmap-2030s-deployment/803115/

35. https://www.avalanchefusion.com/application/neutron/displace-and-damage

36. https://energy.sustainability-directory.com/term/tritium-breeding/

37. https://physicsworld.com/a/the-fusion-industry-must-rise-to-its-tritium-challenge/

38. https://www.powerelectronicsnews.com/supply-chain-challenges-in-the-fusion-industry/

39. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8291618/

40. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10881383/

41. https://eng8.energy/fusion-supply-chains-risks-realities-lenr-advantages/

42. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/fusion-supply-chain-sees-growth-in-business

43. https://luxinnovation.lu/news/next-step-fusion-ai-powered-plasma-control
44. https://arxiv.org/html/2502.19654
45. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10516960/
46. https://www.iter.org/node/20687/ai-ignites-innovation-fusion
47. https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2106/2106.10849.pdf
48. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.05908.pdf
49. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2106.06101.pdf
50. https://kleinmanenergy.upenn.edu/research/publications/bringing-fusion-energy-to-the-grid-challenges-and-pathways/
51. https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/u-s-fusion-energy-advances-with-two-2510323/
52. https://www.catf.us/resource/state-policy-options-for-fusion-energy-deployment/
53. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2207.14348.pdf
54. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1309.6179.pdf
55. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.09150.pdf
56. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2501.03372.pdf
57. https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2023.0412
58. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.12987.pdf
59. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2311.12187.pdf
60. https://media.nature.com/original/magazine-assets/d41586-021-03401-w/d41586-021-03401-w.pdf
61. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2112.14211.pdf
62. http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/fusion-energy-in-2025-six-global-trends-to-watch
63. https://www.fusionindustryassociation.org/news/fusion-in-the-news/
64. https://sciencepolicyreview.org/2021/08/proposed-regulatory-approaches-fusion-energy/
65. https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2403/2403.05993.pdf
66. https://exoswan.com/nuclear-fusion-energy-stocks
67. https://www.science.org/content/article/private-companies-aim-demonstrate-working-fusion-reactors-2025
68. https://investorplace.com/hypergrowthinvesting/2025/11/why-nuclear-fusion-could-be-just-around-the-corner/
69. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2410.12871.pdf
70. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/14/1/40/pdf?version=1704523616
71. https://news.mit.edu/2025/new-prediction-model-could-improve-reliability-fusion-power-plants-1007

72. https://www.pppl.gov/news/2024/using-artificial-intelligence-speed-and-improve-most-computationally-intensive-aspects
73. https://www.research.ed.ac.uk/en/publications/easy-use-of-high-performance-computers-for-fusion-simulations
74. https://interestingengineering.com/energy/fusion-energy-neutron-impact-on-tokamak
75. https://wjaets.com/sites/default/files/WJAETS-2024-0208.pdf
76. https://www.nrc.gov/materials/fusion-machine
77. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03323.pdf
78. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.01327.pdf
79. https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/11/6658/pdf?version=1685502082
80. https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article-pdf/doi/10.1063/5.0174537/18261387/122110_1_5.0174537.pdf
81. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.14909.pdf
82. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8288883/
83. https://generalfusion.com/fusion-technology/
84. https://hackaday.com/2025/03/27/general-fusion-claims-success-with-magnetized-target-fusion/
85. https://www.elsevier.com/journals/energy-strategy-reviews/2211-467X?generatepdf=true
86. https://www.fusionindustryassociation.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/FIA-Supply-Chain-2025-Report.pdf
87. https://arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2025-08/Day1_11_Anderson.pdf
88. https://helena.org/projects/commonwealth-fusion-systems