**Taxation Consultation with the Residents of Sark**

**Responses**

**#816**

**(A) Current Tax System – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(B) Personal Capital Tax – Forfait – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**.

No comment.

**(C) 91st Day Personal Capital Tax – Score Rating 4 - Agree**

No comment.

**(D) Personal Capital Tax – Score Rating 4 – Agree**

No comment.

**(E) Seasonal Workers – Score Rating 4 – Agree**

Yes, after 91 days.

**(F) Personal Capital Tax Related to Duration of Residency on Sark – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

Impossible to police and makes no sense.

**(G) Derelict Houses – Score Rating 4 – Agree**

Sounds like a good idea but how would you decide what is derelict and what is a building site being developed?

**(H) Property Transfer Tax – Score Rating 4 – Agree**

No comment.

**(I) Bed Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

This is a potential nightmare to calculate and collect and directly adding costs to visitors will harm an already ailing tourist economy.

**(J) Plate Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

Again, this makes coming to Sark even more expensive and would be so difficult to calculate and police.

**(K) Ring Fenced Taxation** **– Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

No, taxation is to run the island it is not a buffet of different charitable works. This is a recipe for disaster.

**(L) Sark Residency / Tax Residency Approved Scheme – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

How on earth can you prove any of that?

Additional Comments:

Reduce the Seigner’s stipend so that it is the same as the procurer.