**Taxation Consultation with the Residents of Sark**

**Responses**

**#501**

**(A) Current Tax System – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(B) Personal Capital Tax – Forfait – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(C) 91st Day Personal Capital Tax – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(D) Personal Capital Tax – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(E) Seasonal Workers – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

No comment.

**(F) Personal Capital Tax Related to Duration of Residency on Sark – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

No comment.

**(G) Derelict Houses – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(H) Property Transfer Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

No comment.

**(I) Bed Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

No comment.

**(J) Plate Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

No comment.

**(K) Ring Fenced Taxation** **– Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

I am in favour of ring fencing the taxes but not for additional taxes. Monies raised from a residency program could be ring fenced and used for infrastructure projects.

**(L) Sark Residency / Tax Residency Approved Scheme – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

Additional Comments:

The island needs/could use its status to sell residences with a no stay requirement. Like many other jurisdictions do. This would raise significant revenue whilst not soaking the locals. There are many more things the island could sell like this.