TRAINING LOADS OF A DIVISION I CONFERENCE VOLLEYBALL TOURNAMENT

Christine L. Coniglio¹, Austin Smith¹, Abdulmalek Bursais¹, Julia Kirkpatrick¹, Justin Taylor¹, Jeremy Gentles¹

¹Center of Excellence of Sport Science and Coach Education, Department of Sport, Exercise, Recreation, and Kinesiology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, USA

INTRODUCTION: Volleyball is predominantly an anaerobic sport that requires short repeat bouts of high intensity activity such as jumping, bounding, and quick changes of direction, followed by periods of low intensity activity, such as walking and standing (Gabbet, 2007). Current research using wearable technology has been used for jump quantification and movement assessment for injury prevention (Sanders, 2018), while little work, if any, has been done assessing mechanical training loads in a multigame conference tournament. Additionally, many sports have used microsensors to help quantify training loads, there are very few studies that investigate the demands of women's Division I (DI) volleyball. The purpose of this study was to assess training loads of a division I women's volleyball conference tournament using triaxial accelerometers. This study also examined differences between player specific positions, and single match vs. combined match training loads.

METHODS

Subjects: 10 female volleyball players (19.6±1.1y,76.5±7.5 cm, 69.1±8.6 kg) participated in the study. Athletes were members of a DI NCAA collegiate volleyball team. Subject court position included outside hitter (outside: n=4), middle blocker (middle: n=2), setter (n=2), defensive specialist (DS: n=1), and libero (n=1). Each athlete was assigned a ZephyrTM BioHarness unit (BH; Zephyr Technology Corporation, Annapolis, MD) during preseason training and was familiarized with how to wear and operate the device. Each BH included a Biomodule (version 3) and strap. Athletes were equipped with a BH that was worn during competition. The BH was placed at the level of the xyphoid process and the Biomodule was positioned on the midaxillary line. The Biomodule contains a triaxial accelerometer which samples at 100 Hz. BH data was downloaded to and analyzed with OmniSenseTM Analysis (version 4.1.4; Zephyr Technology Corporation, Annapolis, MD). This investigation was approved by the University's Institutional Review Board and all participants completed and signed approved informed consent documentation.

Match Time: A total of three best of five-set matches were played over the course of a three-day period, single elimination tournament. Matches included all sets for each match played, not including pre-game warm-up. All three matches went three sets. Total impulse load (IL) and impulse load by event (walking, running, intense running, jumping/bounding, other) was collected with triaxial accelerometer. Impulse load is a measure of training load and is equal to the sum of areas under the three-axis accelerometry curves for all detected events.

Accelerometry: Bioharness validity and reliability has been demonstrated during a number of different tasks. Very strong relationships have been seen between oxygen uptake and accelerometry (r = 0.97) and step counts (r = 0.99) (Johnstone, 2012a). High intra-device reliability (ICC ≥ 0.99) (Johnstone, 2012a) and inter-device reliability (ICC = 0.93) have been reported (Johnstone, 2012c).

Center of Excellence SPORT SCIENCE & COACH EDUCATION East Tennessee State University Gravitational forces $(1 \text{ g} = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2)$ were recorded to describe acceleration data collected from BH. Total training loads for match play were expressed as Impulse load (IL). Impulse load is the accumulated training load equal to the sum of areas under the 3-axis accelerometry curves and expressed as N*s. Impulse load only includes detected locomotor events (e.g., walking, running, jumping) and impacts. Mean IL were calculated for all 3 conference tournament matches. The formula for IL is displayed below where x = g forces in the medio-lateral ("side-to-side") plane, y = g forces in the anterio-posterior ("forwards and backwards") plane, z = g forces in the vertical ("up and down") plane.

Impulse Load =
$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{x_s^2 + y_s^2 + z_s^2}}{9.8067}$$

Statistical Analysis: All data is presented as means, standard deviations using Microsoft Excel (Version 2016, Redmond, WA, USA).

Player	Impulse Load (N·s)		
Outside I	$13,067 \pm 504$		
Outside II	$12,887 \pm 448$		
Outside III	$16,623 \pm 729$		
Outside IV	$19,475 \pm 1,354$		
Setter I	$13,664 \pm 523$		
Setter II	$10,651 \pm 85$		
Middle I	$12,551 \pm 1,371$		
Middle II	$8,360 \pm 2,282$		
Defensive Specialist	$6,122 \pm 1,972$		
Libero	$20,\!025\pm938$		

Table 1. Impulse load per match per position

*All data are represented by means and standard deviations

RESULTS: The match mean by player $13,365.02\pm4,448.10$ IL. The tournament mean by player was $40,095.07\pm13,464.32$ IL. Table 1 displays means and standard deviations for match for IL for each individual player. Table 2 provides mean match IL by position, mean match IL by position for each event type, percent contribution for event types by position and mean tournament IL.

DISCUSSION: This study presents training loads associated with volleyball tournament play. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to quantify the training loads of a collegiate volleyball conference tournament. Impulse Load can used as a measure of total training load (Coniglio 2017). Relative contribution to IL from various locomotor event types differs based upon athlete position. For instance, a larger percentage of a libero's training load consists of running when compared to an outside hitter. However, a larger percentage of training load for an outside hitter consists of jumping and bounding compared to a libero. Additionally, due to higher average playing time, the libero accumulates the highest training loads resulting from longer playing times.

Center of Excellence SPORT SCIENCE & COACH EDUCATION East Tennessee State University The use of accelerometry derived training loads may allow coaching staff to better measure the demands of each position, which may be difficult to quantify otherwise. For instance, from practical experience, coaches may assume outside hitters accumulate higher training loads than other positions due to their visibility on court, scoring responsibilities and explosive actions near the net. However, this research demonstrates that training loads may be high across all or most positions but the relative contribution from locomotor event types will differ depending across positions. Using IL allows for quantification of different event types that can contribute to training loads and possible fatigue during match-play.

Locomotion	Position	Match IL (N·s)	Percent	Tournament IL (N·s)
Total IL	Outside	$15,513 \pm 2,940$	NA	$46,\!538 \pm 9,\!456$
	Setter	$12,157 \pm 1,684$	NA	$36472 \pm 6,391$
	DS	$6,122 \pm 1,972$	NA	$18,367 \pm 0$
	Middle	$10,456 \pm 2,847$	NA	$31,367 \pm 8,889$
	Libero	$20,251 \pm 938$	NA	$60,752 \pm 0$
Walk	Outside	$8,006 \pm 160$	51.6%	$24,019 \pm 2,126$
	Setter	$6,050 \pm 1,280$	49.8%	$18,150 \pm 4,915$
	DS	$1,910 \pm 545$	31.2%	$5,731 \pm 0$
	Middle	$6,042 \pm 599$	57.8%	$18,125 \pm 1,832$
	Libero	$9,611 \pm 572$	47.5%	$28,832 \pm 0$
Run	Outside	$3,964 \pm 1,164$	25.6%	$11,892 \pm 3,745$
	Setter	$3,852 \pm 698$	31.7%	$11,557 \pm 2,663$
	DS	604 ± 224	9.9%	$1,813 \pm 0$
	Middle	$2,079 \pm 1,077$	19.9%	$6,238 \pm 3,138$
	Libero	$7,816 \pm 223$	38.6%	$23,\!448 \pm 0$
Sprint	Outside	140 ± 44	0.9%	420 ± 133
	Setter	125 ± 81	1.0%	374 ± 207
	DS	26 ± 0	0.4%	26 ± 0
	Middle	106 ± 84	1.0%	317 ± 6
	Libero	235 ± 80	1.2%	705 ± 0
Jumping and Bounding	Outside	702 ± 236	4.5%	$2,107 \pm 747$
	Setter	667 ± 197	5.5%	$2,000 \pm 603$
	DS	34 ± 13	0.6%	101 ± 0
	Middle	593 ± 379	5.7%	$1,778 \pm 1181$
	Libero	797 ± 109	3.9%	2391 ± 0
Other	Outside	$2,700 \pm 1,887$	17.4%	$8100 \pm 6,227$
	Setter	$1,464 \pm 544$	12.0%	$4,391 \pm 1,997$
	DS	$3,565 \pm 1,224$	58.2%	$10,696 \pm 0$
	Middle	$1,\!636\pm996$	15.6%	$4,909 \pm 2,733$
	Libero	$1,792 \pm 242$	8.8%	$5,376\pm0$

Table 2. Mean impulse load by position and event type during match and tournament play

*Match and tournament impulse load (IL) data are represented by means and standard deviations. Percentages represent relative contributions for event types. IL= Impulse Load, DS= Defensive Specialist.

Center of Excellence SPORT SCIENCE & COACH EDUCATION East Tennessee State University

REFERENCES

- Barnes, J. L., Schilling, B. K., Falvo, M. J., Weiss, L. W., Creasy, A. K., & Fry, A. C. (2007). Relationship of jumping and agility performance in female volleyball athletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 21(4), 1192.
- Coniglio, C. L., Travis, K., & Gentles, J. A. The relationship between accelerometry and total distance measured with GPS in women's college soccer. 12th Annual Coaches and Sport Science College, Johnson City, TN, December 1-2.
- Gabbett, T., & Georgieff, B. (2007). Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of Australian junior national, state, and novice volleyball players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 21(3), 90.
- Gescheit, D. T., Cormack, S. J., Reid, M., & Duffield, R. (2015). Consecutive days of prolonged tennis match play: performance, physical, and perceptual responses in trained players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *10*(7), 913-920.
- Johnstone, J. A., Ford, P. A., Hughes, G., Watson, T., & Garrett, A. T. (2012). BioHarness[™] multivariable monitoring device: part. I: validity. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, 11(3), 400.
- Johnstone, J. A., Ford, P. A., Hughes, G., Watson, T., & Garrett, A. T. (2012). Bioharness[™] multivariable monitoring device: part. II: reliability. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, *11*(3), 409.
- Johnstone, J. A., Ford, P. A., Hughes, G., Watson, T., Mitchell, A. C., & Garrett, A. T. (2012). Field based reliability and validity of the bioharness[™] multivariable monitoring device. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, *11*(4), 643.
- Sanders, G. J., Boos, B., Shipley, F., Scheadler, C. M., & Peacock, C. A. (2018). An Accelerometer-Based Training Load Analysis to Assess Volleyball Performance. *Journal of Exercise and Nutrition*, *1*, 1-4.
- Sheppard, J. M., Gabbett, T. J., & Stanganelli, L. C. R. (2009). An analysis of playing positions in elite men's volleyball: considerations for competition demands and physiologic characteristics. *The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23(6), 1858-1866.

